

H60-A

Laboratory Testing for the Lupus Anticoagulant; Approved Guideline

This document provides guidance and recommendations regarding the proper collection and handling of the specimen; descriptions and limitations of screening and confirmatory assays, and mixing tests used to identify lupus anticoagulant (LA); determination of cutoff values and calculations associated with the various assays; and interpretation of test results in an LA panel.

.....

A guideline for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

Setting the standard for quality in medical laboratory testing around the world.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) is a not-for-profit membership organization that brings together the varied perspectives and expertise of the worldwide laboratory community for the advancement of a common cause: to foster excellence in laboratory medicine by developing and implementing medical laboratory standards and guidelines that help laboratories fulfill their responsibilities with efficiency, effectiveness, and global applicability.

Consensus Process

Consensus—the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties—is core to the development of all CLSI documents. It does not always connote unanimous agreement, but does mean that the participants in the development of a consensus document have considered and resolved all relevant objections and accept the resulting agreement.

Commenting on Documents

CLSI documents undergo periodic evaluation and modification to keep pace with advancements in technologies, procedures, methods, and protocols affecting the laboratory or health care.

CLSI's consensus process depends on experts who volunteer to serve as contributing authors and/or as participants in the reviewing and commenting process. At the end of each comment period, the committee that developed the document is obligated to review all comments, respond in writing to all substantive comments, and revise the draft document as appropriate.

Comments on published CLSI documents are equally essential, and may be submitted by anyone, at any time, on any document. All comments are managed according to the consensus process by a committee of experts.

Appeals Process

When it is believed that an objection has not been adequately considered and responded to, the process for appeals, documented in the CLSI Standards Development Policies and Processes, is followed.

All comments and responses submitted on draft and published documents are retained on file at CLSI and are available upon request.

Get Involved—Volunteer!

Do you use CLSI documents in your workplace? Do you see room for improvement? Would you like to get involved in the revision process? Or maybe you see a need to develop a new document for an emerging technology? CLSI wants to hear from you. We are always looking for volunteers. By donating your time and talents to improve the standards that affect your own work, you will play an active role in improving public health across the globe.

For additional information on committee participation or to submit comments, contact CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

500 West Valley Road, Suite 2500

Wayne, PA 19087 USA

T: +1.610.688.0100

F: +1.610.688.0700

www.clsi.org

standard@clsi.org

ISBN 1-56238-959-9 (Print)
ISBN 1-56238-960-2 (Electronic)
ISSN 1558-6502 (Print)
ISSN 2162-2914 (Electronic)

H60-A
Vol. 34 No. 6

Laboratory Testing for the Lupus Anticoagulant; Approved Guideline

Volume 34 Number 6

Marlies Ledford-Kraemer, MBA, BS, MT(ASCP)SH
Gary W. Moore, BSc, DBMS, CSci, FIBMS, CBIol, MSB,
CertMHS
Ralph Bottenus, PhD
John T. Brandt, MD
Donna D. Castellone, MS, MT(ASCP)SH
Christine Daniele, MT(ASCP)
Philip G. de Groot, PhD
François Depasse, PharmD, MSc
Jeffrey S. Dlott, MD, FCAP, FASCP
Thomas Exner, PhD
Emmanuel J. Favaloro, PhD, FFS_c (RCPA)
Robert C. Gosselin, CLS

Sandra C. Hollensead, MD
Piet Meijer, PhD
Karen A. Moffat, BEd, MSc, ART, FRCM (D)
William L. Nichols, MD
Thomas L. Ortel, MD, PhD
Michael J. Sanfelippo, MS, MT(ASCP)
Rosemary Grillo Scott
Rita Selby, MBBS, FRCP, FRCMSc
Linda Stang, MLT
Perumal Thiagarajan, MD
Mark Triscott, PhD
Elizabeth M. Turcott, MD

