



**CSA
Group**

N1600-16

General requirements for nuclear emergency management programs

Currently in preview, click buy full versi

Legal Notice for Standards

Canadian Standards Association (operating as “CSA Group”) develops standards through a consensus standards development process approved by the Standards Council of Canada. This process brings together volunteers representing varied viewpoints and interests to achieve consensus and develop a standard. Although CSA Group administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in achieving consensus, it does not independently test, evaluate, or verify the content of standards.

Disclaimer and exclusion of liability

This document is provided without any representations, warranties, or conditions of any kind, express or implied, including, without limitation, implied warranties or conditions concerning this document’s fitness for a particular purpose or use, its merchantability, or its non-infringement of any third party’s intellectual property rights. CSA Group does not warrant the accuracy, completeness, or currency of any of the information published in this document. CSA Group makes no representations or warranties regarding this document’s compliance with any applicable statute, rule, or regulation.

IN NO EVENT SHALL CSA GROUP, ITS VOLUNTEERS, MEMBERS, SUBSIDIARIES, OR AFFILIATED COMPANIES, OR THEIR EMPLOYEES, DIRECTORS, OR OFFICERS, BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES, INJURY, LOSS, COSTS, OR EXPENSES, HOWSOEVER CAUSED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO SPECIAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, LOST REVENUE, BUSINESS INTERRUPTION, LOST OR DAMAGED DATA, OR ANY OTHER COMMERCIAL OR ECONOMIC LOSS, WHETHER BASED IN CONTRACT, TORT (INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE), OR ANY OTHER THEORY OF LIABILITY, ARISING OUT OF OR RESULTING FROM ACCESS TO OR POSSESSION OR USE OF THIS DOCUMENT, EVEN IF CSA GROUP HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES, INJURY, LOSS, COSTS, OR EXPENSES.

In publishing and making this document available, CSA Group is not undertaking to render professional or other services for or on behalf of any person or entity or to perform any duty owed by any person or entity to another person or entity. The information in this document is directed to those who have the appropriate degree of experience to use and apply its contents, and CSA Group accepts no responsibility whatsoever arising in any way from any and all use of or reliance on the information contained in this document.

CSA Group is a private not-for-profit company that publishes voluntary standards and related documents. CSA Group has no power, nor does it undertake, to enforce compliance with the contents of the standards or other documents it publishes.

Intellectual property rights and ownership

As between CSA Group and the users of this document (whether it be in printed or electronic form), CSA Group is the owner, or the authorized licensee, of all works contained herein that are protected by copyright, all trade-marks (except as otherwise noted to the contrary), and all inventions and trade secrets that may be contained in this document, whether or not such inventions and trade secrets are protected by patents and applications for patents. Without limitation, the unauthorized use, modification, copying, or disclosure of this document may violate laws that protect CSA Group’s and/or others’ intellectual property and may give rise to a right in CSA Group and/or others to seek legal redress for such use, modification, copying, or disclosure. To the extent permitted by treaty or by law, CSA Group reserves all intellectual property rights in this document.

Patent rights

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this standard may be the subject of patent rights. CSA Group shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights is entirely their own responsibility.

Authorized use of this document

This document is being provided by CSA Group for informational and non-commercial use only. The user of this document is authorized to do only the following:

If this document is in electronic form:

- load this document onto a computer for the sole purpose of reviewing it;
- search and browse this document; and
- print this document if it is in PDF form.

Limited copies of this document in print or paper form may be distributed only to persons who are authorized by CSA Group to have such copies, and only if this Legal Notice appears on each such copy.

In addition, users may not and may not permit others to

- alter this document in any way, or remove this Legal Notice from the attached standard;
- sell this document without authorization from CSA Group; or
- make an electronic copy of this document.

If you do not agree with any of the terms and conditions contained in this Legal Notice, you may not load or use this document or make any copies of the contents hereof, and if you do make such copies, you are required to destroy them immediately. Use of this document constitutes your acceptance of the terms and conditions of this Legal Notice.



Standards Update Service

N1600-16

March 2016

Title: *General requirements for nuclear emergency management programs*

To register for e-mail notification about any updates to this publication

- go to shop.csa.ca
- click on **CSA Update Service**

The **List ID** that you will need to register for updates to this publication is **24242.2**

If you require assistance, please e-mail techsupport@csagroup.org or call 416-747-2233.

