

**IPC-4556**

**2013 - January**

**Specification for Electroless  
Nickel/Electroless Palladium/  
Immersion Gold (ENEPIG) Plating  
for Printed Circuit Boards**

*A standard developed by IPC*

*Association Connecting Electronics Industries*



---

**The Principles of Standardization**

In May 1995 the IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee (TAEC) adopted Principles of Standardization as a guiding principle of IPC's standardization efforts.

**Standards Should:**

- Show relationship to Design for Manufacturability (DFM) and Design for the Environment (DFE)
- Minimize time to market
- Contain simple (simplified) language
- Just include spec information
- Focus on end product performance
- Include a feedback system on use and problems for future improvement

**Standards Should Not:**

- Inhibit innovation
- Increase time-to-market
- Keep people out
- Increase cycle time
- Tell you how to make something
- Contain anything that cannot be defended with data

**Notice**

IPC Standards and Publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember of IPC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publication, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by IPC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the Recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

**IPC Position Statement on Specification Revision Change**

It is the position of IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee that the use and implementation of IPC publications is voluntary and is part of a relationship entered into by customer and supplier. When an IPC publication is updated and a new revision is published, it is the opinion of the TAEC that the use of the new revision as part of an existing relationship is not automatic unless required by the contract. The TAEC recommends the use of the latest revision. Adopted October 6, 1998

**Why is there a charge for this document?**

Your purchase of this document contributes to the ongoing development of new and updated industry standards and publications. Standards allow manufacturers, customers, and suppliers to understand one another better. Standards allow manufacturers greater efficiencies when they can set up their processes to meet industry standards, allowing them to offer their customers lower costs.

IPC spends hundreds of thousands of dollars annually to support IPC's volunteers in the standards and publication development process. There are many rounds of drafts sent out for review and the committees spend hundreds of hours in review and development. IPC's staff attends and participates in committee activities, typesets and circulates document drafts, and follows all necessary procedures to qualify for ANSI approval.

IPC's membership dues have been kept low to allow as many companies as possible to participate. Therefore, the standards and publications revenue is necessary to complement dues revenue. The price schedule offers a 50% discount to IPC members. If your company buys IPC standards and publications, why not take advantage of this and the many other benefits of IPC membership as well? For more information on membership in IPC, please visit [www.ipc.org](http://www.ipc.org) or call 847/597-2872.

Thank you for your continued support.



IPC-4556

**Specification for  
Electroless Nickel/  
Electroless Palladium/  
Immersion Gold (ENEPIG)  
Plating for Printed Circuit  
Boards**

Developed by the Plating Processes Subcommittee (4-14) of the  
Fabrication Processes Committee (4-10) of IPC

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the  
development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC  
3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 309S  
Bannockburn, Illinois  
60015-1249  
Tel 847 615.7100  
Fax 847 615.7105

Currently in preview, click buy full version

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

## Acknowledgment

Any document involving a complex technology draws material from a vast number of sources. While the principal members of the Plating Processes Subcommittee (4-14) of the Fabrication Processes Committee (4-10) are shown below, it is not possible to include all of those who assisted in the evolution of this standard. To each of them, the members of the IPC extend their gratitude.

---

### Fabrication Processes Committee

Co-Chairs  
George Milad  
Uyemura International Corp.

Gerard A. O'Brien  
Solderability Testing & Solutions, Inc.

### Plating Processes Subcommittee

Co-Chairs  
George Milad  
Uyemura International Corp.

Gerard A. O'Brien  
Solderability Testing & Solutions, Inc.