Abstract

Identification of the lupus anticoagulant (LA) by laboratory testing is critical for diagnosing the antiphospholipid syndrome and investigating unexpectedly prolonged activated partial thromboplastin time values. The “anticoagulant” effect of LA is restricted to the prolongation of clotting times when using *in vitro*, clot-based coagulation assays that are used as surrogates for identifying LA. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute document H60—*Laboratory Testing for the Lupus Anticoagulant; Approved Guideline* provides guidance and recommendations regarding the proper collection and handling of the specimen; descriptions and limitations of screening and confirmatory assays, and mixing tests used to identify LA; determination of cutoff values and calculations associated with the various assays; and the interpretation of test results in an LA panel. The guideline is provided for use by laboratorians, physician stakeholders, manufacturers of LA assays, researchers, external quality assessment programs, and accrediting and regulatory agencies. The intent of this guideline is to present information in a practical and easily understandable format; thereby facilitating a standardized approach to LA testing, gaining acceptance in practice, and improving testing quality.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Laboratory Testing for the Lupus Anticoagulant; Approved Guideline*. CLSI document H60-A (ISBN 1-56238-959-9 [Print]; ISBN 1-56238-960-2 [Electronic]). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2000, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087 USA, 2014.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI Catalog and posted on our website at www.clsi.org. If you or your organization is not a member and would like to become one, and to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at: Telephone: 610.688.0100; Fax: 610.688.0700; E-Mail: customerservice@clsi.org; Website: www.clsi.org.



CLINICAL AND
LABORATORY
STANDARDS
INSTITUTE®

Copyright ©2014 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, any reproduction of content from a CLSI copyrighted standard, guideline, companion product, or other material requires express written consent from CLSI. All rights reserved. Interested parties may send permission requests to permissions@clsi.org

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedure manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, e-mail permissions@clsi.org.

Suggested Citation

CLSI. *Laboratory Testing for the Lupus Anticoagulant; Approved Guideline*. CLSI document H60-A. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2014.

Reaffirmed:

September 2019

ISBN 1-56238-959-9 (Print)
ISBN 1-56238-960-2 (Electronic)
EISSN 1558-6502 (Print)
ISSN 2162-2914 (Electronic)

Committee Membership

Consensus Committee on Hematology

Richard A. Marlar, PhD
Chairholder
 Oklahoma City VA Medical
 Center
 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, USA

Mike Keeney, ART, FCSMLS(D)
Vice-Chairholder
 London Health Sciences Center
 London, Ontario, Canada

Kathleen M. Curran, BSMT
 New York State Department of
 Health
 Albany, New York, USA

Ian A. Giles, MD
 Sysmex America, Inc.
 Mundelein, Illinois, USA

Robert C. Gosselin, CLS
 University of California, Davis
 Health
 System
 Sacramento, California, USA

Hans J. Hoffmann, PhD
 Abbott GmbH
 Wiesbaden-Delkenheim, Germany

Loveleen Chatha Kang, MD
 College of American Pathologists
 Northfield, Illinois, USA

Document Development Committee on Laboratory Testing for the Lupus Anticoagulant

Marlies Ledford-Kraemer, MBA,
BS, MT(ASCP)SH
Chairholder
 CLOT-ED, Inc.
 Islamorada, Florida, USA

Gary W. Moore, BSc, DBMS,
CSci, FIBMS, CBiol, MSB,
CertMHS
Vice-Chairholder
 GSTS Pathology
 London, United Kingdom

Ralph Bottenus, PhD
 Instrumentation Laboratory
 Orangeburg, New York, USA

Christine Daniele, MT(ASCP)
 Diagnostica Stago, Inc.
 Parsippany, New Jersey, USA

Philip G. de Groot, PhD
 University Medical Center
 Utrecht, The Netherlands

Thomas Exner, PhD
 Haematex Research Pty Ltd
 Sydney, Australia

Emmanuel J. Favaloro, PhD, FRCSc
 (RCPA)
 ICPMR, Westmead Hospital
 New South Wales, Australia

