



IPC-TR-587

# **Conformal Coating Material and Application “State of the Industry” Assessment Report**

Developed by the S-STD-001 Conformal Coating Material & Application Industry Assessment (5-22arr) of the Assembly & Joining Committee (5-20) of IPC

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC



Figure 13 Conformal Coating Minimum Thickness Results Show that in all Cases the Coating Thickness was Non-Zero ..... 19

Figure 14 Average of Min and Max AR Materials (mils) ..... 20

Figure 15 Average of Min and Max AR Spray Applications (mils) ..... 20

Figure 16 Average of Min and Max UR Materials (mils) ..... 21

Figure 17 Average of Min and Max UR Auto Spray/Cure Applications (mils) ..... 22

Figure 18 Average Min and Max UR Spray/Air+Heat Cure Applications (mils)..... 23

Figure 19 Average of Min and Max UR Spray/UV Cure Applications (mils) ..... 24

Figure 20 Average of Min and Max UR Automated Spray/UV Cure Applications (mils) ..... 25

Figure 21 Average of Min and Max ER Materials (mils) ..... 26

Figure 22 Average of Min and Max SR Materials (mils) ..... 27

Figure 23 Average of Min and Max SR Manual Spray/Heat-Air-Humidity Cure Applications (mils) ..... 28

Figure 24 Average of Min and Max SR Automated Spray/Heat-Air Cure Applications (mils)..... 29

Figure 25 Average of Min and Max XY Material (mils) ..... 30

Figure 26 Average of Min and Max Manual Spray/Air-Heat-UV-Humidity Cure Applications (mils) ... 31

Figure 27 Average of Min and Max Automated Spray/Air-Heat-UV Cure Applications (mils) ..... 32

Figure 28 Average of Min and Max Automated Spray/Heat-UV-Air Cure Applications (mils) ..... 33

Figure 29 Average of Min and Max AR Dip/Air-Heat Cure Application (mils) ..... 34

Figure 30 Average of Min and Max AR Manual Brush/Heat Cure Application (mils)..... 35

Figure 31 Average of Min and Max XY Vapor Deposition/Polymerization (mils) ..... 35

Figure 32 Average of Min and Max AR Manual Syringe-Auto-Spray/Air-Heat Cure Application (mils) ..... 37

Figure 33 Average of Min and Max SR Manual and Automated Spray Applications (mils) ..... 38

Figure 34 Average of Min and Max UR SR UR-AR Auto-Manual Spray/Brush Applications (mils) ..... 39

Figure 35 Average of Min and Max UR Automated-Manual Spray Applications (mils) ..... 40

Figure 36 Average of Min and Max Vapor Deposition Application (mils) ..... 41

Figure 37 Average of Min and Max AR-UR Manual-Auto Spray/UR Combo Applications (mils) ..... 42

Figure 38 Average of Min and Max SR Manual Spray Application (mils) ..... 43

Figure 39 Average of Min and Max AR-XY Brush/DIP/Combo/Vapor Deposition Application (mils) ..... 44

Figure 40 Minimum Thickness AR-XY Brush/DIP/Combo/Vapor Deposition Application (mils)..... 45

Figure 41 Acrylic Sets 4 (blue line), 9 (red line), 10 (green line), 26 (purple line) ..... 48

Figure 42 Acrylic Sets 4 (blue line), 9 (red line), 10 (green line) ..... 49

Figure 43 Comparison of Acrylic Processes for PLCC68 Components ..... 50

Figure 44 Maximums – Acrylics ..... 51

Figure 45 Maximums for Acrylics with Set 26 (purple line) Removed ..... 52

Figure 46 Minimums – Comparison of Acrylic (blue line) and Urethane (red line) Processes ..... 53

Figure 47 Comparison of Acrylic (blue line) and Urethane (red line) – Maximums ..... 54

Figure 48 Comparison of Acrylic and Urethane Processes for a PLCC68 Component ..... 55

Figure 49 Tin Whisker Shorting on a SOIC 6 Test Component ..... 56

Figure 50 PERM Test Vehicle ..... 58

Figure 51 SEM Assessment Illustrating Solder Wetting Coverage ..... 59

Figure 52 Influence of Test Vehicle/Component Cleanliness on Tin Whisker Initiation [8] ..... 60