### Technical Liaison of the IPC Board of Directors

Bob Neves  
Microtek Laboratories

Dongkai Shangguan  
Flextronics International

---

### Plating Processes Subcommittee

Wallace Ables, Dell Inc.  
Martin Anselm, Universal Instruments Corporation  
Craig Bachman, Molex Copper Flex Products  
Tina Barclay, TAS Consulting  
Bill Barthel, Plexus Manufacturing Solutions  
Martin W. Bayes, Ph.D., Dow Electronic Materials  
Luc Beauvillier, Isola Group SARL  
Elizabeth Benedetto, Hewlett-Packard Company  
Jon Bengston, Uyemura International Corp.  
Wendi Boger, Viasystems Group, Inc.  
Jim Bogert, Fischer Technology Inc.  
Mumtaz Y. Bora, Peregrine Semiconductor  
William C. Bowerman, OMG Electronic Chemicals  
Trevor S. Bowers, Adia Inc.  
Peter Bratin, Ph.D., ENI Technology, Inc.  
Jerry Brewer, Autotech USA Inc.  
Dock Brown, Medtronic  
Lee E. Cramer, OMG Electronic Chemicals  
Matthew J. Byrne, BAE Systems Platform Solutions  
Mike V. Carano, OMG Electronic Chemicals  
Srinivas Chada, Ph.D., Power-One Renewable Energies Inc.

Alex Chen, Celestica  
Peter Chiang, MSI (Micro-Star International Co., Inc.)  
Joshua Civiello, Defense Supply Center Columbus  
Horst Clauberg, Kulicke & Soffa Industries Inc.  
Christine R. Coapman, C2 Consulting  
David J. Corbett, Defense Supply Center Columbus  
G. Sidney Cox, Ph.D., DuPont  
Donald Cullen, MacDermid, Inc.  
Richard Davidson, Honeywell Aerospace  
Michael F. DiCicco, Northrop Grumman Corporation  
Fred H. Dietz, Ph.D., Karl Dietz Consulting LLC  
Steven Dunford, Schlumberger Well Services  
Gene Dunn, Plexus Corporation  
C. Don Dupriest, Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire Control  
Patricia S. Dupuis, Raytheon Company  
Richard M. Edgar, Tec-Line Inc.  
Theodore Edwards  
Steven R. Etheridge, Dell Inc.  
John J. Fendrock, Raytheon Missile Systems  
Ellen Finch, Anaren Microwave Inc.  
Sandra M. Fortune, Northrop Grumman Corporation  
Dennis Fritz, MacDermid, Inc.

Hiroyuki Fukuda, Shikoku Chemicals Corporation  
J. J. Fullwood, WKK Distribution Ltd.  
Gerald Gagnon, Extech Instruments Corporation  
Thomas Gahagan, Anaren Microwave Inc.  
Andrew Glendening, Northrop Grumman ESSD  
Robert Gordon, Amonix, Inc.  
Michael R. Green, Lockheed Martin Space Systems Company  
Albin Gruenwald, Uyemura International Corp.  
Donald Gudecauskas, Uyemura International Corp.  
Michael Haller, Fischer Technology Inc.  
Philip M. Henault, Raytheon Company  
David D. Hillman, Rockwell Collins  
Charles Hirbour, Technic Inc.  
Joanne Hohman, Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire Control  
Helen Holder, Hewlett-Packard Company  
Michelle Hong, Wistron Corporation  
Jay Huang, Wistron Corp.  
Werner Huegel, Ph.D., Robert Bosch GmbH  
Jim Huff, Dell Inc.  
Joseph Jacobi, Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire Control

