

# VET09

## Understanding Susceptibility Test Data as a Component of Antimicrobial Stewardship in Veterinary Settings

This report provides veterinarians with the information needed to successfully acquire and interpret antimicrobial susceptibility test results. It promotes common understanding between the veterinarian and the veterinary microbiology laboratory by providing example culture and susceptibility reports and animal species-specific guidance on applying breakpoints to interpret susceptibility test results.

A CLSI report for global application.

# Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

*Setting the standard for quality in medical laboratory testing around the world.*

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) is a not-for-profit membership organization that brings together the varied perspectives and expertise of the worldwide laboratory community for the advancement of a common cause: to foster excellence in laboratory medicine by developing and implementing medical laboratory standards and guidelines that help laboratories fulfill their responsibilities with efficiency, effectiveness, and global applicability.

## Consensus Process

Consensus—the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties—is core to the development of all CLSI documents. It does not always connote unanimous agreement but does mean that the participants in the development of a consensus document have considered and resolved all relevant objections and accept the resulting agreement.

## Commenting on Documents

CLSI documents undergo periodic evaluation and modification to keep pace with advances in technologies, procedures, methods, and protocols affecting the laboratory or health care.

CLSI's consensus process depends on experts who volunteer to serve as contributing authors and/or as participants in the reviewing and commenting process. At the end of each comment period, the committee that developed the document is obligated to review all comments, respond in writing to all substantive comments, and revise the draft document as appropriate.

Comments on published CLSI documents are equally essential and may be submitted by anyone, at any time, on any document. All comments are managed according to the consensus process by a committee of experts.

## Appeal Process

When it is believed that an objection has not been adequately considered and responded to, the process for appeal, documented in the CLSI *Standards Development Policies and Processes*, is followed.

All comments and responses submitted on draft and published documents are retained on file at CLSI and are available upon request.

## Get Involved—Volunteer!

Do you use CLSI documents in your workplace? Do you see room for improvement? Would you like to get involved in the revision process? Or maybe you see a need to develop a new document for an emerging technology? CLSI wants to hear from you. We are always looking for volunteers. By donating your time and talents to improve the standards that affect your own work, you will play an active role in improving public health across the globe.

For additional information on committee participation or to submit comments, contact CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

570 West Valley Road, Suite 2500

Wayne, PA 19087 USA

T: +1.610.688.0100

F: +1.610.688.0700

[www.clsi.org](http://www.clsi.org)

[standard@clsi.org](mailto:standard@clsi.org)

# Understanding Susceptibility Test Data as a Component of Antimicrobial Stewardship in Veterinary Settings

Virginia R. Fajt, DVM, PhD, DACVCP  
Claire R. Burbick, DVM, PhD, DACVM  
Sara Lawhon, DVM, DACVM  
Eden Bermingham, DVM, MS, DACVCP  
Robert Bowden, BS  
Erin Frey, DVM, DACVPM  
Ronette Gehring, BVSc, DACVCP  
K. Fred Gingrich II, DVM  
Merran Govendir, PhD, BVSc, FHERDSA, MANZCVSc  
Beth N. Harris, MS, PhD

Cory Langston, DVM, PhD  
Sakurako Marchand, MT  
Ron A. Miller, MS, PhD  
Mark G. Papich, DVM, MS  
Pierre Rouppert  
Stefan Schwarz, DVM  
Michael T. Sweeney, MS  
Anil J. Thachil, BVSc, MVSc, PhD, DACVM  
Jeffrey L. Watts, PhD, RM(NRCM), W(AACVP)

## Abstract

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute report VET09—*Understanding Susceptibility Test Data as a Component of Antimicrobial Stewardship in Veterinary Settings* discusses antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) that provides important, clinically relevant information to the submitting veterinarian if the veterinarian understands how the testing is performed and how the results can be interpreted. This report provides veterinarians background information about laboratory processes, including how AST is performed, reasons for AST not being performed, and how AST results are assessed by the laboratory. It also describes the reasons for varying degrees of confidence in applying breakpoints for interpreting AST results, which will empower veterinarians as they make decisions about the use of antimicrobial agents to treat bacterial disease in animals.

