

RTCA, Inc.
1150 18th Street NW, Suite 910
Washington, DC 20036
USA

**Minimum Aviation System Performance
Standards for C2 Link Systems
Supporting Operations of Unmanned Aircraft Systems
in U.S. Airspace**

RTCA Paper No: 039-19/FMC-1853

RTCA DO-377
March 21, 2019

Prepared by: SC-228
© 2019 RTCA, Inc.

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Copies of this document may be obtained from

RTCA, Inc.

Telephone: 202-833-9333

Facsimile: 202-833-7431

Internet: www.rca.org

Please visit the RTCA Online Store for document pricing and ordering information.

Currently in preview, click buy full version

FOREWORD

This report was prepared by Special Committee 228 (SC-228) and approved by the RTCA Program Management Committee (PMC) on March 21, 2019.

RTCA, Incorporated is a not-for-profit corporation formed to advance the art and science of aviation and aviation electronic systems for the benefit of the public. The organization develops consensus-based recommendations on contemporary aviation issues. RTCA's objectives include but are not limited to:

- coalescing aviation system user and provider technical requirements in a manner that helps government and industry meet their mutual objectives and responsibilities;
- analyzing and recommending solutions to the system technical issues that aviation faces as it continues to pursue increased safety, system capacity and efficiency;
- developing consensus on the application of pertinent technology to fulfill user and provider requirements, including development of minimum operational performance standards for electronic systems and equipment that support aviation; and
- assisting in developing the appropriate technical material upon which positions for the International Civil Aviation Organization and the International Telecommunication Union and other appropriate international organizations can be based.

The organization's recommendations are often used as the basis for government and private sector decisions as well as the foundation for many Federal Aviation Administration Technical Standard Orders and several advisory circulars.

Since RTCA is not an official agency of the United States Government, its recommendations may not be regarded as statements of official government policy unless so enunciated by the U.S. government organization or agency having statutory jurisdiction over any matters to which the recommendations relate.

"Disclaimer"

This publication is based on material submitted by various participants during the SC approval process. Neither the SC nor RTCA has made any determination whether these materials could be subject to valid claims of patent, copyright or other proprietary rights by third parties, and no representation or warranty, expressed or implied is made in this regard. Any use of or reliance on this document shall constitute an acceptance thereof "as is" and be subject to this disclaimer."

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	PURPOSE AND SCOPE	1
1.1	Introduction and Purpose of Document	1
1.1.1	Background	1
1.1.2	Purpose of this Document	3
1.2	Scope of the Document	4
1.2.1	System Overview	4
1.2.1.1	Broader Description of Additional Systems	5
1.2.1.1.1	ATC Systems (shaded blue)	5
1.2.1.1.2	Systems Located at the Control Station (shaded orange)	5
1.2.1.1.3	Systems Located on the Unmanned Aircraft (shaded orange)	6
1.2.1.1.4	C2 Link System (shaded green)	6
1.2.1.2	Possible Configurations of a C2 Link System	6
1.2.1.3	RF Spectrum Resources Needed by C2 Links System	8
1.2.2	Overview of C2 Link System Operations and Functions	8
1.2.2.1	C2 Link System Operational Capabilities – Overview	8
1.2.2.2	C2 Link System Functional Capabilities – Overview	9
1.3	Structure of the Document	10
1.4	Relationship to ICAO Documents	11
1.5	References	12
2	APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY	13
2.1	Document Development Process	13
2.2	Methodology	13
2.2.1	Concept of Operations (CONOPS) and Scenario	13
2.2.2	Operational Services and Environment Definition (OSED) and Use Cases	14
2.2.3	Operational Safety Assessment (OSA)	14
2.2.4	Operational Security Analysis	14
2.2.5	Operational Performance Assessment (OPA)	15
2.3	Key Terms	15
2.3.1	Use of Requirements and Recommendations and Key Words	15
2.3.2	Assumptions	16
2.3.3	Mitigation Means	16
2.3.4	Requirements	16
2.3.5	Recommendations	17
3	SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS	19
3.1	Requirements and Traceability	19
3.1.1	Requirements Overview	19
3.1.2	Traceability	20
3.2	Definition of Terms	20
3.3	Assumptions	20
3.3.1	Operational Assumptions	21
3.3.2	Technical Assumptions	22
3.3.3	Connection and Switchover Interworking Assumptions	23
3.4	Operational Requirements	23
3.5	Functional Requirements	26
3.5.1	C2 Link System Functional Requirements	26
3.5.2	Common C2 Link System Connection and Switchover Interworking Requirements	28
3.6	Operational Hazards and Safety Objectives	31

