

**IPC-4552B**

**2021 - April**

**Specification for Electroless  
Nickel/Immersion Gold (ENIG)  
Plating for Printed Boards**

Supersedes IPC-4552A  
August 2017

*An international standard developed by IPC*



**BUILD ELECTRONICS BETTER**

---

**The Principles of Standardization**

In May 1995 the IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee (TAEC) adopted Principles of Standardization as a guiding principle of IPC's standardization efforts.

**Standards Should:**

- Show relationship to Design for Manufacturability (DFM) and Design for the Environment (DFE)
- Minimize time to market
- Contain simple (simplified) language
- Just include spec information
- Focus on end product performance
- Include a feedback system on use and problems for future improvement

**Standards Should Not:**

- Inhibit innovation
- Increase time-to-market
- Keep people out
- Increase cycle time
- Tell you how to make something
- Contain anything that cannot be defended with data

**Notice**

IPC Standards and Publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember of IPC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publication, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by IPC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the Recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

**IPC Position Statement on Specification Revision Change**

It is the position of IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee that the use and implementation of IPC publications is voluntary and is part of a relationship entered into by customer and supplier. When an IPC publication is updated and a new revision is published, it is the opinion of the TAEC that the use of the new revision as part of an existing relationship is not automatic unless required by the contract. The TAEC recommends the use of the latest revision. Adopted October 6, 1998

**Why is there a charge for this document?**

Your purchase of this document contributes to the ongoing development of new and updated industry standards and publications. Standards allow manufacturers, customers, and suppliers to understand one another better. Standards allow manufacturers greater efficiencies when they can set up their processes to meet industry standards, allowing them to offer their customers lower costs.

IPC spends hundreds of thousands of dollars annually to support IPC's volunteers in the standards and publications development process. There are many rounds of drafts sent out for review and the committees spend hundreds of hours in review and development. IPC's staff attends and participates in committee activities, typesets and circulates document drafts, and follows all necessary procedures to qualify for ANSI approval.

IPC's membership dues have been kept low to allow as many companies as possible to participate. Therefore, the standards and publications revenue is necessary to complement dues revenue. The price schedule offers a 50% discount to IPC members. If your company buys IPC standards and publications, why not take advantage of this and the many other benefits of IPC membership as well? For more information on membership in IPC, please visit [www.ipc.org](http://www.ipc.org) or call 847/597-2809.

Thank you for your continued support.



IPC-4552B

# Specification for Electroless Nickel/ Immersion Gold (ENIG) Plating for Printed Boards

Developed by the Plating Processes Subcommittee (4-14) of the  
Fabrication Processes Committee (4-10) of IPC

**Supersedes:**

IPC-4552A – August 2017  
IPC-4552 with Amendments  
1 & 2 – December 2012  
IPC-4552 – October 2002

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the  
development of future revisions.

**Contact:**

IPC  
3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 105N  
Bannockburn, Illinois  
60015-1249  
Tel 847.615.7100  
Fax 847.615.7105

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

## Acknowledgment

Any document involving a complex technology draws material from a vast number of sources across many continents. While the principal members of the Plating Processes Subcommittee (4-14) of the Fabrication Processes Committee (4-10) are shown below, it is not possible to include all of those who assisted in the evolution of this standard. To each of them, the members of the IPC extend their gratitude.