This report gives an overview of some of the factors that affect antimicrobial drug selection in animals, including principles of antimicrobial pharmacology, how bacterial species identification affects AST results interpretation, and the veterinarian's role in ensuring AST results are accurate and useful. By providing example susceptibility test reports with callout boxes, important facets of laboratory reports with AST results are highlighted to aid the veterinarian in maximizing the data.

This report has separate chapters for animal species—specific guidance on how to interpret AST data for dogs, cats, horses, cattle, pigs, and fish, and uniquely, it provides evidence-based appraisals of confidence in the AST data reported by laboratories. This guidance can be also used by laboratories to select appropriate breakpoints for assigning interpretive categories and to aid client veterinarians in interpreting their data.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Understanding Susceptibility Test Data as a Component of Antimicrobial Stewardship in Veterinary Settings*. 1st ed. CLSI report VET09 (ISBN 978-1-68440-050-8 [Print]; ISBN 978-1-68440-051-5 [Electronic]). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087 USA, 2019.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, and users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at [www.clsi.org](http://www.clsi.org).

**If you or your organization is not a member and would like to become one, or to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at:**

**P:** +1.610.688.0100 **F:** +1.610.688.0700 **E:** [customerservice@clsi.org](mailto:customerservice@clsi.org) **W:** [www.clsi.org](http://www.clsi.org)

Copyright ©2019 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, any reproduction of content from a CLSI copyrighted standard, guideline, derivative product, or other material requires express written consent from CLSI. All rights reserved. Interested parties may send permission requests to [permissions@clsi.org](mailto:permissions@clsi.org).

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedures manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, e-mail [permissions@clsi.org](mailto:permissions@clsi.org).

## Suggested Citation

CLSI. *Understanding Susceptibility Test Data as a Component of Antimicrobial Stewardship in Veterinary Settings*. 1st ed. CLSI report VET09. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2019.

ISBN 978-1-55558-3440-050-8 (Print)

ISBN 978-1-55558-3440-051-5 (Electronic)

ISSN 1558-6502 (Print)

ISSN 2162-2914 (Electronic)

Volume 39, Number 8

## Committee Membership

### Document Development Committee on Understanding AST Data in Veterinary Settings

**Virginia R. Fajt, DVM, PhD, DACVCP**  
**Chairholder**  
 Texas A & M University  
 USA

**Claire R. Burbick, DVM, PhD, DACVM**  
**Vice-Chairholder**  
 Washington State University  
 USA

**Sara Lawhon, DVM, DACVM**  
**Committee Secretary**  
 Texas A & M University  
 USA

Eden Bermingham, DVM, MS, DACVCP  
 FDA Center for Veterinary Medicine  
 USA

Erin Frey, DVM, DACVPM  
 College of Veterinary Medicine,  
 North Carolina State University  
 USA

Ronette Gehring, BVSc, DACVCP  
 Universiteit Utrecht  
 Netherlands

K. Fred Gingrich II, DVM  
 American Association of Bovine  
 Practitioners  
 USA

Beth N. Harris, MS, PhD  
 USDA-APHIS-Veterinary Services-  
 National Veterinary Services  
 Laboratories  
 USA

Sakurako Marchand, MT  
 bioMérieux, Inc.  
 France

Jeffrey L. Watts, PhD, DVM(NRCM),  
 M(ASCP)  
 Zoetis  
 USA

### Subcommittee on Veterinary Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

**Brian V. Lubbers, DVM, PhD, DACVCP**  
**Chairholder**  
 Kansas State Veterinary Diagnostic  
 Laboratory  
 USA

**Mark G. Papich, DVM, MS**  
**Vice-Chairholder**  
 College of Veterinary Medicine,  
 North Carolina State University  
 USA

**Lacie Johansen, BS**  
**Committee Secretary**  
 Zoetis  
 USA

Dubrasca V. Diaz-Campos, DVM, PhD  
 College of Veterinary Medicine,  
 Veterinary Medical Center,  
 The Ohio State University  
 USA

Mark Fielder, PhD  
 School of Life Science,  
 Kingston University London  
 United Kingdom