Kuldip Johal, Atotech USA Inc.  
 William Johannes, Sandia National Labs Albuquerque  
 Patrick A. Jones, Northrop Grumman Corporation  
 James B. Kenny, Enthone Inc. - Cookson Electronics  
 John Konrad, Endicott Interconnect Technologies Inc.  
 Rajesh C. Kumar, Viasystems North America, Inc.  
 Henry Lajoie, OMG Electronic Chemicals Inc.  
 Joseph R. LaRoche, BAE Systems  
 Brigitte Lawrence, Brigittflex Inc.  
 Paul Lomax, Fischer Technology Inc.  
 Anne Lomonte, Draeger Medical Systems, Inc.  
 Gary Long, Intel Corporation  
 Clifford R. Maddox, Boeing Company  
 Brian D. Madsen, Ph.D., Continental Automotive Systems  
 Chris Mahanna, Robisan Laboratory Inc.  
 Guenther Mayr, AT&S Austria Technologie & Systemtechnik AG  
 Martin McDaniel, NSWC Crane  
 Joe McGurran, Atotech USA Inc.  
 Coleen McKirryher, MacDermid, Inc.  
 Tim Mckliget, Holaday Circuits Inc.  
 Stephen Meeks, St. Jude Medical  
 Peter Menuetz, L-3 Communications - Cincinnati Electronics  
 Renee J. Michalkiewicz, Trace Laboratories - Baltimore  
 William Miller, Panasonic Automotive Systems Company of America  
 James J. Monarchio, TTM Technologies Inc.  
 Alton Moore, Payton Company  
 Ted F. Myer, ECI Technology, Inc.  
 David Nelson, Adtran Inc.  
 Peter Newman, Hewlett-Packard Company  
 Thi Nguyen, Lockheed Martin Missile & Fire Control  
 Gary Nicholls, Metalor Technologies USA  
 Mustafa Oezkoek, Atotech Deutschland GmbH  
 Sean Oflaherty, Oxford Instruments  
 Patrick O'Keefe, Holaday Circuits Inc.  
 Wim Ongenae, MEC Europe  
 Mario Orduz, Cookson Electronics  
 William A. Ortloff, Raytheon Company  
 Michael W. Paddack, Boeing Company  
 J. Lee Parker, Ph.D., JLP  
 Dan Parquet, Viasystems Group, Inc.  
 Michael Pavlov, ECI Technology, Inc.  
 Anders Pedersen, Harris Corporation, GCSO  
 Marybeth Perrino, Endicott Interconnect Technologies Inc.  
 Robert Peterson, Alternate Finishing Inc.  
 Michah Pledger, Semblant Ltd.  
 Gnyaneshwar Ramakrishna, Cisco Systems Inc.  
 Gustavo Ramos, Atotech Deutschland GmbH  
 Randy R. Reed, Viasystems Group, Inc.  
 Robert Rod, Technic Inc.  
 Henry J. Rogers, Schneider Electric  
 Jose A. Rios, Endicott Interconnect Technologies Inc.  
 Hugh Roberts, Atotech USA Inc.  
 Gary C. Roper, Roper Resources, Inc.  
 Stan Sappington, S/G Electronics Inc.  
 Daryl Sato, Intel Corporation  
 Karl A. Sauter, Oracle America, Inc.  
 Thomas C. Saven, Ticer Technologies  
 Stefan Schmitz, Fraunhofer IZM Branch Lab Microsystem Engineering  
 Michael Schneider, ECI Technology, Inc.  
 Randy Schueller, Ph.D., DfR Solutions  
 Michael Schwaemmlein, Atotech Deutschland GmbH  
 Martin Scionti, Raytheon Missile Systems  
 Jeff Seekatz, Raytheon Company  
 Tom Selby, Optimum Design Associates  
 Gordon Simmons, Superior Processing  
 Atamjit Singh, Unitech Industries Inc.  
 Polina Snugovsky, Ph.D., Celestica  
 David Sommervold, The Bergquist Company, Prescott  
 Eric J. Stafstrom, Technic Inc.  
 William Starmann, Payton Company  
 Donald Susan, Ph.D., Sandia National Labs Albuquerque  
 John Swanson, MacDermid, Inc.  
 Jeff Taylor, IBM Corporation  
 Matthew Taylor, Fischer Technology Inc.  
 Royce Taylor, Raytheon Company  
 Stephen L. Tisdale, Intel Corporation  
 Michael Toben, Dow Electronic Materials  
 Lenora M. Toscano, MacDermid, Inc.  
 James Trainor, OMG Electronic Chemicals Inc.  
 Kristen Troxel, Hewlett-Packard Company  
 Paul Vianco, Ph.D., Sandia National Labs Albuquerque  
 Joe Vo, Broadcom Corporation  
 Donald Walsh, Uyemura International Corp.  
 Karl Wengenroth, Enthone Inc. - Cookson Electronics  
 Vicka White, Honeywell Inc. Air Transport Systems  
 Andreas Wippel, AT&S Austria Technologie & Systemtechnik AG  
 Wesley M. Wolverson, Raytheon Systems Company  
 Chen Xu, Ph.D., Alcatel-Lucent  
 Yung-Herng Yau, Enthone Inc. - Cookson Electronics  
 Joshua Young, Maxwell Technologies Inc.