3.6.1	OH and SO for FR-1	32
3.6.2	OH and SO for FR-2	34
3.6.3	OH and SO for FR-3	35
3.7	C2 Link System Connection Switchover Interworking Requirements	35
3.8	C2 Link System Network Layer Routing Switchover Interworking Requirements	37
3.9	Performance Requirements	38
3.9.1	Performance Requirements for Uncontrolled Airspace	40
3.9.1.1	Takeoff and Landing RLTP	40
3.9.1.2	Transit RLTP	41
3.9.2	Performance Requirements for Controlled Airspace	42
3.9.2.1	Takeoff and Landing RLTP	42
3.9.2.2	Transit RLTP	43
3.10	Security Requirements	44
3.11	Recommendations	47
4	REQUIREMENTS VERIFICATION FOR C2 LINK SYSTEMS.....	49
4.1	Assumptions.....	49
4.2	Performance Requirement Verification	50
4.2.1	Availability Requirement Verification.....	51
4.2.2	Latency Verification	52
4.2.3	Continuity and Expiration Time Verification	52
4.2.4	Integrity Requirement Verification	53
4.3	Security Requirement Verification	53
4.4	Bench Test Process for IR Verification	56
4.4.1	Connection Switchover Verifications	56
4.5	Operation Approval and In-Service Monitoring	59
5	MEMBERSHIP.....	61
APPENDIX A	CONCEPT OF OPERATIONS	A-1
A.1	Introduction.....	A-1
A.2	Stakeholders	A-3
A.2.1	UAS Operators	A-3
A.2.1.1	Goals	A-3
A.2.1.2	Constraints	A-3
A.2.2	UAS Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEM)	A-3
A.2.2.1	Goals	A-4
A.2.2.2	Constraints	A-4
A.2.3	Aircraft Maintenance and Repair Organizations (MRO)	A-4
A.2.3.1	Goals	A-4
A.2.3.2	Constraints	A-4
A.2.4	Equipment Manufacturers	A-4
A.2.4.1	Goals	A-5
A.2.4.2	Constraints	A-5
A.2.5	FAA Air Traffic Organization (ATO).....	A-5
A.2.5.1	Goals	A-5
A.2.5.2	Constraints	A-5
A.2.5.3	Airspace Classification.....	A-5
A.2.6	FAA Aviation Safety Organization.....	A-6
A.2.6.1	Goals	A-6
A.2.6.2	Constraints	A-6
A.2.7	Radio Frequency Spectrum Management Organization	A-7

A.2.7.1	Goals	A-7
A.2.7.2	Constraints	A-7
A.2.8	Potential Additional Support to ATC.....	A-9
A.2.8.1	UAS Traffic Management.....	A-9
A.2.8.1.1	Goals	A-9
A.2.8.1.2	Constraints	A-9
A.2.9	C2 Link System Communications Service Providers	A-9
A.2.9.1	Goals	A-9
A.2.9.2	Constraints	A-10
A.2.10	International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO).....	A-10
A.2.10.1	Goals	A-10
A.2.10.2	Constraints	A-10
A.2.11	International Telecommunications Union Radio Communications Sector (ITU-R).....	A-10
A.2.11.1	Goals	A-11
A.2.11.2	Constraints	A-11
A.3	Life Cycle Aspects.....	A-12
A.3.1	Development	A-12
A.3.2	Operation.....	A-12
A.3.3	Maintenance	A-13
A.3.4	Disposal.....	A-14
A.3.5	Life Cycle Costs.....	A-14
A.3.6	Schedule	A-14
A.3.7	Risk Management	A-15
A.4	Existing Operational Conditions	A-16
A.4.1	Basic Definitions.....	A-16
A.4.2	Flight Phases Definitions	A-16
A.4.3	Airspace Classes	A-18
A.5	Assessment of Whole UAS Impact on C2 Link System	A-22
A.5.1	Control the UA.....	A-22
A.5.2	Avionics	A-22
A.5.2.1	Flight Guidance System	A-22
A.5.2.2	ATC Communication.....	A-22
A.5.2.3	DAA.....	A-23
A.5.2.4	Weather Radar.....	A-23
A.5.2.5	Status Reporting System	A-23
A.5.3	Payload.....	A-23
A.6	The CONOPS Derivation	A-24
A.6.1	Summary of Key Aspects.....	A-24
A.6.1.1	How Those Aspects Impact UAS Operations	A-24
A.6.1.2	How Those Aspects Impact the C2 Link System.....	A-25
A.6.2	Previous UAS CONOPS Efforts	A-26
A.6.2.1	SC-206 CONOPS.....	A-26
A.6.2.2	FAA CONOPS	A-26
A.6.2.3	Earlier SC-228 Efforts.....	A-27
A.6.2.4	Derived CONOPS	A-27
A.6.3	Selection of the CONOPS in the Initial C2 Link System MASPS	A-28
A.6.3.1	Local Linear Sensing.....	A-28
A.6.3.1.1	Local Aerial Survey	A-29
A.6.3.1.2	Local Aerial Survey	A-29
A.6.3.1.3	Why the Other Three CONOPS Were Not Selected.....	A-30
A.6.3.2	Relationship with DAA CONOPS Developments	A-31
A.7	References.....	A-32

