

IPC-CC-830C

2018 - December

Qualification and Performance of
Electrical Insulating Compound for
Printed Wiring Assemblies

Supersedes IPC-CC-830B - Amendment 1
September 2008

An international standard developed by IPC

Association Connecting Electronics Industries



The Principles of Standardization

In May 1995 the IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee (TAEC) adopted Principles of Standardization as a guiding principle of IPC's standardization efforts.

Standards Should:

- Show relationship to Design for Manufacturability (DFM) and Design for the Environment (DFE)
- Minimize time to market
- Contain simple (simplified) language
- Just include spec information
- Focus on end product performance
- Include a feedback system on use and problems for future improvement

Standards Should Not:

- Inhibit innovation
- Increase time-to-market
- Keep people out
- Increase cycle time
- Tell you how to make something
- Contain anything that cannot be defended with data

Notice

IPC Standards and Publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember of IPC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publication, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by IPC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the Recommended Standard or Publication. Users are alone wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

IPC Position Statement on Specification Revision Change

It is the position of IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee that the use and implementation of IPC publications is voluntary and a part of a relationship entered into by customer and supplier. When an IPC publication is updated and a new revision is published, it is the opinion of the TAEC that the use of the new revision as part of an existing relationship is not automatic unless required by the contract. The TAEC recommends the use of the latest revision. Adopted October 6, 1998

Why is there a charge for this document?

Your purchase of this document contributes to the ongoing development of new and updated industry standards and publications. Standards allow manufacturers, customers, and suppliers to understand one another better. Standards allow manufacturers greater efficiencies when they can set up their processes to meet industry standards, allowing them to offer their customers lower costs.

IPC spends hundreds of thousands of dollars annually to support IPC's volunteers in the standards and publications development process. There are many rounds of drafts sent out for review and the committees spend hundreds of hours in review and development. IPC's staff attends and participates in committee activities, typesets and circulates document drafts, and follows all necessary procedures to qualify for ANSI approval.

IPC's membership dues have been kept low to allow as many companies as possible to participate. Therefore, the standards and publications revenue is necessary to complement dues revenue. The price schedule offers a 50% discount to IPC members. If your company buys IPC standards and publications, why not take advantage of this and the many other benefits of IPC membership as well? For more information on membership in IPC, please visit www.ipc.org or call 847/597-2809.

Thank you for your continued support.



IPC-CC-830C

Qualification and Performance of Electrical Insulating Compound for Printed Wiring Assemblies

Developed by the Conformal Coating Task Group (5-33a) of the Cleaning and Coating Committee (5-30)

Supersedes:

IPC-CC-830B - Amendment 1 -
September 2008
IPC-CC-830B - August 2002
IPC-CC-830A with
Amendment 1 - July 1999
IPC-CC-830A - October 1998
IPC-CC-830 - January 1984

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC
3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 105N
Bannockburn, Illinois
60015-1249
Tel 847 615.7100
Fax 847 615.7105

Currently in preview, click buy full version

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Acknowledgment

Any document involving a complex technology draws material from a vast number of sources across many continents. While the principal members of the Conformal Coating Task Group (5-33a) of the Cleaning and Coating Committee (5-30) are shown below, it is not possible to include all of those who assisted in the evolution of this standard. To each of them, the members of the IPC extend their gratitude.