# Table of Contents

<b>1 SCOPE</b> .....	1	3.2.5 Use of Non-Statistical Thickness Specification .....	8
1.1 Statement of Scope .....	1	3.2.6 Production Overruns and/or Inventory Items .....	8
1.2 Description .....	1	3.3 Porosity .....	8
1.2.1 Electroless Nickel Reducing Agents - Phosphorus Content .....	1	3.4 Adhesion .....	8
1.2.2 Electroless Palladium Reducing Agents .....	1	3.5 Solderability .....	9
1.3 Objective .....	1	3.5.1 Force Measurement Testing (Wetting Balance Testing) .....	9
1.3.1 Order of Precedence .....	1	3.6 Cleanliness .....	9
1.3.1.1 Appendices .....	1	3.7 Electrolytic Corrosion .....	9
1.4 Performance Functions .....	1	<b>4 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROVISIONS</b> .....	9
1.4.1 Solderability .....	1	4.1 General Quality Assurance Provisions .....	9
1.4.2 Wire Bonding .....	2	4.1.1 Qualification Recommendations .....	9
1.4.3 Contact Surface .....	2	4.1.2 Sample Test Coupons .....	10
1.4.3.1 Membrane Switches .....	2	4.2 Quality Conformance Testing .....	11
1.4.3.2 Metallic Dome Contacts .....	2	4.2.1 Thickness Measurements .....	11
1.4.4 EMI Shielding .....	2	4.2.2 Qualified Processes .....	11
1.4.5 Interface for Conductive and/or Anisotropic Adhesives .....	2	<b>APPENDIX 1 Chemical Definitions and Process Sequence</b> .....	12
1.4.6 Connectors .....	2	<b>APPENDIX 2 Round Robin Test Summary</b> .....	14
1.4.6.1 Press-Fit Applications .....	2	<b>APPENDIX 3 ENEPIG PWB Surface Finish XRF Round Robin Testing</b> .....	16
1.4.6.2 Edge Tab Contact Applications .....	2	<b>APPENDIX 4 Factors Affecting Measurement Accuracy of ENEPIG Coatings by XRF</b> .....	23
1.4.7 Limitations of ENEPIG .....	2	<b>APPENDIX 5 ENEPIG PWB Surface Finish Wetting Balance Testing</b> .....	32
1.4.7.1 Creep Corrosion/Chemical Resistance .....	2	<b>APPENDIX 6 Solder Spread Testing</b> .....	40
1.4.7.2 High Frequency Signal Loss .....	3	<b>APPENDIX 7 ENEPIG PWB Surface Finish Shear Test Project</b> .....	48
<b>2 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS AND TERMS AND DEFINITIONS</b> .....	3	<b>APPENDIX 8 Gold Wire Bonding</b> .....	58
2.1 IPC .....	3	<b>APPENDIX 9 XRF Thickness Measurements of thin Au and Pd (ENEPIG): Recommendations for Instrumentation (Detectors) and their Limitations</b> .....	64
2.2 American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM International) .....	3	<b>APPENDIX 10 Gage Capability, Gage R&amp;R Type 1 Study</b> .....	66
2.3 JEDEC .....	3	<b>APPENDIX 11 Solderability Testing Helps Select Surface Finishes</b> .....	69
2.4 Defense Standardization Program .....	3		
2.5 Telcordia Technologies, Inc. .....	3		
2.6 International Organization for Standardization (ISO) .....	3		
2.7 Terms, Definitions and Acronyms .....	4		
<b>3 REQUIREMENTS</b> .....	4		
3.1 Visual .....	5		
3.1.1 High Magnification Reference Images .....	6		
3.2 Finish Thickness .....	7		
3.2.1 Electroless Nickel Thickness .....	7		
3.2.2 Electroless Palladium Thickness .....	7		
3.2.3 Immersion Gold Thickness .....	7		
3.2.4 XRF Calibration Standards .....	8		

