

TECHNICAL PAPER

The Layman's Guide
to Qualifying a Process
to J-STD-001B

By Douglas Pruls
Contamination Studies Laboratories



ASSOCIATION CONNECTING
ELECTRONICS INDUSTRIES®

Published by IPC

2215 Sanders Road
Northbrook, Illinois
60062-6135
Tel 847 509.9700
Fax 847 509.9798

The Principles of Standardization

In May 1995 the IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee adopted Principles of Standardization as a guiding principle of IPC's standardization efforts

Standards Should:

- Show relationship to DFM & DFE
- Minimize time to market
- Contain simple (simplified) language
- Just include spec information
- Focus on end product performance
- Include a feed back system on use and problems for future improvement

Standards Should Not:

- Inhibit innovation
- Increase time-to-market
- Keep people out
- Increase cycle time
- Tell you how to make something
- Contain anything that cannot be defended with data

Notice

IPC Standards and Publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember of IPC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publications, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are developed by IPC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the Recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

Why is There a Charge for This Standard?

Your purchase of this document contributes to the ongoing development of new and updated industry standards. Standards allow manufacturers, customers, and suppliers to understand one another better. Standards allow manufacturers greater efficiencies when they can set up their processes to meet industry standards, allowing them to offer their customers lower costs.

IPC spends hundreds of thousands of dollars annually to support IPC's volunteers in the standards development process. There are many rounds of drafts sent out for review and the committees spend hundreds of hours in review and development. IPC's staff attends and participates in committee activities, typesets and circulates document drafts, and follows all necessary procedures to qualify for ANSI approval.

IPC's membership dues have been kept low in order to allow as many companies as possible to participate. Therefore, the standards revenue is necessary to complement dues revenue. The price schedule offers a 50% discount to IPC members. If your company buys IPC standards, why not take advantage of this and the many other benefits of IPC membership as well? For more information on membership in IPC, please visit www.ipc.org or call 847/790-5372.

Thank you for your continued support.

IPC-TP-1114
The Layman's Guide to Qualifying A Process to J-STD-001B

Table of Contents

| | | |
|----|---|----|
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 2 | Who Am I ? | 1 |
| 3 | When Do I Do This Testing? | 1 |
| 4 | Choice#1. When must I do Appendix D qualification testing? | 1 |
| 5 | When your customer requires it | 2 |
| 6 | Materials Compatibility Demonstration | 3 |
| 7 | The Choice of the Flux | 4 |
| 8 | CSL Recommended Approach | 6 |
| 9 | Choice #2 – Foundational Studies | 7 |
| 10 | Choice #3: The Test Vehicle | 8 |
| 11 | Choice #4: Solder Mask and Metalization | 9 |
| 12 | Choice #5: Fabricating the Vehicles | 9 |
| 13 | Choice #6: Assembly Process | 9 |
| 14 | Choice #8: The Test Environment | 10 |
| 15 | Choice #9: The SIR Test | 11 |
| 16 | Calculating the Pass Fail Criteria | 12 |
| 17 | Example Data Set | 12 |

The Layman's Guide to Qualifying A Process to J-STD-001B

Douglas Pauls
Contamination Studies Laboratories

Introduction

Electronics manufacturers are faced with the difficult task of proving that a candidate manufacturing process can produce acceptable hardware, either to the customer of the product, or for internal quality control. In the past, assembly level specifications (e.g. MIL-STD-2000A) told you exactly how to go about this demonstration. It wasn't always precisely correct, but you didn't have to figure out all of the fine points of the qualification on your own. In our modern era, "how-to" specifications are not evil things and taboo to all involved with them. The users now have to determine many of the process qualification steps on their own, and sadly, many don't have the faintest idea where to start. That is the purpose of this document. It makes no assumptions about what you know and leads you through the somewhat complex task of qualifying a candidate process to the B revision of J-STD-001.

Who Am I?

So, who died and made me Grand Wazoo? My name is Doug Pauls and I am Technical Director of Contamination Studies Laboratories. I have been involved with MIL-STD-2000, J-STD-001, and many other IPC technical activities. Much of my writing was the basis for J-STD-001A, Appendix D, and I have been actively involved with the B revision to J-STD-001. Since I have been involved with the development of these assembly documents, and because I regularly counsel assemblers who must qualify new processes, I undertook this writing to assist process professionals faced with qualifying their process. The above self-aggrandizing wording was not done to brag, but to illustrate some of my credentials.

I should probably warn you that there is some rather warped humor contained herein. I find that humor makes a document more readable and a little less "dry". Some feel it unprofessional; I don't.

I have included a short bibliography (attached) of other articles that would be helpful in qualifying a manufacturing process.

When Do I Do This Testing?

Often, the first decision that a process engineer must make is if the new process must be qualified. I'll give you my favorite engineering answer - it depends. The biggest driving factor is the customer of your product. What test data or test protocol do they desire in order to feel comfortable with the new process? If your customer wants MIL-STD-2000A, Appendix A testing, that's what you do. If they want J-STD-001A,