APPENDIX B OPERATIONAL SERVICES AND ENVIRONMENT DEFINITION (OSED)... B-1

B.1	Introduction	B-1
B.2	Environment	B-4
B.2.1	Current FAA Regulations and Related Guidance	B-4
B.2.2	Safety.....	B-6
B.2.2.1	Introduction	B-6
B.2.2.2	Safety Related FAA Rules	B-6
B.2.2.3	Approach to the Operational Safety Analysis	B-7
B.2.2.4	Security.....	B-8
B.3	Services	B-9
B.3.1	FAA ATC Services	B-9
B.3.1.1	Data Services.....	B-9
B.3.1.2	Voice Communications	B-10
B.3.1.2.1	Introduction	B-10
B.3.1.2.2	Current FAA ATC Ground Voice Communications Services	B-10
B.3.1.2.3	Voice Communications Latency	B-10
B.3.1.2.4	Future FAA Voice Communications Services	B-10
B.3.1.2.5	Possible Architecture Alternatives	B-11
B.3.2	Separation Service (ATC)	B-12
B.3.3	C2 Link System Communication Service Providers.....	B-12
B.3.3.1	SATCOM	B-13
B.3.3.2	Terrestrial Services.....	B-13
B.3.3.3	Connections Between the CS and the Ground Components of either SATCOM or Terrestrial Services	B-13
B.4	CONOPS Review	B-14
B.5	Operations – Specific Scenarios.....	B-15
B.5.1	Operational and Technical Assumptions.....	B-15
B.5.1.1	Operational Assumptions	B-15
B.5.1.2	Technical Assumptions	B-17
B.5.2	Local Linear Sensing CONOPS Power Line Scenario.....	B-17
B.5.2.1	Overview	B-17
B.5.2.2	Additional Assumptions for this Power Line Inspection Scenario.....	B-17
B.5.2.3	Flight Profile	B-18
B.5.2.4	Description of the Scenario	B-19
B.5.2.5	Use Cases	B-20
B.5.2.6	Use Case Descriptions	B-20
B.5.2.6.1	Use Case 1 Pre-flight/Takeoff.....	B-20
B.5.2.6.2	Use Case 2 – SATCOM → Terrestrial.....	B-21
B.5.2.6.3	Use Case 3 – DAA Event.....	B-21
B.5.2.6.4	Use Case 4 – ATC Vectors.....	B-21
B.5.2.6.5	Use Case 5 – Terrestrial → SATCOM.....	B-22
B.5.2.6.6	Use Case 6 – DAA SATCOM.....	B-22
B.5.2.6.7	Use Case 7 – Landing/Post Flight	B-22
B.5.3	Local Area Surveillance CONOPS, Media, and Incident Reporting Scenario	B-22
B.5.3.1	Overview	B-22
B.5.3.2	Assumptions	B-23
B.5.3.3	Flight Profile	B-23
B.5.3.4	Scenario Description	B-24
B.5.3.5	Use Cases	B-25
B.5.3.6	Use Case Descriptions.....	B-25
B.5.3.6.1	Use Case 1 Pre-flight/Takeoff.....	B-25
B.5.3.6.2	Use Case 2 - Departure.....	B-26
B.5.3.6.3	Use Case 3 – Terrestrial → Terrestrial.....	B-26