<b>Fabrication Processes Committee</b>	<b>Plating Processes Subcommittee</b>	<b>Technical Liaison of the IPC Board of Directors</b>
Chair Karl Sauter Oracle America Inc.	Co-Chairs Ingrid Swenson TTM Technologies	Bob Neves Microtek (Changzhou) Laboratories
Vice-Chair Richard DePoto Uyemura International Corp.	Jose Rios Raytheon Company	
<b>Plating Processes Subcommittee</b>		
Rick Bauer Gianluca Esposito Dirk Tews Donald Banks, Abbott Constantino Gonzalez, ACME Training & Consulting Pierre-Emmanuel Goutorbe, Airbus Defence & Space Robert Peterson, Alternate Finishing Inc. Keith Newman, AMD Denise Charest, Amphenol Printed Circuits, Inc. Leo Huang, APCB Electronics (Thailand) Co., Ltd Gustavo Ramos, Atotech Deutschland GmbH Mario Rosin, Atotech Deutschland GmbH Timo Schlosser, Atotech Deutschland GmbH Michael Schwagemerlein, Atotech Deutschland GmbH Christopher Daczkowski, Atotech USA Inc. Kuljit Singh, Atotech USA Inc. John McCarran, Atotech USA Inc. Vasily Kovalovsky, BAE Systems David Cavanaugh, Benchmark Electronics Inc. Daniel Violette, Boeing Company Keren Freedy, Boeing Research & Development	Brian Hess, Calumet Electronics Corp. John Bauer, Collins Aerospace David Hillman, Collins Aerospace Brian Madsen, Continental Automotive GmbH Miguel Dominguez, Continental Temic SA de CV Jose Servin Olivares, Continental Temic SA de CV Wallace Ables, Dell Inc. Steven Ettridge, Dell Inc. Dimple Datta, Delta Circuits Inc. Doug Brown, DfR Solutions David Corbett, DLA Land and Maritime Carl Colangelo, Dow Electronic Materials Gerald Gagnon, Extech Instruments Corporation John Cestaric, FTG Circuits Fredericksburg Henrik Jensen, Gaasdal Bygningsindustri A/S C.J. Long, Gold Circuit Electronics Ltd. David Sommervold, Henkel US Operations Corp. Elizabeth Benedetto, Hewlett-Packard Company Matthew Kreiner, Hitachi High-Tech Analytical Science Herb Girtz, Holaday Circuits Inc.	Tim McKnight, Holaday Circuits Inc. Victoria Fannall, Honeywell Inc. Air Transport Systems Kristen Troxel, HP Inc. Jennie Hwang, H-Technologies Group Joe Hughes, Hughes Circuits, Inc. Thomas Romont, IFTEC Ife Hsu, Intel Corporation Satish Parupalli, Intel Corporation Dana Korf, Korf Consultancy LLC Dan Loew, L3Harris Peter Menuetz, L3Harris Technologies, Inc. Kunal Shah, LiloTree Steven Bowles, Lockheed Martin Corporation Scott Bowles, Lockheed Martin Corporation Kevin Kusiak, Lockheed Martin Corporation William Fox, Lockheed Martin Missile & Fire Control Thi Nguyen, Lockheed Martin Missile & Fire Control Don Dupriest, Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire Control Owen Reid, Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire Control Tim Dougherty, Lockheed Martin Mission Systems & Training Curtis Ricotta, Lockheed Martin Space Systems Company

Martin Bunce, MacDermid Alpha Automotive	Randy Reed, R. Reed Consultancy LLC	Thomas Kibler, Thomas Kibler
Lenora Clark, MacDermid Alpha Automotive	Philip Henault, Raytheon	Thomas Stewart, Topline Consulting
Donald Cullen, MacDermid Alpha Automotive	Jose Rios, Raytheon	Kazunori Nishihara, Toyota Motor Corporation
John Fudala, MacDermid Enthone Electronics Solutions	David Anderson, Raytheon Company	Julie Ellis, TTM Technologies
Gary Nicholls, Metalor Technologies USA	Michael Wolverton, Raytheon Systems Company	Robert Farfan, TTM Technologies
Arturo Garcia, MicroConnex Corporation	Martin Scionti, Raytheon Vision Systems	John Konrad, TTM Technologies
Robert Neves, Microtek Laboratories China	Michael Carano, RBP Chemical Technology, Inc.	Nick Koop, TTM Technologies
Keith Peterson, Missile Defense Agency	Werner Huegel, Robert Bosch GmbH	Rajesh Kumar, TTM Technologies
Stephen Tisdale, Environmental Consulting LLC	Chris Mahanna, Robisan Laboratory Inc.	Tabishur Malik, TTM Technologies
Bhanu Sood, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center	Paul Vianco, Sandia National Labs Albuquerque	James Monarchio, TTM Technologies
Albert Block, National Instruments	Suriyakan Kleitz, Schlumberger Well Services	John Palmer, TTM Technologies
Brian Flemming, National Instruments	Henry Rekers, Schneider Electric Systems USA, Inc.	Alan Preston, TTM Technologies
Naji Norder, National Instruments	Jefferson Mao, Schweizer Electronic (Jiangsu) Co.,Ltd.	Brody Stapelmann, TTM Technologies
Michael DiCicco, Northrop Grumman Corporation	Jiong (Crystal) Dai, Shennan Circuit Co. Ltd.	Lee Swanson, TTM Technologies
Mahendra Gandhi, Northrop Grumman Space Systems	Gerard O'Brien, Solderability Testing & Solutions, Inc.	Walter Wibelhaus, TTM Technologies
Russell Shepherd, NTS - Anaheim	Srinivas Chada, Stryker Instruments	Glenn Amos, TTM Technologies - Logan Division
Keith Sellers, NTS - Baltimore	Gerry Partida, Summit Interconnect - Anaheim	Ingrid Swenson, TTM Technologies, Inc.
Bruce Hughes, Nvidia Corporation	Scott Bryan, Superior Processing	Michael Moore, U.S. Army Aviation & Missile Command
Karl Sauter, Oracle America, Inc.	Gordon Williams, Superior Processing	Donald Gudecauskas, Uyemura International Corp.
Mumtaz Bora, Peregrine Semiconductor	Martin Hayes, TE Connectivity	George Milad, Uyemura International Corp.
Michah Pledger, Pledger LLC	Eric Stafstrom, Technic Inc.	Patrick Valentine, Uyemura International Corp.
	Vanja Bukva, Teledyne Dalsa	Jesse Vaughan, Zentech Manufacturing
		Zhiman Chen, Zhuzhou CRRC Times Electric Co., LTD.

