



QMS18

Process Management

This guideline describes the requirements for managing laboratory processes and provides suggestions for effectively meeting regulatory and accreditation requirements, assessing process risks, optimizing efficient use of resources, and contributing to patient safety and positive outcomes.

.....
A guideline for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

Setting the standard for quality in medical laboratory testing around the world.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) is a not-for-profit membership organization that brings together the varied perspectives and expertise of the worldwide laboratory community for the advancement of a common cause: to foster excellence in laboratory medicine by developing and implementing medical laboratory standards and guidelines that help laboratories fulfill their responsibilities with efficiency, effectiveness, and global applicability.

Consensus Process

Consensus—the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties—is core to the development of all CLSI documents. It does not always connote unanimous agreement but does mean that the participants in the development of a consensus document have considered and resolved all relevant objections and accept the resulting agreement.

Commenting on Documents

CLSI documents undergo periodic evaluation and modification to keep pace with advances in technologies, procedures, methods, and protocols affecting the laboratory or health care.

CLSI's consensus process depends on experts who volunteer to serve as contributing authors and/or as participants in the reviewing and commenting process. At the end of each comment period, the committee that developed the document is obligated to review all comments, respond in writing to all substantive comments, and revise the draft document as appropriate.

Comments on published CLSI documents are equally essential and may be submitted by anyone, at any time, on any document. All comments are managed according to the consensus process by a committee of experts.

Appeal Process

When it is believed that an objection has not been adequately considered and responded to, the process for appeal, documented in the *CLSI Standards Development Policies and Processes*, is followed.

All comments and responses submitted on draft and published documents are retained on file at CLSI and are available upon request.

Get Involved—Volunteer!

Do you use CLSI documents in your workplace? Do you see room for improvement? Would you like to get involved in the revision process? Or maybe you see a need to develop a new document for an emerging technology? CLSI wants to hear from you. We are always looking for volunteers. By donating your time and talents to improve the standards that affect your own work, you will play an active role in improving public health across the globe.

For additional information on committee participation or to submit comments, contact CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

P: +1.610.688.0100

F: +1.610.688.0700

www.clsi.org

standard@clsi.org

Process Management

Lucia M. Berte, MA, MLS(ASCP)SBB, DLM, CQA(ASQ)CMQ/OE
Sue Hetzel, MLS(ASCP)SBB, CMQ/OE(ASQ)
Deirdre Astin, MS, MT(ASCP)
Joan M. Carlson, BSc(MLS)
Margaret Coppin
Genie Davis, BS
Angelique Harding, MS, MT(ASCP), CQIA(ASQ)

Judy Hemans
Liz Kinnal
Gabriel Alejandro Migliarino, PhD
Ann Spjut, MD, MAED, CLT(HHS)
Tiea Theurer, MT(ASCP), CMQ/OE(ASQ), MPA, PMP,
CQE(ASQ)

Abstract

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guideline QMS18—*Process Management* describes five published regulatory and accreditation requirements for management of laboratory processes. This guideline provides guidance, with explanations and examples, for meeting the five process management requirements as they apply to preexamination, examination, and postexamination processes. Because several other CLSI guidelines contain process management information for both laboratory examination and quality management processes, the examples in this guideline are for preexamination and postexamination processes.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Process Management*. 2nd ed. CLSI guideline QMS18 (ISBN 978-1-68440-183-3 [Print]; ISBN 978-1-68440-184-0 [Electronic]). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, USA, 2023.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at www.clsi.org.

If you or your organization is not a member and would like to become one, or to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at:

P: +1.610.688.0100 **F:** +1.610.688.0700 **E:** customerservice@clsi.org **W:** www.clsi.org

Copyright ©2023 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, any reproduction of content from a CLSI copyrighted standard, guideline, derivative product, or other material requires express written consent from CLSI. All rights reserved. Interested parties may send permission requests to permissions@clsi.org.

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedures manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, e-mail permissions@clsi.org.

Suggested Citation

CLSI. *Process Management*. 2nd ed. CLSI guideline QMS18. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2023.

Previous Edition:

March 2015

QMS18-Ed2

ISBN 978-1-558-68440-3 (Print)

ISBN 978-1-558-68440-0 (Electronic)

ISSN 1558-6502 (Print)

ISSN 2162-2914 (Electronic)

Volume 43, Number 10

Committee Membership

Consensus Council

The Consensus Council sets priorities for CLSI standards development and votes on Final Draft documents to confirm that process requirements have been met. Consensus Council members are listed on the CLSI website: <https://clsi.org/standards-development/consensus-council/>

