

# **IPC-7801A**

## **2022 - August**

### **Reflow Oven Process Control Standard**

Supersedes IPC-7801  
April 2015

*An international standard developed by IPC*



**BUILD ELECTRONICS BETTER**

---

**The Principles of Standardization**

In May 1995 the IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee (TAEC) adopted Principles of Standardization as a guiding principle of IPC's standardization efforts.

**Standards Should:**

- Show relationship to Design for Manufacturability (DFM) and Design for the Environment (DFE)
- Minimize time to market
- Contain simple (simplified) language
- Just include spec information
- Focus on end product performance
- Include a feedback system on use and problems for future improvement

**Standards Should Not:**

- Inhibit innovation
- Increase time-to-market
- Keep people out
- Increase cycle time
- Tell you how to make something
- Contain anything that cannot be defended with data

**Notice**

IPC Standards and Publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember of IPC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publication, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC members, whether the standards are to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by IPC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the Recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

**IPC Position Statement on Specification Revision Change**

It is the position of IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee that the use and implementation of IPC publications is voluntary and is part of a relationship entered into by customer and supplier. When an IPC publication is updated and a new revision is published, it is the opinion of the TAEC that the use of the new revision as part of an existing relationship is not automatic unless required by the contract. The TAEC recommends the use of the latest revision. Adopted October 6, 1998

**Why is there a charge for this document?**

Your purchase of this document contributes to the ongoing development of new and updated industry standards and publications. Standards allow manufacturers, customers, and suppliers to understand one another better. Standards allow manufacturers greater efficiencies when they can set up their processes to meet industry standards, allowing them to offer their customers lower costs.

IPC spends hundreds of thousands of dollars annually to support IPC's volunteers in the standards and publication development process. There are many rounds of drafts sent out for review and the committees spend hundreds of hours in review and development. IPC's staff attends and participates in committee activities, typesets and circulates document drafts, and follows all necessary procedures to qualify for ANSI approval.

IPC's membership dues have been kept low to allow as many companies as possible to participate. Therefore, the standards and publications revenue is necessary to complement dues revenue. The price schedule offers a 50% discount to IPC members. If your company buys IPC standards and publications, why not take advantage of this and the many other benefits of IPC membership as well? For more information on membership in IPC, please visit [www.ipc.org](http://www.ipc.org) or call 847/597-2872.

Thank you for your continued support.



IPC-7801A

# Reflow Oven Process Control Standard

Developed by the Reflow Oven Process Subcommittee (5-45) of IPC

**Supersedes:**  
IPC-7801 - April 2015

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC  
3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 105 N  
Bannockburn, Illinois  
60015-1249  
Tel 847 615.7100  
Fax 847 615.7105

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

## Acknowledgment

Any document involving a complex technology draws material from a vast number of sources across many continents. While the principal members of the Reflow Oven Process Control Standard Task Group (5-45a) are shown below, it is not possible to include all of those who assisted in the evolution of this standard. To each of them, the members of the IPC extend their gratitude.

---

### (5-45) Reflow Oven Process Control Subcommittee

Chair  
Linda Woody  
LWC Consulting

Vice Chair  
Joseph Kane  
BAE Systems

### (5-45a) Reflow Oven Process Control Standard Task Group

Chair  
Linda Woody  
LWC Consulting

Vice Chair  
Joseph Kane  
BAE Systems

### Technical Liaison of the IPC Board of Directors

Bob Neves  
Microtek (Changzhou) Laboratories

---

### (5-45a) Reflow Oven Process Control Standard Task Group

Paul Austen  
ECD

Gerald Bogert  
Bechtel Plant Machinery, Inc.

Lance Brack  
Raytheon Missile Defense

Zhiman Chen  
ZHUZHOU CRRC TIMES  
ELECTRIC CO., LTD

Beverly Christian  
HDP User Group

James Daggett  
Raytheon Company

Christopher de Simone  
Northrop Grumman Innovation  
Systems

Francesco Di Maio  
GESTLABS S.r.l.