Cory Langston, DVM, PhD  
 Mississippi State University  
 USA

Xian-Zhi Li, PhD  
 Health Canada Veterinary Drugs  
 Directorate  
 Canada

Sakurako Marchand, MT  
 bioMérieux, Inc.  
 France

Marilyn N. Martinez, PhD  
 FDA Center for Veterinary Medicine  
 USA

Ian Morrissey, PhD  
 IHMA Europe Sarl  
 Switzerland

Thomas R. Shryock, PhD  
 Antimicrobial Consultants, LLC  
 USA

Shabbir Simjee, MSc, PhD  
 Elanco Animal Health  
 United Kingdom

Virginia Sinnott-Stutzman, DVM,  
 DACVECC  
 Angell Animal Medical Center  
 (MSPCA)  
 USA

Michael T. Sweeney, MS  
 Zoetis  
 USA

Darren Trott, PhD  
 School of Animal and Veterinary  
 Sciences, The University of Adelaide  
 Australia

## Staff

Clinical and Laboratory Standards  
Institute  
USA

Megan L. Tertel, MA, ELS  
*Editorial Manager*

Kristy L. Leirer, MS  
*Editor*

Lori T. Moon, MS, MT(ASCP)  
*Project Manager*

Catherine E.M. Jenkins  
*Editor*

Laura Martin  
*Editor*

## Acknowledgment

---

CLSI, the Subcommittee on Veterinary Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, and the Document Development Committee on Understanding AST Data in Veterinary Settings gratefully acknowledge the following volunteers for their important contributions to the development of this report:

Robert Bowden, BS  
Tufts University Sackler  
School of Graduate Biomedical  
Sciences – Student  
USA

Ron A. Miller, MS, PhD  
FDA Center for Veterinary Medicine  
USA

Stefan Schwarz, DVM  
Freie Universität Berlin  
Germany

Merran Govendir, PhD, BVSc,  
FHERDSA, MANZCVSc  
The University of Sydney  
Australia

Mark G. Papich, DVM, MS  
College of Veterinary Medicine,  
North Carolina State University  
USA

Michael T. Tweaney, MS  
Zoetis  
USA

Cory Langston, DVM, PhD  
Mississippi State University  
USA

Pierre Rouppert  
bioMérieux, Inc.  
France

Amil J. Thachil, BVSc, MVSc,  
PhD, DACVM  
Cornell University  
USA

# Contents

Abstract .....	i
Committee Membership .....	iii
Foreword .....	ix
<b>Chapter 1: Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Scope .....	1
1.2 Background .....	3
1.3 Terminology .....	4
<b>Chapter 2: Overview of Factors Affecting Antimicrobial Agent Selection in Animals .....</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 General Principles of Pharmacological Relationships in Antimicrobial Therapy .....	10
2.2 The Importance of the Animal Species In Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Data Interpretation .....	20
2.3 Potential Effects of Bacterial Species Similarities and Differences on Interpretation of Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Data .....	23
2.4 Important Regulatory and Legal Aspects of Antimicrobial Agent Selection in Animals .....	27
<b>Chapter 3: Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Process Overview .....</b>	<b>29</b>
3.1 Process Flow Charts .....	30
3.2 Antimicrobial Agents Selected for Primary Testing and Reporting .....	33
3.3 Antimicrobial Agents Excluded From Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test Reports .....	34
<b>Chapter 4: Typical Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test Reports .....</b>	<b>37</b>
4.1 Basic Information Documented in Laboratory Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test Reports .....	38
4.2 Example Laboratory Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test Reports .....	42
<b>Chapter 5: Canine-Specific and Other Breakpoints and Factors Affecting Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Result Interpretations for Dogs .....</b>	<b>49</b>
5.1 Confidence in Applicability of Breakpoints for Interpreting Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Results for Dogs .....	50
5.2 Approved Canine-Specific Breakpoint Dosage Regimens and Tissue Infection Sites .....	50
5.3 Applying Canine-Specific Breakpoints to Other Bacteria in Dogs .....	53
5.4 Applying Canine-Specific Breakpoints to Other Infection Sites in Dogs .....	54
5.5 Applying Canine-Specific Breakpoints to Other Doses, Routes, Frequencies, or Durations of Therapy .....	54
5.6 Applying Human Breakpoints for Interpreting Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Results for Dogs .....	55