Visit CSA Group's policy on privacy at www.csagroup.org/legal to find out how we protect your personal information.

N1600-16

***General requirements for nuclear
emergency management programs***



TMA trade-mark of the Canadian Standards Association, operating as "CSA Group"

*Published in March 2016 by CSA Group
A not-for-profit private sector organization
178 Rexdale Boulevard, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M9W 1R3*

*To purchase standards and related publications, visit our Online Store at shop.csa.ca
or call toll-free 1-800-463-6727 or 416-747-4044.*

ISBN 978-1-4883-0253-4

© 2016 CSA Group
All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form whatsoever
without the prior permission of the publisher.

Contents

Technical Committee on General Requirements for Nuclear Emergency Management Programs 7

Preface 10

0 Introduction 12

0.1 General 12

0.2 Plan-do-check-act (PDCA) cycle 12

0.3 Components of emergency management 13

0.3.1 Prevention and mitigation 13

0.3.2 Preparedness 14

0.3.3 Response 14

0.3.4 Recovery 15

1 Scope 15

2 Reference publications 16

3 Definitions and acronyms 20

3.1 Definitions 20

3.2 Acronyms 27

4 Nuclear emergency management program (NEMP) 28

4.1 NEMP overview 28

4.2 NEMP elements 28

4.3 Alternatives 29

4.4 Program management 29

4.4.1 Planning cycle for the NEMP 29

4.4.2 Leadership and commitment 30

4.4.3 Program coordination 30

4.4.4 NEMP review committee 30

4.4.5 NEMP administration 31

4.4.6 Financial management 33

4.4.7 Maintenance 33

4.5 Integration 34

4.5.1 General 34

4.5.2 Functional areas 35

4.5.3 Operational activities 35

4.5.4 Organizational integration 36

5 Planning 36

5.1 Hazards identification 36

5.2 Risk assessment 36

5.2.1 General 36

5.2.2 Jurisdictional risk focus 37

5.2.3 Sharing the results of risk assessments 37

5.3 Impact analysis 37

5.3.1 Identification of critical functions 37

5.3.2	Evaluation of losing critical functions	37
5.3.3	Continuity of critical functions	37
5.4	Planning basis	38
5.4.1	Reactor facility planning basis requirements	38
5.4.2	Off-site planning basis requirements	38
5.4.3	Review frequency	38
6	Communication	39
6.1	General	39
6.2	Communication requirements	39
6.3	Process for external communication	40
6.4	Data and information needs for effective communication	40
6.4.1	General	40
6.4.2	Communication in standardized units between organizations	40
6.4.3	Conversion equivalency tables	40
6.4.4	Communication in standardized units to the public	40
6.4.5	Data reporting format	41
6.5	Data and information transmittal requirements for reactor facilities	41
6.6	Communications plan establishment	41
6.7	Communications plan scope	41
6.8	Communication procedures	41
6.9	Testing of communication systems	42
7	Nuclear emergency response plan	43
7.1	General	43
7.2	Nuclear emergency response plan development	43
7.2.1	Development	43
7.2.2	Purpose, scope, and objectives	44
7.2.3	Compliance	45
7.2.4	Stakeholder consultation	45
7.2.5	Nuclear emergency response plan accessibility	45
7.3	Response organizational requirements	46
7.3.1	Identification of organizational structure	46
7.3.2	Emergency response organization requirements	46
7.3.3	Expertise and resource requirements	47
7.3.4	Further considerations	47
7.3.5	Documentation	47
7.3.6	Activation	47
7.3.7	Reporting time requirements	47
7.3.8	Minimum staffing requirements	47
7.3.9	Extended response	48
7.4	Nuclear emergency categorization and notification	48
7.4.1	Emergency categorization	48
7.4.2	Determination criteria	48
7.4.3	Nuclear emergency categories	48
7.4.4	Notification methods, processes, and timelines	49
7.4.5	Emergency notification	49
7.4.6	Reactor facility specific notification requirements	50
7.4.7	Other notification requirements	50