Fred Dimock  
BTU International

Miguel Dominguez  
Continental Automotive

Constantino Gonzalez  
ACME Training & Consulting

Alafio Hewitt  
Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire  
Control

Gaston Hidalgo  
Toyota Motor North America

Ife Hsu  
Intel

Constantin Hudon  
East West Quebec

Sharissa Johns  
Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire  
Control

Milea Kammer  
Honeywell International

Joseph Kane  
BAE Systems

Jeff Kennedy  
ZELTRON Americas

Kelly Kovalovsky  
BAE Systems

Miles Moreau  
KIC

Marc Peo  
Heller Industries Inc.

Ray Prasad  
Ray Prasad Consultancy Group

Laura Price  
Pobin Air Force Base

Pradeesh Radhakrishnan  
Intel Corporation

Kenneth Rahn  
FCI USA, Inc.

Richard Rumas  
Honeywell Canada

Jose Servin Olivares  
Vitesco Technologies Automotive  
Cuautia, S.A. de C.V.

Geok Ang Tan  
DSO National Laboratories

Bryan Tran  
Vexos

Jesse Vaughan  
ACDI - American Computer  
Development, Inc.

Mark Waterman  
ECD

Linda Woody  
LWC Consulting

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Currently in preview, click buy full version

# Table of Contents

<b>1 SCOPE</b> .....	1	<b>5 VERIFICATION TEST VEHICLE DESIGN</b> .....	4
1.1 Purpose .....	1	5.1 Test Vehicle Materials .....	5
1.2 Measurement Units .....	1	5.2 Thermocouples .....	5
1.3 Definition of Requirements .....	1	5.2.1 Bolt-on Thermocouples .....	5
1.4 Process Control Requirements .....	1	5.2.2 Eyelet Thermocouples .....	5
1.5 Order of Precedence .....	1	5.2.3 Epoxy Bond .....	5
1.5.1 Conflict .....	1	<b>6 PROFILING EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS</b> .....	5
1.5.2 Clause References .....	1	6.1 Types of Profiling Equipment .....	5
1.6 Terms and Definitions .....	2	6.1.1 Built-In Profilers .....	5
1.6.1 Oven Profile .....	2	6.1.2 Remote Profilers .....	6
1.6.2 Profiling System .....	2	6.2 Minimum Data Acquisition .....	6
1.6.3 Ramp .....	2	6.3 Measurement Accuracy .....	6
1.6.4 Recipe .....	2	<b>7 VERIFICATION PROFILING REQUIREMENTS</b> .....	7
1.6.5 Reflow Oven .....	2	7.1 Verification Frequency .....	7
1.6.6 Thermocouple .....	2	7.2 Verification Profiling Data Requirements .....	7
1.6.7 User .....	2	7.3 Oven Profile Repeatability Measurements/Limits .....	7
1.6.8 Verification Test Vehicle .....	2	7.4 Profile Specification Process .....	7
<b>2 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS</b> .....	3		
2.1 IPC .....	3		
2.2 ASTM .....	3		
2.3 JEDEC .....	3		
<b>3 OVEN CHARACTERIZATION AND CONTROL</b> .....	3		
3.1 Oven Calibration .....	3		
3.2 Oven Repeatability Recipe .....	3		
<b>4 THERMOCOUPLES</b> .....	3		
4.1 “K”-Type Thermocouple .....	3		
4.2 Additional Recommendation .....	4		
4.2.1 Long Wires .....	4		
4.2.2 Stress Relief .....	4		
4.3 Recognizing Potential Issues .....	4		
4.3.1 Variations in Attachments .....	4		
4.3.2 Twisted Wire .....	4		
4.3.3 Spikes in Temperature Data .....	4		
4.3.4 Excessively High or Low Temperature .....	4		
4.3.5 Transport Errors .....	4		
		<b>Figures</b>	
		Figure 1-1 Typical Graph Representation of an Oven Profile .....	2
		Figure 3-1 Example of an Oven Repeatability Recipe .....	3
		Figure 4-1 Stress Relief on Test Vehicle .....	4
		Figure 4-2 Spikes in Temperature Data .....	4
		Figure 5-1 Bolt-on Thermocouple Method .....	5
		Figure 5-2 Bolt on Eyelet Thermocouple Attachment Method .....	5
		Figure 5-3 Epoxy Attachment .....	5
		Figure 6-1 Built-in Thermocouple Attachment Sites on a Reflow Oven .....	6
		Figure 6-2 Remote Traveling Profiling System .....	6
		Figure 7-1 Measurement Points for an Eight-Zone Oven for One Thermocouple .....	7

