

**NEMA MW 750-2022**

*Coefficient of Friction Testing of Film-Insulated Magnet Wire*

*Published by*

**National Electrical Manufacturers Association**

1300 North 17<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 900

Rosemead, Virginia 22209

[www.nema.org](http://www.nema.org)

© 2022 National Electrical Manufacturers Association. All rights including translation into other languages, reserved under the Universal Copyright Convention, the Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works, and the International and Pan American Copyright Conventions.

## NOTICE AND DISCLAIMER

The information in this publication was considered technically sound by the consensus of persons engaged in the development and approval of the document at the time it was developed. Consensus does not necessarily mean that there is unanimous agreement among every person participating in the development of this document.

NEMA standards and guideline publications, of which the document contained herein is one, are developed through a voluntary consensus standards development process. This process brings together volunteers and/or seeks out the views of persons who have an interest in the topic covered by this publication. While NEMA administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the development of consensus, it does not write the document and it does not independently test, evaluate, or verify the accuracy or completeness of any information or the soundness of any judgments contained in its standards and guideline publications. NEMA disclaims liability for any personal injury, property, or other damages of any nature whatsoever, whether special, indirect, consequential, or compensatory, directly or indirectly resulting from the publication, use of, application, or reliance on this document.

NEMA disclaims and makes no guaranty or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy or completeness of any information published herein, and disclaims and makes no warranty that the information in this document will fulfill any of your particular purposes or needs. NEMA does not undertake to guarantee the performance of any individual manufacturer or seller's products or services by virtue of this standard or guide.

In publishing and making this document available, NEMA is not undertaking to render professional or other services for or on behalf of any person or entity, nor is NEMA undertaking to perform any duty owed by any person or entity to someone else. Anyone using this document should rely on his or her own independent judgment or, as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances. Information and other standards on the topic covered by this publication may be available from other sources, which the user may wish to consult for additional views or information not covered by this publication.

NEMA has no power, nor does it undertake to police or enforce compliance with the contents of this document. NEMA does not certify, test, or inspect products, designs, or installations for safety or health purposes. Any certification or other statement of compliance with any health or safety-related information in this document shall not be attributable to NEMA and is solely the responsibility of the certifier or maker of the statement.

**Contents**

Foreword .....	iii	
Introduction .....	iii	
History .....	iv	
<b>1</b>	<b>General .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Scope .....	1
1.2	Definitions .....	1
1.3	Explanation of Friction .....	1
1.4	Equipment Description .....	2
1.5	Discussion .....	2
1.6	Important Considerations .....	3
1.7	References .....	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Static Coefficient of Friction Test Methods .....</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Horizontal Pull Test Method .....	4
2.2	Inclined Plane Method .....	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Second Dynamic Coefficient of Friction Test Method .....</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1	Definitions of Terms .....	9
3.2	Summary of Method .....	9
3.3	Significance .....	9
3.4	Safety Statements .....	9
3.5	Apparatus .....	9
3.6	Test Specimen .....	12
3.7	Procedure .....	12
3.8	Calculation .....	13
3.9	Report .....	14
<b>4</b>	<b>First Dynamic Coefficient of Friction Test Method .....</b>	<b>14</b>
4.1	Scope .....	14
4.2	Definition .....	14
4.3	Purpose .....	14
4.4	Reference Methods .....	14
4.5	Principle .....	14
4.6	Apparatus .....	14
4.7	Safety Statement .....	16
4.8	Preparation of Specimen .....	17
4.9	Procedure .....	17
4.10	Test Report .....	17

**Figures and Photos**

Photo 2-1 Wire Configuration on the Sliding Block .....	5
Photo 2-2 Overall View of Static C of F, Horizontal Plane Method .....	5
Figure 2-1 Principle of Static Coefficient of Friction .....	6
Photo 2-3 Wire Path and Sliding Block for Wire Sizes 0.05 mm to 0.15 mm .....	7
Photo 2-4 Wire Path and Sliding Block for Wire Size > 0.15 mm .....	7

