

# **IPC-4553A**

## **Specification for Immersion Silver Plating for Printed Boards**

May 2005  
Supersedes IPC-4553  
June 2003

*A standard developed by IPC*

*Association Connecting Electronics Industries*



---

**The Principles of Standardization**

In May 1995 the IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee (TAEC) adopted Principles of Standardization as a guiding principle of IPC's standardization efforts.

**Standards Should:**

- Show relationship to Design for Manufacturability (DFM) and Design for the Environment (DFE)
- Minimize time to market
- Contain simple (simplified) language
- Just include spec information
- Focus on end product performance
- Include a feedback system on use and problems for future improvement

**Standards Should Not:**

- Inhibit innovation
- Increase time-to-market
- Keep people out
- Increase cycle time
- Tell you how to make something
- Contain anything that cannot be defended with data

**Notice**

IPC Standards and Publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember of IPC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publication, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by IPC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the Recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

**IPC Position Statement on Specification Revision Change**

It is the position of IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee that the use and implementation of IPC publications is voluntary and is part of a relationship entered into by customer and supplier. When an IPC publication is updated and a new revision is published, it is the opinion of the TAEC that the use of the new revision as part of an existing relationship is not automatic unless required by the contract. The TAEC recommends the use of the latest revision. Adopted October 6, 1998

**Why is there a charge for this document?**

Your purchase of this document contributes to the ongoing development of new and updated industry standards and publications. Standards allow manufacturers, customers, and suppliers to understand one another better. Standards allow manufacturers greater efficiencies when they can set up their processes to meet industry standards, allowing them to offer their customers lower costs.

IPC spends hundreds of thousands of dollars annually to support IPC's volunteers in the standards and publication development process. There are many rounds of drafts sent out for review and the committees spend hundreds of hours in review and development. IPC's staff attends and participates in committee activities, typesets and circulates document drafts, and follows all necessary procedures to qualify for ANSI approval.

IPC's membership dues have been kept low to allow as many companies as possible to participate. Therefore, the standards and publications revenue is necessary to complement dues revenue. The price schedule offers a 50% discount to IPC members. If your company buys IPC standards and publications, why not take advantage of this and the many other benefits of IPC membership as well? For more information on membership in IPC, please visit [www.ipc.org](http://www.ipc.org) or call 847/597-2872.

Thank you for your continued support.



IPC-4553A

# Specification for Immersion Silver Plating for Printed Boards

Developed by the Plating Processes Subcommittee (4-14) of the  
Fabrication Processes Committee (4-10) of IPC

**Supersedes:**  
IPC-4553 - June 2005

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the  
development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC  
3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 309S  
Bannockburn, Illinois  
60015-1249  
Tel 847 615.7100  
Fax 847 615.7105

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

## Acknowledgment

Any document involving a complex technology draws material from a vast number of sources. While the principal members of the Plating Processes Subcommittee (4-14) of the Fabrication Processes Committee (4-10) are shown below, it is not possible to include all of those who assisted in the evolution of this standard. To each of them, the members of the IPC extend their gratitude.

### Fabrication Processes Committee

Chair  
George Milad  
UIC/Uyemura International Corp.

Vice Chair  
Gary C. Roper  
One Source Group, Eagle Circuits Inc.

### Plating Processes Subcommittee

Co-Chair  
George Milad  
UIC/Uyemura International Corp.

Co-Chair  
Gerard A. O'Brien  
Photocircuits Corporation

### Technical Liaisons of the IPC Board of Directors

Peter Bigelow  
IMI Inc.

Sammy Yi  
Flextronics International

### Plating Processes Subcommittee

Gail Auyeung, Celestica  
Martin Bayes, Ph.D., Rohm and Haas Electronic Materials  
Elizabeth Benedetto, Hewlett-Packard Company  
Mumtaz Bora, Peregrine Semiconductor  
Trevor Bowers, Adtran Inc.  
Peter Bratin, Ph.D., ECI Technology, Inc.  
Lee Burger, OMG Electronic Chemicals  
Dennis Cantwell, Printed Circuits Inc.  
Michael Carano, OMG Electronic Chemicals  
Peter Marc Carter, Rockwell Collins  
Phillip Chen, L-3 Communications Electronic Systems  
Jun Choi, Oxford Instruments America, Inc.  
Christine Coapman, Delphi Electronics and Safety  
David Cohen, Defense Supply Center Columbus  
C. Sidney Cox, Ph.D., E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Co.  
Donald Cullen, MacDermid, Inc.  
Gordon Davy, Best Manufacturing Practices Center of Excellence  
Steve Dunford, Nokia Americas

