

## Standard Practice

# Stress Corrosion Cracking (SCC) Direct Assessment Methodology

This NACE International standard represents a consensus of those individual members who have reviewed this document, its scope, and provisions. Its acceptance does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether he or she has adopted the standard or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not in conformance with this standard. Nothing contained in this NACE standard is to be construed as granting any right, by implication or otherwise, to manufacture, sell, or use in connection with any method, apparatus, or product covered by letters patent, or as indemnifying or protecting anyone against liability for infringement of letters patent. This standard represents minimum requirements and should in no way be interpreted as a restriction on the use of better procedures or materials. Neither is this standard intended to apply in all cases relating to the subject. Unpredictable circumstances may negate the usefulness of this standard in specific instances. NACE assumes no responsibility for the interpretation or use of this standard by other parties and accepts responsibility for only those official NACE interpretations issued by NACE in accordance with its governing procedures and policies which preclude the issuance of interpretations by individual volunteers.

Users of this NACE standard are responsible for reviewing appropriate health, safety, environmental, and regulatory documents and for determining their applicability in relation to this standard prior to its use. This NACE standard may not necessarily address all potential health and safety problems or environmental hazards associated with the use of materials, equipment, and/or operations detailed or referred to within this standard. Users of this NACE standard are also responsible for establishing appropriate health, safety, and environmental protection practices, in consultation with appropriate regulatory authorities if necessary, to achieve compliance with any existing applicable regulatory requirements prior to the use of this standard.

**CAUTIONARY NOTICE:** NACE standards are subject to periodic review, and may be revised or withdrawn at any time in accordance with NACE technical committee procedures. NACE requires that action be taken to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this standard no later than five years from the date of initial publication and subsequently from the date of each reaffirmation or revision. The user is cautioned to obtain the latest edition. Purchasers of NACE standards may receive current information on all standards and other NACE publications by contacting the NACE FirstService Department, 15835 Park Ten Place, Houston, TX 77084-5145 (telephone +1 281-228-6200).

Revised 2015-03-14  
Reaffirmed 2008-09-18  
Approved 2004-11-15  
NACE International  
15835 Park Ten Place  
Houston, Texas 77084-5145  
+1 281-228-6200

ISBN: 1-57590-191-9  
© 2015 NACE International

---

## Foreword

Stress corrosion cracking direct assessment (SCCDA) is a structured process that is intended to assist pipeline companies in assessing the extent of stress corrosion cracking (SCC) on a section of buried pipeline and thus contribute to their efforts to improve safety by reducing the impact of external SCC on pipeline integrity.

Primary guidance for managing the integrity of a natural gas pipeline that has a risk of containing stress corrosion cracks is provided in Part A3 of ASME<sup>(1)</sup> B31.8S.1<sup>1</sup> which identifies several options for assessment and mitigation. Additional guidance for management of the integrity of natural gas and liquid petroleum pipelines subject to near-neutral-pH SCC is provided in the CEPA<sup>(2)</sup> Stress Corrosion Cracking Recommended Practices.<sup>2</sup> When applying guidance found in these documents to liquids pipelines, the potential for fatigue and/or corrosion fatigue must be considered in order to establish appropriate assessment intervals and mitigation activities.

The standard practice for SCCDA presented in this standard addresses the situation in which a pipeline company has identified a portion of its pipeline as an area of interest with respect to SCC based on its history, operations, and risk assessment process and has decided that direct assessment is an appropriate approach for integrity assessment. This standard provides guidance for managing SCC by selecting potential pipeline segments, selecting dig sites within those segments, inspecting the pipe, collecting and analyzing data during the dig, establishing a mitigation program, defining the reevaluation interval, and evaluating the effectiveness of the SCCDA process.

This standard practice is intended for use by pipeline operators and others who must manage pipeline integrity for the threat of SCC. SCCDA as described in this standard is specifically intended to address buried onshore petroleum (natural gas, crude oil, and refined products) production, transmission, and distribution pipelines constructed from line pipe steels. Users of this standard must be familiar with all applicable pipeline safety regulations for the jurisdiction in which the pipeline operates. This includes all regulations requiring specific pipeline integrity assessment practices and programs.

This standard was originally prepared in 2004 by NACE Task Group (TG) 273, "Stress Corrosion Cracking Direct Assessment, External," which is administered by Specific Technology Group (STG) 35, "Pipelines, Tanks, and Well Completions." It was reaffirmed in 2008 by STG 35 and revised in 2015 by TG 273. This standard is issued by NACE under the auspices of STG 35.

In NACE standards, the terms *shall*, *must*, *should*, and *may* are used in accordance with the definitions of these terms in the *NACE Publications Style Manual*. The terms *shall* and *must* are used to state a requirement, and are considered mandatory. The term *should* is used to state something good and recommended, but is not considered mandatory. The term *may* is used to state something considered optional.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> ASME International (ASME), Three Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5990.

<sup>(2)</sup> Canadian Energy Pipeline Association (CEPA), Suite 200, 505-3rd St. SW Calgary, Alberta T2P 3E6 Canada.

---

# NACE International Standard Practice

## Stress Corrosion Cracking (SCC) Direct Assessment Methodology

### Contents

1. General .....	1
2. Definitions .....	4
3. Pre-Assessment .....	8
4. Indirect Inspection.....	14
5. Direct Examinations.....	15
6. Post Assessment.....	22
7. SCCDA Records.....	23
8. References .....	24
Appendix A: Relationship Between Soils and SCC (Nonmandatory) .....	25
Appendix B: Surface Preparation Techniques (Nonmandatory).....	26
Appendix C: Manual Inspection for SCC (Nonmandatory) .....	27
Figures	
Figure 1: Flow Chart for SCCDA Process (Numbers refer to paragraph numbers in this standard.) .....	3
Figure 2: Neighboring Cracks .....	20
Tables	
Table 1: Factors to Consider in Prioritization of Susceptible Segments and in Site Selection for SCCDA .....	9
Table 2: Data Collected at a Dig Site in an SCCDA Program and Relative Importance..	15
Table A1: Description of “Stress Corrosion Cracking-Susceptible” Terrain Conditions for Polyethylene-Tape-Coated Pipelines (Based on Findings of CEPA Member Companies) .....	25
Table A2: Description of “Stress Corrosion Cracking-Susceptible” Terrain Conditions for Some Asphalt/Coal-Tar-Enamel-Coated Pipelines (Based on Findings of CEPA Member Companies) .....	26
Table B1: Summary of Surface Preparation Techniques Prior to MPI .....	26
Table B2: Comparison of Surface Preparation Techniques vs. Detection Limits and Cost.....	27
Table C1: Advantages and Disadvantages of Various MPI Methods.....	27

---