Photo 2-5 Test Bed in Starting Horizontal Position ..... 7

Photo 2-6 Test Bed in Final Raised Position..... 7

Photo 2-7 Sliding Block Not Blocking Optical Sensor Light Beam..... 8

Photo 2-8 Inclined Plane Static C of F Test Configuration for..... 8

Rectangular Film Insulated Wire ..... 8

Figure 3-1 Diagram of Typical Dynamic Coefficient of Friction Test Equipment..... 10

Figure 3-2 Material, Sapphire (Synthetic)..... 11

Photo 3-1 Synthetic Sapphires Mounted on Load Block..... 12

Photo 3-2 Load Applied Perpendicular to Wire Path..... 13

Figure 4-1 Specifications for Load and Wire Support Plates ..... 15

Photo 4-1 Typical Dynamic Coefficient of Friction Test Equipment ..... 16

Photo 4-2 Dynamic Coefficient of Friction Test Fixture with Load Cell in Place ..... 16

**Tables**

Table 1 Test Loads, Horizontal Pull Test Method ..... 4

Table 2 Load Block Weights for Dynamic Coefficient of Friction Testing ..... 13

Table 3 Applied Weight During Dynamic C of F Procedure ..... 17

## Foreword

NEMA MW 750-2022 was prepared by the NEMA Magnet Wire Technical Committee.

NEMA Magnet Wire publications are periodically reviewed by the NEMA Magnet Wire Section for revisions considered necessary to keep them current with technology changes. Proposed revisions should be submitted to:

NEMA Technical Operations Department  
National Electrical Manufacturers Association  
1300 North 17<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 900  
Rosslyn, VA 22209

Note: The user's attention is called to the possibility that compliance with this standard could require use of an invention covered by patent rights.

By publication of this standard, no position is taken with respect to the validity of any patent claim(s) or of any patent rights in connection therewith. If a patent holder has filed a statement of willingness to grant a license under these rights on reasonable and non-discriminatory terms and conditions to applicants desiring to obtain such a license, then details may be obtained from the Secretary.

The NEMA Magnet Wire Section approved this technical publication. Approval of a standard does not necessarily imply that all section members voted affirmatively or participated in its development. At the time of approval, the Magnet Wire Section was composed of the following members:

CONDUMEX—Mexico City, Mexico  
Elektrisola, Inc.—Boscawen, NH  
Essex Group, Inc.—Fort Wayne, IN  
Magnekón—San Nicolas de los Garza, NL, Mexico  
MWS Wire Industries—Westlake Village, CA  
New England Wire Technologies Corporation—Lisbon, NH  
Rea Magnet Wire Company, Inc.—Fort Wayne, IN  
Rubadue Wire Company, Inc.—Loveland, CO  
Virginia Insulated Products—Saltville, VA  
Zeus Wire—Orangeburg, SC

## Introduction

As magnet wire is transferred from its packaging to coils, the designed path takes the wire over, around, and through guides, blocks, corners, and so forth, all of which are intended to help place the wire at the designed location in the coil.

The force required to pull the wire through the designed winding path, exclusive of the bending force and between adjacent wires, is that which is necessary to overcome the friction developed between the magnet wire and the various surfaces that come in contact with the wire. This force is directly related to the surface characteristics of the film insulation, the contact surfaces, and the applied lubrication.

## History

Various devices have been used to measure the coefficient of friction (C of F) of magnet wire. Several were discussed in Paper #4 at the NEMA session of the 1971 Electrical/Electronic Insulation Conference.

In 1961, Parussel described a tester to measure the dynamic coefficient of friction of film-insulated magnet wire. The design was subsequently standardized and incorporated in German Standards Institute (DIN) specifications. A tester similar to the Parussel design made by Excel Industries was later demonstrated to the NEMA Magnet Wire Technical Committee. At that time, interest was expressed in redesigning the tester to simplify the operation.

In 1973, NEMA Engineering Bulletin No. 4 was developed by the NEMA Magnet Wire Section to describe the subject of dynamic coefficient of friction testing and provide a test method, including the final equipment description. Eventually, the original supplier of this equipment discontinued its manufacturing and supplying equipment, and the Research Department at the Indiana Institute of Technology supplied a new design. In 1978, this test method was introduced for discussion at a meeting of the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) Technical Committee 55, *Winding Wires*.