B.5.3.6.4	Use Case 4 – Reroute	B-26
B.5.3.6.5	Use Case 5 – Arrival	B-26
B.5.3.6.6	Use Case 6 - Terrestrial → Terrestrial	B-26
B.5.3.6.7	Use Case 7 – Landing/Post Flight	B-27
B.6	The SE Process Operational and System Views	B-28
B.6.1	OV-2: Operational Resource Flow Description / <i>Node Connectively Description</i>	B-28
B.6.2	OV-5 (complete): Operational Activity to Systems Function Traceability Matrix / <i>System Mapping Function/System Traceability</i>	B-29
B.6.3	OV-4: Systems Functionality Description.....	B-31
B.6.4	SV-2: Systems Resource Flow Description / <i>System Communication Description</i>	B-31
B.6.5	OV-3: Operational Resource Flow Matrix / <i>Information Exchange Matrix</i>	B-36
B.6.5.1	Introduction	B-36
B.6.5.2	Phases of Flight	B-37
B.6.5.2.1	Pre-Flight Planning	B-38
B.6.5.2.2	Start/Ground Movement/Taxi Phase	B-38
B.6.5.2.3	Takeoff/Departure Phase.....	B-38
B.6.5.2.4	Cruise Phase	B-39
B.6.5.2.5	Aerial Work Phase.....	B-39
B.6.5.2.6	Decent/Final Approach/Landing Phase.....	B-39
B.6.5.2.7	Post Landing (Ground Movement/Taxi/Shutdown) Phase.....	B-40
B.6.5.3	The OV-3 Matrix.....	B-40
B.6.5.3.1	The Basic OV-3.....	B-40
B.6.5.3.2	Complete OV-3 for the Power Line inspection.....	B-42
B.6.5.3.3	OV-3 for the Media and Incident Reporting Scenario	B-42
B.7	Resulting Operational and Functional Requirements.....	B-43
B.7.1	Operational Requirements (OR).....	B-43
B.7.2	Functional Requirements.....	B-45
B.8	References	B-47

APPENDIX C OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT C-1

C.1	Introduction	C-1
C.2	C2 Link System Latency for the Aviate, Navigate and Integrate Remote Pilot Activities	C-10
C.2.1	Latency Assumptions	C-10
C.2.2	Considerations Regarding the C2 Link System Latency Estimates	C-13
C.3	OPA for the Remote Pilot’s Communicate Activity	C-14
C.3.1	Latency	C-14
C.3.2	Short C2 Link System Interruptions.....	C-17
C.3.2.1	Availability for Short C2 Link System Interruptions	C-18
C.3.2.2	Continuity for Short C2 Link System Interruptions	C-19
C.3.3	Long C2 Link System Interruptions	C-19
C.3.3.1	Availability for Long C2 Link System Interruptions	C-19
C.3.3.2	Continuity for Long C2 Link System Interruptions	C-20
C.3.4	Integrity	C-20
C.3.5	Considerations on the C2 Link System’s Support of the Remote Pilots Communicate Activity.....	C-20
C.4	OPA for Remote Pilot’s Navigate Activity	C-21
C.4.1	Latency	C-21
C.4.2	Short C2 Link System Interruptions.....	C-21
C.4.3	Long C2 Link System Interruptions	C-22
C.4.3.1	Availability and Continuity for Long C2 Link System Interruptions	C-22
C.4.4	Integrity	C-23
C.5	OPA for the Remote Pilot’s Integrate Activity	C-24
C.5.1	Latency	C-24

