

IPC J-STD-003C

September 2013

Supersedes

J-STD-003B March 2007

JOINT INDUSTRY STANDARD

Solderability
Tests for
Printed Boards



The Principles of Standardization

In May 1995 the IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee (TAEC) adopted Principles of Standardization as a guiding principle of IPC's standardization efforts.

Standards Should:

- Show relationship to Design for Manufacturability (DFM) and Design for the Environment (DFE)
- Minimize time to market
- Contain simple (simplified) language
- Just include spec information
- Focus on end product performance
- Include a feedback system on use and problems for future improvement

Standards Should Not:

- Inhibit innovation
- Increase time-to-market
- Keep people out
- Increase cycle time
- Tell you how to make something
- Contain anything that cannot be defended with data

Notice

IPC Standards and Publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember of IPC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publication, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by IPC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the Recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

IPC Position Statement on Specification Revision Change

It is the position of IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee that the use and implementation of IPC publications is voluntary and is part of a relationship entered into by customer and supplier. When an IPC publication is updated and a new revision is published, it is the opinion of the TAEC that the use of the new revision as part of an existing relationship is not automatic unless required by the contract. The TAEC recommends the use of the latest revision. Adopted October 6, 1998

Why is there a charge for this document?

Your purchase of this document contributes to the ongoing development of new and updated industry standards and publications. Standards allow manufacturers, customers, and suppliers to understand one another better. Standards allow manufacturers greater efficiencies when they can set up their processes to meet industry standards, allowing them to offer their customers lower costs.

IPC spends hundreds of thousands of dollars annually to support IPC's volunteers in the standards and publication development process. There are many rounds of drafts sent out for review and the committees spend hundreds of hours in review and development. IPC's staff attends and participates in committee activities, typesets and circulates document drafts, and follows all necessary procedures to qualify for ANSI approval.

IPC's membership dues have been kept low to allow as many companies as possible to participate. Therefore, the standards and publications revenue is necessary to complement dues revenue. The price schedule offers a 50% discount to IPC members. If your company buys IPC standards and publications, why not take advantage of this and the many other benefits of IPC membership as well? For more information on membership in IPC, please visit www.ipc.org or call 847/597-2872.

Thank you for your continued support.



IPC J-STD-003C

Solderability Tests for Printed Boards

Developed by the Printed Circuit Board Solderability Specifications Task Group (5-23c) of the Assembly and Joining Committee (5-20) of IPC

Supersedes:

J-STD-003B - March 2007
J-STD-003A - February 2003
J-STD-003 - April 1992
IPC-S-804A - January 1987
IPC-S-803
IPC-S-801

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC
3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 309S
Bannockburn, IL 60015-1249
Phone (847) 615-7100
Fax (847) 615-7105

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Acknowledgment

Any document involving a complex technology draws material from a vast number of sources. While the principal members of the Printed Circuit Board Solderability Specifications Task Group (5-23a) of the Assembly and Joining Committee (5-20) are shown below, it is not possible to include all of those who assisted in the evolution of this Standard. To each of them, the members of IPC extend their gratitude.

