



CLINICAL AND  
LABORATORY  
STANDARDS  
INSTITUTE®

1st Edition

# POCT06

## Effects of Different Sample Types on Glucose Measurements

This report provides information to assist the clinical and point-of-care staff in result and measurement procedure comparisons of glucose tests.

A CLSI report for global application.

# Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

*Setting the standard for quality in medical laboratory testing around the world.*

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) is a not-for-profit membership organization that brings together the varied perspectives and expertise of the worldwide laboratory community for the advancement of a common cause: to foster excellence in laboratory medicine by developing and implementing medical laboratory standards and guidelines that help laboratories fulfill their responsibilities with efficiency, effectiveness, and global applicability.

## Consensus Process

Consensus—the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties—is core to the development of all CLSI documents. It does not always connote unanimous agreement, but does mean that the participants in the development of a consensus document have considered and resolved all relevant objections and accept the resulting agreement.

## Commenting on Documents

CLSI documents undergo periodic evaluation and modification to keep pace with advancements in technologies, procedures, methods, and protocols affecting the laboratory or health care.

CLSI's consensus process depends on experts who volunteer to serve as contributing authors and/or as participants in the reviewing and commenting process. At the end of each comment period, the committee that developed the document is obligated to review all comments, respond in writing to all substantive comments, and revise the draft document as appropriate.

Comments on published CLSI documents are equally essential, and may be submitted by anyone, at any time, on any document. All comments are managed according to the consensus process by a committee of experts.

## Appeals Process

When it is believed that an objection has not been adequately considered and responded to, the process for appeals, documented in the CLSI Standards Development Policies and Processes, is followed.

All comments and responses submitted on draft and published documents are retained on file at CLSI and are available upon request.

## Get Involved—Volunteer!

Do you use CLSI documents in your workplace? Do you see room for improvement? Would you like to get involved in the revision process? Or maybe you see a need to develop a new document for an emerging technology? CLSI wants to hear from you. We are always looking for volunteers. By donating your time and talents to improve the standards that affect your own work, you will play an active role in improving public health across the globe.

For additional information on committee participation or to submit comments, contact CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

500 West Valley Road, Suite 2500

Wayne, PA 19087 USA

T: +1.610.688.0100

F: +1.610.688.0700

[www.clsi.org](http://www.clsi.org)

[standard@clsi.org](mailto:standard@clsi.org)

---

## Effects of Different Sample Types on Glucose Measurements

Mary C. Coyle, MS, MT(ASCP)  
Ellis Jacobs, PhD, DABCC, FACB  
Ann Chappie, MT(HHS)  
Jeff Dahlen, PhD  
Bradley S. Karon, MD, PhD

John J. Mahoney  
Ronald H. Ng, PhD, DABCC, FACB  
Donald R. Parker, PhD, DABCC, FACB,  
CLASCB  
David B. Sacks, MD  
Steven Scott

### Abstract

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute document POCT06—*Effects of Different Sample Types on Glucose Measurements* provides information to assist the clinical and point-of-care staff in understanding the potential impact of the use of different sample types on results and measurement procedure comparisons of glucose test systems. The information includes preexamination, examination, and physiological considerations. This report will help clinicians understand how to better design evaluation protocols for technology or devices under consideration. Use of this report by clinicians will also help ensure that even those who adopt new technologies early will do so with the knowledge that ensures patient safety. Use of this report by manufacturers will help ensure they can meet and understand customer requirements in their product design for glucose testing systems.

The impacts of sample type, test methodology, calibration, sample transportation or delay in testing, and frequency are reviewed. The influence of metabolic changes was minimally addressed in CLSI document POCT12<sup>1</sup> and will be expanded upon here in order to help clinicians understand how to decide if metabolic changes are influencing result variances noted in a comparison.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Effects of Different Sample Types on Glucose Measurements*. 1st ed. CLSI report POCT06 (ISBN 1-56238-901-7 [Print], ISBN 1-56238-902-5 [Electronic]). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087 USA, 2015.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at [www.clsi.org](http://www.clsi.org). If you or your organization is not a member and would like to become one, and to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at: Telephone: 610.688.0100; Fax: 610.688.0700; E-Mail: [customer.service@cls.org](mailto:customer.service@cls.org); Website: [www.clsi.org](http://www.clsi.org).



CLINICAL AND  
LABORATORY  
STANDARDS  
INSTITUTE®

POCT06, 1st ed.

Copyright ©2015 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, any reproduction of content from a CLSI copyrighted standard, guideline, companion product, or other material requires express written consent from CLSI. All rights reserved. Interested parties may send permission requests to [permissions@clsi.org](mailto:permissions@clsi.org).

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedure manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, e-mail [permissions@clsi.org](mailto:permissions@clsi.org).

### **Suggested Citation**

CLSI. *Effects of Different Sample Types on Glucose Measurements*. 1st ed. CLSI report POCT06. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2015.

### **Reaffirmed:**

June 2020

ISBN 1-56238-901-7 (Print)  
ISBN 1-56238-902-5 (Electronic)  
ISSN 1558-6502 (Print)  
ISSN 2162-2914 (Electronic)

Volume 35, Number 8

## Committee Membership

### Consensus Committee on Point-of-Care Testing

**Frank M. LaDuca, PhD, FAHA**  
**Chairholder**  
 Accriva Diagnostics  
 USA

**Ellis Jacobs, PhD, DABCC,**  
**FACB**  
**Vice-Chairholder**  
 Alere Inc.  
 USA

Sheldon M. Campbell, MD, PhD,  
 FCAP  
 College of American Pathologists  
 USA

Claudia Dollins, PhD, RAC  
 FDA Center for Devices and  
 Radiological Health  
 USA

Karen W. Dyer, MT(ASCP), DLM  
 Centers for Medicare and Medicaid  
 Services  
 USA

Valerio M. Genta, MD  
 Sentara Virginia Beach General  
 Hospital  
 USA

Bob Kaplanis, PBT, MT(ASCP)  
 Laboratory Sciences of Arizona  
 USA

Ronald K. Newby  
 Nova Biomedical Corporation  
 USA

Monica Thomas, MPA,  
 CLS(ASCP)  
 Cedars-Sinai Medical Center  
 USA

Marcia L. Zucker, PhD  
 ZIVD LLC  
 USA

### Document Development Committee on Comparison of Different Glucose Sample Types

**Mary C. Coyle, MS, MT(ASCP)**  
**Co-Chairholder**  
 Roche Diagnostics Corporation  
 USA

**Ellis Jacobs, PhD, DABCC,**  
**FACB**  
**Co-Chairholder**  
 Alere Inc.  
 USA

Ann Chappie, MT(HHS)  
 FDA Center for Devices and  
 Radiological Health  
 USA

Jeff Dahlen, PhD  
 USA

Bradley S. Karon, MD, PhD  
 Mayo Clinic  
 USA

Anthony O. Okorodudu, PhD,  
 MBA, DABCC, FACB  
 The University of Texas Medical  
 Branch  
 USA

David B. Sacks, MD  
 College of American Pathologists  
 USA

Steven Scott  
 Abbott Diabetes Care  
 USA

**Staff**

Clinical and Laboratory Standards  
 Institute  
 USA

Luann Ochs, MS  
*Senior Vice President – Operations*

David E. Sterry, MT(ASCP)  
*Project Manager*

Megan L. Tertel, MA  
*Editorial Manager*

Joanne P. Christopher, MA  
*Editor*

Patrice E. Polgar  
*Editor*

POCT06, 1st ed.

### **Acknowledgment**

CLSI, the Consensus Committee on Point-of-Care Testing, and the Document Development Committee on Comparison of Different Glucose Sample Types gratefully acknowledge the following volunteers for their important contributions to the development of this document:

John J. Mahoney  
USA

Ronald H. Ng, PhD, DABCC, FACB  
USA

Donald R. Parker, PhD, DABCC, FACB, CLASCB  
USA

## Contents

Abstract.....	i
Committee Membership.....	iii
Foreword.....	vii
Chapter 1: Introduction.....	1
1.1 Scope.....	1
1.2 Background.....	1
1.3 Standard Precautions.....	2
1.4 Terminology.....	2
Chapter 2: Sample Types.....	7
2.1 Blood.....	10
2.2 Urine.....	11
2.3 Interstitial Fluid.....	12
2.4 Sampling Site.....	13
2.5 Other Sample Types.....	15
Chapter 3: Glucose Detection Methods.....	17
3.1 <i>In Vitro</i> – Liquid Phase Reagent Systems.....	17
3.2 <i>In Vivo</i> Measurement Procedures.....	21
Chapter 4: Calibration Standardization.....	25
4.1 Calibration Guidelines.....	25
Chapter 5: Factors That Influence Results Comparison.....	27
5.1 Interfering Substances.....	27
5.2 Physiological/Pathophysiological Factors.....	27
5.3 Sample Collection Techniques.....	28
5.4 Analytical Factors.....	30
5.5 Postexamination Factors.....	31
Chapter 6: Performance Criteria for Glucose Measurement Systems.....	33
6.1 Criteria for Analytical Error.....	33
6.2 Clinical Error Criteria for Glucose Measurement Systems.....	35
Chapter 7: Conclusion.....	40
Chapter 8: Supplemental Information.....	40
References.....	41
The Quality Management System Approach.....	48
Selected CLSI Reference Materials.....	49

## Foreword

A variety of glucose test methodologies exist today. Processes for glucose testing in the continuum of care can vary by sample type and methodologies. Clinicians today review glucose results obtained using different methodologies even when the patient is in a single unit of the hospital. It is typical for the patient's chart to include glucose meter system results, as well as laboratory analyzer glucose results. The glucose sample could also be drawn from arterial, capillary, or venous blood and performed on whole blood, plasma, or serum. Any or all of these methodological and sample type variations (as well as user technique, reagent stability, sample handling, site of sampling, and testing environment issues, among other contributing sources of variation) can affect glucose test results.

Laboratorians and clinicians may also conduct comparison studies from time to time, either to evaluate a new or novel technology, or to understand how glucose measurement procedures in their facility relate to one another. As care practices evolve, this report will help inform the study investigator of factors that influence glucose measurement procedure comparisons. For example, hospitals are currently experiencing increased testing frequency for bedside (point-of-care) glucose testing. More frequent hospital use of this method of testing is driven by the use of insulin to maintain an inpatient in euglycemia (tight glycemic control). Although these protocols vary in execution, the typical time interval between glucose tests is determined by the patient's response to the insulin administration. This time interval typically varies from 15 minutes to four hours. A need exists to optimize staff efficiency, minimize patient discomfort, and assure the quality and accuracy of the glucose results while executing these protocols. This document can serve to identify possible causes of differences in glucose test results when different measurement technologies, sampling sites, and/or sample types are used.

The authors of this document acknowledge that trueness, repeatability, and reproducibility of glucose methodologies vary. This document provides guidance on the potential sources of error or variation in glucose test results, particularly when the results are obtained with two different measurement procedures using two different sample types (eg, comparing laboratory venous plasma glucose to meter system capillary whole-blood glucose results).

## Key Words

Calibration, glucose, glucose monitors, point-of-care testing, sample types, test measurement procedure comparison

# Effects of Different Sample Types on Glucose Measurements

## Chapter 1: Introduction

This chapter includes:

- Document scope and applicable exclusions
- Background information pertinent to the document content
- Standard precautions information
- “Note on Terminology” that highlights particular use and/or variation in use of terms and/or definitions
- Terms and definitions used in the document
- Abbreviations and acronyms used in the document

### 1.1 Scope

This report is designed to help clinicians, system evaluators, researchers, and manufacturers understand the influence of various parameters on glucose test result comparisons and to understand the clinical challenges that exist when glucose methodologies, sample types, and user techniques differ. This report is intended to help discern whether or not a difference between glucose results is caused by 1) the test measurement procedure(s), 2) patient-specific interferences, 3) the protocol, or 4) by some combination of these factors. It also includes consideration for sample type, fluid compartments, physiology, and calibration of the devices.

The intended users of this report are clinicians, point-of-care teams, pathologists, laboratory directors, and manufacturers of glucose testing devices. The information will help users to understand the clinical challenges that exist within the continuum of care when glucose is measured using different methodologies and sample types.

This report is not intended to be used for measurement procedure validation of new technologies.

### 1.2 Background

Glucose test result comparisons occur in two distinct types of circumstances: single result-to-result comparisons or test measurement procedure evaluations using multiple sample comparisons. In the single result-to-result scenario, a clinician is interested in determining the accuracy of glucose measurement results of the measurement procedure (eg, blood glucose monitoring system [BGMS]) she/he routinely uses for intervention decisions, by direct comparison to the results obtained using a different measurement procedure (eg, a laboratory serum glucose). In the measurement procedure evaluation study, a glucose measurement system assessment is being conducted to understand the differences between two or more measurement procedures. Several factors influence these comparisons. Taking testing differences into consideration during product development continues to help manufacturers provide new testing solutions that better meet the needs of the clinicians and contribute to improved patient care and confidence.