7.5	Emergency assessment	50
7.5.1	Methods and procedures	50
7.5.2	Protection of response personnel	51
7.5.3	Continuing risk assessment for public and environment	51
7.5.4	Reactor facility-specific requirements	51
7.5.5	Reactor facility gamma radiation detection and monitoring	51
7.5.6	Radiological monitoring capacity, capability, and reporting	51
7.5.7	Source term, plume dispersion, and dose modelling	52
7.5.8	Dose modelling tools	52
7.6	Protective actions	52
7.6.1	Emergency planning zones	52
7.6.2	Planning for protective actions	53
7.6.3	Requirements related to protective actions	54
7.6.4	Selection of protective actions	55
7.7	Interface and support between response organizations	56
7.7.1	Coordination of response activities	56
7.7.2	Co-operation and assistance	57
7.7.3	Venting strategies	57
7.7.4	Transmission of reactor facility emergency data	57
7.7.5	Transmission of other organizations' emergency data	58
7.7.6	Mutual aid or mutual assistance agreements	59
7.7.7	Resource management	59
7.8	Emergency personnel protection	59
7.9	Critical facilities and support resources	60
7.9.1	General requirements	60
7.9.2	Protection of computer-based systems and components	61
7.9.3	Critical facilities	61
7.9.4	Support resources	63
7.9.5	Resource availability	64
7.10	Communication and information flow	64
7.11	Public alerting systems	65
7.11.1	Define and document	65
7.11.2	Effective, secure, and redundant public alerting systems	65
7.11.3	Alerting methods	65
7.11.4	Minimum public alerting system requirements	66
7.12	Continuity of nuclear emergency response operations	66
7.13	Deviation from the nuclear emergency response plan	66
7.14	Validation of the nuclear emergency response plan and procedures	66
7.14.1	General	66
7.14.2	Change validation	67
7.14.3	Reactor facility change notification	67
7.14.4	Validation documentation retention	67
7.15	Nuclear emergency response plan maintenance	67
8	Nuclear emergency recovery plan	67
8.1	Nuclear emergency recovery plan development	67
8.1.1	General	67
8.1.2	Need for development	67
8.1.3	Applicable requirements	68

8.1.4	Considerations in plan development	68
8.1.5	Supporting procedures	68
8.2	Transition from response to recovery	68
8.3	Plan implementation authority	68
8.4	Technical resource needs	68
8.5	Scope of the nuclear emergency recovery plan	69
8.5.1	Recovery actions	69
8.5.2	Endpoints	69
8.5.3	Plan elements	69
8.6	Recovery organizational requirements	70
8.6.1	Organizational structure	70
8.6.2	Organization requirements	70
8.6.3	Documentation	70
8.7	Other resource requirements	70
8.7.1	Planning data	70
8.7.2	Required facilities and support resources	70
8.8	Communications and information flow	71
8.8.1	Communications procedures	71
8.8.2	Recovery data reporting	71
8.8.3	Recovery data dissemination	71
8.9	Continuity of nuclear emergency recovery operations	71
8.10	Deviation from the nuclear emergency recovery plan	71
8.11	Nuclear emergency recovery plan accessibility	72
8.12	Validation of the nuclear emergency recovery plan and procedures	72
8.12.1	General	72
8.12.2	Validation of changes	72
8.12.3	Notification of changes	72
8.12.4	Retention of documentation	72
8.13	Nuclear emergency recovery plan maintenance	72
9	Training	73
9.1	General	73
9.2	Levels of training	73
9.3	Training requirement	73
9.4	Competencies	73
9.5	Training design	73
9.6	Systematic approach to training	74
9.7	Frequency and scope	74
9.8	Radiation protection training support	74
9.9	Record retention	74
10	Facilities and equipment maintenance	74
10.1	Working condition assurance	74
10.2	Functionality inspections and tests	74
10.3	System testing	74
10.4	Gaps and limitations	75
10.5	Corrective action plans	75
11	Public awareness and education	75