| Assembly and Joining Committee | Printed Circuit Board Solderability Specifications Task Group | Technical Liaison of the IPC Board of Directors |
|--|--|--|
| Chair Leo P. Lambert EPTAC | Chair Gerard A. Obrien Solderability Testing & Solutions, Inc. | Bob Neves Microtek Laboratories |
| Vice Chair Renee J. Michalkiewicz Trace Laboratories - Baltimore | Vice Chair Michah Pledger Pledger Consulting | |
| Printed Circuit Board Solderability Specifications Task Group | | |
| Douglas Schueller, AbelConn, LLC Constantino Gonzalez, ACME Training & Consulting Mitchell Holtzer, Alpha Anna Lifton, Alpha Karen Tellefsen, Alpha Andrew Giamis, Andrew Corporation George Wenger, Andrew Corporation Arnie Melby, Appareo Systems, LLC Greg Alexander, Ascentech LLC Fritz, Byle Astronautics Corp. of America Christopher, Ryder, AT&S Austria Technologie & Systemtechnik AG William Dieffenbacher, BAE Systems Platform Solutions Beverly Christian, BlackBerry Mary Bellon, Boeing Research & Development Todd MacFadden, Bose Corporation Louis Hart, Compunetics Inc. Israel Martinez, Continental Automotive North America S.A. de C.V. Mark Fulcher, Continental Automotive Systems Brian Madsen, Continental Automotive Systems David Corbett, Defense Supply Center Columbus Lewell Sherman, Defense Supply Center Columbus Glenn Dody, Dody Consulting Anne Lomonte, Draeger Medical Systems, Inc. | Peter Bratin, ECI Technology, Inc. Michael Pavlov, ECI Technology, Inc. Julie Filips, Elbit Systems of America Jose Rios, Endicott Interconnect Technologies Inc Leo Lambert, EPTAC Corporation Terry Munson, Foresite, Inc. Martin Bayes, Four Squares Consulting Graham Naisbitt, Gen Systems Limited Brian Ward, Gen3 Systems Limited Greg Lawson, General Dynamics - 4 Systems Brian Toleno, Henkel Corporation Kristen Troxel, Hewlett-Packard Company Patrick O'Keefe, Holaday Circuits Inc. Richard Davidson, Honeywell Aerospace Raiyomand Aspandiar, Intel Corporation Jagadeesh Radhakrishnan, Intel Corporation Reza Ghaffarian, Jet Propulsion Laboratory Byron Case, L-3 Communications Bradley Toone, L-3 Communications William Fox, Lockheed Martin Missile & Fire Control Vijay Kumar, Lockheed Martin Missile & Fire Control | Linda Woody, Lockheed Martin Missile & Fire Control Beauiz Bennett, Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire Control C. Don Dupriest, Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire Control Steven Nolan, Lockheed Martin Mission Systems & Training John Potenza, Lockheed Martin Mission Systems & Training Hue Green, Lockheed Martin Space Systems Company Dennis Fritz, MacDermid, Inc. Lenora Toscano, MacDermid, Inc. Tom Fujikawa, Malcomtech International Russell Shepherd, Microtek Laboratories Anaheim James, Clark Multek Flexible Circuits, Inc. Christopher Hunt, National Physical Laboratory Darrell Freiwald, Northrop Grumman Mahendra Gandhi, Northrop Grumman Aerospace Systems William Miller, Panasonic Automotive Systems Company of America Mumtaz Bora, Peregrine Semiconductor Michah Pledger, Pledger Consulting Richard Kraszewski, Plexus Corp. Ursula Marquez de Tino, Plexus Corporation |

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| Bill Bear, Raytheon Company | Srinivas Chada, Schlumberger Well Services | Calette Chamness, U.S. Army Aviation & Missile Command |
| Richard Iodice, Raytheon Company | Mary Petrusek, Schlumberger Well Services | Crystal Vanderpan, UL LLC |
| Roger Miedico, Raytheon Company | Henry Rekers, Schneider Electric | Ty Gragg, Unicircuit Inc. |
| Jeff Shubrooks, Raytheon Company | Gerard O'Brien, Solderability Testing & Solutions, Inc. | Donald Gudeczauskas, Uyemura International Corp. |
| Bill Vuono, Raytheon Company | Stephen Meeks, St. Jude Medical | George Milad, Uyemura International Corp. |
| Martin Scionti, Raytheon Missile Systems | David Sommervold, The Bergquist Company, Prescott | Wendi Boger, Viasystems Group, Inc. |
| Wesley Wolverton, Raytheon Systems Company | Elizabeth Allison, Trace Laboratories - Baltimore | Mike Hill, Viasystems Group, Inc. |
| Jason Koch, Robisan Laboratory Inc. | Renee Michalkiewicz, Trace Laboratories - Baltimore | Randy Reed, Viasystems Group, Inc. |
| Chris Mahanna, Robisan Laboratory Inc. | Debora Obitz, Trace Laboratories - Baltimore | Juan Vasquez, Viasystems Group, Inc. |
| David Adams, Rockwell Collins | James Monarchio, TTM Technologies, Inc. | Zhe (Jacky) Liu, ZTE Corporation |
| Robert Bagsby, Rockwell Collins | | Jianfeng Liu, ZTE Corporation |
| Rachel Grinvalds, Rockwell Collins | | Jiamin Zhang, ZTE Corporation |
| David Hillman, Rockwell Collins | | Gerard Donovan |
| Gaston Hidalgo, Samsung Telecommunications America | | John Rohlfing |

SPECIAL ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author thanks the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) per permission to reproduce Figures 4-6 and 4-7 which include information from its International Standards IEC 60068-2-69 ed.1.0 (2007) and IEC 60068-2-54 ed.2.0 (2006). All such extracts are copyright of IEC, Geneva, Switzerland. All rights reserved. Further information on the IEC is available from www.iec.ch. IEC has no responsibility for the placement and content in which the extracts and contents are reproduced by the author, nor is IEC in any way responsible for the other content or accuracy therein.

