

Inspecting and Reporting Biofouling and Antifouling Systems' Condition during Underwater Inspections on Ships

©2021 Association for Materials Protection and Performance (AMPP). All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise) without the prior written permission of AMPP.

Inspecting and Reporting Biofouling and Antifouling Systems' Condition during Underwater Inspections on Ships

This AMPP standard represents a consensus of those individual members who have reviewed this document's scope, and provisions. Its acceptance does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether he or she has adopted the standard or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not in conformance with this standard. Nothing contained in this AMPP standard is to be construed as granting any right, by implication or otherwise, to manufacture, sell, or use in connection with any method, apparatus, or product covered by Letters Patent, or as indemnifying or protecting anyone against liability for infringement of Letters Patent. This standard represents minimum requirements and should in no way be interpreted as a restriction on the use of better procedures or materials. Neither is this standard intended to apply in all cases relating to the subject. Unpredictable circumstances may negate the usefulness of this standard in specific instances. AMPP assumes no responsibility for the interpretation or use of this standard by other parties and accepts responsibility for only those official AMPP interpretations issued by AMPP in accordance with its governing procedures and policies which preclude the issuance of interpretations by individual volunteers.

Users of this AMPP standard are responsible for reviewing appropriate health, safety, environmental, and regulatory documents and for determining their applicability in relation to this standard prior to its use. This AMPP standard may not necessarily address all potential health and safety problems or environmental hazards associated with the use of materials, equipment, and/or operations detailed or referred to within this standard. Users of this AMPP standard are also responsible for establishing appropriate health, safety, and environmental protection practices, in consultation with appropriate regulatory authorities if necessary, to achieve compliance with any existing applicable regulatory requirements prior to the use of this standard.

CAUTIONARY NOTICE: AMPP standards are subject to periodic review and may be revised or withdrawn at any time in accordance with AMPP technical committee procedures. AMPP requires that action be taken to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this standard no later than five years from the date of initial publication and subsequently from the date of each reaffirmation or revision. The user is cautioned to obtain the latest edition. Purchasers of AMPP standards may receive current information on all standards and other AMPP/NACE/SSPC publications by contacting AMPP Customer Support, 15835 Park Ten Place, Houston, Texas 77084-5145 (Tel: +1 281-228-6200, email: customersupport@ampp.org).

Document History

2021-8-5: Approved by AMPP Standards Committee (SC) 19 - Maritime

AMPP values your input. To provide feedback on this standard, please contact: standards@ampp.org

AMPP SP21487-2021

©2021 Association for Materials Protection and Performance (AMPP). All rights reserved.

Inspecting and Reporting Biofouling and Antifouling Systems' Condition during Underwater Inspections on Ships

Foreword, Scope, Rationale	5
Section 1. General	6
Section 2. Definitions	7
Section 3. General Safety and Environmental Considerations	9
Section 4. Underwater Inspection Methodology.....	9
4.1 Planning and Preparation.....	9
4.2 Conducting the Underwater Inspection (Onsite).....	10
4.2.1 Pre-dive Meeting.....	10
4.2.2 Inspection Equipment	11
4.2.3 List of Documents	11
4.2.4 Underwater Hull Areas.....	11
4.2.5 Reference Areas.....	14
4.2.6 Communication Between Parties.....	15
4.2.7 Biofouling Inspection.....	15
4.2.8 AFS Condition Inspection	19
4.2.9 Niche Areas, Hull Appendages and Areas Prone to Coating Damage.....	23
4.2.10 Propeller Inspection	28
4.3 Supporting Visual Data (photographs and video)	29
4.3.1 General Considerations.....	29
4.3.2 Visual Data Requirements	29
4.3.3 Types of Photographs to Be Included in the UWI Report.....	30
4.3.4 Number of Photographs from a UWI Event:	33
4.4 Underwater Inspection Completion and Documentation.....	34
4.4.1 Service Report on Site.....	34
4.4.2 Inspection Reports' Content.....	34
4.4.3 Reporting Guidelines	34
4.4.4 Final Report	36
4.4.5 Biofouling Record Book	36
References	37
Appendix A Underwater Inspection – Work Scope Template.....	38
Appendix B Indicative Comparison of Common Biofouling Ratings.....	42
Appendix C Guidelines for Minimum Number and Location of Photographs to Be Considered for Reporting Purpose	43
Appendix D Example format for “Biofouling and Antifouling System Condition Inspection” Report.....	51