## Contents (Continued)

<b>Chapter 6: Feline-Specific and Other Breakpoints and Factors Affecting Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Result Interpretations for Cats</b> .....	<b>59</b>
6.1 Confidence in Applicability of Breakpoints for Interpreting Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Results for Cats .....	60
6.2 Approved Feline-Specific Breakpoint Dosage Regimens and Tissue Infection Sites .....	61
6.3 Applying Feline-Specific Breakpoints to Other Bacteria in Cats .....	62
6.4 Applying Feline-Specific Breakpoints to Other Infection Sites in Cats .....	63
6.5 Applying Feline-Specific Breakpoints to Other Doses, Routes, Frequencies, or Durations of Therapy .....	63
6.6 Applying Human or Other Species' Breakpoints for Interpreting Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Results for Cats .....	64
<b>Chapter 7: Equine-Specific and Other Breakpoints and Factors Affecting Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Result Interpretations for Horses</b> .....	<b>69</b>
7.1 Confidence in Applicability of Breakpoints for Interpreting Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Results for Horses .....	70
7.2 Approved Equine-Specific Breakpoint Dosage Regimens and Tissue Infection Sites .....	70
7.3 Applying Equine-Specific Breakpoints to Other Bacteria in Horses .....	73
7.4 Applying Equine-Specific Breakpoints to Other Infection Sites in Horses .....	75
7.5 Applying Equine-Specific Breakpoints to Other Doses, Routes, Frequencies, or Durations of Therapy .....	75
7.6 Applying Human Breakpoints for Interpreting Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Results for Horses .....	75
<b>Chapter 8: Bovine-Specific and Other Breakpoints and Factors Affecting Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Result Interpretations for Cattle</b> .....	<b>79</b>
8.1 Confidence in Applicability of Breakpoints for Interpreting Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Results for Cattle .....	80
8.2 Approved Bovine-Specific Breakpoint Dosage Regimens and Tissue Infection Sites .....	80
8.3 Applying Bovine-Specific Breakpoints to Other Bacteria in Cattle .....	84
8.4 Applying Bovine-Specific Breakpoints to Other Infection Sites in Cattle .....	85
8.5 Applying Bovine-Specific Breakpoints to Other Doses, Routes, Frequencies, or Durations of Therapy .....	85
8.6 Applying Human Breakpoints for Interpreting Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Results for Cattle .....	85
8.7 Considerations for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Result Interpretations for Bovine Mastitis Pathogens .....	86

## Contents (Continued)

<b>Chapter 9: Porcine-Specific and Other Breakpoints and Factors Affecting Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Result Interpretations for Pigs</b> .....	<b>89</b>
9.1 Confidence in Applicability of Breakpoints for Interpreting Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Results for Pigs .....	91
9.2 Approved Porcine-Specific Breakpoint Dosage Regimens and Tissue Infection Sites .....	90
9.3 Applying Porcine-Specific Breakpoints to Other Bacteria in Pigs .....	93
9.4 Applying Porcine-Specific Breakpoints to Other Infection Sites in Pigs .....	94
9.5 Applying Porcine-Specific Breakpoints to Other Doses, Routes, Frequencies, or Durations of Therapy .....	94
9.6 Applying Human Breakpoints for Interpreting Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Results for Pigs .....	95
<b>Chapter 10: Fish-Specific Breakpoints, Epidemiological Cutoff Values, and Factors Affecting Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Result Interpretations for Fish</b> .....	<b>97</b>
10.1 Confidence in Applicability of Breakpoints for Interpreting Fish Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Results .....	98
10.2 Approved Fish-Specific Breakpoint Dosage Regimens and Tissue Infection Sites .....	99
10.3 Applying Fish-Specific Breakpoints to Other Bacteria in Fish .....	100
10.4 Applying Fish-Specific Breakpoints to Other Infection Sites in Fish .....	100
10.5 Applying Fish-Specific Breakpoints to Other Doses, Routes, Frequencies, or Durations of Therapy .....	101
10.6 Applying Human or Other Species' Breakpoints for Interpreting Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Results for Fish .....	101
<b>Chapter 11: Conclusion</b> .....	<b>103</b>
<b>Chapter 12: Supplemental Information</b> .....	<b>105</b>
<b>References</b> .....	106
<b>Appendix A. CLSI-Approved Canine-Specific Breakpoints</b> .....	112
<b>Appendix B. CLSI-Approved Feline-Specific Breakpoints</b> .....	118
<b>Appendix C. CLSI-Approved Equine-Specific Breakpoints</b> .....	122
<b>Appendix D. CLSI-Approved Bovine-Specific Breakpoints</b> .....	126
<b>Appendix E. CLSI-Approved Porcine-Specific Breakpoints</b> .....	130
<b>The Quality Management System Approach</b> .....	132
<b>Related CLSI Reference Materials</b> .....	134

This page is intentionally left blank.