Table of Contents

| | | | |
|---|---|--|----|
| 1 GENERAL | 1 | 3.3.4 Dipping Equipment | 6 |
| 1.1 Scope | 1 | 3.3.5 Timing Equipment | 6 |
| 1.2 Purpose | 1 | 3.4 Preparation for Testing | 6 |
| 1.3 Objective | 1 | 3.4.1 Test Specimen Preparation and Conditioning for Test | 6 |
| 1.3.1 Definition of Requirements | 1 | 3.4.2 Pretest Conditioning | 6 |
| 1.3.2 Document Hierarchy | 1 | 3.4.3 Steam Preconditioning Apparatus | 7 |
| 1.4 Classification | 1 | 3.4.4 Steam Conditioning | 7 |
| 1.5 Test Method Classification | 2 | 3.4.5 Baking | 8 |
| 1.5.1 Visual Acceptance Criteria Tests | 2 | 3.5 Solder Bath Requirement | 8 |
| 1.5.2 Force Measurement Criteria Tests | 2 | 3.5.1 Solder Temperatures | 8 |
| 1.6 Test Method Selection | 2 | 3.5.2 Solder Contamination Control | 8 |
| 1.6.1 New Surface Finishes Not Covered in 6010 or by 4500 Series Documents | 2 | 4 TEST PROCEDURES | 8 |
| 1.7 Test Specimen Requirements | 2 | 4.1 Test Procedure Limitations | 8 |
| 1.8 Coating Durability – SnPb Containing (HASL and Plated and Reflowed SnPb) Surface Finishes | 3 | 4.1.1 Application of Flux | 9 |
| 1.9 Coating Durability – Non-SnPb Surface Finishes | 4 | 4.2 Tests with Established Accept/Reject Criteria | 9 |
| 2 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS | 4 | 4.2.1 Eutectic Dip Test | 9 |
| 2.1 Industry | 4 | 4.2.2 Wave Solder Test: | 11 |
| 2.1.1 IPC | 4 | 4.2.3 Surface Mount Simulation Test Tin/Lead Solder | 12 |
| 2.2 Joint Industry Standards | 4 | 4.3 Tests with Force Measurement Criteria | 14 |
| 3 REQUIREMENTS | 5 | 4.3.1 Wetting Balance Test | 14 |
| 3.1 Terms and Definitions | 5 | 4.4.1 Solder Float Test Tin/Lead Solder | 17 |
| 3.1.1 Contact Angle, Soldering* | 5 | 4.4.2 Apparatus | 17 |
| 3.1.2 Dewetting* | 5 | 4.4.3 Evaluation | 17 |
| 3.1.3 Dissolution of Component Metallization (Leaching) | 5 | 5 EVALUATION AIDS | 18 |
| 3.1.4 Equilibrium Wetting | 5 | 5.1 Evaluation Aids – Surface | 18 |
| 3.1.5 Nonwetting, Solder* | 5 | 5.2 Evaluation Aids – For Class 3 Plated- Through Holes | 19 |
| 3.1.6 Pinhole* | 5 | 6 NOTES | 20 |
| 3.1.7 Solderability* | 5 | 6.1 Correction for Buoyancy | 20 |
| 3.1.8 Solder Connection Pinhole* | 5 | 6.2 Preheat | 20 |
| 3.1.9 Wetting, Solder* | 5 | 6.3 Prebaking | 20 |
| 3.2 Materials | 5 | 6.4 Safety Note | 20 |
| 3.2.1 Solder | 5 | 6.5 Use of Nonactivated Flux | 20 |
| 3.2.2 Flux | 5 | 6.6 Solder Contact | 20 |
| 3.2.3 Flux Removal | 6 | APPENDIX A Calculation of Maximum Theoretical Force for a Rectangular Cross-Section | 21 |
| 3.3 Equipment | 6 | APPENDIX B Calculation of Area under the Wetting Curve | 23 |
| 3.3.1 Pre-Conditioning Equipment | 6 | APPENDIX C Informative Annex | 24 |
| 3.3.2 Solder Pot/Bath | 6 | | |
| 3.3.3 Optical Inspection Equipment | 6 | | |

APPENDIX D Test Protocol for Wetting Balance Gauge Repeatability and Reproducibility (G R&R) Using Copper Foil Coupons 26

APPENDIX E J-STD-002/J-STD-003 Activated Solderability Test Flux Rationale Committee Letter 28

Figures

Figure 3-1 Contact Angle 5

Figure 3-2 Example Reticle 6

Figure 4-1 Edge Dip Solderability Test 9

Figure 4-2 Suggested Test Specimen for Plated-Through Holes 10

Figure 4-3 Suggested Test Specimen for Surface Mount Features 10

Figure 4-4 Effectiveness of Solder Wetting of Plated-Through Holes – Class 3 below 3.0 mm 12

Figure 4-5 Examples of Solder Wetting of Plated-Through Holes – Class 3 below 3.0 mm 12