C.5.2	Short C2 Link System Interruptions.....	C-24
C.5.3	Long C2 Link System Interruptions.....	C-24
C.5.3.1	Availability for Long C2 Link System Interruptions.....	C-25
C.5.3.2	Continuity for Long C2 Link System Interruptions.....	C-26
C.5.4	Integrity.....	C-26
C.5.5	Considerations on the C2 Link System’s Support of the Remote Pilots Integrate Activity.....	C-26
C.6	OPA for the Remote Pilot’s Aviate Activity.....	C-27
C.6.1	Latency.....	C-27
C.6.2	Short C2 Link System Interruptions.....	C-28
C.6.2.1	Type 1 Situations.....	C-28
C.6.2.2	Type 3 Situations.....	C-28
C.6.2.3	Availability for Short C2 Link System Interruptions.....	C-29
C.6.2.4	Continuity for Short C2 Link System Interruptions.....	C-29
C.6.3	Long C2 Link System Interruptions.....	C-29
C.6.3.1	Type 1 Situations.....	C-29
C.6.3.2	Type 3 Situations.....	C-30
C.6.3.3	Availability for Long C2 Link System Interruptions.....	C-30
C.6.3.3.1	Type 1 Situations.....	C-30
C.6.3.3.2	Type 3 Situations.....	C-31
C.6.3.4	Continuity for Long C2 Link System Interruptions.....	C-31
C.6.3.4.1	Type 1 Situations.....	C-31
C.6.3.4.2	Type 3 Situations.....	C-31
C.6.4	Integrity.....	C-32
C.6.5	Considerations on the C2 Link System’s Support of the Remote Pilot’s Aviate Activity.....	C-32
C.7	OPA for the Remote Pilot’s Manage C2 Link Activity.....	C-33
C.7.1	C2 Link System Status Reporting - Supporting FR-3.1.....	C-33
C.7.1.1	Latency of the C2 Link System Status Reporting.....	C-34
C.7.1.2	C2 Link System Status Reporting Interruptions.....	C-34
C.7.1.3	Availability of the C2 Link System Status Reporting.....	C-35
C.7.1.4	Continuity for the C2 Link System Status Reporting.....	C-36
C.7.1.5	Integrity of the C2 Link System Status Reporting.....	C-36
C.7.1.6	Considerations on the C2 Link System’s Support of the Status Reporting Aspects of the Support of the Remote Pilots Mange C2 Link Activity.....	C-36
C.7.2	C2 Link System Switchovers - Supporting FR-2.2.....	C-36
C.7.2.1	Failed Switchovers.....	C-37
C.7.2.1.1	Allowable Quantitative Probability.....	C-37
C.7.2.1.2	Switchover Timeline and TET.....	C-37
C.7.2.2	Misdirected Switchover.....	C-38
C.7.2.3	Considerations on the C2 Link System’s Support of the Switchover Aspects of the Support of the Remote Pilots Mange C2 Link Activity.....	C-38
C.8	References.....	C-40

APPENDIX D OPERATIONAL SAFETY ASSESSMENTD-1

D.1	Introduction.....	D-1
D.2	Scope.....	D-2
D.3	Safety Risk Management Panel.....	D-4
D.4	Risk Assessment.....	D-5
D.4.1	Analysis Method.....	D-5
D.4.2	Risk Determination.....	D-6
D.4.3	Summary of Potential Hazardous and Catastrophic Hazards.....	D-14
D.4.4	Rationale for Hazards Classified as the Catastrophic and Hazardous.....	D-15
D.4.5	Risk Assessment.....	D-18
D.4.6	Operational Hazards and Safety Objectives.....	D-19

D.5	Current Regulations used as Existing Controls.....	D-24
D.5.1	Regulations.....	D-24
D.5.2	See and Avoid.....	D-27
D.5.3	DAA System Overview.....	D-27
D.5.4	DAA Well Clear Definition	D-28
D.5.5	Flyaway.....	D-28
D.5.6	Single Point of Failure	D-28
D.6	References.....	D-29

APPENDIX E INTERWORKING ASSESSMENT AND CONSIDERATIONS FOR C2 LINK SYSTEM CONNECTION AND SWITCHOVER.....E-1

E.1	Introduction	E-1
E.2	Definitions	E-2
E.3	Example C2 Link System Switchover Scenarios	E-3
E.4	Operational Considerations	E-4
E.5	C2 Link System Connections and Switchovers.....	E-7
E.5.1	Connection Description	E-7
E.5.1.1	Connections Over Point-to-Point Links Versus Over Networked Links.....	E-8
E.5.2	C2 Link System Switchovers	E-10
E.5.2.1	“C2 Link System Connection” Switchover.....	E-10
E.5.2.2	“Network Layer Routing” Switchover	E-14
E.5.3	Switchover Hand-Shake Sequence.....	E-15
E.5.3.1	C2 Link Connection Switchover Hand-Shake Sequence	E-15
E.5.3.2	Network Layer Routing Switchover Hand-Shake Sequence.....	E-19
E.6	Interworking Assessment	E-23
E.7	C2 Link Switchover Safety Assessment.....	E-27
E.7.1	Assumptions	E-27
E.7.2	C2 Link Switchovers Operational Hazard Assessment.....	E-27
E.7.2.1	C2 Link Switchover Operational Hazards Identification	E-28
E.7.3	C2 Link Switchover Hazard Detailed Descriptions.....	E-28
E.7.3.1	Incomplete Break-before-Make Switchover Definition	E-28
E.7.3.2	Incomplete Make-before-Break Switchover Definition	E-29
E.7.3.3	Misdirected Undetected C2 Link Switchover Definition	E-30
E.8	C2 Link Connectivity and Switchover Safety and Interworking Requirements.....	E-31
E.8.1	Safety Objectives.....	E-31
E.8.2	Interworking Requirements.....	E-31
E.8.2.1	Common Connection and Switchover Interworking Requirements.....	E-32
E.8.2.2	C2 Link System Connection Switchover Interworking Requirements.....	E-33
E.8.2.3	C2 Link System Network Switchover Interworking Requirements.....	E-35
E.9	References	E-37