## Foreword

This report is designed to provide veterinarians and other stakeholders in veterinary diagnostics with key information needed to appropriately interpret antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) data for application to clinical decision-making. This report can therefore be read from beginning to end for a comprehensive overview by animal species, bacterial type, and antimicrobial agents in the context of AST. However, each chapter is also designed to provide stand-alone information, so the report can be sampled as needed.

This report includes general guidance on how to apply pharmacological principles to selection and use of antimicrobial agents and how to get the best information from the laboratory, starting with submitting an animal specimen for culture through receiving the laboratory report with AST results. Basic components of a laboratory report and specific examples with AST results are included with commentary on the information reported, as well as different presentations that clinicians may receive from different laboratories. There is also an overview of regulatory and legal considerations for antimicrobial agents. Finally, separate chapters are included that focus on AST results interpretations applied to different animal species: dogs, cats, horses, cattle, pigs, and fish.

Some unique information included in this report is not available anywhere else, including recommendations on extrapolating from:

- One infection site to another
  - For example, whether a breakpoint established for *Escherichia coli* from skin and soft-tissue infections in dogs can be applied to *E. coli* isolated from the lungs
- One bacterial species to another
  - For example, whether a breakpoint established for *E. coli* can be applied to *Klebsiella pneumoniae*
- One animal species to another
  - For example, whether it is reasonable to apply canine breakpoints to bacterial isolates from cats

**NOTE:** The content of this report is supported by the CLSI consensus process and does not necessarily reflect the views of any single individual or organization.



### NOTE:

Each chapter in this report is designed to provide stand-alone information.

### KEY WORDS

**Antimicrobial stewardship**

**Antimicrobial susceptibility testing**

**Breakpoints**

**Interpretive categories**

**Laboratory**

**Reporting**

**Species-specific breakpoints**

**Veterinary**

This page is intentionally left blank.

.....

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### This chapter includes:

- Report's scope and applicable exclusions
- Background information pertinent to the report's content
- Standard precautions information
- "Note on Terminology" that highlights particular use and/or variation in use of terms and/or definitions
- Terms and definitions used in the report
- Abbreviations and acronyms used in the report



# Understanding Susceptibility Test Data as a Component of Antimicrobial Stewardship in Veterinary Settings

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Scope

This report is designed to facilitate common understanding among veterinarians, microbiologists, and laboratorians about how antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) is performed, and how the results can be interpreted and applied to clinical decision-making. The intended audience includes veterinarians, microbiologists, laboratorians conducting *in vitro* testing, veterinary educators, pharmacists, and students.

**This report provides information that may be used in concert with other efforts and activities to support antimicrobial stewardship, such as those advocated by professional associations<sup>1</sup> or regulatory agencies.<sup>2</sup>**

To provide this information, the report includes an overview of pharmacological principles relevant to antimicrobial agent selection, some general principles about differences among animal species that may affect antimicrobial agent use and efficacy, and how bacterial species affects AST results and interpretations. This information also helps to explain how microbiological and pharmacological data are used to develop breakpoints. Example AST reports are included, in which common questions are answered and misconceptions are clarified. A large portion of this report provides animal species–specific guidance on applying AST results; reasonable extrapolations that can be made across bacterial species, infection sites, or antimicrobial agents; and the expected degree of confidence in these extrapolations. Also included are excerpts from other CLSI documents relevant to interpreting AST reports.

Based on available expertise and perceived need, this report covers the following animal species: dogs, cats, horses, cattle, pigs, and fish. These animal species represent all the veterinary breakpoints that have been approved to date by the CLSI Subcommittee on Veterinary Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (VAST), with the exception of poultry breakpoints for one antimicrobial agent. Interpreting AST results for chicken and turkey pathogens is not discussed in this report, although these animal species are a significant focus for antimicrobial stewardship efforts worldwide. Poultry veterinarians and laboratories handling poultry diagnostics are encouraged to consult CLSI documents VET01<sup>3</sup> and VET08<sup>4</sup> for AST guidance.

### NOTE:

Interpreting AST results for chicken and turkey pathogens is not discussed in this report, although these animal species are a significant focus for antimicrobial stewardship efforts worldwide.