

IPC-9709A

2021 - August

Guidelines for Acoustic Emission Measurement Method During Mechanical Testing

A standard developed by IPC



BUILD ELECTRONICS BETTER

The Principles of Standardization

In May 1995 the IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee (TAEC) adopted Principles of Standardization as a guiding principle of IPC's standardization efforts.

Standards Should:

- Show relationship to Design for Manufacturability (DFM) and Design for the Environment (DFE)
- Minimize time to market
- Contain simple (simplified) language
- Just include spec information
- Focus on end product performance
- Include a feedback system on use and problems for future improvement

Standards Should Not:

- Inhibit innovation
- Increase time-to-market
- Keep people out
- Increase cycle time
- Tell you how to make something
- Contain anything that cannot be defended with data

Notice

IPC Standards and Publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember of IPC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publication, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by IPC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the Recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

IPC Position Statement on Specification Revision Change

It is the position of IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee that the use and implementation of IPC publications is voluntary and is part of a relationship entered into by customer and supplier. When an IPC publication is updated and a new revision is published, it is the opinion of the TAEC that the use of the new revision as part of an existing relationship is not automatic unless required by the contract. The TAEC recommends the use of the latest revision. Adopted October 6, 1998

Why is there a charge for this document?

Your purchase of this document contributes to the ongoing development of new and updated industry standards and publications. Standards allow manufacturers, customers, and suppliers to understand one another better. Standards allow manufacturers greater efficiencies when they can set up their processes to meet industry standards, allowing them to offer their customers lower costs.

IPC spends hundreds of thousands of dollars annually to support IPC's volunteers in the standards and publications development process. There are many rounds of drafts sent out for review and the committees spend hundreds of hours in review and development. IPC's staff attends and participates in committee activities, typesets and circulates document drafts, and follows all necessary procedures to qualify for ANSI approval.

IPC's membership dues have been kept low to allow as many companies as possible to participate. Therefore, the standards and publications revenue is necessary to complement dues revenue. The price schedule offers a 50% discount to IPC members. If your company buys IPC standards and publications, why not take advantage of this and the many other benefits of IPC membership as well? For more information on membership in IPC, please visit www.ipc.org or call 847/597-2872.

Thank you for your continued support.



IPC-9709A

**Guidelines for
Acoustic Emission
Measurement during
Mechanical Testing**

Developed by the members of the SMT Attachment Reliability Test Methods Task Group (6-10d) of the IPC Product Reliability Committee (6-10) of IPC.

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC
3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 309S
Bannockburn, Illinois
60015-1219
Tel 847 615.7100
Fax 847 615.7105

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Acknowledgment

Any document involving a complex technology draws material from a vast number of sources. While the principal members of the 6-10d SMT Attachment Reliability Test Methods Task Group of the Product Reliability Committee are shown below, it is not possible to include all of those who assisted in the evolution of this standard. To each of them, the members of the IPC extend their gratitude.

Product Reliability Committee

Chair

Julie Silk

Keysight Technologies

Vice Chair

Vasu Vasudevan

Dell Corporation

SMT Attachment Reliability Test Methods Task Group

Chair

Reza Ghaffarian, Ph.D.

Jet Propulsion Laboratory

Vice Chair

Vasu Vasudevan

Dell Corporation

Technical Liaisons of the IPC Board of Directors SMT Attachment Reliability Test Methods Task Group

Mudasir Ahmad

Cisco Systems Inc

Aileen Allen

Hewlett-Packard Inc.

Dudi Amir

Intel Corporation

Martin K. Anselm

Rochester Institute of Technology

Michael Azarian

University of Maryland

Elizabeth Elias Benedetto

Hewlett-Packard Inc.