APPENDIX F C2 LINK SECURITY CONSIDERATIONS F-1

F.1	Introduction.....	F-1
F.2	C2 Link Communications Security Context.....	F-2
F.2.1	Terrestrial and SATCOM Point-to-Point Links.....	F-2
F.2.2	Terrestrial Wireless Network and SATCOM Network Links:	F-3
F.3	Security Requirements and Acceptable Means of Compliance (MOC)	F-4
F.4	References.....	F-11

APPENDIX G DEFINITIONS AND TERMINOLOGY.....G-1

TABLES OF TABLES

Table 3-1: Requirements Traceability.....	20
Table 3-2: Operational Requirement OR-1.....	24
Table 3-3: Operational Requirement OR-2.....	24
Table 3-4: Operational Requirement OR-3.....	25
Table 3-5: Operational Requirement OR-4.....	25
Table 3-6: Functional Requirement FR-1.....	26
Table 3-7: Functional Requirement FR-2.....	27
Table 3-8: Functional Requirement FR-3.....	28
Table 3-9: Common C2 Link System Connection and Switchover Interworking Requirement.....	29
Table 3-10: Operational Hazards for FR-1.....	32
Table 3-11: Safety Objectives for FR-1.....	33
Table 3-12: Operational Hazards for FR-2.....	34
Table 3-13: Safety Objectives for FR-2.....	34
Table 3-14: Operational Hazards for FR-3.....	35
Table 3-15: Safety Objectives for FR-3.....	35
Table 3-16: C2 Link System Connection Switchover Interworking Requirements.....	36
Table 3-17: C2 Link System Network Layer Switchover Interworking Requirements.....	38
Table 3-18: UAS Risk Class 3 RLTP Performance Requirements, Uncontrolled Airspace, Takeoff and Landing.....	40
Table 3-19: UAS Risk Class 3 RLTP Performance Requirements, Uncontrolled Airspace, Transit.....	41
Table 3-20: UAS Risk Class 3 RLTP Performance Requirements, Controlled Airspace, Takeoff and Landing.....	42
Table 3-21: UAS Risk Class 3 RLTP Performance Requirements, Controlled Airspace, Transit.....	43
Table 3-22: Security Requirements for User Plane Traffic between Unmanned Aircraft and Control Station.....	45
Table 3-23: Security Requirements for Control Plane Traffic between Unmanned Aircraft and Control Station.....	46
Table 3-24: Recommendations.....	48
Table 4-1: Performance Parameter Descriptions.....	49
Table A-1: CONOPS to be Studied.....	A-28
Table B-1: Descriptions of the OV's and SV's Developed.....	B-3
Table B-2: OV-3 - Basic Information Exchanges between the Control Station and UA as Described in the OV-2.....	B-41
Table C-1: Risk Matrix.....	C-5
Table C-2: Severities and Safety Objectives from the APPENDIX D OSA for FR-1 and FR-3.1.....	C-6
Table C-3: Severities and Safety Objectives from the APPENDIX D OSA for FR-2.....	C-7
Table C-4: Summary of OPA results for FR-1 and FR-3.1.....	C-8
Table C-5: Summary of OPA results for FR-2.....	C-9
Table C-6: Latency Estimates - Networked Terrestrial C2 Link Systems.....	C-11
Table C-7: Latency Estimate – Networked Satellite C2 Link Systems.....	C-12
Table C-8: Latency Standards for Various NAS Voice Equipment.....	C-16
Table D-1: Limitations of the Assessment.....	D-3
Table D-2: Severity Definitions.....	D-7
Table D-3: Safety Objectives Matrix.....	D-12
Table D-4: Risk Assessment Matrix.....	D-13
Table D-5: Risk Acceptance Criteria.....	D-14
Table D-6: Hazardous and Catastrophic Hazards Summary.....	D-15
Table D-7: Initial Risk Matrix.....	D-19
Table D-8: Operational Hazards for FR-1.....	D-20
Table D-9: Safety Objectives for FR-1.....	D-21
Table D-10: Operational Hazards for FR-2.....	D-22