11.1	General	75
11.2	Public awareness and education program	75
11.3	Public awareness and education products	75
11.4	Capabilities	76
11.5	Accountability	76
12	Exercises	76
12.1	Exercise program	76
12.1.1	General	76
12.1.2	Exercise program objectives	76
12.1.3	Discussion-based exercises	77
12.1.4	Operations-based exercises	77
12.1.5	Exercise participants	78
12.2	Exercise schedule	79
12.2.1	Exercise frequency	79
12.2.2	Identification of participating organizations	79
12.2.3	Depth of engagement	79
12.2.4	Exercise schedule review	79
12.2.5	Additional requirements	79
12.3	Exercise design	79
12.3.1	Exercise design team	79
12.3.2	Exercise design team membership	79
12.4	Exercise facilitator qualifications	79
12.5	Exercise preparation	80
12.5.1	Exercise plan	80
12.5.2	Exercise format and plan considerations	80
12.5.3	Required exercise plan elements	80
12.5.4	Additional exercise plan elements	80
12.5.5	Full-scale exercise roles	81
12.5.6	Exercise media spokesperson	81
12.5.7	Exercise notification to the public and the media	81
12.6	Exercise conduct	81
12.6.1	Compliance	81
12.6.2	Participant briefing	82
12.6.3	Identification	82
12.6.4	Controller and evaluator separation	82
12.7	Post-exercise activities	82
12.7.1	General	82
12.7.2	Debriefing	82
12.7.3	Documentation	82
12.7.4	Debriefing authority	82
12.7.5	Debrief observations	82
12.7.6	Return of facilities and equipment	82
12.8	Exercise evaluation	83
12.8.1	General	83
12.8.2	Exercise evaluation plan	83
12.8.3	Exercise evaluation report	83

13 Response 84

13.1	Activation of the nuclear emergency response plan	84
13.2	Inter-organizational emergency response coordination	84
13.3	Assessment of the response needs	84
13.4	Deviation from the nuclear emergency response plan	84
13.5	Termination of the emergency response phase	85
13.6	Response evaluation	85
13.6.1	General	85
13.6.2	Evaluation considerations	85
14	Recovery	85
14.1	Activation of the nuclear emergency recovery plan	85
14.2	Inter-organizational nuclear emergency recovery coordination	85
14.3	Assessment of the recovery needs	85
14.4	Deviation from the nuclear emergency recovery plan	86
14.5	Termination of the recovery operation	86
14.6	Recovery evaluation	86
14.6.1	General	86
14.6.2	Evaluation considerations	86
15	NEMP evaluation, audit, and review	86
15.1	NEMP evaluation	86
15.1.1	Development of an evaluation plan	86
15.1.2	Review plan processes	87
15.1.3	Currency and completeness	87
15.1.4	Consideration of interfaces	88
15.1.5	Corrective actions	88
15.2	NEMP audit	88
15.3	NEMP review	88
15.3.1	Continual improvement process	88
15.3.2	Corrective action process	88
16	Oversight and improvement	89
16.1	Senior management oversight	89
16.1.1	General	89
16.1.2	Oversight considerations	89
16.1.3	Frequency of review	89
16.2	Continual improvement	89
16.2.1	process	89
16.2.2	Assessment and benchmarking	89
16.2.3	Lessons learned	89
<hr/>		
	Annex A (informative) — Introduction figures	90
	Annex B (informative) — Nuclear emergency management program overview	92
	Annex C (informative) — Conversion equivalence table	93
	Annex D (informative) — Emergency planning zones	94

Technical Committee on General Requirements for Nuclear Emergency Management Programs

J. Coles	Ontario Power Generation Inc Darlington Nuclear, Bowmanville, Ontario <i>Category: User Interest</i>	<i>Chair</i>
R. Kinchlea	Centennial College Centennial HP Campus, Toronto, Ontario <i>Category: General Interest</i>	<i>Vice-Chair</i>
N. Ali	Environment Canada, Toronto, Ontario	<i>Associate</i>
D. Beaton	Health Canada-Radiation Protection Bureau, Ottawa, Ontario	<i>Associate</i>
L. Bergman	Health Canada Radiation Protection Bureau, Ottawa, Ontario <i>Category: Government and/or Regulatory Authority</i>	
K. Bleyer	Ontario Office of the Fire Marshal and Emergency Management, Toronto, Ontario	<i>Associate</i>
J. Byard	The Regional Municipality of Durham, Whitby, Ontario <i>Category: Public Safety Interest</i>	
Y. Dadashi	Candesco, Toronto, Ontario <i>Category: Professional Services</i>	
C. Fisher	Canadian Nuclear Laboratories Limited (CNL), Chalk River, Ontario <i>Category: User Interest</i>	
L. Gilbert	Bruce Power, Tiverton, Ontario	<i>Associate</i>

