

NEMA XR 23-2006 (R2020)

Standard for “Quality
Control Manual”
Template for
Manufacturers of
Hardcopy Output
Devices Labeled for
Final Interpretation
in Full-field Digital
Mammography



NEMA Standards Publication XR 23-2006 (R2020)

*“Quality Control Manual” Template for Manufacturers of
Hardcopy Output Devices
Labeled for Final Interpretation in Full-field Digital Mammography*

Published by:

National Electrical Manufacturers Association

1300 North 17th Street, Suite 900
Rosslyn, Virginia 22209

www.nema.org

www.medicalimaging.org

© 2020 National Electrical Manufacturers Association. All rights including translation into other languages, reserved under the Universal Copyright Convention, the Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works, and the International and Pan American Copyright Conventions.

NOTICE AND DISCLAIMER

The information in this publication was considered technically sound by the consensus of persons engaged in the development and approval of the document at the time it was developed. Consensus does not necessarily mean that there is unanimous agreement among every person participating in the development of this document.

The National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA) Standards and guideline publications, of which the document contained herein is one, are developed through a voluntary consensus Standards development process. This process brings together volunteers and/or seeks out the views of persons who have an interest in the topic covered by this publication. While NEMA administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the development of consensus, it does not write the document and it does not independently test, evaluate, or verify the accuracy or completeness of any information or the soundness of any judgments contained in its Standards and guideline publications.

NEMA disclaims liability for any personal injury, property, or other damages of any nature whatsoever, whether special, indirect, consequential, or compensatory, directly or indirectly resulting from the publication, use of, application, or reliance on this document. NEMA disclaims and makes no guaranty or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy or completeness of any information published herein, and disclaims and makes no warranty that the information in this document will fulfill any of your particular purposes or needs. NEMA does not undertake to guarantee the performance of any individual manufacturer or seller's products or services by virtue of this Standard or guide.

In publishing and making this document available, NEMA is not undertaking to render professional or other services for or on behalf of any person or entity, nor is NEMA undertaking to perform any duty owed by any person or entity to someone else. Anyone using this document should rely on his or her own independent judgment or, as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances. Information and other Standards on the topic covered by this publication may be available from other sources, which the user may wish to consult for additional views or information not covered by this publication.

NEMA has no power, nor does it undertake to police or enforce compliance with the contents of this document. NEMA does not certify, test, or inspect products, designs, or installations for safety or health purposes. Any certification or other statement of compliance with any health or safety-related information in this document shall not be attributable to NEMA and is solely the responsibility of the certifier or maker of the statement.

(This page is to be removed from manufacturer's final QC manual.)

Foreword to the Device Manufacturer

When full-field digital mammography (FFDM) systems were first introduced, all components (e.g., image receptor, acquisition workstation, diagnostic workstation/monitor, hardcopy output device) were provided or qualified for use by the image receptor manufacturer (IRM). The IRM also provided a comprehensive quality control (QC) plan to enable mammography facilities to meet their responsibilities under the MQSA¹ final regulations. Subsequently, FDA approved manufacturers other than the IRMs to market hardcopy and softcopy displays for FFDM images. This has made system QC more difficult since, under MQSA regulations, the facility is required to follow a quality assurance program substantially the same as the one recommended by the IRM. However, the QC plan of the IRM may not adequately address the needs of components developed by other manufacturers.

This increasing heterogeneity of FFDM systems has created a desire to delegate the responsibility for developing QC procedures for the individual system components to the manufacturers of those components. However, it is also desirable, especially for the end-user, to retain some consistency in the QC documentation that accompanies new FFDM components. NEMA's Mammography Subcommittee has developed a template that provides both a consistent presentation format, and a minimum set of QC tests that should be included as part of the quality assurance plan of a hardcopy output device intended for use in an FFDM system. Hardcopy-device manufacturers who follow this template when developing their quality assurance programs, including at least the tests listed, will have incorporated the essential aspects of quality assurance that the image receptor manufacturers have included in their recommended quality assurance programs, thus easing the burden of the mammography facility to establish and maintain a quality assurance program for the hardcopy device substantially the same as the one recommended by the IRM. The following sections of this document constitute the content of that template.