C. Don Dupriest, Lockheed Martin Missiles and Fire Control  
Richard Edgar, Tec-Line Inc.  
Theodore Edwards, Dynaco Corp.  
Dennis Fritz, MacDermid, Inc.  
Gerald Gagnon, Bose Corporation  
Hollese Galyon, Merix Corporation  
Marion Graybeal, Consultant  
Michael Green, Lockheed Martin Space Systems Company  
Donald G. Hebraus, Uyemura International Corp.  
David Hillman, Rockwell Collins  
Heather Holder, Hewlett-Packard Company  
Kuldip Johal, Atotech USA Inc.  
Jack Josefowicz, Ph.D., Palm International  
Thomas Kemp, Rockwell Collins  
James Kenny, Enthone Inc. - Cookson Electronics  
John Konrad, Endicott Interconnect Technologies Inc.  
Brigitte Lawrence, Brigitflex Inc.  
Gary Long, Intel Corporation  
Joe McGurran, Atotech USA Inc.  
David McQuinn, Flextronics  
John Meyers, OMG Electronic Chemicals  
James Monarchio, TTM Technologies, Inc.

Keith Newman, Sun Microsystems Inc.  
Gary Nicholls, Enthone Inc. - Cookson Electronics  
Sean Oflaherty, Oxford Instruments America, Inc.  
Mario Orduz, Consultant  
J. Lee Parker, Ph.D., JLP  
Anders Pedersen, Harris Corporation, GCSD  
Mike Pfeifer, Continental AG  
Jim Reed, Dell Inc.  
Randy Reed, Merix Corporation  
Henry Rekers, Schneider Electric  
Hugh Roberts, Atotech USA Inc.  
Gary Roper, Roper Resources, Inc.  
Andrew Ryzynski, Research In Motion Limited  
Stan Sappington, S/G Electronics Inc.  
Daryl Sato, Intel Corporation  
Karl Sauter, Sun Microsystems Inc.  
Thomas Saven, Ticer Technologies  
Michael Schneider, ECI Technology, Inc.  
Randy Schueller, Ph.D., DfR Solutions  
Tom Selby, Thermo Fisher Scientific  
Atamjit Singh, Unitech Industries Inc.  
Joseph Slanina, Honeywell Inc.

Polina Snugovsky, Ph.D., Celestica

Bill Starman, Raytheon Company

Michael Toben, Rohm and Haas  
Electronic Materials

Donald Walsh, Uyemura International  
Corp.