Document Development Committee on Process Management

**Lucia M. Berte, MA, MLS(ASCP)SBB,
DLM, CQA(ASQ)CMQ/OE
Chairholder
Laboratories Made Better!
USA**

Margaret Coppin
ARUP Laboratories
USA

Liz Kinnal
Selux Diagnostics
USA

Genie Davis, BS
Arkansas Department of Health
USA

Gabriel Alejandro Magliarino, PhD
Gmagliarino Consultants
Argentina

**Sue Hetzel, MLS(ASCP)SBB,
CMQ/OE(ASQ)
Vice-Chairholder
ACL Laboratories
USA**

Angelique Harding, MS, MT(ASCP),
CQIA(ASQ)
Memorial Hospital West
USA

Anita Snijder, MD, MAED, CLT(HHS)
Laboratory Corporation of America
USA

Deirdre Astin, MS, MT(ASCP)
USA

Judy Hemans
Jackson Health System
USA

Tiea Theurer, MT(ASCP), CMQ/
OE(ASQ), MPA, PMP, CQE(ASQ)
NSAI, Inc.
USA

Joan M. Carlson, BSc(MLS)
Alberta Precision Laboratories
Canada

Expert Panel on Quality Management Systems

Expert panel volunteers support the development of CLSI documents by providing technical expertise in specialty areas. Expert panel members are listed by area of expertise on the CLSI website: <https://clsi.org/standards-development/expert-panels/>

Staff

Clinical and Laboratory Standards
Institute
USA

Laura Martin
Editorial Manager

Kristy L. Leirer, MS
Editor

Jennifer K. Adams, MLS(ASCP), MSHA
Program Manager

Catherine E.M. Jenkins, ELS
Editor

Lisa M.W. Walker, MS, ELS
Editor

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Abstract | i |
| Committee Membership | iii |
| Foreword | ix |
| Chapter 1: Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Scope | 1 |
| 1.2 Background | 3 |
| 1.3 Terminology | 3 |
| Chapter 2: Workflow of Process Management | 5 |
| 2.1 Need for a New or Changed Process Is Identified | 8 |
| 2.2 New or Changed Process Is Defined | 10 |
| 2.3 Process Is Flow Charted | 13 |
| Chapter 3: Process Is Assessed for Risks | 15 |
| 3.1 Creation of a Risk Management Plan | 18 |
| 3.2 Risk Analysis | 18 |
| 3.3 Risk Evaluation | 19 |
| 3.4 Risk Controls | 19 |
| 3.5 Risk Management Review | 19 |
| 3.6 Risk Monitoring | 20 |
| Chapter 4: Implementation Plan Is Developed | 21 |
| 4.1 Implementation Plan Considerations | 22 |
| 4.2 Implementation Plan Tools | 23 |
| 4.3 A Go-Live Readiness Assessment Checklist Is Drafted | 26 |
| 4.4 Documents Are Identified and Drafted | 26 |
| 4.5 Determination of Validation or Verification Is Made | 28 |
| 4.6 Process and Procedure Documents Are Finalized | 32 |
| 4.7 Training and Competence Assessment Are Conducted | 32 |
| 4.8 Internal and External Communication Plan Is Developed | 35 |
| 4.9 Implementation Plan Is Initiated | 38 |

Contents (Continued)

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Chapter 5: Process Is Monitored | 39 |
| 5.1 Quality Control and Proficiency Testing | 40 |
| 5.2 Preexisting Quality Indicators | 40 |
| 5.3 Additional Monitoring | 41 |
| 5.4 Summary of Process Monitoring | 42 |
| 5.5 Changes to Processes Are Managed | 42 |
| Chapter 6: Quality System Essentials and Process Management | 47 |
| 6.1 Organization and Leadership | 48 |
| 6.2 Customer Focus | 48 |
| 6.3 Facilities and Safety Management | 48 |
| 6.4 Personnel Management | 48 |
| 6.5 Supplier and Inventory Management | 49 |
| 6.6 Equipment Management | 49 |
| 6.7 Process Management | 49 |
| 6.8 Documents and Records Management | 49 |
| 6.9 Information Management | 49 |
| 6.10 Nonconforming Event Management | 49 |
| 6.11 Assessments | 49 |
| 6.12 Continual Improvement | 49 |
| Chapter 7: Conclusion | 51 |
| Chapter 8: Supplemental Information | 53 |
| References | 54 |
| Appendix A1. Process Definition Document Template | 57 |
| Appendix A2. Example of a Completed Process Definition Document | 58 |
| Appendix B. Example of a Stakeholder Analysis for the Critical Value Reporting Process | 59 |
| Appendix C. How to Construct a Process Flow Chart | 60 |
| Appendix D. Example of a Postexamination Process: “Critical Value Handling Process” | 63 |
| Appendix E. Example of a Quality Implementation Plan for New Laboratory Bar Codes | 64 |
| Appendix F. Example of a Generic Go-Live Readiness Assessment Checklist | 68 |
| Appendix G. Example of Document Inventory for the Critical Value Handling Process | 69 |
| Appendix H1. Example Outline for a Validation Plan | 70 |
| Appendix H2. Examples of Acceptance Criteria for the Critical Value Reporting Process | 74 |
| Appendix I1. Example of a Learner Responsibilities Form | 75 |