Karen A. McEfaul, BEd, MSc, ART,
 FCSMLS(D)
 Hamilton Regional Laboratory
 Medicine Program
 West Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

William L. Nichols, MD
 Mayo Clinic
 Rochester, Minnesota, USA

Stanley
 Clinical and Laboratory Standards
 Institute
 Wayne, Pennsylvania, USA

Luann Ochs, MS
Senior Vice President – Operations

Jennifer K. Adams, MT(ASCP),
 MSHA
Staff Liaison

David E. Sterry, MT(ASCP)
Project Manager

Megan L. Tertel, MA
Editor

Joanne P. Christopher, MA
Assistant Editor

Acknowledgment

CLSI and the Consensus Committee on Hematology gratefully acknowledge the following individuals for their contributions during the development of this document:

John T. Brandt, MD
Wenatchee, Washington,
USA

Donna D. Castellone, MS,
MT(ASCP)SH
Roche Diagnostics
Indianapolis, Indiana, USA

François Depasse, PharmD,
MSc
Diagnostica Stago
Asnieres Sur Seine, France

Jeffrey S. Dlott, MD, FCAP,
FASCP
Quest Diagnostics, Inc.
Chantilly, Virginia, USA

Robert C. Gosselin, CLS
University of California,
Davis Health System
Sacramento, California, USA

Sandra C. Hollensead, MD
University of Louisville
School of Medicine
Louisville, Kentucky, USA

Piet Meijer, PhD
ECAT Foundation
Leiden, The Netherlands

Thomas L. Ortel, MD, PhD
Duke University Medical
Center
Durham, North Carolina,
USA

Michael J. Sanfelippo, MS,
MT(ASCP)
Marshfield Labs
Marshfield, Wisconsin, USA

Rosemary Grillo Scott
Diagnostica Stago
Parsippany, New Jersey,
USA

Rita Selby, MBBS, FRCPC,
MSc
Sunnybrook Health Sciences
Centre & University Health
Network
Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Linda Stang, MLT
University of Alberta
Hospital
Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

Perumal Thiagarajan, MD
Michael E. DeBakey VA
Medical Center
Houston, Texas, USA

Mark Triscott, PhD
Instrumentation Laboratory
Orangeburg, New York, USA

Elizabeth M. Van Cott, MD
Massachusetts General
Hospital
Boston, Massachusetts, USA

The authors would like to dedicate this document to the memory of Douglas A. Triplett, MD, whose work in lupus anticoagulant testing and the antiphospholipid syndrome has left an indelible mark. His innumerable contributions through scientific research and education have guided many in this field. Dr. Triplett's name is synonymous with lupus anticoagulant testing and we are indebted to him for his efforts and commitment to this field.

Contents

Abstract.....	i
Committee Membership.....	iii
Foreword.....	ix
1 Scope.....	1
2 Introduction.....	1
2.1 Historical Perspective of Antiphospholipid Syndrome and Relationship to Lupus Anticoagulant Testing.....	3
3 Standard Precautions.....	4
4 Terminology.....	4
4.1 A Note on Terminology.....	4
4.2 Definitions.....	5
4.3 Abbreviations and Acronyms.....	8
5 Equipment.....	9
6 Validation of Assay Systems.....	10
6.1 Imprecision.....	10
6.2 Inaccuracy.....	11
6.3 Establishment of Reference Intervals and Cutoff Values.....	12
6.4 Analytical Specificity.....	13
6.5 Diagnostic Sensitivity and Diagnostic Specificity.....	14
7 Preexamination Issues (Criterion A).....	14
7.1 Patient Selection.....	15
7.2 Specimen Collection and Transport.....	17
7.3 Sample Preparation.....	18
8 Preliminary Examination Issues.....	21
8.1 APTT.....	21
8.2 Prothrombin Time-International Normalized Ratio.....	23
8.3 Thrombin Time.....	24
9 Principles of Lupus Anticoagulant Assays.....	25
9.1 Intrinsic Pathway Assays (see Appendix C).....	25
9.2 Common Pathway Assays (see Appendix C).....	27
9.3 Extrinsic Pathway Assays (see Appendix C).....	28
9.4 Overview of Assay Performance.....	29
10 Assays to Screen for the Presence of Lupus Anticoagulant (Criterion B).....	31
10.1 Available Screening Assays and Their Usage.....	31
10.2 APTT.....	32
10.3 APTT-based Silica Clotting Time.....	33
10.4 Dilute Russell's Viper Venom Time.....	34
10.5 Dilute Prothrombin Time.....	35
10.6 Kaolin Clotting Time.....	36