Table D-11: Safety Objectives for FR-2	D-22
Table D-12: Operational Hazards for FR-3	D-23
Table D-13: Safety Objectives for FR-3	D-23
Table D-14: Summary of CFR Concerning Pilot Responsibility for Traffic Avoidance.....	D-24
Table D-15: Summary of ATC Requirements	D-25
Table E-1: Identified Hazards Summary	E-28
Table E-2: Switchover Safety Objectives	E-31
Table E-3: Common C2 Link System Connection and Switchover Interworking Requirements.....	E-32
Table E-4: C2 Link System Connection Switchover Interworking Requirements	E-34
Table E-5: Summary of C2 Link System Network Layer (IP addressing) Routing Switchover Interworking Requirements	E-36
Table F-1: Summary of Security Requirements and Recommendations for User Plane Traffic between Unmanned Aircraft (UA) and Control Station (CS)	F-5
Table F-2: Summary of Security Requirements and Recommendations for Control Plane Traffic between Unmanned Aircraft (UA) and Control station (CS).....	F-7
Table F-3: Summary of Security Requirements and Recommendations for User Plane Traffic over Local Connections between Unmanned Aircraft (UA) and C2CSP Gateway and between Control Station (CS) and C2CSP Gateway.....	F-9
Table F-4: Summary of Security Requirements and Recommendations for Control Plane Traffic over Local Connections between Unmanned Aircraft (UA) and C2CSP Gateway and between Control Station (CS) and C2CSP Gateway	F-10

TABLES OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: C2 Link System - Example Operational View	3
Figure 1-2: Basic Information Exchanges and Systems Within and Beyond the C2 Link System Needed for Safe UAS Operations within the U.S. Airspace	5
Figure 1-3: Possible C2 Link System Configurations	7
Figure 1-4: FAA Operational Environment Description from the NAS Enterprise Architecture [7].....	9
Figure 1-5: Operational Activity Model	10
Figure 3-1: Requirements Traceability	20
Figure 4-1: User Data and Control Messages Interfaces Super Imposed on Figure C-7	51
Figure A-1: Phases of UA Flight	A-18
Figure A-2: Airspace Classification Descriptions	A-19
Figure A-3: Local Linear Sensing Operational View (OV-1)	A-29
Figure A-4: Local Aerial Survey Operational View (Figure copied from the FAA CONOPS [3])	A-30
Figure B-1: Overview of Coordinated Requirements Determination	B-1
Figure B-2: Voice Communication Path Methods.....	B-11
Figure B-3: Power Line Inspection Scenario Flight Profile and Use Case Locations	B-19
Figure B-4: Flight Profile and Use Case Locations	B-24
Figure B-5: OV-2- Operational Resource Flow Description / <i>Node Connectively Description</i>	B-29
Figure B-6: OV-5 (complete): Operational Activity to Systems Function Traceability Matrix / <i>System Mapping Function/System Traceability</i>	B-30
Figure B-7: SV-2: Systems Resource Flow Description / <i>System Communication Description</i>	B-32
Figure B-8: SV-2: Systems Resource Flow Description Showing Two Control Station / <i>System Communication Description</i>	B-36
Figure B-9: Phases of Flight	B-37
Figure D-1: SV-2 for Voice Communications Relayed Through the UA.....	C-15
Figure E-1: Protocol Stacks of Point-to-Point Air/Ground Links vs. Links over Air/Ground Networks.....	E-10
Figure E-2: Switchover of the C2 Link System Connection between Two Point-to-Point Links	E-11
Figure E-3: Switchover of the C2 Link System Connection between Two Networked Links	E-11
Figure E-4: Switchover of the C2 Link System Connection between a Point-to-Point Link and a Networked Link.....	E-12
Figure E-5: “Network Layer Routing” Switchover Context Diagram.....	E-14

