

Institute of Environmental Sciences and Technology

IEST-RP-PR001.2

Product Reliability Division
Recommended Practice 001.2

**Management and Technical
Guidelines for the
Environmental Stress
Screening (ESS) Process**



Arlington Place One
2340 S. Arlington Heights Road, Suite 620
Arlington Heights, IL 60005-4510
Phone: (847) 981-0100 • Fax: (847) 981-4130
E-mail: information@iest.org • Web: www.iest.org

This Recommended Practice was prepared by and is under the jurisdiction of Working Group 001 of the IEST Product Reliability Division (WG-PR001). The following WG voting members contributed to the development of this edition of this Recommended Practice.

William T. Boswell, WG-PR001 Chair, Consultant

Dustin S. Aldridge, Raytheon Missile Systems

Christine L. Peterson, C. Hanse Industries

Michael A. Roy, Consultant

David M. Sgro, FLIR

The Working Group also wishes to acknowledge the contributions of members who prefer to remain anonymous.

Copyright © 2016 by the Institute of Environmental Sciences and Technology

First printing, July 2016

ISBN: 978-1-937280-29-1 (Electronic format)

ISBN: 978-1-937280-28-4 (Print format)

PROPOSAL FOR IMPROVEMENT: The Working Groups of the Institute of Environmental Sciences and Technology are continually working on improvements to their Recommended Practices and Reference Documents. Suggestions from users of these documents are welcome. If you have a suggestion regarding this document, please use the online Proposal for Improvement form found on the IEST website at www.iest.org.

Institute of Environmental Sciences and Technology
Arlington Place
2340 S. Arlington Heights Road, Suite 620
Arlington Heights, IL 60005-4510
Phone: (847) 981-0100 • Fax: (847) 981-4130
E-mail: information@iest.org • Web: www.iest.org

NOTICE AND DISCLAIMER: This Recommended Practice is published by the Institute of Environmental Sciences and Technology (IEST) to advance the technical and engineering sciences. Use of this document is entirely voluntary, and determination of its applicability and suitability for any particular use is solely the responsibility of the user. Use of this Recommended Practice does not imply any warranty or endorsement by IEST.

The information in this publication was considered technically sound by the consensus of persons engaged in the development and approval of the document at the time it was developed. Consensus does not necessarily mean that there is unanimous agreement among all persons participating in the development of this document.

IEST standards, recommended practices, and guideline publications, of which the document contained herein is one, are developed through a voluntary consensus standards development process. This process brings together volunteers and seeks out the views of persons who have an interest in the topic covered by this publication. While IEST administers the process to promote fairness in the development of consensus, the organization does not write the document and does not independently test, evaluate, or verify the accuracy or completeness of any information or the soundness of any judgments contained in IEST standards, recommended practices, and guideline publications.

IEST disclaims liability for any personal injury, property, or other damages of any nature whatsoever, whether special, indirect, consequential, or compensatory, directly or indirectly resulting from the publication, use of, application, or reliance on this document. IEST disclaims and makes no guaranty or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy or completeness of any information published herein, and disclaims and makes no warranty that the information in this document will fulfill any of the particular purposes or needs of users of the document. IEST does not guarantee the performance of any individual manufacturer or seller's products or services by virtue of this standard or guide.

In publishing and making this document available, IEST is not undertaking to render professional or other services for or on behalf of any person or entity, nor is IEST undertaking to perform any duty owed by any person or entity to someone else. Anyone using this document should rely on his or her own independent judgment or, as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances. Information and other standards on the topic covered by this publication may be available from other sources, which the user may wish to consult for additional views or information not covered by this publication.

IEST has no power, nor does it undertake to police or enforce compliance with the contents of this document. IEST does not certify, test, or inspect products, designs, or installations for safety or health purposes. Any certification or other statement of compliance with any health or safety-related information in this document shall not be attributable to IEST and is solely the responsibility of the certifier or maker of the statement.

Management and Technical Guidelines for the Environmental Stress Screening (ESS) Process

IEST-RP-PR001.2

CONTENTS

SECTION

1	SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS.....	6
2	REFERENCES AND APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS.....	7
3	TERMS AND DEFINITIONS.....	8
4	BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE.....	13
5	ESS FUNDAMENTALS.....	13
6	EQUIPMENT AND SETUP.....	29
7	PROCEDURES.....	36

