



ASSOCIATION CONNECTING
ELECTRONICS INDUSTRIES

IPC-6012B with Amendment 1

Qualification and Performance
Specification for Rigid
Printed Boards

**IPC-6012B
with Amendment 1**

January 2007

A standard developed by IPC

Supersedes IPC-6012B
August 2004

3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 309S, Bannockburn, IL 60015-1249
Tel. 847.615.7100 Fax 847.615.7105
www.ipc.org

The Principles of Standardization

In May 1995 the IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee (TAEC) adopted Principles of Standardization as a guiding principle of IPC's standardization efforts.

Standards Should:

- Show relationship to Design for Manufacturability (DFM) and Design for the Environment (DFE)
- Minimize time to market
- Contain simple (simplified) language
- Just include spec information
- Focus on end product performance
- Include a feedback system on use and problems for future improvement

Standards Should Not:

- Inhibit innovation
- Increase time-to-market
- Keep people out
- Increase cycle time
- Tell you how to make something
- Contain anything that cannot be defended with data

Notice

IPC Standards and Publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember of IPC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publication, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by IPC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the Recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

IPC Position Statement on Specification Revision Change

It is the position of IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee that the use and implementation of IPC publications is voluntary and is part of a relationship entered into by customer and supplier. When an IPC publication is updated and a new revision is published, it is the opinion of the TAEC that the use of the new revision as part of an existing relationship is not automatic unless required by the contract. The TAEC recommends the use of the latest revision. Adopted October 6, 1998

Why is there a charge for this document?

Your purchase of this document contributes to the ongoing development of new and updated industry standards and publications. Standards allow manufacturers, customers, and suppliers to understand one another better. Standards allow manufacturers greater efficiencies when they can set up their processes to meet industry standards, allowing them to offer their customers lower costs.

IPC spends hundreds of thousands of dollars annually to support IPC's volunteers in the standards and publication development process. There are many rounds of drafts sent out for review and the committees spend hundreds of hours in review and development. IPC's staff attends and participates in committee activities, typesets and circulates document drafts, and follows all necessary procedures to qualify for ANSI approval.

IPC's membership dues have been kept low to allow as many companies as possible to participate. Therefore, the standards and publications revenue is necessary to complement dues revenue. The price schedule offers a 50% discount to IPC members. If your company buys IPC standards and publications, why not take advantage of this and the many other benefits of IPC membership as well? For more information on membership in IPC, please visit www.ipc.org or call 847/597-2872.

Thank you for your continued support.



ASSOCIATION CONNECTING
ELECTRONICS INDUSTRIES

IPC-6012B with Amendment 1

Qualification and Performance Specification for Rigid Printed Boards

Developed by the Rigid Printed Board Performance Specifications Task Group (D-33a) of the Rigid Printed Board Committee (D-30) of IPC


Supersedes:

IPC-6012B - August 2004
IPC-6012A with
Amendment 1 - July 2000
IPC-6012A - October 1999
IPC-6012 - July 1996
IPC-RB-276 - March 1992

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC
3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 309S
Bannockburn, Illinois
60015-1249
Tel 847 615.7100
Fax 847 615.7105



FOREWORD

This specification is intended to provide information on the detailed performance criteria of rigid printed boards. It supersedes IPC-6012B and was developed as a revision to those documents. The information contained herein is also intended to supplement the generic requirements identified in IPC-6011. When used together, these documents should lead both manufacturer and customer to consistent terms of acceptability.

IPC's documentation strategy is to provide distinct documents that focus on specific aspects of electronic packaging issues. In this regard, document sets are used to provide the total information related to a particular electronic packaging topic. A document set is identified by a four digit number that ends in zero (0) (i.e., IPC-6010).

Included in the set is the generic information, which is contained in the first document of the set. The generic specification is supplemented by one or multiple performance documents, each of which provide a specific focus on one aspect of the topic or the technology selected.

Failure to have all information available prior to building a board may result in a conflict in terms of acceptability.