Figure E-6: C2 Link System Connection Switchover from a SATCOM Link to a Terrestrial Link for Make-Before-Break.....	E-16
Figure E-7: C2 Link System Connection Switchover from a Terrestrial Link to a SATCOM Link for Make-Before-Break.....	E-17
Figure E-8: C2 Link System Connection Switchover from a SATCOM Link to a Terrestrial Link for Break-Before-Make.....	E-18
Figure E-9: C2 Link System Connection Switchover from a Terrestrial Link to a SATCOM Link for Break-Before-Make.....	E-19
Figure E-10: Switchover Sequence Diagram from Terrestrial to SATCOM (Network Layer Routing using Mobile Ipv6 Routing Protocols).....	E-20
Figure E-11: Switchover Sequence Diagram from Terrestrial to SATCOM (Network Layer Routing using AERO Routing Protocols).....	E-21
Figure E-12: Switchover Sequence Diagram from SATCOM to Terrestrial (Network Layer Routing using Mobile Ipv6 Routing Protocols).....	E-21
Figure E-13: Switchover Sequence Diagram from SATCOM to Terrestrial (Network Layer Routing using AERO Routing Protocols).....	E-22
Figure E-14: C2 Link Management System SV-2 Diagram.....	E-24
Figure F-1: C2 Link Communications Security Context Diagram.....	F-2

1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

This document contains the Minimum Aviation System Performance Standards (MASPS) for a C2¹ Link System connecting a Control Station (CS) and an Unmanned Aircraft (UA).² It covers UA operations requiring a C2 Link System³ that allows the UA to operate within visual line of sight (VLOS) and beyond visual line-of-sight (BVLOS) of a CS. The types of information that are exchanged over the C2 Link System include both:

1. The commands from the remote pilot,⁴ i.e., the telecommands sent over a forward link from the CS to the UA, and
2. The status and annunciations from a UA, i.e., the telemetry data sent over a return link from the UA to the CS, that are associated with all of the activities the remote pilot is required to perform to safely execute the flight plan. These safety-of-flight⁵ related information exchanges do not include normal payload information, i.e., the information associated with the UA mission payloads.

A more complete description of those information exchanges and the associated requirements on the C2 Link System are given within this MASPS.

1.1 Introduction and Purpose of Document

Subsection 1.1 contains a discussion of why and how this document was prepared and how it should be used.

1.1.1 Background

RTCA has supported the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) for several years in developing the MASPS, and Minimum Operational Performance Standards (MOPS) needed to support UA flights within the U.S. airspace⁶ and beyond the operational limits⁷ placed on small unmanned aircraft systems (UAS).⁸ From 2006-2012 the RTCA Special Committee (SC)-203 sought to develop a UAS MASPS document; although it was not completed, there was sufficient progress to provide much-needed groundwork. In 2013 the RTCA initiated SC-228 with a narrower scope; namely, to develop MOPS needed for (a) a UAS Detect and Avoid (DAA) system (the focus of SC-228 Working Group

¹ Note: When the term “C2” is used as part of the name of a system, i.e., C2 Link System, the term “C2” is used as a noun and not as an abbreviation of the words “command and control”. Where it is used not as part of a name, then it would be an abbreviation for command and control.

² An Unmanned Aircraft (UA) is the physical aircraft that a remote pilot is operating.

³ A C2 Link System is the logical connection used for the exchange of information between a CS and an UA, to enable the remote pilot to safely operate the UA in the authorized U.S. airspace.

⁴ A remote pilot is the person who is operating an aircraft but is not physically on that aircraft. Within this document, this term is used instead of Pilot in Command (PIC) since PIC represents a legal responsibility not necessarily the pilot operating the aircraft.

⁵ Safety-of-flight information is any information/data sent to or received from the UA that is necessary to ensure the UAS is operated/operating in a manner that protects people and/or property from harm due to unintentional events.

⁶ U.S. airspace does not include Oceanic Airspace.

⁷ The limits placed on small UAS are described in the June 29, 2016 Federal Register (Volume 81, No. 124/Rules and Regulations) which announces the amending of regulations to allow for the operation of small unmanned aircraft systems in the National Airspace System (NAS). This added a new Part 107 to Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR).

⁸ Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS) means all of the components that are directly involved as a UA is being operated. In this document, this term is used instead of Remotely Piloted Aircraft System to leave open the possible use of the abbreviation UAS to include autonomous aircraft. This definition is also used by the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO).