



CLINICAL AND
LABORATORY
STANDARDS
INSTITUTE

1st Edition

CLSI POCT16™

Emergency and Disaster Point-of-Care Testing

CLSI POCT16 provides guidance on the use of point-of-care testing devices in emergency and disaster settings.

A guideline for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

Setting the standard for quality in medical laboratory testing around the world.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) is a not-for-profit membership organization that brings together the varied perspectives and expertise of the worldwide laboratory community for the advancement of a common cause: to foster excellence in laboratory medicine by developing and implementing medical laboratory standards and guidelines that help laboratories fulfill their responsibilities with efficiency, effectiveness, and global applicability.

Consensus Process

Consensus—the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties—is core to the development of all CLSI documents. It does not always connote unanimous agreement but does mean that the participants in the development of a consensus document have considered and resolved all relevant objections and accept the resulting agreement.

Commenting on Documents

CLSI documents undergo periodic evaluation and modification to keep pace with advances in technologies, procedures, methods, and protocols affecting the laboratory or health care.

CLSI's consensus process depends on experts who volunteer to serve as contributing authors and/or as participants in the reviewing and commenting process. At the end of each comment period, the committee that developed the document is obligated to review all comments, respond in writing to all substantive comments, and revise the draft document as appropriate.

Comments on published CLSI documents are equally essential and may be submitted by anyone, at any time, on any document. All comments are managed according to the consensus process by a committee of experts.

Appeal Process

When it is believed that an objection has not been adequately considered and responded to, the process for appeal, documented in the *CLSI Standards Development Policies and Processes*, is followed.

All comments and responses submitted on draft and published documents are retained on file at CLSI and are available upon request.

Get Involved—Volunteer!

Do you use CLSI documents in your workplace? Do you see room for improvement? Would you like to get involved in the revision process? Or maybe you see a need to develop a new document for an emerging technology? CLSI wants to hear from you. We are always looking for volunteers. By donating your time and talents to improve the standards that affect your own work, you will play an active role in improving public health across the globe.

For additional information on committee participation or to submit comments, contact CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

P: +1.610.688.0100

F: +1.610.688.0700

www.clsi.org

standard@clsi.org

Emergency and Disaster Point-of-Care Testing

Gerald J. Kost, MD, PhD, MS, FACB
James H. Nichols, PhD, DABCC, FADLM
Cynthia Foss Bowman, MD
Sarah M. Brown, PhD
Natalie Campbell, RT
Mick Cote, MS, CEM
Corbin Curtis, BS
Claudia Derse-Anthony, RN
Sharon S. Ehrmeyer, PhD, MLS(ASCP)
William Ferguson
Mary J. Gilchrist, PhD, D(ABMM)
Audrey Gillette, BS, ASCP, MBA
Kerstin A. Halverson, MS
Daniel Hesselgesser, MLS(ASCP)

Roberta E. Hirsch
Rosemary Humes, MS, MLS(ASCP)SM
T. Scott Isbel, PhD, DABCC, FACB
Kyu-Tae Lee, BS
Richard Louie, PhD
Peggy Mann, MS, MLS(ASCP)
Ann Sakaguchi, PhD, MPH
Mark Shephard, PhD, MAACB, OAM
Roxanne G. Shively, MS
Eleanor M. Travers, MD, MHA, FCAP
Richard Y. Wang, DO, FACEP, FACMT
Thomas Williams, MD, FACB, FASCP, FC
Lou Ann Wyer, MS, MLS(ASCP), ATQ, CQA

Abstract

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute POCT16—*Emergency and Disaster Point-of-Care Testing* provides guidance on the use of point-of-care testing (POCT) devices in emergency and disaster settings. CLSI POCT16 serves as a resource by providing a framework for POCT use in emergencies and disasters, recommendations for selecting appropriate devices, and criteria to assess device performance. The recommendations described in CLSI POCT16 will increase user confidence and benefit patients by improving the reliability of test results. Additionally, government agencies can use CLSI POCT16 as a resource to equip emergency and disaster response teams with POCT devices and the knowledge needed to operate them properly.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Emergency and Disaster Point-of-Care Testing*. 1st ed. CLSI guideline POCT16 (ISBN 978-1-68440-278-6 [Print]; 978-1-68440-277-9 [Electronic]). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, USA, 2025.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, and users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at www.clsi.org.

If you or your organization is not a member and would like to become one, or to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at:

P: +1.610.688.0100 **F:** +1.610.688.0700 **E:** customerservice@clsi.org **W:** www.clsi.org



Copyright ©2025 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, any reproduction of content from a CLSI copyrighted standard, guideline, or other product or material requires express written consent from CLSI. All rights reserved. Interested parties may send permission requests to permissions@clsi.org.

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedures manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, e-mail permissions@clsi.org.

To read CLSI's full Copyright Policy, please visit our website at <https://clsi.org/terms-of-use/>.

Suggested Citation

CLSI. *Emergency and Disaster Point-of-Care Testing*. 1st ed. CLSI guideline POCT16. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2025.

CLSI POCT16-Ed1

ISBN 978-1-558-278-6 (Print)

ISBN 978-1-68440-277-9 (Electronic)

ISSN 1558-6502 (Print)

ISSN 2162-2914 (Electronic)

Volume 45, Number 6

Committee Membership

Consensus Council

The Consensus Council sets priorities for CLSI standards development and votes on Final Draft documents to confirm that process requirements have been met. Consensus Council members are listed on the CLSI website: <https://clsi.org/standards-development/consensus-council/>

Document Development Committee on Emergency and Disaster Point-of-Care Testing

Gerald J. Kost, MD, PhD, MS, FACB Co-Chairholder UC Davis Medical Center USA	Sharon S. Ehrmeyer, PhD, MLS(ASCP) University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health USA	Ann Sakaguchi, PhD, MPH Pacific Emergency Management Preparedness and Response Information Network & Training Services, University of Hawaii at Manoa USA
James H. Nichols, PhD, DABCC, FADLM Co-Chairholder Vanderbilt University School of Medicine USA	Daniel Hesselgesser, MLS(ASCP) Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, CLIA Program USA	Rochelle G. Shively, MS Department of Health and Human Services USA
Natalie Campbell, RT Fort Smith Health and Social Services Authority Canada	Peggy Mann, MS, MLS(ASCP) The University of Texas Medical Branch USA	Richard Y. Wang, DO, FACEP, FACMT Centers for Disease Control and Prevention USA

Expert Panel on Point-of-Care Testing

Expert panel volunteers support the development of CLSI documents by providing technical expertise in specialty areas. Expert panel members are listed by area of expertise on the CLSI website: <https://clsi.org/standards-development/expert-panels/>

Acknowledgment

CLSI, the Consensus Council, and the Document Development Committee on Emergency and Disaster Point-of-Care Testing gratefully acknowledge the following volunteers for their important contributions to the development of CLSI POCT16:

Cynthia Foss Bowman, MD
Enzo Clinical Labs
USA

Mary J. Gilchrist, PhD, D(ABMM)
Hinton State Laboratory Institute
USA

Kyu-Tae Lee, BS
Logical Connections, Inc.
USA

Sarah M. Brown, PhD
Washington University
School of Medicine
USA

Audrey Gillette, BS, ASCP, MBA
Johns Hopkins Bayview Medical
Center
USA

Richard Louie, PhD
UC Davis
USA

Mick Cote, MS, CEM
Administration for Strategic
Preparedness and Response (ASPR)
USA

Kerstin A. Halverson, MS
Werfen
USA

Mark Shephard, PhD, MAACB, OAM
Flinders Medical Center
Australia

Corbin Curtis, BS
UC Davis
USA

Roberta E. Hirsch
Northwell Health Labs
USA

Eleanor M. Travers, MD, MHA, FCAP
MedEcon, Inc.
USA

Claudia Derse-Anthony, RN
Kaiser Permanente
USA

Rosemary Humes, MS, MLS(ASCP)TM
Department of Health and Human
Services
USA

Thomas Williams, MD, FACB, FASCP,
FCA
Methodist Hospital Pathology
USA

William Ferguson
UC Davis
USA

T. Scott Isbell, PhD, DABCC, FACB
Saint Louis University School of
Medicine
USA

Lou Ann Wyer, MS, MLS(ASCP), ASQ,
CQA
Sentara Healthcare
USA

Contents

Abstract	i
Committee Membership	iii
Foreword	vii
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Scope	1
1.2 Background	2
1.3 Standard Precautions	5
1.4 Terminology	5
Chapter 2: Point-of-Care Testing in an Emergency or Disaster	11
2.1 Preparedness Overview	12
2.2 Response Overview	12
2.3 Recovery Overview	12
2.4 Hazard Vulnerability Analysis	14
2.5 Crisis Standards of Care	15
2.6 Service Locations	16
Chapter 3: A Management Infrastructure for a Preparedness Plan	19
3.1 Point-of-Care Testing Program Director	20
3.2 Regulatory Considerations	21
3.3 Small World Network	21
3.4 Customer Focus	22
3.5 Facilities and Safety Management	22
3.6 Personnel Management	33
3.7 Supplier and Inventory Management	39
3.8 Equipment Management	41
3.9 Process Management	45
3.10 Documents and Records Management	55
3.11 Information Management	56
3.12 Nonconforming Event Management	64
3.13 Assessments	64
3.14 Continual Improvement	66
3.15 Point-of-Care Testing in Preparedness Planning	66

Contents (Continued)