Cleaning and Coating Committee	Conformal Coating Task Group	Technical Liaison of the IPC Board of Directors
Chair Jason Keeping Celestica	Chair Jeffrey Sargeant Humiseal Division of Chase Corporation	Bob Neves Microtek (Changzhou) Laboratories
Vice Chair Graham Naisbitt GEN3	Vice Chair Brian Chislea Dow Corning	
Conformal Coating Task Group		
Alder, Dominik R., Lockheed Martin Space Systems Company	Dominguez, Miguel, Continental Temic SA de CV	Guene, Emmanuel E., Inventec Performance Chemicals
Allison, Elizabeth A., NTS Baltimore	Duso, Lloyd, Diamond-MT, Inc.	Gunther, Ben, Lockheed Martin Missile & Fire Control
Arredondo, Gustavo, PARA TECH Parylene Services	Edwards, David G., Henkel Corporation	Hachey, Michel, MG Chemicals, Ltd.
Askin, Robert Dusty, HzO, Inc.	Elsinger, Heiko, Robert Bosch GmbH	Hagnauer, Amy M., Raytheon Company
Atkins, Kim M., Specialized Coating Services	Ennett, Larry, 3M Company	Harenburg, Eric J., Boeing Company
Beer, Alexander, ELANTAS Europe GmbH	Ferguson, Jason, Naval Surface Warfare Center	Harper, Warren, Honeywell Aerospace Minneapolis
Bogert, Gerald Leslie, Bechtel Plant Machinery, Inc.	Fickenscher, Hans Otto, Conti Temic Microelectronics GmbH	Hidalgo, Gaston, Toyota Motor North America
Bradt, Kailey, DryWired, LLC	Fish, David, Siemens	Hofer, Eddie, Collins Aerospace
Budzinski, Casimir, Safari Circuits Inc.	Fitzgerald, Chris, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center	Hunt, Christopher, Pireta
Burgess, Robert M, Boeing Company	Ford, William, Lockheed Martin Missile & Fire Control	Hwang, David, Millennium Space Systems
Cardinal, William P., UTC Aerospace Systems	Frank-Finney, Robert, Northrop Grumman Aerospace Systems	Islam, Rafi, Cactus Materials LLC
Chamness, Calette, U.S. Army Aviation & Missile Command	Fraser, Rebekah, P2i Ltd.	Johns, Sharissa, Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire Control
Chislea, Brian, Dow Corning	Gandhi, Mahendra S., Northrop Grumman Aerospace Systems	Johnson, Steven, FCT Assembly, Inc.
Clancy, Sean Owen, HzO, Inc.	Garcia, Rigo, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center	Jordan, Rick, Cytec Industries Inc.
Conklyn, Klarvsa, Space Exploration Technologies	Goetter, Ron, ELANTAS PDG, Inc.	Kaiser, Peter R., Curtiss-Wright Defense Solutions
Courier, Michelle, UL LLC	Gomez, Cynthia A., Continental Temic SA de CV	Kane, Joseph E., BAE Systems
Craig, Stephen, Shin-Etsu Silicones of America, Inc.	Gonzalez, Constantino Jose, ACME Training and Consulting	Kanegsberg, Barbara, BFK Solutions
Danielik, Kelly M., NASA Goddard Space Flight Center	Grinvalds, Nate, Collins Aerospace	Keeping, Jason M., Celestica International L.P.
Devantier, Devyn, Northrop Grumman Corporation	Grivon, Arnaud, Thales Global Services	Kennedy, Lisa, Taiyo America Inc.
		Khaw, Mei Ming, Keysight Technologies

Kido, Russell, Practical Components Inc.

Kinner, Phil, Electrolube

Kraszewski, Richard E., Plexus Corporation

Krummenacher, Jon, Northrop Grumman Innovation Systems

Kumar, Vijay, Lockheed Martin Missile & Fire Control

Lamb, Cathy, Space Exploration Technologies

Lambert, Leo P., EPTAC Corporation

Landseadal, Laura Ann, Northrop Grumman Corporation

Laphen, Kevin S., Practical Components

Larson, Kent, Dow Corning Corporation

Lin, Rebecca, The Aerospace Corporation

Lione, Richard Anthony, Semblant Ltd.

Litavis, Richard J. Paradigm Inc.

Lober, David, Kyzen Corporation

Loew, Dan, L3 Fuzing and Ordnance Systems, Cincinnati

Loomis, Kyle, Kester

Madsen, Brian D., Continental Automotive GmbH

Malkhasyan, Nari, GVD Corporation

Marke, John B., UL LLC

Martinelli, Anthony, Raytheon Company

Martinez Montano, Israel, Continental Automotive Noga SA de CV

Mastorides, John, Honeywell Aerospace

McCarney, Jonathan, Albenarle Corporation

McKinzie, Doug, Novgard Solutions

McNutt, Nancy, Northrop Grumman Aerospace Systems

Myers, Scott, UTC Aerospace Systems

Medico, Roger J., Raytheon Company

Moffatt, Eric, Elzly Technology Corp

Moncaglieri, Ricardo Nestor

Montemayor, Carlos, Dow Corning Corporation

Moore, Michael, U.S. Army Aviation & Missile Command

Morin, Daniel, Northrop Grumman Innovation Systems

Morrison, Scott, GVD Corporation

Moser, Stefan, Robert Bosch GmbH

Naisbitt, Graham K., Gen3 Systems Limited

Nelson, David R., Raytheon Company

Nelson, Michele, 3M Company

Nguyen, Thi V., Lockheed Martin Missile & Fire Control

Norman, Bobby Lynn, U.S. Army Aviation & Missile Command

Nowak, Austin, GVD Corporation

O'Flaherty, Bret, Vicor Corporation

Owens, Gregg A., Millennium Space Systems

Pascu, Adrian, Siemens Canada

Pauls, Douglas O, Collins Aerospace

Pieszala, Steve, Northrop Grumman Corporation

Pillon, Pierce, ITM Contamination Control – Electronics Group

Pledger, Matthew

Poslusny, Ben, Realtime Technologies

Pratt, Victor, L3 Communications Aviation Recorders

Pulido, Hector A., Nordson ASYMTEK

Rae, Alan, Incubator Works

Rak, Stanton, Continental Automotive Systems

Ramirez, Rick B., Specialized Coating Services

Rickman, Amanda, Raytheon Systems Company

Sachenick, Mary Lou, Moog Inc.