***A special thank you to the Tiger Team for their dedication and commitment to this effort. Their support and time during the development of this document is greatly appreciated.***

Denise Charest, Amphenol Printed Circuits, Inc.	Scott Bowles, Lockheed Martin Corporation	Ingrid Swenson, TTM Technologies
David Sommevoie, Menkel US Operations Corp.	Don Dupriest, Lockheed Martin Missile & Fire Control	George Milad, Uyemura International Corp.
	Jose Rios, Raytheon	

***The committee would also like to thank the outgoing leaders for their significant contributions.***

George Milad, Uyemura International Corp.	Gerard O'Brien, Solderability Testing & Solutions, Inc.
---	---

## Table of Contents

<b>1 SCOPE</b> .....	1	3.2.1 Shelf Life .....	16
1.1 Purpose .....	1	3.2.2 Humidity Indicator Card (HIC) .....	19
1.2 Description .....	1	3.2.3 Aluminum and Copper Wire (Wedge) Bonding .....	19
1.3 Classification .....	1	3.2.4 Contact Surface .....	19
1.4 Measurement Units .....	1	3.2.5 EMI Shielding .....	19
1.5 Definition of Requirements .....	1	3.2.6 Conductive and/or Anisotropic Adhesive Interface (Replacement for Solder) .....	20
1.6 Process Control Requirements .....	2	3.2.7 Connectors .....	20
1.7 Order of Precedence .....	2	3.2.8 Limitations of ENIG .....	20
1.7.1 Conflict .....	2	3.3 Visual .....	20
1.7.2 Clause References .....	2	3.4 Selective Annular Ring Dewetting (SAD) .....	21
1.7.3 Appendices .....	2	3.5 Finish Thickness .....	22
1.8 Use of “Lead” .....	3	3.5.1 Electroless Nickel Thickness .....	22
1.9 Abbreviations and Acronyms .....	3	3.5.2 Immersion Gold Thickness .....	24
1.10 Terms and Definitions .....	3	3.5.3 Exception to the Required Thickness Ranges .....	25
1.10.1 Electroless Process .....	3	3.6 Nickel Corrosion .....	27
1.10.2 Hyperactive Corrosion Deposit .....	3	3.6.1 Morphologies of Features at Electroless Nickel – Immersion Gold Interfaces .....	28
1.10.3 Immersion Process .....	3	3.6.2 Corrosion Level Definition .....	30
1.10.4 Metal Turnover (MTO) .....	3	3.6.3 Corrosion Level Evaluation of an As- Plated ENIG Deposit by Cross Section .....	32
1.10.5 Reduction Assisted Immersion Gold Also known as: Mixed Reaction Immersion Gold .....	3	3.6.4 ENIG Baselineing .....	38
1.10.6 Selective Annular Ring Dewetting (SAD) .....	4	3.6.5 ENIG Corrosion Performance Monitoring .....	38
<b>2 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS</b> .....	4	3.6.6 Optional Testing to Determine IMC Formation on Boards Showing Product Rating 2 .....	39
2.1 IPC .....	4	3.7 Porosity .....	40
2.2 Joint Standards .....	5	3.8 Adhesion .....	40
2.3 ASTM International (ASTM) .....	5	3.9 Solderability .....	41
2.4 JEDEC .....	5	3.9.1 Stressing of the Deposit Prior to Solderability Testing .....	41
2.5 Defense Standardization Program .....	5	3.9.2 Force Measurement Testing (Wetting Balance Testing) .....	41
2.6 Telcordia Technologies, Inc. .....	5	3.10 Cleanliness .....	42
2.7 International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) .....	5	3.11 Electrolytic Corrosion .....	42
2.8 International Organization for Standardization (ISO) .....	5	3.12 Chemical Resistance .....	42
<b>3 REQUIREMENTS of ENIG DEPOSIT</b> .....	6	<b>4 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROVISIONS</b> .....	42
3.1 Printed Board Fabrication Supplier Process Requirements .....	7	4.1 General Quality Assurance Provisions .....	42
3.1.1 General Plating Line Requirements .....	7	4.1.1 Qualification Recommendations .....	42
3.1.2 ENIG XRF Calibration Standards .....	12	4.1.2 Sample Test Coupons .....	42
3.1.3 XRF Zero Offset Acceptability .....	12	4.2 Quality Conformance Testing .....	44
3.1.4 Process Qualification Measurement Requirements .....	13	4.2.1 Thickness .....	44
3.1.5 Electroless Nickel Reducing Agents – Phosphorus Content .....	14	4.2.2 Qualified Process .....	44
3.1.6 Gold Stripping of Plated Deposits for Evaluation of Corrosion Level .....	16		
3.2 Performance Functions .....	16		