J. Hagg	City of Pickering, Pickering, Ontario <i>Category: Public Safety Interest</i>	
T.P. Harkness	Pat Harkness and Associates, Chilliwack, British Columbia <i>Category: Professional Services</i>	
C.N. Hickman	NB Power Corporation, Fredericton, New Brunswick <i>Category: User Interest</i>	
S. Hysenaj	Environment Canada, Toronto, Ontario	<i>Associate</i>
D. Kozak	Retired Professional, Whitby, Ontario <i>Category: General Interest</i>	
F.J. Lemay	International Safety Research, Ottawa, Ontario <i>Category: Professional Services</i>	
M. Mantha	Canadian Nuclear Laboratories Limited (CNL), Chalk River, Ontario	<i>Associate</i>
D. McArthur	Bruce Power LP, Tiverton, Ontario <i>Category: User Interest</i>	
T. Mitchell	Canadian Red Cross, Peterborough, Ontario <i>Category: General Interest</i>	
D. Nodwell	Ontario Office of the Fire Marshal and Emergency Management, Toronto, Ontario <i>Category: Government and/or Regulatory Authority</i>	
L. Sigouin	Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission (CNSC), Ottawa, Ontario <i>Category: Government and/or Regulatory Authority</i>	
J.D. Swann	Department of National Defence, Ottawa, Ontario	<i>Associate</i>

R. Tennant	Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission (CNSC), Ottawa, Ontario	<i>Associate</i>
F. Tourneur	University Health Network, Toronto, Ontario <i>Category: General Interest</i>	
D. Trylinski	Ontario Power Generation, Port Hope, Ontario	<i>Associate</i>
G. Weir	Municipality of Clarington Emergency & Fire Services, Bowmanville, Ontario <i>Category: Public Safety Interest</i>	
R. Wheeler	Shearwater Environmental Emergency Solutions Inc., Toronto, Ontario <i>Category: Professional Services</i>	
C. Sellar	CSA Group, Toronto, Ontario	<i>Project Manager</i>

Preface

This is the second edition of CSA N1600, *General requirements for nuclear emergency management programs*. It supersedes the previous edition published in 2014.

This Standard provides requirements for a comprehensive **nuclear emergency management program (NEMP)** embracing the **emergency management (EM)** components (**prevention/mitigation, preparedness, response, and recovery**) in keeping with international EM practice, with a predominant focus on **preparedness, response, and recovery**. It establishes the elements of a continual improvement process to develop, implement, maintain, and evaluate the EM functions of nuclear facilities and their surrounding communities.

Users of this Standard are reminded that the site selection, design, manufacture, construction, installation, commissioning, operation, and decommissioning of nuclear facilities in Canada are subject to the *Nuclear Safety and Control Act* and its *Regulations*. The Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission (CNSC) might impose additional requirements to those specified in this Standard.

The CSA N-Series Standards provide an interlinked set of requirements for the management of nuclear facilities and activities. The CSA N286 Standard provides overall direction to management to develop and implement sound management practices and controls, while the other CSA nuclear Standards provide technical requirements and guidance that support the management system. This Standard works in harmony with CSA N286 and does not duplicate the generic requirements of CSA N286; however, it might provide more specific direction for those requirements.

This Standard was prepared by the Technical Committee on General Requirements for Nuclear Emergency Management Programs, under the jurisdiction of the Strategic Steering Committee on Nuclear Standards, and has been formally approved by the Technical Committee.

Notes:

- 1) *Use of the singular does not exclude the plural (and vice versa) when the sense allows.*
- 2) *Although the intended primary application of this Standard is stated in its Scope, it is important to note that it remains the responsibility of the users of the Standard to judge its suitability for their particular purpose.*
- 3) *This publication was developed by consensus, which is defined by CSA Policy governing standardization — Code of good practice for standardization as “substantial agreement. Consensus implies much more than a simple majority, but not necessarily unanimity”. It is consistent with this definition that a member may be included in the Technical Committee list and yet not be in full agreement with all clauses of this publication.*
- 4) *To submit a request for interpretation of this Standard, please send the following information to **inquiries@csagroup.org** and include “Request for interpretation” in the subject line:*
 - a) *define the problem, making reference to the specific clause, and, where appropriate, include an illustrative sketch;*
 - b) *provide an explanation of circumstances surrounding the actual field condition; and*
 - c) *where possible, phrase the request in such a way that a specific “yes” or “no” answer will address the issue.*