Saenger, Jason, Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire Control

Sanftleben, Henry, Aptiv

Sargeant, Jeffrey A., Humiseal Division of Chase Corporation

Schalk, Heather, Dow Corning

Schmidt, Cary, Teknetix Inc.

Schroeder, Stefan, Lackwerke Peters GmbH & Co KG

Schueller, Douglas R., AbelConn, LLC

Scopel, Julie, UL LLC

Scott, Daren, Plexus Corporation

Servin Olivares, Jose Ma, Continental Temic SA de CV

Session, Jesse W., Taiyo America Inc.

Sherman, Lowell, DLA Land and Maritime

Sinclair, James, Dexter Moors LTD

Stadem, Richard Dean, Analog Technologies Corporation

Stephenson, Anthony, Northrop Grumman Corporation

Stepp, Sherry, Kyzen Corporation

Strochhausen, James, ELANTAS PDG, Inc.

Strong, Michael, MG Chemicals, Ltd.

Su, Joshua, HzO Inc.

Sullivan, Gordon, Royal Adhesives & Sealants

Suppa, Manfred, Lackwerke Peters GmbH & Co KG

Swan, Jawn, Crystal Mark, Inc.

Szuch, Michael L., Nordson ASYMTEK

Takei, Toshiyasu, Japan Unix Co., Ltd.

Teegarden, Bob, Honeywell International – Torrance

Tellefsen, Karen A., MacDermid Alpha Electronics Solutions

Thavasigamani, Loshniban, Honeywell Aerospace Avionics Malaysia Sdn Bhd

Thomas, Greory, Semblant Ltd.

Toleno, Brian J., Microsoft Corporation

Valladares, Hector A., Honeywell Aerospace

Van Dreef, Kirk, Plexus Corporation

Vanderpan, Crystal E., UL LLC

Vermillion, Jonathon, Ball Aerospace & Technologies Corporation

Vorwald, Debie M., Collins
Aerospace

Vuono, Bill R., Qorvo

Waryold, John P., Humiseal Division
of Chase Corporation

White, Chris D., National Instruments

Williams, Schuyler C., Lockheed
Martin Missile & Fire Control

Winkeler, Mark, ELANTAS PDG,
Inc.

Winkler, Patrick, Badger Meter, Inc.

Wu, Fonda B., Raytheon Company

Yamadera, Takashi, Japan
Thermosetting Plastic Industrial
Association

Ye, Zhihong, ITW Contamination
Control – Electronics Group

Yorks, Kati L., Dow Corning
Corporation

Young, Lamar, Specialty Coating
Systems Inc.

Zutter, Paul, U.S. Army Aviation &
Missile Command

Special Contributor Recognition

John Waryold, Humiseal

Debora Obitz

Currently in preview, click buy full version

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Table of Contents

1 SCOPE	1	3.7.2 Thermal Shock	5
1.1 Scope	1	3.7.3 Temperature and Humidity Aging (Hydrolytic Stability)	6
1.2 Purpose	1	3.8 Special Requirements	6
1.3 Classification	1	4 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROVISION	5
1.3.1 Types	1	4.1 Responsibility for Inspection	5
1.4 Interpretation	1	4.2 Categories of Inspection and Frequency	6
2 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS	2	4.2.1 Qualification Inspection	6
2.1 IPC	2	4.2.2 Qualification Inspection Frequency	6
2.2 Government	2	4.2.3 Qualification Retention Inspection	6
2.3 American Society for Testing of Materials	2	4.2.4 Quality Conformance Inspection	6
2.4 Underwriters Laboratories	2	4.3 Product Change	6
2.5 ANSI	2	4.4 Test Equipment and Inspection Facilities	7
2.6 ISO	3	4.4.1 Standard Laboratory Conditions	7
3 REQUIREMENTS	3	4.4.2 Permissible Temperature Variation in Environmental Chambers	7
3.1 General Requirements	3	4.4.3 Reference Conditions	7
3.1.1 Terms and Definitions	3	4.5 Inspection Routine	7
3.1.2 Conflict	3	4.6 Inspection Sampling	7
3.2 Inspection and Testing Requirements	3	4.6.1 Test Vehicles	7
3.2.1 Qualification Inspection and Testing	4	4.6.2 Sample Size	7
3.2.2 Qualification Retention Inspection and Testing	4	4.6.3 Preparation Prior to Coating	9
3.2.3 Quality Conformance Inspection Testing	4	4.6.4 Coating Thickness	9
3.2.4 Additional Testing	4	4.6.5 Failures	9
3.3 Materials Requirements	4	4.7 Inspection Reporting	9
3.3.1 Materials	4	4.7.1 Qualification Reporting	9
3.3.2 Shelf Life	4	4.7.2 Qualification Retention Reporting	9
3.3.3 Cure	4	4.7.3 Quality Conformance Reporting	9
3.4 Chemical Requirements	4	5 PREPARATION FOR DELIVERY	9
3.4.1 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy Test (FTIR)	4	5.1 Containers	9
3.5 Physical Requirements	5	5.2 Packaging	9
3.5.1 Viscosity	5	5.3 Marking	9
3.5.2 Appearance	5	6 NOTES	10
3.5.3 Fluorescence	5	6.1 Order Data	10
3.5.4 Fungus Resistance	5	6.2 Formulation Change	10
3.5.5 Flexibility	5	6.3 Conditioning	10
3.6 Flammability	5	6.4 Cleanliness	10
3.7 Electrical Requirements	5	6.5 Adhesion	10
3.7.1 Dielectric Withstanding Voltage (DWV)	5	6.6 Solvent Compatibility	10
3.7 Environmental Requirements	5	6.7 Identification of Solvent Sensitive Conformal Coatings	11
3.7.1 Moisture and Insulation Resistance	5		