Nicole Butel

Broadcom Limited

William P. Cardinal

UTC Aerospace Systems

Beverly Christian

HDP User Group

Jean-Paul Clech

EPSI

Gino Cochella

Northrop Grumman Aerospace Systems

Richard J. Coyne

Northrop

William C. Dieffenbacher

SAE Systems

Harold O. Ellison

Quantum Corporation

Gerd Fischer

NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

Dennis D. Fritz

SAIC

Enrico G. Ghisla

SEM Communication & GESTLABS Srl

Philip Gong

Intel Corporation

Reza Ghaffarian

Jet Propulsion Laboratory

Cynthia A. Gomez

Continental Temic SA de CV

Allen Green

Acoustic Technology Group

Bill Hargin

Nan Ya Plastics Corporation

Kayleen Helms

Intel Corporation

Gaston Hidalgo

Toyota Motor North America

David Hillman

Collins Aerospace

Ife Hsu

Intel Corporation

Christopher Hunt

Pireta

Jeffrey ChangBing Lee

iST Integrated Service Technology

Anna Lifton

Alpha Assembly Solutions

Rene R. Martinez

Northrop Grumman Aerospace Systems

Daniel McCormick Naval Surface Warfare Center	Gnyaneshwar Ramakrishna Cisco Systems Inc.	Taylor J. Swanson Rochester Institute of Technology
Steve Minich Amphenol InterCon Systems	Edda Rivera Gables Engineering, Inc.	John Paul Thompson FCI USA, Inc.
Jim Mulvey Lockheed Martin Corporation	Jose A. Sanchez Gables Engineering, Inc.	Kristen K. Troxel Hewlett-Packard Inc.
David R. Nelson Raytheon Company	Martin Scionti Raytheon Missile Systems	Vasu S. Vasudevan Dell Inc.
Keith G. Newman AMD	Jose Ma Servin-Olivares Continental Temic SA de CV	Milena Vujosevic Intel Corporation
Clarence Nichols Gables Engineering, Inc.	Russell S. Shepherd NTS Anaheim	Michael Wolverson Raytheon Systems Company
David Oris Gables Engineering, Inc.	Julie Silk Keysight Technologies	Fonda B. Wu Raytheon Company
Chandradip Patel Schlumberger Well Services	Bhanu Sood NASA Goddard Space Flight Center	Andy Zhang Texas Instruments
Jagadeesh Radhakrishnan Intel Corporation	Jorge Suarez Gables Engineering, Inc.	

Special Acknowledgment**For her leadership**

Julie Silk Keysight Technologies	Nicole Butel Broadcom	Keith Newman AMD
Elizabeth Benedetto HP	Mahdi Farahikia SUNY New Paltz	Jagadeesh Radhakrishnan Intel
	Greg Morsher University of Akron	Carter Ralph Southern Research Institute

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 SCOPE	1	5.4.3 Critical Metrics and Thresholds	8
1.1 Purpose	1	6.0 MEASUREMENT ACCURACY AND EXPECTATIONS	9
1.2 Background	1	6.1 Measurement Accuracy and Expectations	9
1.3 Performance Classification	1	7.0 ANALYSIS AND REPORTING	
1.4 Definition of Terms	1	7.1 Correlation with Strain Value or Other Parameters	9
1.4.1 Acoustic Emission (AE)	1	7.2 Analysis	9
1.4.2 Acoustic Emission Count	1	7.3 Correlation of AE events with other techniques	14
1.4.3 Acoustic Emission Signal	1	7.4 Reporting	14
1.4.4 Average Signal Level	1	8.0 REFERENCES	15
1.4.5 Channel	1	Appendix A	16
1.4.6 Couplant	1	Appendix B	19
1.4.7 Effective Velocity	1	Appendix C	20
1.4.8 Energy, Acoustic Emission Signal	1	Figures	
1.4.9 Evaluation Threshold	1	Figure 5-1 Four Point Bend Set-up Schematic Including AE Sensors to Locate Acoustic Emission Event using Linear Location Algorithm	3
1.4.10 Event (Emission event)	1	Figure 5-3 Example of Spherical Bend Test Vehicle with Four AE Sensors. [4].	4
1.4.11 Hit	1	Figure 5-4 Example of Shock Test Vehicle with Four AE Sensors.	5
1.4.12 Location Accuracy	1	Figure 5-5 Example of PLB calibration	6
1.4.13 Location, Computed	2	Figure 5-6 Schematic of Bend Test Set-up	7
1.4.14 Peak Amplitude	2	Figure 5-7 AE signal measured with resonant frequency sensor or highly filtered (narrow frequency range) signal	8
1.4.15 Pencil Lead Break (PLB) Source	2	Figure 7-1 Example of waveform set, indicating time of arrival for event of interest	9
1.4.16 Sensor	2	Figure 7-2 Acoustic Event Progression.	10
1.4.17 Signature	2	Figure 7-3 Representation of AED with Cumulative Energy vs Strain.	11
1.4.18 Absolute Energy	2	Figure 7-4 Cumulative energy vs time.	12
2.0 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS	2	Figure 7-6 AED Data after 350 MHz High Pass Filter.	14
2.1 IPC	2	Figure B-1 Example of Linear Source Location using PLB test; Linear Maximum Inaccuracies of 3.0 mm achieved in Testing	19
2.2 JEDEC	2	Figure B-2 Example of Spatial Source Location using PLB test; Spatial Inaccuracies of 5.0 mm achieved in Testing	19
2.3 ASTM	2	Figure C-1 (A), (B), (C) Showing Typical Hit-Based Outputs for a Four Point Bend Test.	21
2.4 ASNT	2	Tables	
3.0 SAMPLE SIZE	2	Table 5-1 General Guidance for Velocity Measurement Range and other Parameters in Various Test Methods	6
4.0 APPARATUS AND SETUP	2	Table 7-1 Recommended Items to Report with Acoustic Emission Detection	14
4.1 AE Sensors	2		
4.2 Data Acquisition Equipment	3		
5.0 TEST VEHICLES	3		
5.1 Printed Circuit Boards	3		
5.2 Sensor Layout	3		
5.3 Sensor Mounting	3		
5.4 Calibration	5		
5.4.1 Pencil Lead Break (PLB) Test, Location Accuracy and Effective Velocity of Sound	5		
5.4.2 Basics of Linear and Planar Location	6		