Karl Wengenroth, Enthone Inc. -  
Cookson Electronics

John Williams, Raytheon Company

Yung-Herng Yau, Enthone Inc. -  
Cookson Electronics

Currently in preview, click buy full version

# Table of Contents

<b>1 SCOPE</b> .....	1		
1.1 Statement of Scope .....	1		
1.2 Description .....	1		
1.3 Objective .....	1		
1.4 Performance Functions .....	1		
1.4.1 Solderability .....	1		
1.4.2 Contact Surface .....	1		
1.4.2.1 Membrane Switches .....	1		
1.4.2.2 Metallic Dome Contacts .....	1		
1.4.3 EMI Shielding .....	1		
1.4.4 Aluminum Wire Bonding .....	1		
<b>2 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS</b> .....	2		
2.1 IPC .....	2		
2.2 Mil-Standards .....	2		
2.3 Telcordia™ .....	2		
<b>3 REQUIREMENTS</b> .....	2		
3.1 Visual .....	2		
3.2 Finish Thickness .....	2		
3.2.1 Immersion Silver (IAG) Thickness .....	2		
3.3 Porosity .....	2		
3.4 Adhesion .....	2		
3.5 Solderability .....	4		
3.6 Cleanliness .....	4		
3.7 Electrolytic Corrosion Testing .....	5		
3.8 Packing and Storage .....	5		
3.9 Chemical Resistance .....	6		
3.10 High Frequency Signal Loss .....	6		
3.11 Microvoids .....	6		
<b>4 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROVISIONS</b> .....	6		
4.1 Qualification .....	6		
4.1.1 Sample Test Coupon .....	6		
4.2 Acceptance Tests .....	6		
4.3 Quality Conformance Testing .....	6		
<b>APPENDIX 1 Chemical Definitions</b> .....	7		
<b>APPENDIX 2 Process Sequence (Generic)</b> .....	8		
<b>APPENDIX 3 Qualification of IAG Process by the Board Supplier</b> .....	9		
<b>APPENDIX 4 Standard Developments Efforts of Immersion Silver</b> .....	10		
<b>APPENDIX 5 X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Spectroscopy</b> .....	25		
<b>APPENDIX 6 ROUND ROBIN TESTING</b> .....	28		
		<b>Figures</b>	
	Figure 3-1	Example of Uniform Plating .....	3
	Figure 3-2	Example of Staining of the Silver .....	3
	Figure 3-3	Another Example of Surface Staining .....	4
	Figure 3-4	Additional Staining Example .....	4
	Figure 3-5	Additional Staining Example .....	4
	Figure 3-6	IPC-2221 Test Speciman M, Surface Mount Solderability Testing, min [in] .....	5
	Figure 3-7	Improper Packing or Storage Can Result in the Immersion Silver Coupon .....	5
	Figure A-4-1	Immersion Silver Industry Survey Results ...	11
	Figure A-4-2	Comparison of Thin versus Thick Silver Solderability Performance Using Real Time Shelf Life .....	12
	Figure A-4-3	XRF Results of Thin IAG Deposit .....	12
	Figure A-4-4	XRF Results of Thick IAG Deposit .....	13
	Figure A-4-5	Wetting Balance Coupon .....	13
	Figure A-4-6	Supplied Immersion Silver Deposit for Wetting Balance Testing .....	14
	Figure A-4-7	Solderability Performance Vendor A - Real Time Storage .....	15
	Figure A-4-8	Solderability Performance Vendor D - Real Time Storage .....	15
	Figure A-4-9	Solderability Performance Vendor E - Real Time Storage .....	16
	Figure A-4-10	Comparison of Vendor D Protected vs Unprotected - Day 709 .....	16
	Figure A-4-11	Comparison of Vendor A Protected vs Unprotected - Day 763 .....	17
	Figure A-4-12	Comparison of Vendor E Protected vs Unprotected - Day 709 .....	17
	Figure A-4-13	IAG Deposit Thickness for TM-650, 2.6.14.1, as Measured by "Micron X" XRF Unit .....	18
	Figure A-4-14	Final Readings in Chamber of First Round of Electrolytic Corrosion Testing at 35°C/92% R.H., Post 500 Hours of 10 Volt DC Bias. NO FAILURES PRODUCED .....	19
	Figure A-4-15	SEM Image of Vendor A's 3X Sample Confirming XRF Measurements .....	19
	Figure A-4-16	Final Readings in Chamber of First Round of Electrolytic Corrosion Testing at 65°C/87% R.H., Post 500 Hours of 10 Volt DC Bias - NO FAILURES PRODUCED .....	20
	Figure A-4-17	Comb Patterns After 596 Hours in 65°C / 87% R.H. with 10 Volt Bias Applied - No Failures for Dendritic Growth but Water Spotting Clearly Evident .....	20
	Figure A-4-18	Test Protocol Applied Voltages Used for 3-11G Testing .....	20

Figure A-4-19 Comb Test Pattern and UL Parallel Pattern Used for Testing ..... 21

Figure A-4-20 SIR Values for the Test at 96 Hours - 35°C/87.5% R.H. All Five IAg Suppliers' Data are Combined ..... 21

Figure A-4-21 Resistance Values at 596 Hours - All Groups Included - Different Spaces/ Bias Voltages ..... 22

Figure A-4-22 Resistance Readings at 1344 Hours for IAg as a Function of Applied Volts/mil ..... 22

Figure A-4-23 Evidence of Dendritic Growth on the Electrolytic Silver Sample ..... 23