6.8	Reference	11
6.9	Coating Classes	11
6.9.1	Ultra-Thin (UT) Coatings	11
Appendix A	Example of Qualification Inspection Report	12
Appendix B	Example of Qualification Retention Inspection Report	13
Appendix C	Example of Quality Conformance Inspection Report	14
Appendix D	Procedure for Petitioning for New Coating Classes	15

Figures

Figure 4-1	IPC-B-25A	8
Figure 4-2	Test Coupon with “Y” Shape Pattern	8

Tables

Table 3-1	Requirements for Qualification, Retention of Qualification, and Quality Conformance of Conformal Coating Products	3
Table 4-1	Test Vehicles and Sample Sizes	8
Table 4-2	Thickness Requirements on Test Vehicle	9

Qualification and Performance of Electrical Insulating Compound for Printed Wiring Assemblies

1 SCOPE

1.1 Scope This standard establishes qualification and conformance requirements for electrical insulating compounds (conformal coatings). It has been designed and constructed with the intent of obtaining maximum confidence in the materials with minimum test redundancy. This standard covers:

- The qualification and qualification retention of the conformal coating material (Table 3-1, Column A and B).
- The quality conformance of conformal coating material properties (Table 3-1, Column C).

For the purpose of this standard, the term conformal coating is used herein when referring to a type of protective coating for use on printed wiring assemblies. The conformal coating is intended to provide protection from moisture and contamination and provide electrical insulation; not as a sole source of mechanical support.

For the purpose of this standard, inspections are performed on standardized test vehicles instead of real production assemblies. A standardized test vehicle refers to the test vehicle specified per test method indicated, coated with the conformal coating under inspection.

1.2 Purpose With standardized testing on standardized test vehicles under test conditions specified in test methods listed herein, this standard enables a manufacturer to qualify his conformal coating product and express the qualification it possesses. This standard also enables the manufacturer to attest the conformance of the quality of production to the qualification of each product.

It is important to understand that this specification is for materials qualification and conformance only and not for materials application or performance in the end use environment.

1.3 Classification

1.3.1 Types Conformal coatings with a target thickness of 12.5 μm [0.49 mil] or less, **shall** be defined as Type UT independent of chemical composition. Other conformal coatings **shall** be categorized into types by the cured chemistry of the coating. The type for hybrid materials **shall** be based on the chemistry type which is the highest percentage by weight. Conformal coatings **shall** be of the following types:

Type AR – Acrylic

Type ER – Epoxy

Type SR – Silicone

Type UR – Polyurethane

Type XY – Paraxylylene

Type UT – Ultra-Thin Coatings

Type SC – Styrene Block Co-Polymer

Additional coating classifications may be added to this specification. See Appendix D for the process to propose new coating classes to this document. New coating classes **shall** be included in Amendments to this specification.

1.4 Interpretation “**Shall**,” the imperative form of the verb, is used throughout this standard whenever a requirement is intended to express a provision that is mandatory. Deviation from a “**shall**” requirement may be considered if sufficient data is supplied to justify the exception.

The words “should” and “may” are used whenever it is necessary to express non-mandatory provisions. “Will” is used to express a declaration of purpose.

To assist the reader, the word “**shall**” is presented in bold characters.