<b>Figures</b>		
Figure 3-1	Uniform Plating .....	5
Figure 3-2	Extraneous Plating or Nickel Foot .....	5
Figure 3-3	Edge Pull Back .....	5
Figure 3-4	Skip Plating .....	5
Figure 3-5	Skip Plating of Gold Over Palladium .....	6
Figure 3-6	Skip Plating of Gold Over a Palladium Deposit .....	6
Figure 3-7	1000X SEM Image of a Normal ENEPIG Surface .....	6
Figure 3-8	4000X SEM Image of a Normal ENEPIG Surface .....	6
Figure 3-9	2500X FIB Image of a Normal ENEPIG Deposit .....	6
Figure 3-10	100,000X FIB Image of a Normal ENEPIG Deposit .....	6
Figure 3-11	TEM Image of a Normal ENEPIG Deposit ....	7
Figure 3-12	2500X Image of Nickel that is Hyper-Corroded .....	7
Figure 3-13	The Standard IPC Force Measurement Coupon .....	9
Figure A3-1	Test Coupon Used for XRF Round Robin Measurements .....	16
Figure A3-2	Immersion Gold Thickness Readings in Microinches for the Sixteen Test Pads Where C Is the Reference XRF .....	18
Figure A3-3	Electroless Palladium Thickness Readings in Microinches for the Sixteen Test Pads Where C Is the Reference XRF .....	19
Figure A3-4	Electroless Nickel Thickness Readings in Microinches for the Sixteen Test Pads Where C Is the Reference XRF .....	20
Figure A3-5	The Impact of Feature Size on Electroless Palladium and Immersion Gold Deposition Thickness Plated on the Same PB .....	20
Figure A4-SS1	XRF Spectra of 1/2 oz Cu/Epoxy vs. 1 oz Cu/Epoxy .....	28
Figure A4-SS2	XRF Spectra of ENEPIG Plated on Cu (No Epoxy) vs. 1/2 oz Cu/Epoxy .....	29
Figure A4-SS3	XRF Spectra of ENEPIG Plated on Cu (No Epoxy) vs. ENEPIG Plated on 1 oz Cu/Epoxy .....	30
Figure A4-SS4	XRF Spectra of ENEPIG Plated on 1 oz Cu/Epoxy .....	31
Figure A5-1	Example of the Wetting Balance Coupon Used for the Testing of ENEPIG .....	32
Figure A5-2	XRF Measurements of Gold and Palladium Thicknesses Supplied as Nominal 0.1 micron Electroless Palladium .....	33
Figure A5-3	XRF Measurements of Gold and Palladium Thicknesses Supplied as Nominal 0.2 micron Electroless Palladium .....	33
Figure A5-4	XRF Measurements of Gold and Palladium Thicknesses Supplied as Nominal 0.3 micron Electroless Palladium .....	33
Figure A5-5	Metronlec ST88 Wetting Balance Used for the Testing .....	33
Figure A5-6	Example of the Wetting Performance for a Nominal 4 $\mu$ m Electroless Palladium Tested with SnPb Solder .....	34
Figure A5-7	Example of the Wetting Performance for a Nominal 8 $\mu$ m Electroless Palladium Tested with SnPb Solder .....	34
Figure A5-8	Example of the Wetting Performance for a Nominal 20 $\mu$ m Electroless Palladium Tested with SnPb Solder .....	35
Figure A5-9	Example of the Wetting Performance for a Nominal 4 $\mu$ m Electroless Palladium Tested with SAC305 Solder .....	35
Figure A5-10	Example of the Wetting Performance for a Nominal 8 $\mu$ m Electroless Palladium Tested with SAC305 Solder .....	36
Figure A5-11	Example of the Wetting Performance for a Nominal 12 $\mu$ m Palladium Tested with SAC305 Solder .....	36
Figure A5-12	Example of a Nominal 4 $\mu$ m Electroless Palladium ENEPIG Post Temperature and Humidity Stressing, Tested with SnPb - Showing Excellent Robustness .....	37
Figure A5-13	Example of a Nominal 4 $\mu$ m Electroless Palladium ENEPIG, with Very Thin Immersion Gold after Temperature and Humidity Stressing & Tested with SnPb .....	38
Figure A5-14	Example of a Nominal 6 $\mu$ m ENEPIG Deposit Tested with SAC305 Post Stressing – Showing Excellent Robustness of Deposit .....	38
Figure A5-15	Performance of Sample Group 20 (with thin gold) with SAC305 Post Stressing .....	39
Figure A6-1	Layout of Test Board Used for Solder Spread and Wetting Balance Testing .....	41
Figure A6-2	Solder Spread Pattern and Paste Stencil ....	41
Figure A6-3	An Actual Solder Spread Result Showing the Deposits Counted for Each Line of the Pattern .....	42
Figure A6-4	Solder Spread Results Using an ROL1 Sn/Pb/Ag Solder Paste on ENEPIG Deposits .....	43
Figure A6-5	Solder Spread Results Using an ROL0 Pb-free Solder Paste of the SAC 300 Family on ENEPIG Deposits .....	44
Figure A6-6	Solder Spread for Lowest Spread ENEPIG Sample Showing Evidence of High Contact Angle and Dewetting for Some Areas of the Printed Board .....	45
Figure A6-7	Solder Spread Results for Pb-free Solder Paste as a Function of the EN Layer Thickness in the ENEPIG Deposit .....	46
Figure A7-1	Shear Test Coupon .....	48
Figure A7-2	DAGE 5000 Shear Test System .....	49
Figure A7-3	Solderball Shear Results .....	49
Figure A7-4	Sn63Pb37 Test Coupon 19 .....	52
Figure A7-5	Sn63Pb37 Test Coupons 1 & 2 .....	52
Figure A7-6	Sn63Pb37 Test Coupons 3 & 4 .....	52

