

NEMA NU 4-2008

Performance Measurements of Small Animal Positron Emission Tomographs



NEMA Standards Publication NU 4-2008
Performance Measurements of Small Animal Positron Emission Tomography

Published by:

National Electrical Manufacturers Association

1300 N. 17th Street, Suite 1152
Rosslyn, VA 22209

www.nema.org

Contains December 22, 2011 Errata

Copyright © 2008 by the National Electrical Manufacturers Association. All rights including translation into other languages, reserved under the Universal Copyright Convention, the Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works, and the International and Pan American Copyright Conventions.

NOTICE AND DISCLAIMER

The information in this publication was considered technically sound by the consensus of persons engaged in the development and approval of the document at the time it was developed. Consensus does not necessarily mean that there is unanimous agreement among every person participating in the development of this document.

NEMA standards and guideline publications, of which the document contained herein is one, are developed through a voluntary consensus standards development process. This process brings together volunteers and/or seeks out the views of persons who have an interest in the topic covered by the publication. While NEMA administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the development of consensus, it does not write the document and it does not independently test, evaluate, or verify the accuracy or completeness of any information or the soundness of any judgments contained in its standards and guideline publications.

NEMA disclaims liability for any personal injury, property, or other damages of any nature whatsoever, whether special, indirect, consequential, or compensatory, directly or indirectly resulting from the publication, use of, application, or reliance on this document. NEMA disclaims and makes no guaranty or warranty, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy or completeness of any information published herein, and disclaims and makes no warranty that the information in this document will fulfill any of your particular purposes or needs. NEMA does not undertake to guarantee the performance of any individual manufacturer or seller's products or services by virtue of this standard or guide.

In publishing and making this document available, NEMA is not undertaking to render professional or other services for or on behalf of any person or entity, nor is NEMA undertaking to perform any duty owed by any person or entity to someone else. Anyone using this document should rely on his or her own independent judgment or, as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances. Information and other standards on the topic covered by this publication may be available from other sources, which the user may wish to consult for additional views or information not covered by this publication.

NEMA has no power, nor does it undertake to police or enforce compliance with the contents of this document. NEMA does not certify, test, or inspect products, designs, or installations for safety or health purposes. Any certification or other statement of compliance with any health or safety-related information in this document shall not be attributable to NEMA and is solely the responsibility of the certifier or maker of the statement.

CONTENTS

		Page
	Foreword.....	iii
	Scope.....	iii
Section 1	DEFINITIONS	1
1.1	Definitions.....	1
1.2	Standard Symbols.....	1
Section 2	GENERAL	4
2.1	Purpose.....	4
2.2	Purview.....	4
2.3	Units of Measure.....	4
2.4	Consistency.....	4
2.5	Equivalency.....	5
Section 3	SPATIAL RESOLUTION	6
3.1	General.....	6
3.2	Purpose.....	6
3.3	Method.....	6
3.3.1	Symbols.....	6
3.3.2	Radionuclide.....	6
3.3.3	Source Distribution.....	6
3.3.4	Data Collection.....	7
3.3.5	Data Processing.....	7
3.4	Analysis.....	7
3.5	Report.....	8
Section 4	SCATTER FRACTION, COUNT LOSSES, AND RANDOM COINCIDENCE MEASUREMENTS	9
4.1	General.....	9
4.2	Purpose.....	9
4.3	Method.....	10
4.3.1	Symbols.....	10
4.3.2	Radionuclide.....	10
4.3.3	Source Distribution.....	11
4.3.4	Data Collection.....	11
4.3.5	Data Processing.....	12
4.4	Analysis.....	12
4.4.1	Scatter Fraction.....	13
4.4.2	Total Event Rate Measurement.....	13
4.4.3	True Event Rate Measurement.....	14
4.4.4	Random Event Rate Measurement.....	14
4.4.5	Scattered Event Rate Measurement.....	14
4.4.6	Noise Equivalent Count Rate Measurement.....	14
4.5	Report.....	15
4.5.1	Count Rate Plot.....	15
4.5.2	Peak Count Rate Values.....	15
4.5.3	System Scatter Fraction.....	15
Section 5	SENSITIVITY	16
5.1	General.....	16
5.2	Purpose.....	16
5.3	Method.....	16
5.3.1	Symbols.....	16
5.3.2	Radionuclide.....	17

5.3.3	Source Distribution	17
5.3.4	Data Collection	17
5.4	Calculations and Analysis	17
5.4.1	System Sensitivity	17
5.5	Report	18
Section 6	IMAGE QUALITY, ACCURACY OF ATTENUATION, AND SCATTER CORRECTIONS.....	19
6.1	General	19
6.2	Purpose	19
6.3	Method.....	19
6.3.1	Symbols.....	19
6.3.2	Radionuclide.....	19
6.3.3	Source Distribution	20
6.3.4	Data Collection	21
6.3.5	Data Processing	21
6.4	Analysis	22
6.4.1	Uniformity	22
6.4.2	Recovery Coefficients	22
6.4.3	Accuracy of Corrections	22
6.5	Report	22
Tables		
3-1	Resolution Values Reported	8
6-1	Report for Uniformity Test	23
6-2	Report for Recovery Coefficient Test	23
6-3	Report for Accuracy of Corrections	23
Figures		
3-1	Positions of Source for Resolution Measurement	7
3-2	A Typical Response Function With FWHM and FWTM Determined by Interpolation.....	8
4-1	Positioning of Phantom.....	11
4-2	Integration of Background Counts Inside and Outside 14 mm Strip	13
6-1	Image Quality Phantom. Coronal and Transverse Sections through the Main Phantom Body, Top Cover, and Bottom Cover	21