Figure 53 Individual slotted test coupon photograph ..... 60

Figure 54 Conformal Coating Plotter Overview Instruction Figure..... 65

**Tables**

Table 1 Investigation Coating / Deposition / Cure Combinations..... 8

Table 2 Test Board Numbers for Application/Material Combinations Included in the Investigation ..... 11

Table 3 Test Board Numbers for Cure Method/Material Combinations Included in the Investigation ..... 15

Table 3 Test Board Numbers for Cure Method/Material Combinations Included in the Investigation ..... 15

Table 4 Investigation Application Method/Material Subset Distribution ..... 18

Table 5 Cure Method/Material Subset Distribution ..... 18

Table 6 Conformal Coating Classifications from IPC-CC-830 ..... 46

Table 7 Failures per Mitigation Type Results..... 56

Table 8 Woody/Fox Test Results [6] ..... 57

Table 9 Self-mitigation probabilities with 90% confidence ..... 59

# Conformal Coating Material and Application “State of the Industry” Assessment Report

David Hillman, Collins Aerospace  
Doug Pauls, Collins Aerospace  
Ross Wilcoxon, Collins Aerospace  
Jason Keeping, Celestica  
On behalf of the 5-22arr Task Group

## Abstract

Conformal coatings are thin layers of polymers applied to the surfaces of electronic assemblies, primarily to protect the electronics from the operational environment. In most manufacturing specifications, conformal coating thickness is defined as the thickness of the final polymer film on a flat, unencumbered surface of the assembly. However, the conformal coating thickness on other assembly and component surfaces are usually uncharacterized. This report outlines an IPC study of major conformal coating types, coating application techniques, and coating cure technologies, characterizing the final film thickness on common component surfaces. The study included volunteers from numerous manufacturing companies who applied coating using vetted manufacturing processes for the production of high reliability electronics.

## Overview

Conformal coating, for the purpose of this document, is defined as a thin, optically transparent, polymeric coating that is applied to the surfaces of printed circuit assemblies (PCAs) to provide protection from the operational use environment. Typical coating thickness ranges from 12.5  $\mu\text{m}$  [0.49 mil] to 200  $\mu\text{m}$  [7.9 mil].

Processing characteristics and curing mechanisms vary with the coating chemistries used. The desired performance characteristics of a conformal coating depend on the application and should be considered when selecting a coating material and process. Conformal coatings are used for the following:

- Inhibit current leakage and short circuit due to humidity and contamination from service environment
- Inhibit corrosion
- Improve fatigue life of solder joints to leadless packages
- Inhibit arcing, corona and St. Elmo's Fire on high voltage circuits
- Reduce damage from mechanical shock and vibration by providing mechanical support to small parts that cannot be secured by mechanical means.
- Provide some protection and mitigation against tin-whiskers and other conductive foreign objects or debris (FOD).

The beneficial effects of the coating depend on its properties, thickness and coverage. These characteristics in turn depend on the coating chemistry, application process and curing process.

The measurement of conformal coating thickness, in situ, has always been a tremendous challenge for the industry. Consequently, it has been an industry best manufacturing practice (BMP) is to measure conformal coating on a flat, unencumbered portion of the circuit assembly, or on a flat witness coupon processed at the same time as the circuit assembly. The J-STD-001 specification specifies thicknesses for conformal coating but those thickness ranges are for flat, unencumbered portions of the circuit assembly. It is a common misconception that the J-STD-001 specified thickness ranges apply to ALL surfaces of a circuit assembly.

The unanswered question is, when conformal coating is applied to flat, unencumbered surfaces of a printed circuit assembly, such that it meets the thickness criteria of J-STD-001, what degree of conformal coating coverage can be expected on other critical surfaces, such as component leads, undersides of components, etc.?

In 2013, the J-STD-001 committee tasked a group of subject matter experts (SMEs) to answer this question by investigating conformal coating coverage in greater depth to determine the degree of conformal coating coverage that can be achieved by industry-standard conformal coating application/cure processes. Collins Aerospace led this massive effort, which involved a large number of volunteer sites.