



CLINICAL AND
LABORATORY
STANDARDS
INSTITUTE®

2nd Edition

QMS20

The Cost of Quality in Medical Laboratories

This guideline helps laboratories understand, apply, track, and manage the different types of quality costs that affect their processes, services, and financial well-being.

A guideline for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

Setting the standard for quality in medical laboratory testing around the world.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) is a not-for-profit membership organization that brings together the varied perspectives and expertise of the worldwide laboratory community for the advancement of a common cause: to foster excellence in laboratory medicine by developing and implementing medical laboratory standards and guidelines that help laboratories fulfill their responsibilities with efficiency, effectiveness, and global applicability.

Consensus Process

Consensus—the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties—is core to the development of all CLSI documents. It does not always connote unanimous agreement but does mean that the participants in the development of a consensus document have considered and resolved all relevant objections and accept the resulting agreement.

Commenting on Documents

CLSI documents undergo periodic evaluation and modification to keep pace with advances in technologies, procedures, methods, and protocols affecting the laboratory or health care.

CLSI's consensus process depends on experts who volunteer to serve as contributing authors and/or as participants in the reviewing and commenting process. At the end of each comment period, the committee that developed the document is obligated to review all comments, respond in writing to all substantive comments, and revise the draft document as appropriate.

Comments on published CLSI documents are equally essential and may be submitted by anyone, at any time, on any document. All comments are managed according to the consensus process by a committee of experts.

Appeal Process

When it is believed that an objection has not been adequately considered and responded to, the process for appeal, documented in the *CLSI Standards Development Policies and Processes*, is followed.

All comments and responses submitted on draft and published documents are retained on file at CLSI and are available upon request.

Get Involved—Volunteer!

Do you use CLSI documents in your workplace? Do you see room for improvement? Would you like to get involved in the revision process? Or maybe you see a need to develop a new document for an emerging technology? CLSI wants to hear from you. We are always looking for volunteers. By donating your time and talents to improve the standards that affect your own work, you will play an active role in improving public health across the globe.

For additional information on committee participation or to submit comments, contact CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

P: +1.610.688.0100

F: +1.610.688.0700

www.clsi.org

standard@clsi.org

The Cost of Quality in Medical Laboratories

Lucia M. Berte, MA, MT(ASCP)SBB, DLM, CQA(ASQ)
CMQ/OE

Anne T. Daley, MS, MT(ASCP)DLM, CMQ/OE(ASQ)CSBB

Jennifer Dawson, DLM(ASCP)SLS, QIHC, QLC/LSSBB/CPHQ/
MHA

Sue Hetzel, MT(ASCP)SBB, CMQ/OE(ASQ)

Denise Lopez, MS, PHM

Phillip P. Morehouse, MLT, CMQ/OE(ASQ)

Mohammed Mustapha, MS

Christina Nickel, MHA, MLS(ASCP)^{CM}, CPHQ

Andrew Quintenz

Stephanie Shulman, DrPH, MS, MT(ASCP)

Abstract

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guideline QMS20—*The Cost of Quality in Medical Laboratories* describes quality costs in laboratory expenditures (including prevention, appraisal, internal failure, and external failure costs) and suggests ways that laboratories can apply this information to continually improve their processes, services, and financial performance.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *The Cost of Quality in Medical Laboratories*. 2nd ed. CLSI guideline QMS20 (ISBN 978-1-68440-094-2 [Print]; ISBN 978-1-68440-095-9 [Electronic]). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, USA, 2020.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at www.clsi.org.

If you or your organization is not a member and would like to become one, or to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at:

P: +1.610.688.0100 **F:** +1.610.688.0700 **E:** customerservice@clsi.org **W:** www.clsi.org

Copyright ©2020 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, any reproduction of content from a CLSI copyrighted standard, guideline, derivative product, or other material requires express written consent from CLSI. All rights reserved. Interested parties may send permission requests to permissions@clsi.org.

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedures manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, e-mail permissions@clsi.org.

Suggested Citation

CLSI. *The Cost of Quality in Medical Laboratories*. 2nd ed. CLSI guideline QMS20. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2020.

