

Multiphase Flow Internal Corrosion Direct Assessment (MP-ICDA) Methodology for Pipelines

©2022 Association for Materials Protection and Performance (AMPP). All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise) without the prior written permission of AMPP.

Multiphase Flow Internal Corrosion Direct Assessment (MP-ICDA) Methodology for Pipelines

This AMPP standard represents a consensus of those individual members who have reviewed this document, its scope, and provisions. Its acceptance does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether he or she has adopted the standard or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not in conformance with this standard. Nothing contained in this AMPP standard is to be construed as granting any right, by implication or otherwise, to manufacture, sell, or use in connection with any method, apparatus, or product covered by Letters Patent, or as indemnifying or protecting anyone against liability for infringement of Letters Patent. This standard represents minimum requirements and should in no way be interpreted as a restriction on the use of better procedures or materials. Neither is this standard intended to apply in all cases relating to the subject. Unpredictable circumstances may negate the usefulness of this standard in specific instances. AMPP assumes no responsibility for the interpretation or use of this standard by other parties and accepts responsibility for only those official AMPP interpretations issued by AMPP in accordance with its governing procedures and policies which preclude the issuance of interpretations by individual volunteers.

Users of this AMPP standard are responsible for reviewing appropriate health, safety, environmental, and regulatory documents and for determining their applicability in relation to this standard prior to its use. This AMPP standard may not necessarily address all potential health and safety problems or environmental hazards associated with the use of materials, equipment, and/or operations detailed or referred to within this standard. Users of this AMPP standard are also responsible for establishing appropriate health, safety, and environmental protection practices, in consultation with appropriate regulatory authorities, if necessary, to achieve compliance with any existing applicable regulatory requirements prior to the use of this standard.

CAUTIONARY NOTICE: AMPP standards are subject to periodic review and may be revised or withdrawn at any time in accordance with AMPP technical committee procedures. AMPP requires that action be taken to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this standard no later than five years from the date of initial publication and subsequently from the date of each reaffirmation or revision. The user is cautioned to obtain the latest edition. Purchasers of AMPP standards may receive current information on all standards and other AMPP/NACE/SSPC publications by contacting AMPP Customer Support, 15835 Park Ten Place, Houston, Texas 77084-5145 (Tel: +1 281-228-6200, email: customersupport@ampp.org).

Document History

2022-05-06: Revised by AMPP Standards Committee (SC) 10, "Asset Integrity Management"

2016-02-12: Developed by NACE Task Group (TG) 426,
"Internal Corrosion Direct Assessment for Multiphase Flow Pipelines"

AMPP values your input. To provide feedback on this standard, please contact: standards@ampp.org

NACE SP0116-2022

©2022 Association for Materials Protection and Performance (AMPP). All rights reserved.

Multiphase Flow Internal Corrosion Direct Assessment (MP-ICDA) Methodology for Pipelines

Foreword, Scope, Rationale	5
Section 1 General	6
1.1 Introduction.....	6
1.2 Four-Step Process.....	7
Section 2 Definitions.....	13
Section 3 MP-ICDA Step 1—Pre-Assessment	16
3.1 Introduction.....	16
3.2 Data Collection	16
3.3 MP-ICDA Feasibility Assessment	20
3.4 Identification of MP-ICDA Segments.....	21
3.5 Identification of MP-ICDA Regions.....	21
Section 4 MP-ICDA Step 2—Indirect Inspection.....	21
4.1 Introduction.....	21
4.2 Flow and Corrosion Rate Modeling.....	23
4.3 Internal Corrosion Predictive Models – Integrated Flow and Corrosion Models.....	24
4.4 Identification of MP-ICDA Subregions.....	25
4.5 Assessment Site Selection.....	25
Section 5 MP-ICDA Step 3—Detailed Examination.....	30
5.1 Introduction.....	30
5.2 Performing the Detailed Examination Process	32
5.3 Assessment Site Exposure.....	33
Section 6 MP-ICDA Step 4—Post-Assessment	34
6.1 Introduction.....	34
6.2 Validation of the Process	34
6.3 Assessment of MP-ICDA Long-Term Effectiveness	34
6.4 Determination of Re-assessment Intervals	35
Section 7 MP-ICDA Records.....	35
7.1 Introduction.....	35
7.2 Pre-assessment.....	35
7.3 Indirect Inspection	35
7.4 Detailed Examinations	35
7.5 Post-assessment.....	36
References.....	36