Each QC test includes an objective, a testing frequency, an equipment list, a test procedure, action limits that define acceptable outcomes, and instructions on the use of the test results. In some cases, real-world test conditions and action limits that have been found to be appropriate and reasonable have been included in the QC tests. This content may be incorporated in whole, or in part, as deemed appropriate by the manufacturer of the output device. However, it is recognized that it may not be practical, or possible, on all output devices to implement verbatim the tests as set forth in these examples. Therefore, it is recommended that the manufacturer include in its final QC plan a test for each concept presented in this template. The manufacturer may alter the details of the tests to accommodate the characteristics of a specific device, or particular regulatory requirements. If the manufacturer chooses to modify the actions specified in this template, or deviate from current MQSA regulations for tests added to the template, the manufacturer must apply to the FDA for an Alternative Standard (see 21 CFR 900.18).

The device manufacturer may also include additional tests beyond those specified in the template. Each such test must include the same elements as and follow the form of tests in the template. Procedure contents must be written in regulatory language using auxiliary verbs such as "shall" or "must," or in the imperative mood. They may not include references to other documents or commercial products.

Recommendations regarding test procedures, and references to documents and commercial products may be included in the **Guidance** section of the QC plan, an example of which is included as the final section of the template. The manufacturer should review the content of this Guidance section, and provide material of a similar nature appropriate to the manufacturer's product.

¹ The United States Mammography Quality Standards Act of 1992, as amended by the Mammography Quality Standards Reauthorization Acts of 1998 and 2004 (MQSRA).

(This page is to be removed from manufacturer's final QC manual.)

All instructions and comments to the manufacturer using the template are italicized, red, and enclosed in square brackets, e.g., [*Manufacturer to ...*]. Wherever such notations occur, the manufacturer of the hardcopy output device must insert the necessary information.

The "Equipment Required" section of each test may list one or more digital test images appropriate for the test. While the manufacturer is free to create specific images that fulfill the equipment requirements of each test, or even handle multiple tests, there are also publicly available images produced by various organizations that can be used. Examples of such organizations include the American Association of Physicists in Medicine (TG-18, http://www.aapm.org/pubs/reports/public/OR_03_Supplemental/), the Society of Motion Picture and Television Engineers (http://www.smpte.org/smpte_store/standards/) and the European Reference Organization for Quality Assured Breast Screening and Diagnostic Services (<http://www.euref.org/>, which contains modified versions of the AAPM TG-18 images). The test images mentioned in the template text are listed in the Guidance (4.1), along with references. It is strongly recommended that any image data required for the QC tests in this template (except QC Test 3) be stored on the hardcopy device itself, so that they can be called up by the user at will, without having to send an image file from some other component in the FFDM system.

CONTENTS

Foreword	iii
Section 1 Overview of The Quality Control Manual	1
1.1 Scope of the Document	1
1.2 Regulatory Considerations	1
1.3 Structure of the Document.....	1
1.4 Elements of a QC/Constancy Test	2
1.5 The Need for Calibration	2
1.6 Test Summary	2
Section 2 Qc Tests for The Radiologic Technologist	4
2.1 Density Constancy Test.....	4
2.1.1 Objective.....	4
2.1.2 Establishing Operating Levels	4
2.1.3 Performing the QC/Constancy Test	5
2.2 Fixer Retention Test	5
2.2.1 Objective.....	5
2.2.2 Test Relevance and Conditions.....	5
2.3 Low-contrast Visibility Test	5
2.3.1 Objective.....	5
2.3.2 Establishing Operating Levels	6
2.3.3 Performing the QC/Constancy Test	6
2.4 Spatial Resolution Test.....	6
2.4.1 Objective.....	6
2.4.2 Establishing Operating Levels	7
2.4.3 Performing the QC/Constancy Test	7
2.5 (Darkroom) Fog Test	7
2.5.1 Objective.....	7
2.5.2 Test Relevance and Conditions.....	7
2.6 Artifact Test.....	8
2.6.1 Objective.....	8
2.6.2 Establishing Operating Levels	8
2.6.3 Performing the QC/Constancy Test	8
Section 3 Qc Tests for The Medical Physicist	10
3.1 Grayscale Response Function Test	10
3.1.1 Objective.....	10
3.1.2 Establishing Operating Levels	10
3.1.3 Performing the QC/Constancy Test	10
3.2 Geometry Test.....	11
3.2.1 Objective.....	11
3.2.2 Establishing Operating Levels	11
3.2.3 Performing the QC/Constancy Test	11
3.3 Phantom Image Quality Test.....	12
3.3.1 Objective.....	12
3.3.2 Establishing Operating Levels	12
3.3.3 Performing the QC/Constancy Test	12