As technology changes, a performance specification will be updated, or new focus specifications will be added to the document set. The IPC invites input on the effectiveness of the documentation and encourages user response through completion of "Suggestions for Improvement" forms located at the end of each document.

Acknowledgment

Any document involving a complex technology draws material from a vast number of sources. While the principal members of the Rigid Printed Board Performance Specifications Task Group (D-33a) of the Rigid Printed Board Committee (D-30) are shown below, it is not possible to include all of those who assisted in the evolution of this standard. To each of them, the members of the IPC extend their gratitude.

Rigid Printed Board Committee	Rigid Printed Board Performance Specifications Task Group	Technical Liaisons of the IPC Board of Directors
Chair Susan S. Hott Robisan Laboratory Inc.	Chair Mark Buechner BAE Systems	Peter Bigelow IMI Inc.
Vice-Chair Vicka White Honeywell Inc. - Air Transport Systems	Vice-Chair Randy R. Reed Merix Corp.	Sammy Yi Flextronics International
Rigid Printed Board Performance Specifications Task Group		
Robyn L. Aagesen	Dan Colvin, Tyco PCG/Logan Division	Michael E. Hill, Colonial Circuits Inc.
Sheila C. Akins, M-Flex, (Multi-Fineline Electronix Inc)	David J. Corbett, Defense Supply Center Columbus	Shihly Hsu, Compeq Manufacturing Co. Ltd.
Allan Pat Anderson, The Boeing Company	Dennis DeBord, Nortel Networks Center 1	Bryan James, Rockwell Collins
Franklin D. Asbell	C. Don Dupriest, Lockheed Martin Missiles and Fire Control	Todd Jarman, L-3 Communications
Gail Auyeung, Celestica International Inc.	Theodore Edwards, Dynaco Corp.	Ted J. Jones, NSWC - Crane
Mary E. Bellon, Boeing Satellite Systems	Alan Exley, Cosmotronic	Thomas E. Kemp, Rockwell Collins
Eric Benjamins, Microtek Laboratories	Thomas G. Ferrel, Underwriters Labs Inc.	Jason Koch, Robisan Laboratory Inc.
Wendi Boger, Dynamic Details, Inc.	Garrett Ferrari, C.I.D.+, FTG Components	Nick Koop, C.I.D., Minco Products Inc.
Gerald Leslie Bogert, Bechtel Plant Machinery, Inc.	Dennis Fritz, MacDermid, Inc.	Leo P. Lambert, EPTAC Corporation
Scott A. Bowles, Hallmark Circuits Inc.	Lionel Fullwood, WKK Distribution Ltd.	Michael G. Luke, C.I.D. Raytheon Company
Ronald J. Brock, NSWC - Crane	Mahendra S. Gandhi, Northrop Grumman Space Technology	Clifford R. Maddox, The Boeing Company
Elaine Brown, Lockheed Martin Corporation	Thomas F. Gardeski, E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Co.	Chris Mahanna, Robisan Laboratory Inc.
Jennifer Burlingham, Cisco Systems Inc.	Michael R. Green, Lockheed Martin Space Systems Company	Kenneth J. Manning, Raytheon Company
Dennis J. Cantwell, Printed Circuits Inc.	Hue T. Green, Lockheed Martin Space Systems Company	Rene R. Martinez, Northrop Grumman Space Technology
Byron Case, L-3 Communications	Ken Greene, Siemens Energy & Automation	Brian C. McCrory, Delsen Testing Laboratories
Fu Jiang Chen, Shanghai Printronics Circuit	Philip M. Henault, Raytheon Company	David R. McGregor, E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Co.
Phillip Chen, L-3 Communications Electronic Systems	Aram Henesian, Lockheed Martin Space Systems Company	Chetan Mehta, C.I.D., One Source Group
Denise Chevalier, Amphenol TCS		Peter B. Menezes, L-3 Communications
Christine R. Coapman, Delphi Electronics and Safety		Renee J. Michalkiewicz, Trace Laboratories - East