Chapter 4: Using Point-of-Care Testing During Response to an Emergency or Disaster	71
4.1 Point-of-Care Testing for Surgical and Medical Needs	72
4.2 Triage of Patients in the Field	72
4.3 Point-of-Care Testing in Prehospital or Field Environments	72
Chapter 5: Transition to Recovery from an Emergency or Disaster	93
5.1 Transition to Recovery Plan	94
5.2 Exit Strategy	95
Chapter 6: Conclusion	97
Chapter 7: Supplemental Information	99
References	100
Appendix A. Brief Descriptions of the Regulatory and Accreditation Requirements Included in Each Quality System Essential	111
Appendix B. Environmental Stress Testing Results	112
Appendix C1. Example Job Action Sheet: Rounding Technologist	122
Appendix C2. Example Job Action Sheet: Static Technologist	123
Appendix C3. Example Job Action Sheet: Pod Clerk	124
Appendix C4. Point-of-Care Testing Training Checklist	125
Appendix D. Example of a Supply Request Form	126
The Quality Management System Approach	128

Foreword

CLSI POCT16 describes good practices for deploying point-of-care testing (POCT) devices in emergency and disaster settings. POCT is defined as medical testing at or near the site of patient care. For the purposes of CLSI POCT16, these sites encompass field sites, temporary structures, emergency departments, and all methods of transportation. POCT is used for screening, diagnosis, and monitoring by means of handheld, portable, and transportable devices and test kits. CLSI POCT16 identifies key criteria for regulatory requirements, quality management, device performance, operators, and medical application of POCT in emergency and disaster settings. CLSI POCT16 will increase user confidence and benefit patients by improving the reliability of examination results and enhance the performance and quality of POCT devices, reagents, and test kits deployed to emergency and disaster settings. The recommendations in CLSI POCT16 apply to any country where existing medical infrastructure necessitates the use of POCT for emergency and disaster care.

NOTE: The content of CLSI POCT16 is supported by the CLSI consensus process and does not necessarily reflect the views of any single individual or organization.

KEY WORDS

disaster	emergency management	public health preparedness
disaster planning and mitigation	incident command system	recovery
emergency	point-of-care testing	response

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Chapter ①

Introduction

Emergency and Disaster Point-of-Care Testing

1 Introduction

1.1 Scope

CLSI POCT16 provides recommendations for improving performance and quality of point-of-care testing (POCT) used in complex emergency and disaster settings. It provides criteria for POCT use in emergencies and disasters and a framework for POCT device performance assessment.

In CLSI POCT16, POCT refers to devices with measurement capabilities beyond blood glucose.

CLSI POCT16 is useful to the following groups and is appropriate for broad global application:

- Point-of-care coordinators (POCCs), laboratory directors, and hospital administrators
- Laboratorians, disaster responders, emergency care personnel, and other groups who respond to adverse medical crises worldwide
- Point-of-care (POC) device manufacturers, as a source of performance expectations for their devices and test kits
- Government agencies, nongovernmental organizations, and other organizations

CLSI POCT16 does not discuss the use of POCT devices in planning for hospital laboratory downtimes, electrical outages, or other situations covered in CLSI GP36.¹ CLSI POCT16 is not intended to be all encompassing or to preempt other disaster plans. Rather, this guideline is intended to complement existing local, regional, and national disaster management strategies. Testing for chemical and bioterrorism is not described.

1.2 Background

According to Margareta Wahlström, the Secretary-General's special representative for Disaster Risk Reduction at the United Nations,² disasters in 2011 were the highest in history at \$380 billion, mainly owing to earthquakes in Japan and New Zealand. This figure is two-thirds higher than the previous record in 2005, when Hurricane Katrina hit the southern United States. Major floods in Thailand and other countries also caused extensive damages and economic losses. Wahlström additionally noted that "fifty percent of the world's population is exposed to disasters because they live in highly vulnerable areas." Hence, emergency and disaster preparedness in low-resource, challenging, and remote settings will become increasingly important in the future. In an updated report for the period 1998 to 2017, the same UN office³ reported \$2.9 trillion (US) in direct economic losses. A total of \$2.2 trillion (US), or 77% of total economic losses, were due to climate-related disasters in disaster-hit countries, an increase of 2.5 times in the last 20 years.

Furthermore, the 2004 Asian tsunami, Hurricane Katrina in 2005, the novel H1N1 2009 influenza pandemic, and the COVID-19 pandemic illustrated the need for POCT in emergency and disaster care.^{4,5} Disaster response teams in each setting were ill-equipped to meet demands for diagnosis, monitoring, and targeted treatment. Field experience showed that responders lacked key tests for bloodstream pathogen detection, cardiac biomarkers, glucose monitoring, and influenza viruses. Deficiencies may have contributed to excess mortality.⁶

Emergencies and disasters can disrupt laboratory and health care operations. Thus, POCT is frequently used in these settings based on various factors, including portability, rapid results, small sample volumes, and ease of use. Care often needs to be administered immediately during an emergency or disaster. POCT plays a unique role in the delivery of laboratory services, and POCT devices should be widely available for immediate use during crises.⁷