FOREWORD

SCOPE

The scope of this document is to propose a standardized methodology for evaluating the performance of positron emission tomographs (PET) designed for animal imaging. The objective is to establish a baseline of system performance in typical imaging conditions, and a concerted effort has been made to develop a procedure that is independent of camera design and applicable to a wide range of camera models and geometries. Camera designs such as circular ring geometry of discrete crystal or continuous block detectors, planar detector (rotating or stationary), continuous crystals, gas avalanche detectors, time-of-flight or non time-of-flight, single slice or multi-slice dedicated PET tomographs and other coincidence-capable imaging systems are covered by this procedure. It is understood that every system to be tested under this standard is able to create transverse sinograms and transverse slice images with a standard, filtered backprojection, image reconstruction algorithm. The software provided or recommended by the manufacturer should be able to accomplish basic functions such as defining and manipulating two-dimensional regions-of-interest (with circular and rectangular profiles), the ability to define linear profiles, and permit extraction of data such as coincidence event counts detected within specified intervals of acquisition time. Tomographs must have a transverse field of view of at least 33.3 cm in diameter to be tested against all standards. It is assumed that the isotope ^{18}F (and/or ^{11}C) is available in sufficient quantity and concentration to perform the tests as described in the standard, and the site performing the test has access to a dose-calibrator, or similar device, calibrated against a standard reference radioactive source. These specifications represent a subset of measurements that characterize the performance of positron emission tomographs for specific imaging tasks typically encountered in small laboratory animal imaging facilities. This subset is deemed to be common across all tomographs existing at the time of writing.

This standards publication was developed by the Animal PET Standard Task Force chartered by the Nuclear Section. Committee approval of the standard does not necessarily imply that all committee members voted for its approval or participated in its development. At the time it was approved, the Task Force was composed of the following members:

Nicola Belcari – Universita di Pisa, Pisa, Italy
Michael Britton – GE Healthcare, Waukesha, Wisconsin
Peter Bruyndonckx – Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium
Arion Chatziioannou – UCLA, Los Angeles, California
John Clark – University of Cambridge, Cambridge, U.K.
Andrea Cremoncini – I.S.E. srl, Pisa, Italy
Margaret Daube-Witerspoon – Research Consultant, Fairfax Station, Virginia
Alberto Del Guerra – University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy
Mary Anne Dell’Aquila – Capintec, Inc., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
Gunter Dietzel – Raytest, Straubenhardt, Germany
Tim Fryc – Cambridge University, Cambridge, U.K.
Alan Jewells – Oxford Positron Systems Ltd., Oxfordshire, U.K.
Joel Karp – University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
Rafi Kayayan – Philips Medical Systems, Cleveland, Ohio
David Keating – Varian Medical Systems, South Elgin, Illinois
Jeffrey Kolthammer – Philips Medical Systems, Cleveland, Ohio
Richard Laforest – Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri
Marco Lazzarotti – I.S.E. srl, Pisa, Italy
Jose Maria Ortega Jimenez – SUINSA SLL, Madrid, Spain
Robert Miyaoka – University of Washington, Seattle, Washington
Bradley Patt – Gamma Medica-Ideas, Inc., Northridge, California
Bernd Pichler – Klinikum rechts der isar TechniUniversiteit, Munich, Germany

Paul Picot – GE Healthcare, Waukesha, Wisconsin
Gilberto Prudencio – GE Healthcare, Waukesha, Wisconsin
Juergen Seidel – Johns Hopkins University, Bethesda, Maryland
Vitali Selivanov – Gamma Medica-Ideas, Inc., Northridge, California
Yiping Shao – M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas
Stefan Siegel – Siemens Molecular Imaging – Knoxville, Tennessee
Vilim Simcic – Hologic, Inc., Santa Clara, California
Terry Spinks – Imaging Research Solutions Limited, London, U.K.
Suleman Surti – University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
Yuan-Chuan Tai – Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri
Jorge Uribe – GE Global Research, Niskayuna, New York
Juan Jose Vaquero – Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Maranon, Madrid, Spain
Phil Vernon – GE Healthcare, Aurora, Ohio
Stefan Vollmar – Max Planck Institute for Neurological Research, Cologne, Germany
Douglas Wagenaar – Gamma Medica-Ideas, Inc., Northridge, California
Simone Weber – Central Electronics Laboratory, Juelich, Germany
Gary Wong – University of Texas, M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas
Shuping Xie – Philips Medical Systems, Cleveland, Ohio