This page left intentionally blank.

Guidelines for Acoustic Emission Measurement Method During Mechanical Testing

1.0 SCOPE

This guideline document establishes an Acoustic Emission (AE) method to enhance evaluation of the performance and reliability of surface mount attachments of electronic assemblies during mechanical loading. Mechanical loading may include stressors such as four-point bend test, spherical bend test, back-end manufacturing and test steps post surface mount attachment and drop/shock test. The current focus for this measurement method is to identify the printed board pad cratering mechanism and printed board material performance. This approach may eventually be extended to examine other failure modes depending on the guideline's evolution and adoption, as this method remains in development.

1.1 Purpose The purpose of this document includes:

- Detection of crack/mechanical damage initiation: initial damage from strain may precede electrical detection of failures such as pad cratering damage during a mechanical stress test.
- Identification of the failure initiation location and propagation through detection of AE signals generated due to stress-induced physical damage.
- Estimation of the strain at which the mechanical failure event is observed acoustically, which can be used as a design guideline.
- Provision of standardized test guidelines and reporting procedures.

1.2 Background Pad cratering typically initiates prior to detection by existing electrical monitoring test methods. There are limited instrumentation techniques that are currently available that can identify non-electrical damage and its location to a high degree of accuracy. Alternative methodologies often require large sample sizes to estimate these virtually undetectable failure modes.

1.3 Performance Classification The specific reliability requirements need to be established by agreement between customer and supplier.

1.4 Definition of Terms The definition of all terms used herein shall be as specified in IPC-T-50, ASTM E1316, and as defined below.

1.4.1 Acoustic Emission (AE) The class of phenomena whereby transient stress/displacement waves are generated by the rapid release of acoustic energy from localized sources within a material, or the transient waves so generated.

1.4.2 Acoustic Emission Count The number of times the acoustic emission signal exceeds a preset threshold during any selected portion of a test.

1.4.3 Acoustic Emission Signal An electrical signal obtained by detection of one or more acoustic emission events.

1.4.4 Average Signal Level The rectified, time averaged AE logarithmic signal, measured on the AE amplitude logarithmic scale and reported in dB_{AE} units (where 0 dB_{AE} refers to $1 \mu\text{V}$ at the preamplifier input).

1.4.5 Channel An assembly of a sensor, preamplifier or impedance matching transformer, filters, secondary amplifier or other instrumentation as needed, connecting cables, and detector or processor.

1.4.6 Couplant A material used at the structure-to-sensor interface to improve the transmission of acoustic energy across the interface during acoustic emission monitoring.

1.4.7 Effective Velocity Velocity calculated on the basis of arrival times and propagation distances determined by artificial AE generation. This quantity is used for computing the location of the AE.

1.4.8 Energy, Acoustic Emission Signal The energy contained in an acoustic emission signal, which is evaluated as the integral of the voltage squared function over time.

1.4.9 Examination Threshold A threshold value used for analysis of the examination data. Data may be recorded with a system examination threshold lower than the evaluation threshold.

1.4.10 Event (Emission event) An occurrence of a local material change or mechanical action resulting in acoustic emission.

1.4.11 Hit The detection and measurement of an AE signal on a channel.

1.4.12 Location Accuracy A value determined by comparison of the actual position of an AE source (or simulated AE source) to the computed location.