Scott Montgomery, The Boeing Company	Mel Parrish, STI Electronics, Inc.	Dung Q. Tiet, Lockheed Martin Space Systems Company
Susan Morgana, United Technologies	Stephen G. Pierce, SGP Ventures, Inc.	Jim J Vanden Hogen, Plexus Corp.
John H. Morton, C.I.D., Lockheed Martin Corporation	Visa Ruuhonen, Nokia Oyj	Crystal E. Vanderpan, Underwriters Laboratories Inc.
Mary Muller, Crane Aerospace & Electronics	Karl A. Sauter, Sun Microsystems Inc.	Ronnie Walker, Northrop Grumman
Bob Neves, Microtek Laboratories	Joseph C. Schmidt, Raytheon Missile Systems	Clark F. Webster, ALL Flex Inc.
Benny Nilsson, Ericsson AB	Kenneth C. Selk, Northrop Grumman Space Technology	Vicka White, Honeywell Inc.
Steven M. Nolan, C.I.D.+, Lockheed Martin Maritime Systems	Bob Sheldon, Pioneer Circuits Inc.	Dewey Whittaker, Honeywell Inc.
Debora L. Obitz, Trace Laboratories - East	Russell S. Shepherd, Microtek Laboratories	John E. Williams, Raytheon Company
William A. Ortloff, Sr., Raytheon Company	Lowell Sherman, Defense Supply Center Columbus	David L. Wolf, Conducto. Analysis Technologies, Inc.
Michael W. Paddack, The Boeing Company	Hans L. Shin, Pacific Testing Laboratories, Inc.	Anthony Wong, NASA Johnson Space Center
J. Lee Parker, Ph.D., JLP	Roger Su, L-3 Communications	Scott Worley, NASA Marshall Space Flight Center

Table of Contents

1 SCOPE	1	3.3.2	Laminate Imperfections	8
1.1 Statement of Scope	1	3.3.3	Plating and Coating Voids in the Hole	8
1.2 Purpose	1	3.3.4	Lifted Lands	8
1.3 Performance Classification and Type	1	3.3.5	Marking	
1.3.1 Classification	1	3.3.6	Solderability	
1.3.2 Board Type	1	3.3.7	Plating Adhesion	
1.3.3 Selection for Procurement	1	3.3.8	Edge Board Contact, Junction of Gold Plate to Solder Finish	9
1.3.4 Material, Plating Process and Final Finish	1	3.3.9	Workmanship	9
1.4 Definition of Terms	2	3.4	Board Dimensional Requirements	9
1.5 Interpretation	2	3.4.1	Hole Size, Hole Pattern Accuracy and Pattern Feature Accuracy	10
1.6 Revision Level Changes	2	3.4.2	Annular Ring and Breakout (External)	10
2 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS	2	3.4.3	Bow and Twist	11
2.1 IPC	3	3.5	Conductor Definition	11
2.2 Joint Industry Standards	4	3.5.1	Conductor Width and Thickness	11
2.3 Federal	4	3.5.2	Conductor Spacing	11
2.4 Other Publications	4	3.5.3	Conductor Imperfections	11
2.4.1 American Society for Testing and Materials	4	3.5.4	Conductive Surfaces	12
2.4.2 Underwriters Lab	4	3.6	Structural Integrity	13
2.4.3 National Electrical Manufacturers Association	4	3.6.1	Thermal Stress Testing	14
2.4.4 American Society for Quality	4	3.6.2	Requirements for Microsectioned Coupons or Production Boards	14
2.4.5 AMS	4	3.7	Solder Resist (Solder Mask) Requirements	20
2.4.6 American Society of Mechanical Engineers	4	3.7.1	Solder Resist Coverage	20
3 REQUIREMENTS	4	3.7.2	Solder Resist Cure and Adhesion	21
3.1 General	4	3.7.3	Solder Resist Thickness	21
3.2 Materials Used in this Specification	4	3.8	Electrical Requirements	21
3.2.1 Laminates and Bonding Materials for Multilayer Boards	4	3.8.1	Dielectric Withstanding Voltage	21
3.2.2 External Bonding Materials	4	3.8.2	Electrical Continuity and Insulation Resistance	21
3.2.3 Other Dielectric Materials	4	3.8.3	Circuit/Plated-Through Shorts to Metal Substrate	21
3.2.4 Metal Foils	4	3.8.4	Moisture and Insulation Resistance (MIR)	22
3.2.5 Metal Plated Cores	4	3.9	Cleanliness	22
3.2.6 Metallic Platings and Coatings	5	3.9.1	Cleanliness Prior to Solder Resist Application	22
3.2.7 Organic Solderability Preservative (OSP)	7	3.9.2	Cleanliness After Solder Resist, Solder, or Alternative Surface Coating Application	22
3.2.8 Polymer Coating (Solder Resist)	7	3.9.3	Cleanliness of Inner Layers After Oxide Treatment Prior to Lamination	22
3.2.9 Fusing Fluids and Fluxes	7	3.10	Special Requirements	22
3.2.10 Marking Inks	7	3.10.1	Outgassing	22
3.2.11 Hole Fill Insulation Material	7	3.10.2	Organic Contamination	22
3.2.12 Heatsink Planes, External	7	3.10.3	Fungus Resistance	22
3.2.13 Via Protection	7			
3.2.14 Embedded Passive Materials	7			
3.3 Visual Examination	7			
3.3.1 Edges	8			