Previous Edition:

May 2014

QMS20-Ed2

ISBN 978-1-60840-094-2 (Print)

ISBN 978-1-68440-095-9 (Electronic)

ISSN 1558-6502 (Print)

ISSN 2162-2914 (Electronic)

Volume 40, Number 15

Committee Membership

Consensus Council

James R. Petisce, PhD
Chairholder
BD Diagnostic Systems
 USA

Collette Fitzgerald, PhD
 Centers for Disease Control and
 Prevention
 USA

M. Laura Parnas, PhD, DABCC
 Roche Diagnostics
 USA

Mary Lou Gantzer, PhD, FACB
Vice-Chairholder
 USA

Loralie J. Langman, PhD, DABCC, FACB,
 F-ABFT
 Mayo Clinic
 USA

Robert Rej, PhD
 New York State Department
 of Health – Wadsworth Center
 USA

Anne T. Daley, MS, MT(ASCP)DLM,
 CMQ/OE(ASQ)CSBB
 ARUP Laboratories
 USA

Michelle McLean, MS, MT(ASCP), BS
 Greiner Bio-One, Inc.
 USA

Matthew A. Wikler, MD, IDSA, MBA
 IDTD Consulting
 USA

Avis Danishefsky, PhD
 FDA Center for Devices and
 Radiological Health
 USA

Tania Motschman, MS, MT(ASCP)SBB
 Laboratory Corporation of America
 USA

Document Development Committee on Cost of Quality

Lucia M. Berte, MA, MT(ASCP)SBB,
DLM, CQA(ASQ)CMQ/OE
Co-Chairholder
Laboratories Made Better!
 USA

Jennifer Dawson, DLM(ASCP)SLS,
 QIHC, QLC/LSSBB/CPHQ, MHA
 Human Longevity, Inc.
 USA

Mohammed Mustapha, MS
 Mayo Clinic
 USA

Anne T. Daley, MS, MT(ASCP)DLM,
CMQ/OE(ASQ)CSBB
Co-Chairholder
ARUP Laboratories
 USA

Leonard K. Dunikoski, Jr., PhD, DABCC
 BD
 USA

Christina Nickel, MHA, MLS(ASCP)^{CM},
 CPHQ
 Bryan Medical Center
 USA

Sue Hetzel, MT(ASCP)SBB, CMQ/
OE(ASQ)
Committee Secretary
Aurora Health Care/Laboratories
 USA

Denise Lopez, MS, PHM
 Tulare County Public Health
 Laboratory
 USA

Andrew Quintenz
 Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.
 USA

Phillip P. Morehouse, MLT, CMQ/
 OE(ASQ)
 LifeLabs
 Canada

Stephanie Shulman, DrPH, MS,
 MT(ASCP)
 New York State Department of Health
 USA

Expert Panel on Quality Management Systems

Laura McClannan, MS, MT(ASCP)SBB, CQA(ASQ)
Chairholder
Oklahoma Blood Institute
USA

Julie Coffey, MLT, ART,
 CMQ/OE(ASQ), CQA
 Institute for Quality Management in
 Healthcare
 Canada

Karen Heaton, MLT(CMLTA)
 Alberta Public Laboratories
 Canada

Debra Kuehl, MS, M(ASCP)
Vice-Chairholder
Centers for Disease Control and
Prevention
USA

Maura Daniels, BS, MT(ASCP)
 Sysmex America
 USA

Daniel J. Scungio, MT(ASCP), SLS,
 CQA(ASQ)
 Sentara Healthcare
 USA

Lucia M. Berte, MA, MT(ASCP)SBB,
 DLM, CQA(ASQ)CMQ/OE
 Laboratories Made Better!
 USA

Lorie Erikson, MS, MT(ASCP),
 CQA(ASQ)
 FDA Center for Devices and
 Radiological Health
 USA

Jean Tenuta, MS, MBA, MLS(ASCP)CM,
 DLM, SLS, CQA(ASQ)
 BD
 USA

Sheri L. Hearn, BS, MPH
 Oregon State Public Health Laboratory
 USA

Staff

Clinical and Laboratory Standards
 Institute
 USA

Megan L. Tertel, MA, ELS
Editorial Manager

Kristy L. Leirer, MS
Editor

Jennifer K. Adams, MT(ASCP), MSHA
Project Manager

Catherine E.M. Jenkins
Editor

Laura Martin
Editor

Acknowledgment

CLSI, the Consensus Council, and the Document Development Committee on Cost of Quality gratefully acknowledge the following volunteer for his important contributions to the revision of this guideline:

Leonard K. Dunikoski, Jr., PhD, DABCC
 BD
 USA

Contents

Abstract	i
Committee Membership	iii
Foreword	vii
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Scope	1
1.2 Background	2
1.3 Terminology	2
Chapter 2: The Cost of Quality	5
2.1 Cost of Quality Basic Concepts	6
2.2 Why Cost of Quality?	7
Chapter 3: Types of Quality Costs	9
3.1 Conformance Costs	10
3.2 Nonconforming Event Costs	16
3.3 Effect of Cost of Quality on the Laboratory's Budget	19
3.4 Important Relationships Between Quality and Cost	22
3.5 Traditional Approaches to Reducing Failure Costs	26
Chapter 4: A Quality Management System Approach to Quality Costs	29
Chapter 5: Applying the Cost of Quality to Laboratory Operations	35
5.1 Defining the Cost of Quality Approach	36
5.2 Collecting Quality Cost Data	40
5.3 Analyzing Quality Costs	42
5.4 Acting on Opportunities for Improvement	44
5.5 Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness of the Cost of Quality Program	47
5.6 Critical Success Factors and Tips	48
Chapter 6: Transitioning to a Cost of Quality Culture	51
6.1 Identifying Existing Prevention and Appraisal Activities	52
6.2 Using Failure Costs to Identify Improvement Projects	52
6.3 Sharing Gains by Reporting Results	53
6.4 Educating Others on Cost of Quality	53
6.5 Communicating Quality Cost Data in the Organization	53
6.6 Using Cost of Quality in Purchasing Decisions	54
6.7 Developing and Communicating the Cost of Quality Value Proposition	54


Contents (Continued)

6.8 Incorporating Intangible Costs.....	58
6.9 Expanding the Cost of Quality Program and Scope.....	58
Chapter 7: Conclusion	59
Chapter 8: Supplemental Information	63
References.....	64
Appendix A1. Detailed List of Prevention and Appraisal Costs.....	68
Appendix A2. Detailed List of Internal and External Failure Costs	70
Appendix B1. General Information and Instructions for Using the Failure Cost Worksheet	72
Appendix B2. Sample Basic Worksheet for Calculating Failure Cost.....	74
Appendix B3. Sample Worksheet for Calculating Labor Costs Associated With Prevention and Appraisal.....	75
Appendix B4. Sample Worksheet for Calculating Total Quality Costs.....	76
Appendix B5. Calculated Failure Cost for a Recollected Blood Specimen Example	78
Appendix B6. Calculated Labor Costs Associated With Prevention and Appraisal for a Specimen Processing Department Example	79
Appendix B7. Calculated Total Quality Costs for a Specimen Processing Department Example	80
Appendix B8. Calculated Failure Cost for a Failed Instrument Examination Example	82
Appendix B9. Calculated Labor Costs Associated With Prevention and Appraisal for a Core Laboratory Department Example	83
Appendix B10. Calculated Total Prevention and Appraisal Costs for a Core Laboratory Department Example.....	84
Appendix C1. Example of a Quality Report With Calculated Failure Costs	85
Appendix C2. Monthly Trending of Good, Poor, and Total Quality Costs Example.....	86
Appendix C3. Monthly Trending of Quality Cost Types and Total Quality Costs Example.....	87
Appendix C4. Yearly Trending of Measurable, Intangible, and Total Quality Costs Example	88
Appendix D1. Sample Complex Worksheet for Calculating Failure Cost	89
Appendix D2. Calculated Failure Cost for an Error-Corrected Report Using the Complex Worksheet Example.....	90
The Quality Management System Approach.....	92
Related CLSI Reference Materials.....	93

Foreword

Most laboratories use methods such as QC, equipment calibration measurement, QA measurements of process performance, and more recently, implementation of a QMS, to determine the quality of examination results and laboratory services. However, laboratory personnel often are unaware of the laboratory's financial status and believe that staying within budget is sufficient, not considering that every time work is redone, the cost of laboratory services as well as the cost of quality (COQ), increases. Personnel need to remember that corrections needed for improperly ordered examinations, unacceptable specimens, QC failures, lost reports, erroneous results, etc., increase laboratory and organizational costs and can adversely affect patient care. Historically referred to as the "cost of poor quality" (COPQ), these costs would not have been expended if laboratory quality were perfect.