Figure 4-6 Arrangement for the Test Apparatus (Solder Bath Wetting Balance Method) 14

Figure 4-7 Arrangement for the Test Apparatus (Solder Globule Wetting Balance Method) 14

Figure 4-8 Suggested Wetting Balance and Soldering Immersion Test Specimens 14

Figure 4-9 Wetting Balance Test Soldering Immersion at 90° for Double Sided Finishes 15

Figure 4-10 Wetting Balance Test Soldering Immersion at 20 to 40° for Single Sided Coupons/Samples Removed from PB's 15

Figure 4-11 Set A Wetting Curve 16

Figure 4-12 Set B Wetting Curve 16

Figure 5-1 I Sn Surface Finish Showing Uniform Wetting 18

Figure 5-2 I Sn Surface Finish Showing Chronic Dewetting 18

Figure 5-3 ENIG Exhibiting Nonwetting 18

Figure 5-4 ENIG Exhibiting Nonwetting 18

Figure 5-5 ENIG Exhibiting Dewetting 19

Figure 5-6 ENIG Exhibiting Dewetting 19

Figure 5-7 HASL Surface Finish after Wetting Balance Testing, showing Excellent Wetting and Positive Advancement of Solder 19

Tables

Table 1-1 Solderability Test Method Selection 3

Table 1-2 Final Finish Conditioning/ Stress Testing for Category 3 Durability 3

Table 3-1 Flux Composition 6

Table 3-2 Steam Temperature Requirements 7

Table 3-2 Maximum Limits of Solder Bath Contaminant 8

Table 4-1 Stencil Thickness Requirements 13

Table 4-2 Reflow Parameter Requirements – SnPb 13

Table 4-3 Lead-Free Reflow Parameter Requirements 13

Table 4-4 Pass/Fail Criteria for Specific Surface Finishes Using Eutectic SnPb 15

Table 4-5 Pass/Fail Criteria for Specific Surface Finishes Using SAC305 Solder 16

Table 4-6 Wetting Balance Parameter and Suggested Criteria 16

Table E-1 Flux Compositions 28

Solderability Tests for Printed Boards

1 GENERAL

1.1 Scope This standard prescribes test methods, defect definitions, and illustrations for assessing the solderability of printed wiring board surface conductors, attachment lands, and plated-through holes. This standard is intended for use by both vendor and user.

This standard is not intended to verify the potential of successful processing at assembly or to evaluate design impact on wettability. This specification describes procedures or methods to determine the acceptable wettability of a surface finish. Wettability can be affected by handling, finish application, and environmental conditions.

1.2 Purpose This standard describes solderability determinations that are made to verify that the printed board fabrication processes and subsequent storage have had no adverse effect on the solderability of those portions of the printed board intended to be soldered. Reference coupons or representative portions of a printed board may be used. Solderability is determined by evaluation of a test specimen which has been processed as part of a panel of boards and subsequently removed for testing per the method selected.

1.3 Objective To provide solderability test methods to determine the acceptability of printed board surface conductors, attachment lands, and plated-through holes to wet easily with solder, and to withstand the rigors of the printed board assembly processes.

1.3.1 Definition of Requirements The word “shall” is used in the text of this document wherever there is a requirement for materials, preparation, process control, or acceptance of a soldered connection or a test method. The word “should” reflects “best processing techniques” and is used to reflect general industry practices and a suggestion for guidance only.

1.3.2 Document Hierarchy In the event of conflict, the following descending order of precedence applies:

- Procurement documentation as agreed between user and supplier, which should include expected shelf life requirements if stored and handled properly.
- Master drawing or master assembly drawing reflecting the user’s detailed requirements.
- When required by the customer or per contractual agreement, this document, J-STD-003.
- Other documents, to the extent specified by the customer.

1.4 Classification Three general classes have been established to reflect progressive increases in sophistication, functional performance requirements, and testing/inspection frequency as defined in the IPC-6010 series of documents.

The user is responsible for defining the product class. The product class should be stated in the procurement documentation package.

CLASS 1 General Electronic Products

Includes products suitable for applications where the major requirement is function of the completed assembly.

CLASS 2 Dedicated Service Electronic Products

Includes products where continued performance and extended life is required, and for which uninterrupted service is desired but not critical. Typically the end-use environment would not cause failures.

CLASS 3 High Performance Electronic Products

Includes products where continued high performance or performance-on-demand is critical, equipment downtime cannot be tolerated, end-use environment may be uncommonly harsh, and the equipment must function when required, such as life support or other critical systems.

Circuit board performance classes do not dictate the surface finish durability rating that may be specified. Category 2/Category A durability is the default coating durability rating.