<b>APPENDIX 1</b>	<b>Abbreviations and Acronyms</b> .....	45	Figure 3-1d	Graphical and Statistical Evaluation of Data from XRF Tool # 3 .....	11
<b>APPENDIX 2</b>	<b>ENIG Process Sequence</b> .....	47	Figure 3-2	Potassium Iodide/Iodine (KI / I <sub>2</sub> ) at 15 sec. dwell (left) vs. 60 sec. dwell (right) .....	17
<b>APPENDIX 3</b>	<b>XRF Thickness Measurements of Thin Au (ENIG): Recommendations for Instrumentation (Detectors) and Their Limitations</b> .....	48	Figure 3-3	Cyanide-Based Stripping at 15 sec. dwell (left) vs. 60 sec. dwell (right) .....	17
<b>APPENDIX 4</b>	<b>ENIG PWB Surface Finish Wetting Balance Testing</b> .....	50	Figure 3-4	Cyanide Stripping (left) vs. KI/I <sub>2</sub> Stripping (right) Using Focused Ion Beam (FIB) .....	17
<b>APPENDIX 5</b>	<b>IPC 4-14 SC ENIG Round Robin Solder Spread Testing</b> .....	68	Figure 3-5	25,000X FIB Images – Cyanide (left) vs. KI / I <sub>2</sub> (right) – Same Dwell Time .....	18
<b>APPENDIX 6</b>	<b>Wire Bonding to ENIG</b> .....	74	Figure 3-6	Ion Mill Stripping of Defect-Free Nickel (left) vs. Hyper-Corroded Nickel (right) .....	18
<b>APPENDIX 7</b>	<b>Through Hole Solderability Testing</b> .....	75	Figure 3-7	Humidity Indicator Card (HIC) Example .....	19
<b>APPENDIX 8</b>	<b>Evaluation of Electroless Nickel Corrosion Due to Immersion Gold Plating, Using 3000X Magnification After Gold Stripping</b> .....	90	Figure 3-8	Uniform Plating .....	20
<b>APPENDIX 9-A</b>	<b>Cyanide Gold Stripping for ENIG</b> .....	95	Figure 3-9	Extraneous Plating or Nickel .....	21
<b>APPENDIX 9-B</b>	<b>Test Method for Potassium Iodide/ Iodine (Non-Cyanide) ENIG Gold Stripping Procedure</b> .....	96	Figure 3-10	Skip Plating (No Ni plating) .....	21
<b>APPENDIX 9-C</b>	<b>Method for Stripping Gold Plating from ENIG Finished PCBs by Broad Beam Argon Ion Milling</b> .....	98	Figure 3-11	Edge Pull Back .....	21
<b>APPENDIX 10</b>	<b>Determination of Thickness and Phosphorus Content in Electroless Nickel (EN) Layers X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Spectrometry [IPC-TM-650, Method 2.3.44]</b> .....	105	Figure 3-12	Example of SAD (Selective Angular Ring Dewetting) .....	22
<b>APPENDIX 11</b>	<b>Phosphorus Content Measurement in ENIG Using Electron Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS)</b> .....	110	Figure 3-13	Another Example of the SAD Defect .....	22
<b>APPENDIX 12</b>	<b>Standard Development Efforts of Electroless Nickel Immersion Gold</b> .....	115	Figure 3-14	Selective Angular Ring Dewetting Defect .....	22
<b>APPENDIX 13</b>	<b>Using Guard Bands or a Gauge Correction Factor to Accommodate Type 1 Gauge Study Measurement Uncertainty</b> .....	134	Figure 3-15	Grain Structure of Conventional Nickel Deposit .....	23
<b>APPENDIX 14</b>	<b>Worked Examples of Hyper-Corrosion Evaluations</b> .....	139	Figure 3-16	Grain Structure of Nickel Deposit Modified for Dynamic Flex Applications .....	23
			Figure 3-17	Example of Fracture in Conventional Nickel Deposit .....	23
			Figure 3-18	Modified Nickel Deposit Showing No Fracture with Same Number of Cycles .....	23
			Figure 3-19	Example of Multiple Spike Defects on the Knee of a Hole at 1000X .....	28
			Figure 3-20	Example of an Isolated Spreader Defect at 1000X (Rate as Level 1, if < 40%) .....	29
			Figure 3-21	Example of Multiple Spreader Defects on the Knee of a Hole at 1000X .....	29
			Figure 3-22	Example of Black Band Defect (Jagged in Nature) with Varying Depth of Penetration Type of Corrosion at 1000X .....	29
			Figure 3-23	Example of Black Band That Would be Rejectable if It Met the Minimum 30% Coverage of the Field of View at 1000X .....	29
			Figure 3-24	Example of a Crack in the Nickel Deposit at 1000X .....	30
			Figure 3-25	Example of Level 0 Corrosion – Knee of Hole at 1000X .....	31
			Figure 3-26	Example of Level 0 Corrosion – SMT Feature at 1000X .....	31
			Figure 3-27	Level 1 Corrosion – SMT Feature at 1000X .....	31
			Figure 3-28	Level 1 Corrosion – Knee of Hole at 1000X .....	31
			Figure 3-29	Level 2 Corrosion – Knee of Hole at 1000X .....	32
			Figure 3-30	Level 2 Corrosion – SMT Feature at 1000X .....	32