Contents (Continued)

| | |
|--|-----|
| Appendix I2. Example of a Training Checklist Form..... | 76 |
| Appendix I3. Example of a Trainer Responsibilities Form..... | 77 |
| Appendix I4. Example of a Training Schedule Form..... | 78 |
| Appendix I5. Example of a Training Guide Form..... | 79 |
| Appendix I6. Example of a Written Assessment Form..... | 80 |
| Appendix I7. Example of a Direct Observation Checklist Form..... | 81 |
| Appendix I8. Example of a Learner Evaluation Form..... | 82 |
| Appendix J1. Communication Plan Template..... | 83 |
| Appendix J2. Example of a Communication Plan for Changing the Critical Value Reporting Process..... | 84 |
| Appendix K1. Change Announcement Template..... | 88 |
| Appendix K2. Example of a Change Announcement for New Bar Code Labels..... | 89 |
| Appendix L. Project Management Tool Template..... | 90 |
| The Quality Management System Approach | 100 |

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Foreword

Quality system essential (QSE) Process Management is one of the 12 QSEs described in CLSI document QMS01,¹ which provides the necessary background information and guidance to develop and maintain a QMS. The QMS model depicted in Figure 1 demonstrates that each QSE, such as Process Management, is a building block to quality and is necessary to support any laboratory's path of workflow from preexamination to examination to postexamination.




Figure 1. The QMS Model for Laboratory Services (see CLSI document QMS01¹). The 12 QSEs are building blocks necessary to support any laboratory's path of workflow. This figure represents how the 12 QSEs support a medical laboratory's disciplines and stages of examination.

QSEs are the foundational building blocks that function effectively to support the laboratory's path of workflow. When a QSE is missing or poorly implemented, problems will occur in preexamination, examination, and postexamination processes.

International guidance for the QSEs and the laboratory's path of workflow is available. Topics include:

- A process-based model for quality that any business should use to manage its operations, with information relating directly to the QSEs²
- Requirements for both quality management and technical operations of testing and calibration laboratories³
- Standards for quality management and technical operations in the medical laboratory environment⁴

Developing, documenting, and managing the laboratory’s technical and management processes are critical to optimizing the effectiveness of a QMS and sustaining quality. This guideline encourages using an organized approach for developing, assessing risks of, verifying or validating, controlling, and changing laboratory path of workflow processes. In an environment of process management, laboratory work processes are:

- Designed to meet applicable regulatory, accreditation, and customer requirements
- Assessed for risks to quality and patient safety
- Documented
- Verified or validated as working as intended, including risk controls, and assessed for readiness before implementation
- Monitored to ensure continued acceptable performance
- Changed in a controlled fashion

QMS18 is a **guideline** that can help laboratories implement and control laboratory processes and procedures and meet international standards and regulatory and accreditation requirements.²⁻¹³ **QMS18 is not a standard;** that is, this guideline **does not set requirements** for process management. Rather, it provides suggestions and examples for fulfilling the referenced requirements.

Overview of Changes

This guideline replaces the first edition of the approved guideline, QMS18-Ed1, published in 2015. Several changes were made in this edition including:

- Adding the concept of assessing every new and changed process for risks to quality and patient safety and incorporating risk controls to detect and mitigate risks that cannot be eliminated
- Revising the process flow chart to include an activity box for risk assessment
- Adding appropriate wording about risk assessment in every text section where it is needed

NOTE: The content of this guideline is supported by the CLSI consensus process and does not necessarily reflect the views of any single individual or organization.

KEY WORDS

change management

process analysis

validation

flow chart

process management

verification

process



Chapter ①

Introduction

Process Management

1 Introduction

1.1 Scope

This guideline provides a structured means for laboratory management and personnel to develop, assess, implement, monitor, and change laboratory work processes, with suggestions for how laboratories can meet the related regulatory and accreditation requirements. This guideline can be used for managing and delivering preexamination, examination, and/or postexamination workflow processes in laboratories of any size and functional complexity worldwide. This guideline can also be used for laboratories and other health care providers that perform point-of-care testing. Such laboratories include, but are not limited to, medical laboratories, public health laboratories, and physicians' offices. This guideline can also be used for developing and delivering quality management processes.

In any organization, two general types of risk are recognized:

- **Enterprise risk:** risk to the organization's reputation, financial stability, and continued ability to operate and stay in business.
- **Process risk:** risk in the organization's process activities that could compromise the quality of the process output and patient safety.

QMS18 focuses **only** on the second type of risk, ie, risks in laboratory processes.

This guideline does not provide details about information covered in other CLSI documents or available in published literature. Instead, this guideline provides a high-level overview in which to apply the detailed information.

The intended users of this guideline are:

- Managers, supervisors, and technical personnel who develop, perform, and oversee laboratory processes and procedures
- Pathologists, laboratory medical directors, and other medical and scientific personnel
- Regulatory and accreditation organizations
- Educators
- Manufacturers