Section 4 Guidance	13
4.1 QC Test Images.....	13
4.2 Density Constancy Test (2.1)	14
4.3 Fixer Retention Test (2.2).....	14
4.4 Low-Contrast Visibility Test (2.3).....	14
4.5 Spatial Resolution Test (2.4)	14
4.6 (Darkroom) Fog Test (2.5).....	14
4.7 Artifact Test (2.6)	15
4.8 Grayscale Response Function Test (3.1).....	15
4.9 Geometry Test (3.2)	15
4.10 Phantom Image Quality Test (3.3).....	15
4.11 Mammography Equipment Evaluation (MEE)	15

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Foreword

Quality Control (QC) is important in any imaging system, but it is especially important in mammography. When full-field digital mammography (FFDM) systems were first introduced, all components (e.g., image receptor, acquisition workstation, diagnostic workstation/monitor, hardcopy output device) were provided or qualified for use by the image receptor manufacturer (IRM). The IRM also provided a comprehensive QC plan to enable mammography facilities to meet their responsibilities under the Mammography Quality Standards Act² (MQSA). Subsequently, FDA approved manufacturers other than the IRMs to market hardcopy and softcopy displays for FFDM images. This has made system QC more difficult since, under MQSA regulations, the facility is required to follow a quality assurance program substantially the same as the one recommended by the IRM. However, the QC plan of the IRM may not adequately address the needs of components developed by other manufacturers.

The increasing heterogeneity of FFDM systems has created a desire to delegate the responsibility for developing QC procedures for the individual system components to the manufacturers of those components. This manual provides such procedures for the *[Manufacturer to enter commercial name of device]*, a hardcopy output device that receives images from an FFDM system, and reproduces them on *[Manufacturer to enter description of hardcopy medium/media]* for final interpretation. The procedures in this manual cover two main performance dimensions: grayscale fidelity and spatial/geometric fidelity. The grayscale dimension addresses the ability of the hardcopy device to achieve and maintain constancy in its tone reproduction characteristics relative to established operating levels. The spatial dimension measures the ability of the device to achieve and maintain the appropriate level of spatial resolution, geometric constancy, and freedom from artifacts.

² The United States Mammography Quality Standards Act of 1992, as amended by the Mammography Quality Standards Reauthorization Acts of 1998 and 2004 (MQSRA).

< This page is intentionally left blank. >

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Section 1 Overview of The Quality Control Manual

1.1 Scope of the Document

This document defines the minimum set of quality control (QC) tests to be applied to the *[Manufacturer to enter commercial name of hardcopy device]*, a hardcopy output device that receives images from a FFDM system, and reproduces them on *[Manufacturer to enter description of hardcopy medium]* for final interpretation. It should be considered as one element of the mammography facility's Quality Assurance Plan.

The tests in this manual generally focus on device constancy, that is, the ability of the hardcopy device to maintain its expected imaging performance over time. They do not address system quality control, for example, the ability of the various system components (image receptor, acquisition workstation, diagnostic workstations, hardcopy output device) to communicate properly with each other, and to use the communicated information correctly. Such system QC activities are assumed to have occurred during initial system installation, initial system acceptance, or as part of a Mammography Equipment Evaluation (see 4.11).

1.2 Regulatory Considerations

Facilities subject to the provisions of the Mammography Quality Standards Act (MQSA) that use image receptors other than screen/film must follow a quality assurance program "...substantially the same as the one recommended by the image receptor manufacturer..." (21 CFR 900.12(e)(6)). It remains the responsibility of the facility to determine whether or not this QC plan is substantially the same as the one recommended by the image receptor manufacturer for the FFDM system(s) in use at that facility.

1.3 Structure of the Document

This QC manual is categorized according to whether the test must be performed by a:

- a. Radiologic technologist (**Section 2**), or a
- b. Medical physicist (**Section 3**).

Within each category, QC tests are arranged according to test frequency, starting with daily/weekly tests, and moving on to quarterly, semi-annual, and annual tests. Each QC test is further split into two parts:

- a. Establishing operating levels
The operating levels are the values against which subsequent, periodic QC test results for the device must be compared. While they change relatively infrequently, certain events can trigger the (re-)establishment of operating levels. Examples of such events are significant changes to hardware or software, changes in output media (e.g., film) type, or media batch/lot number, and anything that would require the performance of a Mammography Equipment Evaluation. Media type is defined on the labeling provided by its manufacturer, and relates to properties such as base, tint, tone, density range, or other differentiating characteristics. *[Manufacturer to add other triggering events, if applicable.]*
- b. Performing the QC/Constancy test
This test determines if, and by how much, the hardcopy output device is deviating from the currently valid operating levels.

Finally, a Guidance section (**Section 4**) is included that summarizes *[Manufacturer to enter manufacturer name]* current thinking on performing the various QC tests.