Figure A7-7 Sn63Pb37 Test Coupons 5 & 6 ..... 53

Figure A7-8 Sn63Pb37 Test Coupons 7 & 8 ..... 53

Figure A7-9 Sn63Pb37 Test Coupon 18 ..... 53

Figure A7-10 Sn63Pb37 Test Coupon 19 ..... 54

Figure A7-11 SAC305 Test Coupons 1 & 2 ..... 54

Figure A7-12 SAC305 Test Coupons 3 & 4 ..... 54

Figure A7-13 SAC305 Test Coupons 5 & 6 ..... 55

Figure A7-14 SAC305 Test Coupons 16 & 17 ..... 55

Figure A7-15 SAC305 Test Coupon 18 ..... 55

Figure A7-16 SAC305 Test Coupon 19 ..... 56

Figure A7-17 Solderball Shear Force as a Function of Palladium Plating Thickness ..... 56

Figure A8-1 Wire Bond Test Vehicle Showing pin #1, quadrants 1, 2, 3 and 4 ..... 58

Figure A8-2 Example of 6 in x 8 in Panel Containing 1 in x 1in ENEPIG Wire Bond Coupons ..... 60

Figure A8-3 Visual Evaluation of Wire Bonding Showing Classical Crescents Resulting in Neck Breaks ..... 61

Figure A8-4 (Top) Summary of 1 mil Gold Wire ENEPIG Destructive Pull Test (DPT) Results and (Lower) Comparison of X-Y Directional DPT Values ..... 62

Figure A11-1a Meniscometer ..... 70

Figure A11-1b Wetting Balance ..... 70

Figure A11-2 ENEPIG with Thin and Thick Pd from Vendors 1 & 2 ..... 71

Figure A11-3 Wetting Rate as a Function of Battelle Class 2 Aging ..... 72

**Tables**

Table 3-1 Requirements of Electroless Nickel and Electroless Palladium Immersion Gold (ENEPIG) Plating ... 4

Table 4-1 Suggested Fabricator Qualification Plan ..... 10

Table 4-2 C=0 Sampling Plan (Sample Size for Specific Index Value<sup>1</sup>) ..... 11

Table A3-1 Comparison of the Accuracy of Measurements Relative to the C Readings for All Three Deposits ..... 21

Table A4-1 Summary of XRF Configuration Solutions Offered for Measurement of ENEPIG Plating on PCB's with Advantages and Disadvantages ..... 21

Table A5-1 XRF Measurements of the Nominal 0.5 micron Electroless Palladium Samples ..... 32

Table A6-S1 Preconditioning and Solder Paste Matrix Used for Solder Spread Testing on a Given ENEPIG Chemistry and Electroless Palladium Thickness ..... 40

Table A7-1 Test Coupon Serial Number and Palladium Thickness ..... 48

Table A7-2 Sn63Pb37 Solderball Shear Test Results Coupon Set 1 (grams) ..... 50

Table A7-3 Sn63Pb37 Solderball Shear Test Results Coupon Set 2 (grams) ..... 50

Table A7-4 SAC305 Solderball Shear Test Results Coupon Set 1 (grams) ..... 51

Table A7-5 SAC305 Solderball Shear Test Results Coupon Set 2 (grams) ..... 51

Table A8-I Twenty-one Panels Marked (a) Whole Panel, (b) Array and (c) Hand Cut ..... 59