FIGURES

1	DYNAMICS OF THE SCREENING PROCESS.....	21
2	DELIVERABLE PRODUCT FAILURE-FREE OPERATION.....	25
3	SCREENING CONFIGURATION EXAMPLE.....	32
4	RESPONSE OF CCA “A” TO FIXED RESONANCE.....	32
5	RESPONSE OF CCA “A” TO ED SYSTEM INPUT.....	34
6	ENVIRONMENTAL SEVERITY RELATIONSHIPS.....	37
7	SCREEN DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION PROCESS.....	40
8	PRODUCT THERMAL RESPONSE DURING THERMAL CYCLING SCREENING.....	45
A1	STRESS RELATIONSHIP OF ENVIRONMENTAL LEVELS.....	49
A2	FIRST-ORDER LIFE ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY.....	52
A3	PHYSICS OF FAILURE VIBRATION APPROACH.....	53
B1	TYPICAL BOX LEVEL ASSEMBLY PLAN VIEW.....	57
B2	RESPONSE MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS ON RECTANGULAR CCA WITH BASE CONNECTOR.....	57
C1	PREDICTION OF THERMAL CYCLE FATIGUE LIFE REDUCTION DUE TO CONTAMINATED SOLDER JOINTS.....	63
C2	PHYSICS OF FAILURE THERMAL APPROACH.....	68
C3	TYPICAL TEMPERATURE CYCLING PROFILE AND PRODUCT THERMAL RESPONSE.....	69
C4	CHAMBER AIR AND PRODUCT TEMPERATURES WITH HIGH CHAMBER AIR SPEED AND LONG DWELL TIMES.....	70
C5	CHAMBER AIR AND PRODUCT TEMPERATURES WITH LOW CHAMBER AIR SPEED AND SHORT DWELL TIMES.....	70

TABLES

1	CHARACTERISTICS OF A WELL-DESIGNED SCREEN.....	14
2	EFFECT OF DEFECTIVE PIECE PARTS ON PRINTED WIRING ASSEMBLY YIELD.....	20
3	ESS PLAN CHECKLIST.....	22
4	SCREENING ENVIRONMENTS VERSUS TYPICAL FAILURE MECHANISMS.....	23
5	VIBRATION SCREEN CHECKLIST.....	42
6	THERMAL CYCLING SCREEN CHECKLIST.....	44
A1	CONSIDERATIONS IN SELECTION OF SCREENING DEVELOPMENT METHOD.....	48
B1	VIBRATION SURVEY CHECKLIST.....	60
D1	THERMAL SURVEY CHECKLIST.....	77

APPENDIXES

A	VIBRATION STRESS SCREENING CHARACTERISTICS DEVELOPMENT.....	47
B	VIBRATION SURVEY GUIDELINES.....	56
C	THERMAL CYCLING STRESS SCREENING CHARACTERISTICS DEVELOPMENT.....	61
D	THERMAL SURVEY GUIDELINES.....	75
E	SUPPLIER ESS PROCESS REQUIREMENTS.....	79
F	FIXTURES FOR ESS.....	81
G	BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	83

Management and Technical Guidelines for the Environmental Stress Screening (ESS) Process

IEST-RP-PR001.2

1 SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS

1.1 Scope

This Recommended Practice (RP) supersedes IEST-RP-PR001.1, *Management and Technical Guidelines for the ESS Process* (1999). This RP provides an overview of the techniques and considerations needed to define a viable Environmental Stress Screening (ESS) program. This RP uses language that can be used by the military, government, and commercial communities.

This RP is intended to be an important tool that can be used by the electronics industry for conducting ESS. This document applies to a knowledgeable ESS practitioner as well as to those individuals who are new to ESS. Additionally, it provides the practitioner all of the tools required to successfully plan, develop, and implement a viable ESS program.

The process elements required to successfully implement ESS are discussed in this document, as well as those process steps necessary to keep the ESS process dynamic; i.e., successful planning, implementation of ESS, the collection of failure data, the analysis of failures, and implementation of corrective action.

It is not the intent of this document to describe engineering development or formal verification environmental tests. These subjects are valuable parts of the product development process, but because of their depth and complexity are beyond the scope of this document.

Rather, this document describes the application of thermal, vibration, and electrical performance as forcing functions during the ESS process because of their nearly universal utility. However, other types of forcing functions (such as pressure cycling) or performance evaluation (X-ray and infrared scanning, for example) may be appropriate in special circumstances for particular products. This document should not be construed as discouraging the application of other relevant forcing functions when appropriate.

This document stresses the need to expose product to forcing functions as early in the life of the product as possible. This will assist in producing the best product possible given requisite economic considerations.

It is recognized that a product will likely be composed of several different assemblies. As these assemblies are integrated and the product becomes more and more complex, the level of environmental loading that the lower level assemblies may experience can be greatly attenuated (i.e., thermal path restrictions and vibration dampening). With this in mind, and realizing that all assemblies are prone to process anomalies, this document emphasizes the need to perform ESS at the lowest practical assembly level.

This RP discusses the screening environments of temperature cycling, random vibration, and power cycling. For each of these environments, a discussion of the steps required to successfully incorporate them into the ESS program