*Committee interpretations are processed in accordance with the CSA Directives and guidelines governing standardization and are available on the Current Standards Activities page at **standardsactivities.csa.ca**.*
- 5) *This Standard is subject to review five years from the date of publication, and suggestions for its improvement will be referred to the appropriate committee. To submit a proposal for change, please send the following information to **inquiries@csagroup.org** and include “Proposal for change” in the subject line:*
 - a) *Standard designation (number);*
 - b) *relevant clause, table, and/or figure number;*
 - c) *wording of the proposed change; and*

d) *rationale for the change.*

N1600-16

General requirements for nuclear emergency management programs

0 Introduction

0.1 General

This Standard establishes criteria for the **emergency management** programs of on- and **off-site** organizations to address nuclear emergencies at Canadian **reactor facilities**. The approach taken is aimed at the protection of health and safety, property, and the environment from nuclear emergencies through an all hazards risk-based program of **prevention, mitigation, preparedness, response, and recovery** (see Clause 0.3). This Standard reflects the convergence seen over the past several years of public and private sector **emergency management** efforts.

Canada, from the municipal government level through to the federal government level, uses the all hazards risk-based approach to **emergency management**, which recognizes that the actions required to manage the consequences of emergencies are essentially the same, irrespective of the nature of the emergency, thereby permitting an optimization of resources. The radiological hazard is unique to nuclear activities and this Standard incorporates the additional requirements to address radiological consequences.

[Source: Modified from Public Safety Canada's Federal Emergency Response Plan]

This Standard has been developed to help organizations that might be involved in or affected by a potential **nuclear emergency** to establish and implement a **nuclear emergency management program (NEMP)** that is appropriate to its needs. These needs could be shaped by legal, regulatory, organizational, and industry requirements, the products and services, the processes employed, the size and structure of the **organization**, and the needs and requirements of its **stakeholders**.

To facilitate its use and adoption, this Standard is aligned with the plan-do-check-act (PDCA) cycle (see Clause 0.2) referred to in other standards as a continual improvement model. This supports consistent and integrated implementation and operation within and between organizations' management systems. This Standard also supports the components of **emergency management** (see Clause 0.3) developed by the federal, provincial, and territorial governments in their document *An Emergency Management Framework for Canada* (Public Safety Canada), which not only establishes a common approach for the various **emergency management** initiatives but also enables consolidation of collaborative work and ensures more coherent, complementary actions among the different **stakeholders**.

This Standard is applicable to all types and sizes of organizations, regardless of whether or not a formal management system is in place.

0.2 Plan-do-check-act (PDCA) cycle

As indicated in Clause 0.1, this Standard is aligned with the PDCA cycle (see Figure A.1).

The first phase of the PDCA cycle is the "plan" phase. During this phase, a plan is developed. In this Standard, the "plan" phase is reflected in Clause 4, **Nuclear emergency management program**, and

specifically Clause 4.4, Program management, Clause 5.4, Planning basis, Clause 7, Nuclear emergency response plan, and Clause 8, Nuclear emergency recovery plan.

The second phase of the PDCA cycle is the “do” phase. In this phase, the plan is carried out. In this Standard, the “do” phase is reflected in Clause 9, Training, Clause 10, Facilities and equipment, Clause 11, Public awareness and education, Clause 12, Exercises, Clause 13, Response, and Clause 14, Recovery.

The third phase of the PDCA cycle is the “check” phase. In this phase, a review takes place of what was intended and what was observed in the previous step (the “do” phase). It is focused on measuring effectiveness and analyzing for improvement. In this Standard, the “check” phase is covered in Clause 12, Exercises, and Clause 15, NEMP evaluation, audit, and review.

In the last phase of the PDCA cycle, “act”, action is taken to effect the desired change. It is focused on fully implementing the improved solution. In this Standard, the “act” phase is addressed in Clause 15.3, NEMP review, and Clause 16, Management oversight.

0.3 Components of emergency management

Note: See Figure A.2 for examples on how PDCA relates to the **emergency management** components.

0.3.1 Prevention and mitigation

0.3.1.1 Prevention

Preventing nuclear emergencies from occurring at Canadian **reactor facilities** is the responsibility of the **reactor facility** operators. Through the authority of the *Nuclear Safety and Control Act*, the CNSC regulates the Canadian nuclear industry in order to limit the risks to the environment, the health and safety of persons, and national security.