Table A8-II Destructive Wire Bond Pull Test Force (grams) Results for All 21 ENEPIG Test Groups ..... 60

Table A8-III Summary of Plating Finish Thicknesses for Samples Wire Bonded ..... 63

Table A9-1 XRF Detectors and Their Limitations at Typical Count Rates ..... 64

Table A11-1 "Relative Wettability Guideline," Using Contact Angle ( $\theta_c$ ) As "General" Metric ..... 70

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Currently in preview, click buy full version

# Specification for Electroless Nickel/Electroless Palladium/ Immersion Gold (ENEPIG) Plating for Printed Circuit Boards

## 1 SCOPE

**1.1 Statement of Scope** This specification sets the requirements for the use of Electroless Nickel/Electroless Palladium/Immersion Gold (ENEPIG) as a surface finish for printed boards. This specification sets requirements for ENEPIG deposit thicknesses for applications including soldering, wire bonding and as a contact finish. It is intended for use by chemical suppliers, printed board manufacturers, electronics manufacturing services (EMS) and original equipment manufacturers (OEM).

**1.2 Description** ENEPIG is a tertiary layered surface finish plated over copper as the basis metal. ENEPIG consists of an electroless nickel base layer over which is plated an electroless palladium barrier layer followed by a deposit of a thin immersion gold as the final outer layer. For deposition process details, see APPENDIX 1 of this specification. It is a multi-functional surface finish, applicable to soldering and to gold, aluminum and copper wire bonding. It is also suitable as the mating surface for soft membrane and steel dome contacts. Additional applications include use in Low Insertion Force (LIF) and Zero Insertion Force (ZIF) edge connectors and for press-fit applications. The electroless palladium layer forms a diffusion barrier that impedes nickel diffusion to the gold surface. The immersion gold protects the palladium layer from reacting with contaminants prior to processing that might otherwise affect joining processes, such as wire bonding and soldering.

**1.2.1 Electroless Nickel Reducing Agents - Phosphorus Content** Phosphorus-containing, reducing agents are typically used for the reduction of the electroless nickel during the deposition process and phosphorus is incorporated in the nickel deposit. The level of this co-deposited element should be controlled within the suppliers specified process limits. Variation of phosphorus levels outside the specified process limits may have adverse effects on the performance of the finish.

**1.2.2 Electroless Palladium Reducing Agents** There are two distinct classes of reducing agents used in electroless palladium baths currently available for use in the ENEPIG process, those that produce deposits that contain a co-deposited element such as phosphorus, and those that produce an essentially pure palladium deposit. The level of the co-deposited elements should be controlled within the specified process limits. Examples of electroless palladium deposit from both these classes have been evaluated during the development of this specification and no perceivable differences in performance were observed in the tests of solderability or wire bondability

**1.3 Objective** This specification sets the requirements for ENEPIG as a surface finish (see Table 3-1 for a summary of these requirements). As additional surface finishes require specifications, they will be addressed by the IPC Plating Processes Subcommittee as part of the IPC-455X specification family. This and other surface finish specifications are under continuous review. The 4-14 subcommittee will make appropriate amendments or revisions to these documents as required. The 4-14 Plating Processes Subcommittee undertook a “Round Robin” study to generate data to support the recommendations cited for the various aspects of this specification. For an outline of the study, refer to APPENDIX 2.

**1.3.1 Order of Precedence** In the event of conflict, the following order of precedence **shall** apply:

1. The purchase order. This includes AABUS exceptions to this specification.
2. The master drawing. This includes AABUS exceptions to this specification.
3. This specification.
4. Applicable documents as detailed in Section 2 of this document.

**1.3.1.1 Appendices** This specification contains eleven Appendices provided for information which are included after the main body of this document. Be aware that none of the content of these appendices are binding requirements unless separately and specifically specified herein, or as required by purchase order, master drawing, other applicable documents, or as established AABUS.

## 1.4 Performance Functions

**1.4.1 Solderability** One of the two primary functions of ENEPIG is to provide a solderable surface finish capable of providing IPC Category 3 shelf life (minimum 12 months) per IPC-J-STD-003 testing. This shelf life is suitable for all surface mount, hybrid and through-hole assembly applications. The use of electroless palladium as a diffusion barrier between the