3.10.4 Vibration 22

3.10.5 Mechanical Shock 23

3.10.6 Impedance Testing 23

3.10.7 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion (CTE) 23

3.10.8 Thermal Shock 23

3.10.9 Surface Insulation Resistance
(As Received) 23

3.10.10 Metal Core (Horizontal Microsection) 23

3.10.11 Rework Simulation 23

3.10.12 Bond Strength, Unsupported Component
Hole Land 23

3.11 Repair 23

3.11.1 Circuit Repairs 23

3.12 Rework 23

4 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROVISIONS 23

4.1 General 23

4.1.1 Qualification 24

4.1.2 Sample Test Coupons 24

4.2 Acceptance Tests 24

4.2.1 C=0 Zero Acceptance Number Sampling
Plan 24

4.2.2 Referee Tests 24

4.3 Quality Conformance Testing 24

4.3.1 Coupon Selection 24

5 NOTES 29

5.1 Ordering Data 29

5.2 Superseded Specifications 29

**IPC-6012B Performance Specification Sheet
for Space and Military Avionics** 30

APPENDIX A 35

Figures

Figure 3-1 Annular Ring Measurement (External) 11

Figure 3-2 Breakout of 90° and 180° 11

Figure 3-3 Conductor Width Reduction 11

Figure 3-4 Separations at External Foil 12

Figure 3-5 Crack Definition 13

Figure 3-6 Rectangular Surface Mount Lands 13

Figure 3-7 Round Surface Mount Lands 13

Figure 3-8 Typical Microsection Evaluation Specimen 16

Figure 3-9 Negative Etchback 16

Figure 3-10 Annular Ring Measurement (Internal) 17

Figure 3-11 Microsection Rotations for Breakout
Detection 17

Figure 3-12 Comparison of Microsection Rotations 17

Figure 3-13 Surface Copper Wrap Measurement
(Applicable to all filled plated-through holes) .. 18

Figure 3-14 Wrap Copper in Type 4 PCB (Acceptable) 18

Figure 3-15 Wrap Copper Removed by Excessive
Sanding/Planarization (Not Acceptable) 18