In addition to the regulatory requirements of the *Nuclear Safety and Control Act*, CSA Group nuclear standards promote the safe and reliable operation of the nuclear power industry in Canada, and subsequently support the **prevention** of nuclear emergencies. This includes, but is not limited to, CSA Group nuclear standards addressing requirements for systems, structures, and components (SSCs); periodic and in-service inspections; fire protection; and environmental qualification. CSA Group nuclear standards capture leading practices for industry, document operating experience in order to capture and transfer knowledge, benchmark and harmonize with internationally accepted requirements and practices, and are frequently referenced in licence and licence condition handbooks of **reactor facilities** across Canada.

Given this comprehensive approach to the **prevention** of nuclear emergencies in Canada, **prevention** is not addressed in depth in this Standard because it is addressed through the requirements of the **authority having jurisdiction (AHJ)**.

Notes:

- 1) *“Prevention” is defined as actions taken to ensure that a nuclear emergency does not occur in the first place, or to reduce its likelihood of occurring.*
- 2) *A prevention strategy refers to measures taken by an organization which aim to prevent a nuclear emergency from occurring or completely avoiding the impacts of such a nuclear emergency.*
- 3) *Examples of prevention measures include*
 - a) *design of systems, operations, and infrastructure;*
 - b) *location of systems, operations, and infrastructure;*
 - c) *use of appropriate materials, systems, operations, and infrastructure;*
 - d) *institution of controls; and*

- e) *institution of protection and security measures such as controlled access, quarantine, permits, and clearances.*

0.3.1.2 Mitigation

On-site **mitigation** of nuclear emergencies is the responsibility of the **reactor facility** operators. **Off-site mitigation** of nuclear emergencies tends to be driven by provincial authorities, local authorities, or both, and can comprise structural measures (e.g., dykes, building reinforcements, etc.) or non-structural measures (e.g., land use planning and building codes, public awareness, etc.). It is worth noting that Public Safety Canada's National Disaster Mitigation Strategy (NDMS) promotes **mitigation** as an important component of a robust **emergency management** framework.

The NDMS also emphasizes that it is important for all **stakeholders** to commit to working together to support **mitigation** activities in Canada. The development of this Standard is a reflection of this commitment and one avenue through which the integration of nuclear emergency **mitigation** strategies can occur. **Mitigation** is directly touched upon in Clauses 4.1 and 8.1.5. It is important to note that **mitigation** is not addressed in depth in this Standard; however, **mitigation** is addressed in greater detail in other codes and standards.

Note: *Examples of mitigation strategies include*

- a) *recognizing, removing, or reducing the potential consequence of the hazard;*
- b) *integrating risk mitigation strategies into the design of program initiatives for those assumptions with the highest risk rating;*
- c) *integrating continuity management strategies into the design of the program such as duplicating or reallocating resources to deal with these strategies;*
- d) *establishing hazard warning and communications systems;*
- e) *protecting proprietary information and vital records;*
- f) *providing protective systems to safeguard information technology; and*
- g) *adopting current building and appropriate land-use practices.*

0.3.2 Preparedness

"**Preparedness**" is defined as actions taken prior to a **nuclear emergency** to be ready to respond to it and manage its consequences (Public Works and Government Services Canada, *Emergency Management Vocabulary*). **Preparedness** for nuclear emergencies is a multi-jurisdictional responsibility that is shared by **reactor facilities** and all levels of government in Canada (i.e., federal government, provincial or territorial governments, and local governments). This is reflected in the number, variety, and integration of plans at all levels (see Annex B).

Nuclear emergency **preparedness** is addressed in Clause 4, **Nuclear emergency management program**, and includes the following:

- a) development of nuclear emergency **response** and **recovery** plans (see Clauses 7 and 8);
- b) training workers who would be engaged in **response/recovery** efforts (see Clause 9);
- c) testing of emergency **response/recovery** equipment (see Clause 10);
- d) public awareness and education (see Clause 11); and
- e) exercising the implementation of emergency plans (see Clause 12).