Figures

Figure 1	Stakeholder Map and Common Types of Underwater Inspection.....	6
Figure 2	Main Component Areas.....	12
Figure 3	Example of 10 Reference Areas.....	14
Figure 4	Examples of Mechanical Damages.....	20
Figure 5	Examples of ‘Polish Through’ Areas.....	20
Figure 6	Examples of Blisters.....	21
Figure 7	Examples of Cracking.....	21
Figure 8	Examples of Detachment.....	22
Figure 9	Examples of Film/Cold Flow.....	22
Figure 10	Examples of Cathodic Disbondment.....	23
Figure 11	Examples of Dry-Dock Support Strips.....	25
Figure 12	Examples of Transducers on Flat Bottom.....	25
Figure 13	Examples of ICCP Anodes.....	26
Figure 14	Stern Tube Frame.....	26
Figure 15	Examples of Overboard Discharge Pipes.....	27
Figure 16	Examples of Rope Guard Top and Bottom Access.....	27
Figure 17	Examples of ‘Good’ and ‘Poor’ Size Reference Photographs.....	29
Figure 18	Example of Test Patches on the Vertical Side (Starboard).....	32
Figure 19	Example of Photographs of Test Patch Inspection and Reporting.....	32
Figure 20	Example of Video Recording Route for Test Patch Inspection.....	33
Figure 21	Example of Not Preferred Page Arrangement of Photographs.....	36

Tables

Table 1	Main Component Areas and Associated Sub-Components.....	13
Table 2	Reference Areas Suggested Locations.....	15
Table 3	Biofouling Reporting Levels.....	16
Table 4	Biofouling Type Reporting Requirements.....	17
Table 5	Formulae for Hull Areas.....	18
Table 6	Antifouling Coating System Typical Defects.....	20
Table 7	Common Niche Areas and Other Hull Underwater Areas Prone to Coating Damage.....	24
Table 8	Indicative Comparison Between Surface Grades for Uncoated Propellers and Biofouling Presence in Terms of Magnitude of Power Penalty.....	28
Table 9	Types of Photographs Specific for Test Patch Inspection.....	32
Table 10	Recommended Minimum Number of Photographs Used for Different Types of Biofouling and AFS Condition Reporting.....	33

Foreword

Biofouling accumulation on a ship's hull has a direct impact on fuel consumption and associated greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and may pose a biosecurity risk due to the presence of non-indigenous, potentially invasive aquatic species.

The antifouling system (AFS) is designed to protect the underwater surfaces from biofouling accumulation. Underwater inspections (UWI) of ships are typically carried out to monitor and verify the condition of the underwater hull and the AFS.

Recipients of UWI reports are reliant on the quality of information provided to make adequate decisions relating to hull performance, AFS condition and performance, biofouling management and associated risk assessments. Consistent and good quality reporting is key to effective underwater hull condition record-keeping and management.

Scope

This AMPP standard practice aims to provide a source of consistent information and guidance on best practices for meaningful and effective reporting of the biofouling and AFS condition during an underwater inspection.

The document provides a methodology for planning, conducting, and reporting the outcome of underwater inspections in terms of biofouling and AFS condition on ships.

Specific aspects related to in-water cleaning operations, damage surveys, repair surveys, security inspections surveys, or classification surveys, are not covered in this document, however, the requirements for biofouling and AFS inspection and reporting shall be applied to any of these types of UWI.

This standard practice is applicable to underwater inspections undertaken in any type of water, including seawater, brackish water, lake, or river non-saline/fresh water; however, as the majority of the world fleet constitutes sea-going vessels, terms such as sea water, sea chest, sea inlet, sea state, etc. are used throughout this document for simplicity.

This standard practice is applicable to underwater inspections undertaken by diver team or Remotely Operated Vehicles (ROVs), however, for the purpose of this standard, terms such as "Diving" or "Diver" are used throughout this document and are applicable to both diving and ROV UWI.

Rationale

Due to the broad variety in stakeholders' requirements and the methodologies adopted by service suppliers involved in the process, the level of detail and overall quality of reporting regarding biofouling and AFS condition differ greatly.

This standard practice promotes the use of consistent reports ensuring that the quantity and quality of data gathered is comparable thus facilitating reliable analysis related to underwater hull monitoring.

It will provide users with a robust framework for planning and conducting UWI to allow meaningful and consistent reporting of biofouling and AFS condition. Standardizing the output of UWI across the industry will enable the use of comparable data to aid the monitoring and assessment of underwater hull condition.

The framework includes references to safety and environmental considerations, highlights critical components of different stages of the process, and provides examples of the level of detail, as well as the type and quality of evidence required during the reporting stage.

The intended primary users of this standard practice are the stakeholders directly involved in requesting and authorizing UWI, such as ship owners, ship operators and charterers, and the service suppliers who conduct UWI.

In AMPP standards, the terms *shall* and *must* are used to state requirements and are considered mandatory. The term *should* is used to state something that is recommended, but is not considered mandatory. The term *may* is used to state something considered optional.