Contents (Continued)

11	Assays to Confirm the Presence of Lupus Anticoagulant (Criterion C)	37
11.1	APTT-based Platelet Neutralization Procedure	38
11.2	APTT-based Hexagonal Phase Phospholipid Neutralization Test.....	38
11.3	APTT-based Silica Clotting Time Confirmatory Test.....	39
11.4	Dilute Russell's Viper Venom Time Confirmatory Test	40
11.5	Dilute Prothrombin Time Confirmatory Test	41
12	Mixing Test as Applied to Screening, Confirmatory, and Integrated Assays (Criterion D)....	42
12.1	Reasons for Performing a Mixing Test.....	43
12.2	Normal Pooled Plasma.....	44
12.3	Calculation of Test Results	45
12.4	Limitations of Mixing Test.....	46
13	Postexamination Issues	47
13.1	Interpretation of Preliminary Examination Assays	48
13.2	Interpretation of Lupus Anticoagulant Screening Assays (Criterion/Recommendation B)	49
13.3	Interpretation of Lupus Anticoagulant Confirmatory Assays (Criterion/Recommendation C)	50
13.4	Interpretation of Lupus Anticoagulant Mixing Tests (Criterion/Recommendation D)	52
13.5	Interpretation of Lupus Anticoagulant Panel (Criterion/Recommendation F)	53
13.6	How to Report Test Results (Criterion/Recommendation F).....	54
14	Quality Control and Quality Assurance	54
14.1	Reagent Lot-to-Lot Number Verification	54
14.2	Internal Quality Control.....	55
14.3	External Quality Assessment	55
15	Harmonization of CLSI Lupus Anticoagulant Guideline With Other Guidelines	56
	References.....	58
	Appendix A. Algorithmic Approach to Lupus Anticoagulant Testing	71
	Appendix B. Establishing Reference Intervals and Cutoff Values	74
	Appendix C. Lupus Anticoagulant Testing in Relationship to Coagulation Pathways	75
	Appendix D. Diagrammatic Principles for Lupus Anticoagulant Tests.....	76
	Appendix E. Table of Interferences and Limitations for Specific Lupus Anticoagulant Tests	84
	Appendix F. Formulas and Examples for Calculating Test Results	88
	Appendix G1. Interpretive Comments and Rationale for Comments Based on Patient Examples (Data)	89
	Appendix G2. Interpretive Comments and Rationale for Comments Based on Patient Examples (Comments).....	90

Contents (Continued)

The Quality Management System Approach92

Related CLSI Reference Materials94

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Foreword

Synopsis of Diagnostic Criteria and Testing Recommendations

Criteria for the Laboratory Diagnosis of the Lupus Anticoagulant

In order to make a laboratory diagnosis of the lupus anticoagulant, a sample should identify with the following:

- A. **Procurement:** adherence to standardized protocols for collection and processing of blood to be used for testing
- B. **Screening:** prolongation of at least one of two different phospholipid-dependent clotting assays based on different principles and coagulation pathways
- C. **Confirmation:** evidence that prolongation of the screening test(s) demonstrates phospholipid dependence by using a similar second test(s) using altered concentrations and/or composition of phospholipids
- D. **Mixing:** if mixing assays are performed, evidence of inhibitory activity shown by the effect of patient plasma on an equal volume of normal pooled plasma
- E. **Exclusion:** distinguishing the lupus anticoagulant from other causes of prolonged clotting times that may mask, mimic, or coexist with the lupus anticoagulant, such as anticoagulant therapies or other coagulopathies
- F. **Interpretation and Reporting:** numerical results of all testing should be reported, and interpretive comments that address and integrate these results should be provided

Recommendations Specific to Each Criterion for the Laboratory Diagnosis of the Lupus Anticoagulant

A. Procurement

- 1. Testing should preferably be performed in the absence of anticoagulant therapy (except for antiplatelet therapy).
- 2. Ideally, samples should not be obtained from vascular access devices.
- 3. Platelet count of patient-citrated platelet-poor plasma should be $<10 \times 10^9/L$.
- 4. Testing may be performed on fresh or properly frozen/thawed samples.

B. Screening Assays

- 1. Two tests, representing different principles and coagulation pathways, that are known to be responsive to the lupus anticoagulant (eg, low phospholipid concentrations) should be used to screen for the lupus anticoagulant.
- 2. Lupus anticoagulant–responsive activated partial thromboplastin time and dilute Russell’s viper venom time tests are recommended as the preferred minimal screening assays.

3. Other tests for the lupus anticoagulant referenced in this document may supplement the preferred minimal screening tests.
4. Where test design permits, results should be calculated using the mean of the reference interval and reported as a normalized ratio.
5. Routine coagulation tests, prothrombin time-international normalized ratio, activated partial thromboplastin time, and thrombin time, as indicated, may help to characterize anticoagulant effects (eg, heparin, vitamin K antagonists, direct thrombin inhibitors, factor Xa inhibitors) or sample suitability (eg, serum sample, improper anticoagulant tube) for lupus anticoagulant testing and interpretation.

C. Confirmatory Assays

1. Confirmatory assays should use the same assay principle as the screening test that was initially found to be abnormal (eg, if the dilute Russell's viper venom time test is abnormal, then a dilute Russell's viper venom time test–based confirmatory assay should be used).
2. For paired tests, results should be calculated using the mean of the reference interval for each screening and confirmatory test and reported as a normalized screen to confirm ratio or indication of percentage correction of screen ratio by confirm ratio.
3. Solid-phase immunoassays for antibodies against phospholipid (eg, anti-cardiolipin or anti- β 2 glycoprotein I) should not be considered as lupus anticoagulant confirmatory procedures.

D. Mixing Test (if performed)

1. The platelet count of the normal pooled plasma should be $< 10 \times 10^9/L$.
2. A mix ratio of one part plasma sample to one part normal pooled plasma is recommended as the preferred ratio for a mixing test.
3. The dilution effect of a 1:1 mixing test may mask lupus anticoagulant inhibitory activity. Other mix ratios (eg, four parts plasma sample to one part normal pooled plasma) can be used, if validated by the laboratory.
4. Mixing test inhibition is assessed by either comparison of normalized ratios to cutoff values specific for each lupus anticoagulant screening or confirmatory mixing test or by calculating an index of circulating anticoagulant.
5. Incubated mixing tests are not recommended for routine lupus anticoagulant testing, but should be performed when indicated (eg, when a specific factor inhibitor is suspected).

E. Exclusion

1. The lupus anticoagulant should be distinguished from anticoagulant therapies and/or other coagulation disorders that may interfere with lupus anticoagulant testing and interpretation.
2. If possible, perform factor assays whenever there is suspicion of a specific factor deficiency or inhibitor, using three or more dilutions of patient plasma and an activated partial thromboplastin time reagent that is unresponsive to the lupus anticoagulant.