**Figures**

Figure 3-1a	Example of Repeat Measurement Data from Three Different XRF Tools .....	8
Figure 3-1b	Graphical and Statistical Evaluation of Data from XRF Tool # 1 .....	9
Figure 3-1c	Graphical and Statistical Evaluation of Data from XRF Tool # 2 .....	10

Figure 3-31 Level 3 Hyperactive Corrosion – Knee of Hole at 1000X ..... 32

Figure 3-32 Level 3 Hyperactive Corrosion – SMT Feature at 1000X ..... 32

Figure 3-33 Etched Defined PTH ..... 33

Figure 3-34 Solder Mask Defined Features ..... 33

Figure 3-35 Solder Mask Defined SMT Pad ..... 33

Figure 3-36 Etched Defined SMT Pad (non-supported PTH are treated as SMT Pad) ..... 33

Figure 3-37 Blank Product Rating Spread Sheet ..... 34

Figure 3-38 Example Product Rating for 5 Different Panels ..... 35

Figure 3-39 Decision Tree Based on Product Rating Evaluation ..... 35

Figure 3-40 Through Holes to be Evaluated for Corrosion at 25X ..... 36

Figure 3-41 Location 1 Worked Example – Rating Is a Level 2 at 1000X ..... 36

Figure 3-42 Location 2 Worked Example – Rating Is a Level 1 at 1000X ..... 36

Figure 3-43 Location 3 Worked Example – Rating Is a Level 2 at 1000X ..... 36

Figure 3-44 Location 4 Worked Example – Rating Is a Level 0 at 1000X ..... 36

Figure 3-45 Location 5 Worked Example – Rating Is a Level 2 at 1000X ..... 36

Figure 3-46 Location 6 Worked Example – Rating Is a Level 0 at 1000X ..... 37

Figure 3-47 Location 7 Worked Example – Rating Is a Level 0 at 1000X ..... 37

Figure 3-48 Product Rating for the Worked Example ..... 37

Figure 3-49 Example of Run Chart Created for Recording Product Rating ..... 38

Figure 3-50 Example of an Acceptable Contiguous IMC Layer at 1000X ..... 40

Figure 3-51 Example of a Rejectable Non-Contiguous IMC Layer at 1000X ..... 40

Figure 3-52 Example – Rejectable with Little-to-No IMC Formation at 1000X ..... 40