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Currently in preview, click buy full version

# Reflow Oven Process Control Standard

## 1 SCOPE

This standard provides requirements for process control of conveyORIZED solder reflow ovens. It includes a methodology for performing temperature measurements over time to establish a baseline profile, and then provides requirements to verify repeatability through periodic verification of the oven profile.

This standard is not intended for the assembly product profile/recipe. For detailed information on development or verification of a product profile/recipe, see IPC-7530.

This standard does not address vapor phase processes. Batch ovens offer lower throughput and are also not covered in this standard.

**1.1 Purpose** This standard provides baseline performance, periodic verification and performance repeatability requirements for reflow oven equipment.

**1.2 Measurement Units** This standard uses International System of Units (SI) units [Imperial English equivalent units are in brackets for convenience]. The SI units used in this standard are Celsius (°C) [°F] for temperature and temperature tolerances.

**1.3 Definition of Requirements** The words **shall** or **shall not** are used in the text of this document wherever there is a requirement for materials, preparation, process control or acceptance.

The word “should” reflects recommendations and is used to reflect general industry practices and procedures for guidance only.

Line drawings and illustrations are depicted herein to assist in the interpretation of the written requirements of this Standard. The text takes precedence over the figures.

**1.4 Process Control Requirements** The primary goal of process control is to continually reduce variation in the processes, products, or services to provide products or processes meeting or exceeding User requirements. Process control tools such as IPC-9191, JESD557 or other User-approved system may be used as guidelines for implementing process control.

Users **shall** develop and implement a documented process control system for reflow soldering.

The documented process control system **shall** define process control and corrective action limits.

This may or may not be a statistical process control system. The use of “statistical process control” (SPC) should be based on factors such as design stability, lot size, production quantities, and the needs of the User.

Process control methodologies **shall** be used in the planning, implementation and evaluation of the reflow soldering processes. The philosophy, implementation strategies, tools and techniques may be applied in different sequences depending on the specific company, operation, or variable under consideration to relate process control and capability to end product requirements.

When a decision or requirement is to use a documented process control system for reflow soldering, failure to implement process corrective action and/or the use of continually ineffective corrective actions **shall** be grounds for disapproval of the reflow soldering process and associated documentation.

**1.5 Order of Precedence** The contract **shall** take precedence over this Standard, referenced standards and drawings.

In the event of conflict, the following order of precedence applies:

- 1) Procurement as agreed and documented between customer and User.
- 2) Master drawing reflecting the customer’s detailed requirements.
- 3) When invoked by the customer or per contractual agreement, this standard.

When documents other than this standard are cited, the order of precedence should be defined in the procurement documents.

**1.5.1 Conflict** In the event of conflict between the requirements of this standard and the applicable drawing(s) and documentation, the applicable customer-approved drawing(s) and documentation govern.

Some examples of documentation include the contract, purchase order, technical data package, engineering specification or performance specification. In the event of a conflict between the text of this standard and the applicable documents cited herein, the text of this standard takes precedence. In the event of conflict between the requirements of this standard and drawing(s) and documentation that has not been customer approved, this standard governs.

**1.5.2 Clause References** When a clause in this document is referenced, its subordinate clauses apply, unless the requirement references specific subordinate clauses.