Figure 3-16 Metal Core to Plated-Through Hole
Spacing 19

Figure 3-17 Measurement of Minimum Dielectric
Spacing 20

Tables

Table 1-1 Technology / Adder Examples 1

Table 1-2 Default Requirements 2

Table 3-1 Internal or External Metal Planes 5

Table 3-2 Plating Finish, Surface Plating and Coating
Thickness Requirements 5

Table 3-3 Plating and Coating Voids Visual Examination 9

Table 3-4 Edge Board Contact Gap 9

Table 3-5 Minimum Annular Ring 10

Table 3-6 Plated Hole Integrity After Stress 15

Table 3-7 Internal Layer Foil Thickness after
Processing 19

Table 3-8 External Conductor Thickness after Plating 19

Table 3-9 Solder Resist Adhesion 21

Table 3-10 Dielectric Withstanding Voltages 21

Table 3-11 Insulation Resistance 22

Table 4-1 Qualification Test Coupons 25

Table 4-2 C=0 Sampling Plan (Sample Size for
Specific Index Value*) 25

Table 4-3 Acceptance Testing and Frequency 26

Table 4-4 Quality Conformance Testing 29

Qualification and Performance Specification for Rigid Printed Boards

1 SCOPE

1.1 Statement of Scope This specification covers qualification and performance of rigid printed boards. The printed board may be single-sided, double-sided, with or without plated-through holes. The printed board may be multilayer with plated-through holes and with or without buried/blind vias. The printed board may be multilayer containing build up HDI layers conforming to IPC-6016. The printed board may contain active embedded passive circuitry with distributive capacitive planes, capacitive or resistive components. The printed board may contain a metal core or external metal heat frame, which may be active or nonactive. Revision level changes are described in 1.6.

1.2 Purpose The purpose of this specification is to provide requirements for qualification and performance of rigid printed boards.

1.3 Performance Classification and Type

1.3.1 Classification This specification recognizes that rigid printed boards will be subject to variations in performance requirements based on end-use. The printed boards are classified by one of three general Performance Class Performance classes are defined in IPC-6011. Requirements deviating from these heritage classifications may be established through the use of a Performance Specification Sheet. Requirement exceptions commonly used for Space and Military avionics are shown as the Performance Specification Sheet Class 3A for Space and Military Avionics, listed in the back of this document.

1.3.2 Board Type Printed boards without plated-through holes (Type 1) and with plated-through holes (Types 2-6) are classified as follows:

- Type 1*—Single-Sided Board
- Type 2*—Double-Sided Board
- Type 3*—Multilayer board without blind or buried vias
- Type 4*—Multilayer board with blind and/or buried vias
- Type 5*—Multilayer metal core board without blind or buried vias
- Type 6*—Multilayer metal core board with blind and/or buried vias

1.3.3 Selection for Procurement For procurement purposes, performance class **shall** be specified in the procurement documentation.

The documentation **shall** provide sufficient information to the supplier so that he can fabricate the printed board and ensure that the user receives the desired product. Information that should be included in the procurement documentation is shown in IPC-D-325.

1.3.3.1 Selection (Default) The procurement documentation should specify the requirements that can be selected within this specification; however, in the event selections are not made in the documentation, Table 1-2 **shall** apply.

1.3.3.2 Section System (Optional) The following product selection identifier system is provided for clarification of the build type.

Quality Specification, the generic quality specification.

Specification, the base performance specification.

Type, the product type per 1.3.2.

Plating Process, the plating process per 1.3.4.2.

Final Finish, the final finish code per 1.3.4.3.

Selective Finish, the selective finish code adder per 1.3.4.3, enter “-” when no selective finish is required.

Product Classification, the product classification per 1.3.1 or performance specification sheet.

Technology Adder, the technology adder as specified in Table 1-1. Add multiple codes as required.

Table 1-1 Technology Adder Examples

Technology Code	Technology
HDI	HDI build-up features per IPC-6016
VP	Via Protection
WBP	Wire Bondable Pads
AMC	Active Metal Core
NAMC	Nonactive Metal Core
HF	External Heat Frame
EP	Embedded Passives
VIP-C	Via-in-Pad, Conductive Fill
VIP-N	Via-in-Pad, Nonconductive Fill

Example: IPC 6011/6012/3/1/SI-/3/HDI/EP

1.3.4 Material, Plating Process and Final Finish

1.3.4.1 Laminate Material Laminate material is identified by numbers and/or letters, classes and types as specified by the appropriate specification listed in the procurement documentation.