One of the critical elements of the dynamic coefficient of friction test procedure was the selection of the surface that would be in contact with the wire specimen. Supplying and maintaining a prescribed steel surface ground to the applicable specifications was found to be cost-prohibitive. As a proposed solution, representatives from The Netherlands recommended the use of synthetic sapphire elements for the load block surface. Their experience indicated that these synthetic sapphire elements could provide excellent life at a low cost. The elements were subsequently adopted for the test surface.

Earlier versions of this dynamic coefficient of friction equipment used a dynamometer to measure the tangential force ( $F_d$ ). Since the value on the dynamometer continually varies, it was necessary for the operator to estimate the average force that occurs during the test. More precision and accuracy are required so that the gage repeatability and reproducibility can be improved for this test. The dynamometer has been replaced with a force transducer selected for this work, which is a linear variable differential transformer (LVDT). The data is collected from the pressure transducer readout by a computer or microprocessor. Statistical analysis can be performed on this data set, resulting in mean, min, max, and standard deviation.

Throughout this historical timeframe, there have been other methods used to characterize the coefficient of friction of magnet wire. In particular, static coefficient of friction testing methods have been used as they are more fundamental in terms of meeting the physical definition of the coefficient of friction between objects. IEC 60851 Annex B describes a static coefficient of friction test method using the inclined plane method. Static coefficient of friction can also be measured using a horizontal pull strip meter to obtain results. These alternative static C of F methods evaluate wire to wire contact and can also be used to measure the Static C of F of rectangular film-insulated as well as round film-insulated round magnet wire. Since Static C of F is still being used in the industry, the industry considered it appropriate that this Authorized Engineering Information document should also describe and discuss these alternative methods for obtaining the coefficient of friction of magnet wires.

## 1 General

### 1.1 Scope

This document describes different methods and the equipment used for determining the coefficient of friction of film-insulated magnet wire.

### 1.2 Definitions

**friction n:** the resistance to relative motion between two bodies in contact.

**static friction ( $F_s$ ):** also known as limiting friction, the frictional force that is present and that must be overcome for a body to initiate movement against the surface of another body.

**dynamic friction ( $F_d$ ):** the frictional force that is present and that must be overcome for a body to continue to be in motion against the surface of another body.

**coefficient of friction ( $\mu$ ):** the ratio of the force required to move one surface over another to the total force applied normal to those surfaces.


**static coefficient of friction ( $\mu_s$ ):** the ratio of force required to move one surface over another to the force applied normal to those surfaces at the instant motion starts.

**kinetic or dynamic coefficient of friction ( $\mu_d$ ):** the ratio of force required to move one surface over another to the force applied normal to those surfaces once the motion is in progress.

### 1.3 Explanation of Friction

Friction is the resistance to motion existing when a solid object is moved tangentially over the surface of another solid object that it touches or when an effort is made to produce such a motion.

If a normal load ( $L$ ) presses two surfaces together, a tangential force may be applied up to some limiting value  $X$ , and the surfaces will continue to remain at rest. Sliding only starts when the tangential force ( $X$ ) exceeds the static force  $F_s$ , illustrated as follows.



Almost as soon as the motion starts, the tangential force takes on a characteristic value  $F_d$ , which always acts in the direction opposite to the relative velocity of the surfaces. The value of  $F_s$  is often greater than  $F_d$ , but sometimes  $F_s$  equals  $F_d$ .

The ratio  $F_s/L$  is the static coefficient of friction  $\mu_s$ , while the ratio  $F_d/L$  is the dynamic coefficient of friction  $\mu_d$ . The coefficients of friction are essentially a material property of the contacting surfaces and the contaminants and other films (such as lubricants) at their interface. The coefficients of friction are independent of the load and the contact area; the dynamic coefficient of friction is also independent of the sliding velocity. This last law does not apply when the presence of a lubricant creates viscous flow in the