Appendix A	Influencing Factors on Corrosion Severity (Nonmandatory)	43
A1	Corrosion Mechanisms Resulting from Multiphase Fluid Flow	43
A2	Effect of Operations Upsets	44
A3	Other Factors Contributing to Internal Pipeline Corrosion	45
A4	Effect of Liquid Hydrocarbons	46
A5	Effect of Fluid Hydrodynamics and Flow Behavior	46
A6	Effect of Corrosion Inhibitors	46
A7	Effect of Solids – UDC	47
A8	Effect of Water Accumulation and Water Drop Out	47
A9	Other Influencing Factors	49
Appendix B	Corrosion Rate Models (Nonmandatory)	52
Appendix C	Examples of Region, Subregion, and Assessment Site Selection (Mandatory)	
C1	Example 1: Region and Subregion Identification	55
C2	Example 2: Region, Subregion, and Assessment Site Identification	60
C3	Assessment Site Selection and Exposure Criteria	61
Appendix D	Reporting Direct Examination Results (Nonmandatory)	65
Figures		
Figure 1	Pre-Assessment Step	9
Figure 2	Indirect Inspection Step	10
Figure 3	Detailed Examination Step	11
Figure 4	Post-Assessment Step	12
Figure 5	Indirect Inspection Approaches for Predicting Corrosion Types and Rates	23
Figure 6	Unity Plot	32
Figure C1	Region Identification for an Idealized Example	56
Figure C2	Region Identification per Paragraph 3.5.1	57
Figure C3	Region Identification per Paragraph 3.5.3	58
Figure C4	Example of a Flow Pattern Map	59
Figure C5	Subregion Identification Example	60
Tables		
Table 1	Minimum Data for Use of MP-ICDA Methodology	18
Table 2	Minimum Number of Pipeline MP-ICDA Assessments (by Onshore Excavations or Offshore ROV) Based on Continuous Pipeline Length and Predicted/Calculated Internal Corrosion Metal Wall Loss (Corrected for Pipe Wall Mill Tolerance and Corrosion Inhibitor Effectiveness)	31
Table 3	Final Selection Criteria	31
Table C1	Hypothetical Case to Show Assessment Site Candidate Selection	62
Table C2	Final Assessment Site Selection for Hypothetical Example	64
Table D1	Reporting of Detailed Examination Results	66

Foreword

Scope

This standard practice outlines a methodology to assess pipeline integrity because of the threat internal corrosion in onshore and offshore pipelines and other piping systems that normally carry multiphase fluids (gas, water, and oil) termed multiphase flow internal corrosion direct assessment (MP-ICDA). Liquid separators (drips), compressing stations, vessels, and other equipment not related to pipelines are not included in this standard. This standard applies to pipelines, and piping systems both onshore and offshore, containing carbon dioxide (CO₂), hydrogen sulfide (H₂S), oxygen (O₂) and other corrosive species. Additionally, this standard applies to pipelines that continuously contain a liquid phase (water and condensate and/or oil and/or petroleum compounds), a possible solids content of various mineral scales, biofilms, or corrosion product compounds, and a continuous gas phase with fluid conditions that are not specifically covered by NACE SP0110¹ for wet gas internal corrosion direct assessment (WG-ICDA). Additionally, solids may be included as a phase by itself and may also be included in multiphase flow analysis of fluid streams.

This standard is intended for use by pipeline operators, oil/gas producers (upstream), and other pipeline industry individuals who manage pipeline integrity (both onshore and offshore) for pipelines that are normally under multiphase loading conditions and are outside the scope of NACE SP0110,¹ NACE SP0206,² and NACE SP0208.³

Rationale

The standard is being revised in accordance with AMPP's five-year review requirements. Changes in this revision include improvements on the method of evaluating the accuracy corrosion rate modeling results that are converted to wall losses with respect to the wall losses measured in the field contained in the Detailed Examination Step. It also includes guidelines that will help unify and more clearly understand the way the Detailed Examinations are reported.

The MP-ICDA methodology has been developed to meet the need of pipeline operators and producers to assess the integrity of pipelines with respect to the internal corrosion threats posed by the fluids. MP-ICDA is a structured process that combines pre-assessment, indirect inspections, detailed examination, and post-assessment to evaluate the impact of predictable pipeline integrity threats such as internal corrosion. Specifically, the goal of MP-ICDA is to identify locations with the greatest likelihood of internal corrosion and its influencing factors, such as water content, flow regime, liquid hold-up, flow velocities, temperature and pressure changes. These locations are exposed and examined in accordance with criteria established in [Section 4](#). The results of these examinations are used as a basis for assessing the condition and integrity of the remaining pipeline segments (those with less likelihood of corrosion). Direct assessment (DA) does not depend on the ability of a pipeline to undergo in-line inspection (ILI) by smart-pigging or pressure testing, making it most valuable to those pipelines unable to accept pigs or those that cannot be hydrostatically pressure tested. This standard is intended to provide an integrity assessment methodology for internal corrosion for pipelines where ILI cannot be performed; however, the MP-ICDA methodology may also serve, complement, or assist in those cases in which ILI was conducted or is contemplated to demonstrate the reliability of the ICDA process. It can also be used for optimizing the selection/justification of inspection frequency, or prioritization of pipelines that are subjected to ILI.

In multiphase flow systems, subregions of a pipeline that is identified within a region that are more susceptible to internal corrosion depend on the flow pattern that are defined by flow velocities, sudden changes of geometries, changes in elevation caused by the topography of the terrain, sharp elbows, expansions, changes in internal diameter and other changes that may influence the hydrodynamics of the flow. Multiphase flow and flow regimes can be determined by the use of flow models that have a hydrocarbon phase envelope (water and hydrocarbon), and the interaction between the gas and liquid phase, and allow the prediction under flowing conditions that shows local temperature, pressure, and fluid composition for a pipeline. Depending on the flow (i.e., velocity, gas/liquid quality, temperature, pressure, wall surface conditions, etc.), and specific operating conditions, the effects of flow regimes are considered. Flow regimes and flow hydrodynamic characteristics influence the threat of internal corrosion, and thus affect pipeline integrity.

The goal of MP-ICDA is to identify confirmatory or most probable locations (MPLs) along a pipeline subregion for determination of direct assessment sites. These sites are where internal corrosion damage has been identified by means of integrating available historical information in combination with the use of flow models to determine flow regimes and internal corrosion prediction models (ICPMs) that a company deems appropriate for its specific application to predict or calculate internal corrosion rates. The focus is the identification of conditions along the length of a pipeline region so