0.3.3 Response

"**Response**" is defined as actions taken during a **nuclear emergency** to reduce the magnitude of the hazard and manage its consequences, including the impact of the hazard on people, property, and the environment. **Response** actions include, but are not limited to, emergency public communication, search and rescue, emergency medical assistance, and **shelter-in-place** or **evacuation** to minimize suffering and losses associated with nuclear emergencies. In addition, nuclear emergency response

planning, training, and exercising often occur as part of the **preparedness** efforts. Nuclear emergency response planning (including development, validation, and maintenance) is therefore addressed in Clause 7, Nuclear emergency response plan, and the active **response** phase requirements (including plan activation, needs assessment, plan deviation, **response** termination) are defined in Clause 13, **Response**.

0.3.4 Recovery

“**Recovery**” can be defined as the short-term and long-term actions taken in order to restore, to an acceptable level, both the organizations involved in, and the communities affected by, the **nuclear emergency** and its associated **response** activities. The level of restoration would typically be determined by the **AHJ**, in consultation with the **stakeholders** affected by the **nuclear emergency**. Note that there is a strong relationship between long-term sustainable **recovery** and **prevention** and **mitigation** of future nuclear emergencies. Consequently, **recovery** efforts should be conducted with a view towards risk reduction. Examples of **recovery** efforts include, but are not limited to, environmental monitoring and remediation, containment and disposal of contaminants, psychological and psychosocial support, reconstruction, economic **recovery**, financial assistance, and long-term medical follow-up.

The implementation of **mitigation** strategies can occur in parallel with **recovery** functions. In addition, nuclear emergency **recovery** planning, training, and exercising could occur as part of the **preparedness** efforts. Nuclear emergency **recovery** planning (including development, validation, and maintenance) is therefore addressed in Clause 8, Nuclear emergency recovery plan, and the active **recovery** phase requirements (including plan activation, inter-organizational nuclear emergency **recovery** coordination, needs assessment, plan deviation, and termination of the **recovery** operation) are defined in Clause 14, **Recovery**.

1 Scope

1.1

This Standard establishes criteria for the **emergency management** programs of on- and **off-site** organizations to address nuclear emergencies at Canadian **reactor facilities**.

Notes:

- 1) *This Standard may provide guidance for nuclear facilities other than **reactor facilities**. The operators of these facilities may, together with the **AHJs**, determine the applicability and suitability of the guidance provided by this Standard.*
- 2) *The requirements in this Standard are applied in accordance with the jurisdictional responsibilities of the **organization**.*

1.2

This Standard provides the requirements to develop, implement, evaluate, maintain, and continually improve a **nuclear emergency management program (NEMP)** for **prevention, mitigation, preparedness, response, and recovery** from a **nuclear emergency** at a **reactor facility** irrespective of the initiator.

Note: *The requirements and guidance in this Standard could inform the development of plans for specific initiating events (e.g., fire, flood, security, etc.).*

1.3

This Standard does not apply to nuclear emergencies at

- a) **Class IB nuclear facilities;**
- b) **Class II nuclear facilities;** and

c) uranium mines and mills.

1.4

This Standard does not establish requirements for business continuity planning.

1.5

In this Standard, “shall” is used to express a requirement, i.e., a provision that the user is obliged to satisfy in order to comply with the standard; “should” is used to express a recommendation or that which is advised but not required; and “may” is used to express an option or that which is permissible within the limits of the standard.

Notes accompanying clauses do not include requirements or alternative requirements; the purpose of a note accompanying a clause is to separate from the text explanatory or informative material.

Notes to tables and figures are considered part of the table or figure and may be written as requirements.

Annexes are designated normative (mandatory) or informative (non-mandatory) to define their application.

1.6

In this Standard, “shall be considered” or “shall consider” means that the user evaluates the impact and documents any decisions.

2 Reference publications

This Standard refers to the following publications, and where such reference is made, it shall be to the edition listed below.

CSA Group

N286-05

Management system requirements for nuclear power plants

N286-12

Management system requirements for nuclear facilities

N288.2-14

Guidelines for calculating the radiological consequences to the public of a release of airborne radioactive material for nuclear reactor accidents

N288.6-12

Environmental risk assessments at class I nuclear facilities and uranium mines and mills

N290.6-09 (R2014)

Requirements for monitoring and display of nuclear power plant safety functions in the event of an accident

N290.7-14

Cyber security for nuclear power plants and small reactor facilities