F. Interpretation and Reporting

1. Numerical results of all testing should be reported with the reference interval or cutoff value.
2. Interpretive comments that address and integrate all test results (the lupus anticoagulant panel) should be provided.
3. The interpretive report should indicate whether the lupus anticoagulant is present, not detected, or indeterminate.
4. Solid-phase assays for antibodies against cardiolipin and/or anti- β 2 glycoprotein I are recommended as part of an evaluation for antiphospholipid syndrome.
5. If the lupus anticoagulant is present, the test panel should be repeated at or beyond 12 weeks to determine persistence of the lupus anticoagulant as part of the evaluation for antiphospholipid syndrome.

Key Words

Antiphospholipid syndrome, lupus anticoagulant, lupus anticoagulant confirmatory assays, lupus anticoagulant screening assays, mixing test

Laboratory Testing for the Lupus Anticoagulant; Approved Guideline

1 Scope

This document provides guidance for the performance and interpretation of screening assays, confirmatory assays, and mixing tests used to identify the lupus anticoagulant (LA). It is intended to assist in standardization of LA testing and it addresses preexamination issues, examination concerns, and postexamination matters that pertain to interpretation of individual tests or combinations of assays. Recommendations from this guideline, when feasible, harmonize with other national and international guidelines currently in existence. Taken together, standardization and harmonization will permit laboratories to improve the quality and interpretation of their LA testing.

The intended users of this guideline are laboratory personnel responsible for performing LA testing, physicians (eg, hematologists, pathologists, rheumatologists), external quality assessment (EQA) programs, researchers, and manufacturers of reagents used in LA testing.

Two types of methodologies are used for the diagnosis of the antiphospholipid syndrome (APS). This guideline is limited to clot-based coagulation assays used as surrogates for identifying LA—a strong risk factor for thrombosis. The guideline will not address solid-phase testing for anti-phospholipid (aPL) (eg, anti-cardiolipin [aCL] or anti- β 2 glycoprotein I [β 2GPI]), because detection of these specific antibodies may or may not relate to the laboratory anomaly of a prolonged activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT).

2 Introduction

Identification of LA by laboratory testing is critical for investigating unexpectedly prolonged APTT values and diagnosing APS. According to recent consensus classification criteria, two conditions must be met for defining APS: 1) the **persistent** presence of circulating aPL in plasma and/or serum, and 2) a history of thrombosis and/or pregnancy morbidity including fetal loss.^{1,2} APS is an autoimmune disorder that occurs in patients who, in general, also show laboratory evidence of antibodies directed against plasma proteins that have an affinity for anionic phospholipids (PL). The dominant antigenic targets recognized by aPL in patients with APS are β 2GPI or prothrombin.³⁻⁵

The terms APS and LA are both misnomers and LA itself is a double misnomer.^{6,7} The autoantibodies associated with APS are not directed against PL in general but specifically against proteins that bind to anionic PL. LA comprises a heterogeneous group of autoantibodies that can develop in individuals with autoimmune conditions (systemic lupus erythematosus [SLE], APS, or other autoimmune disorders) or can arise spontaneously.⁸⁻¹⁰ LA can also be found transiently in plasma from patients with infections or malignancies, or from patients using certain drugs. The “anticoagulant” effect of LA is restricted to the prolongation of clotting times (competition between these antibodies and coagulation proteins for PL surfaces) in clot-based assays (ie, *in vitro*), whereas *in vivo*, these antibodies are variably associated with thrombosis.^{11,12} Persistent LA is the most important acquired risk factor for thrombosis (or its recurrence) in APS.^{8,13} LA may also cause bleeding due to immune-mediated deficiencies of coagulation factors II (FII) or X (FX) (see Section 7.1.1). Infection- or drug-induced LA tend to be transient, disappearing after the infection resolves or when the medication is discontinued. Transient LA due to infections have rarely been reported to increase thrombotic risk in association with immune-mediated protein S deficiency (see Section 7.1.1). LA due to certain medications may not be transient and can increase thrombotic risk.¹⁴ LA associated with malignancy might also resolve after the malignancy is treated.^{15,16}