Figure 3-53 Example – Rejectable with Little-to-No IMC Formation at Annular Ring for Through Hole with Level 2 Corrosion Level at 1000X ..... 41

Figure 3-54 Standard IPC Force Measurement Coupon ..... 41

**Tables**

Table 3-1 Requirements of Electroless Nickel/Immersion Gold Plating ..... 6

Table 3-2 Twelve (12) Hour Au Measurements and the Mean Value ..... 13

Table 3-3 Example of Phosphorus Content Analysis Over a 4-Quarter Period ..... 15

Table 3-4 Three Examples of XRF Data Sets (µm [µin]) ..... 24

Table 3-5 One More Example of XRF Data (µm [µin]) ..... 25

Table 3-6 Identification of Corrosion Level of a Location ..... 30

Table 3-7 Product Rating based on Corrosion Investigation ..... 34

Table 4-1 Suggested Fabricator Qualification Plan ..... 43

Table 4-2 C=0 Sampling Plan per Lot Size ..... 44

Currently in preview, click buy full version

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Currently in preview, click buy full version

# Performance Specification for Electroless Nickel/ Immersion Gold (ENIG) Plating for Printed Boards

## 1 SCOPE

This performance specification sets requirements for Electroless Nickel/Immersion Gold (ENIG) deposit thicknesses for applications including soldering, wire bonding and as a contact finish. It is intended for use by chemical suppliers, printed board manufacturers, electronics manufacturing services (EMS) and original equipment manufacturers (OEM). This standard may be used to specify acceptance criteria to meet performance requirements in addition to those found in the IPC-6010 series (IPC-6012, IPC-6013 and IPC-6018) of standards. The ENIG deposit specified by using this document will meet the highest coating durability rating as specified in the J-STD-003 printed board solderability specification.

This specification is based on three critical factors:

- 1) The ENIG plating process is in control producing a normal distribution for nickel and gold deposit thickness.
- 2) That the tool used to measure the deposit and therefore control the process is accurate and reproducible for the thickness range specified.
- 3) That the ENIG plating process results in uniform deposit characteristics.

If any of these three critical factors are not met, then the deposit produced will not meet the performance criteria defined herein.

**1.1 Purpose** This specification sets the requirements specific to ENIG as a surface finish (see Table 3-1 for a summary of these requirements).

**1.2 Description** ENIG is an electroless nickel layer capped with a thin layer of immersion gold (IAu). It is a multifunctional surface finish, applicable to soldering, aluminum and copper wedge wire bonding, press fit connections, and as a contact surface. The immersion gold layer protects the underlying nickel from oxidation/passivation over its intended life. However, this layer is not impervious and it will not pass the requirements of a “classic” porosity test as defined in ASTM B735 and IPC-TM-650, Methods 2.3.24, 2.3.24.1 and 2.3.24.2.

**1.3 Classification** IPC standards recognize that electrical and electronic assemblies are subject to classifications by intended end-item use. Three general end-product classes have been established to reflect differences in manufacturability, complexity, functional performance requirements, and verification (inspection/test) frequency. It should be recognized that there may be overlaps of equipment between classes.

### **CLASS 1 General Electronic Products**

Includes products suitable for applications where the major requirement is function of the completed assembly.

### **CLASS 2 Dedicated Service Electronic Products**

Includes products where continued performance and extended life is required, and for which uninterrupted service is desired but not critical. Typically, the end-use environment would not cause failures.

### **CLASS 3 High Performance/Harsh Environment Electronic Products**

Includes products where continued high performance or performance-on-demand is critical, equipment downtime cannot be tolerated, end-use environment may be uncommonly harsh, and the equipment must function when required, such as life support or other critical systems.

**1.4 Measurement Units** All dimensions and tolerances in this specification are expressed in hard SI (metric) units and bracketed soft imperial [inch] units. Users of this specification are expected to use metric dimensions. All dimensions  $\geq 1$  mm [0.0394 in] will be expressed in millimeters and inches. All dimensions  $< 1$  mm [0.0394 in] will be expressed in micrometers and microinches.

**1.5 Definition of Requirements** The words **shall** or **shall not** are used in the text of this document wherever there is a requirement for materials, preparation, process control or acceptance.