Figure A-4-24 SEM/EDX Analysis of Figure 14, Above ..... 23

Figure A-4-25 Contact Resistance for IAg Supplier A ..... 24

**Tables**

Table 3-1 Requirements of IAg ..... 3

Table 4-1 Qualification Test Coupons ..... 6

Table A-6-1 Plating Thicknesses on Test Vehicles [inches] ..... 28

Currently in preview, click buy full version

# Specification for Immersion Silver Plating for Printed Boards

## 1 SCOPE

**1.1 Statement of Scope** This specification sets the requirements for the use of Immersion Silver (IAG) as a surface finish for printed boards. This specification is intended to set requirements for IAG deposit thickness based on performance criteria. It is intended for use by chemical supplier, printed board manufacturer, electronics manufacturing services (EMS) and original equipment manufacturer (OEM).

**1.2 Description** IAG is a thin immersion deposit over copper. It is a multifunctional surface finish, applicable to soldering. It may also be applicable for some press fit connections and as a contact surface. It has the potential to be suitable for aluminum wire bonding. The immersion silver protects the underlying copper from oxidation over its intended shelf life. Exposure to moisture and air contaminants, such as sulfur and chlorine, may negatively impact the useful life of the deposit. The impact can range from a slight discoloration of the deposit to the pads turning completely black. Proper packaging is a requirement.

**1.3 Objective** This specification sets the requirements specific to IAG as a surface finish. As other finishes require specifications, they will be addressed by the IPC Plating Processes Subcommittee as part of the IPC-4550 specification family. As this and other applicable specifications are under continuous review, the subcommittee will add appropriate amendments and make necessary revisions to these documents.

### 1.4 Performance Functions

**1.4.1 Solderability** This primary function of IAG is to provide a solderable surface finish, suitable for all surface mount and through-hole assembly applications and with an appropriate shelf life. The deposit has demonstrated the ability to meet a shelf life of 12 months per IPC J-STD-003 and industry data, when handled per this specification's requirements.

**1.4.2 Contact Surface** There is a possibility for using IAG for the following applications. The use of Immersion Silver is acceptable for the IPC-6010 series Class 1 and Class 2 applications, but is NOT currently recommended for the IPC-6010 series Class 3 applications which are for High Reliability Electronic Products where equipment

downtime cannot be tolerated, and the circuitry **shall** function, when required. Examples of such Class 3 applications are for life support items and critical weapons systems.

**1.4.2.1 Membrane Switches** The IAG surface with as little as 0.1  $\mu\text{m}$  [4  $\mu\text{in}$ ] of immersion silver has demonstrated that it is suitable for one million actuations with negligible resistance change. However the end use atmosphere (temperature/humidity/contaminants) may degrade this performance. The end user **shall** determine the impact of use environments on the IAG deposit.

**1.4.2.2 Metallic Dome Contacts** *Data on this topic should be submitted to the IPC 4-14 Plating Processes Subcommittee to be considered for inclusion in upcoming revisions of this standard.*

**1.4.3 EMI Shielding** IAG is one of the surface finishes that may be used as an interface between electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding and the printed board. A key characteristic for this application is a consistent metal interface between the printed board metallization and the shield material. The formation of a highly conductive interface between the two surfaces will ensure excellent EMI shielding capability, which should also provide resistance to atmospheric influences on the IAG deposit. The end user **shall** determine the impact of the end use environment on the reliability of the shield interface. Tarnish of surrounding areas not in contact directly with the shield is NOT a reason to reject the printed board/deposit but rather an indication of the impact of the atmosphere on an active metal.

**1.4.4 Aluminum Wire Bonding** IAG meets the requirements of MIL-STD-883, Method 2011.7. Variables that affect performance include cleanliness, substrate materials, wire thickness and surface topography. IAG is not a surface leveler; the surface topography largely depends on the conditions of the underlying copper surface. While producing acceptable wire bonds, silver, unlike the other Noble metals used for this application, is potentially not stable due to its reactive nature with the atmosphere in which it exists. Total encapsulation of the wire bonded sites is recommended in order to ensure consistent and reliable long term bonds. The committee is actively seeking additional data on the use of immersion silver as a suitable wire bonding metallization.