A QMS alone does not ensure that all laboratory expenditures support quality. Figure 1 depicts a hierarchy of the stages of quality, synthesized from the concepts of acknowledged quality experts. This guideline presents the concepts and applications of COQ as a dimension that is part of every quality level. When a laboratory is committed to quality management and continual improvement, it applies the COQ to all laboratory processes.



Abbreviations: QA, quality assurance; QC, quality control; QMS, quality management system; TQM, total quality management.

Figure 1. COQ Is Part of Every Quality Level. COQ is shown as the base in every level of laboratory quality, from control of individual examination methods (QC); to preexamination, examination, and postexamination process performance (QA); to management of all technical and quality processes (QMS); and to the total satisfaction of personnel and customers (total quality management).

Although perfect laboratory processes are generally unattainable, laboratories still need to identify expenses created by waste, rework, and errors and compare them with the expense of preventing those problems. In the worldwide health care economic environment, laboratory funds should be spent primarily on quality activities that result in accurate diagnosis and proper treatment of patients. Money is wasted when unnecessary work is performed or when work that was not correctly performed is redone.

Regardless of whether a laboratory has implemented a QMS, the concepts and applications presented in this guideline can be used to identify and promote the principles of quality cost management for detecting and removing the costs of waste and errors.

Overview of Changes

This guideline replaces the previous edition of the approved report, QMS20-R, published in 2014. Several changes were made in this edition, including:

- Making a clearer distinction between COQ and the different quality cost types
- Separating text into theory (Chapters 1 to 4) and application (Chapters 5 and 6)
- Including additional examples for calculating laboratory quality costs
- Providing a tool for calculating the COPQ

NOTE: The content of this guideline is supported by the CLSI consensus process and does not necessarily reflect the views of any single individual or organization.

KEY WORDS

Appraisal cost

Cost of quality

Quality cost

Cost of poor quality

Failure cost

Prevention cost

Chapter 1

Introduction

This chapter includes:

- Guideline's scope and applicable exclusions
- Background information pertinent to the guideline's content
- Terminology information, including:
 - Terms and definitions used in the guideline
 - Abbreviations and acronyms used in the guideline



The Cost of Quality in Medical Laboratories

1 Introduction

1.1 Scope

The concept of “cost of quality” (COQ) is generic to all businesses. Therefore, this guideline is applicable to medical laboratories of any size, complexity, or specialty, including point-of-care testing (POCT). Other types of laboratories, such as public health, research, food, environmental, and veterinary laboratories, as well as other health care services, can also use the information in this guideline. QMS20 presents an initial approach that laboratories can take to identify quality costs and remove unnecessary expense from laboratory processes. Several laboratory examples provide tools and guidance for quantifying costs that support good quality and costs that result from poor quality. This guideline does not provide the means for laboratories to develop and implement the type of comprehensive quality cost accounting system recommended in the literature for manufacturing and other for-profit industries.^{1,2}

1.2 Background

In many countries, laboratories often have limited resources to provide their services and are increasingly being asked to do more with a smaller budget. Wasting resources has a considerable negative effect on any operating budget, and laboratories rarely have a realistic idea of how much of their limited resources are lost to the cost of poor quality (COPQ). Evidence from the commercial business and manufacturing sectors shows that when companies adopt and apply a COQ concept, they reduce failure cost and improve quality for customers.³ Today’s medical laboratories have incoming revenue from charges and reimbursements and outgoing expenses for labor and operations. Because laboratories are also businesses, adopting a COQ concept helps them reduce waste and improve quality for patients and other customers at a reasonable cost. Application of COQ is a logical extension of a mature, effective QMS. Any laboratory, whether it has long had a complete QMS in place or has just started to implement a QMS, will benefit from understanding and applying these concepts in both management and technical operations.

1.3 Terminology

CLSI, as a global leader in standardization, is firmly committed to achieving global harmonization whenever possible. Harmonization is a process of recognizing, understanding, and explaining differences while taking steps to achieve worldwide uniformity. CLSI recognizes that medical conventions in the global metrological community have evolved differently in different countries and regions and that legally required use of terms, regional usage, and different consensus timelines are all important considerations in the harmonization process. CLSI recognizes its important role in these efforts, and its consensus process focuses on harmonization of terms to facilitate the global application of standards and guidelines. Table 1 is provided to clarify the intended interpretations of the following terms.