

GP33

Accuracy in Patient and Specimen Identification

This standard specifies the processes required to ensure accurate patient and specimen identification in manual and electronic systems across the health care organization. Processes include system design considerations, differences in requirements for patients with or without identification bands, and provisions for patients with communication barriers.

A standard for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

Setting the standard for quality in medical laboratory testing around the world.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) is a not-for-profit membership organization that brings together the varied perspectives and expertise of the worldwide laboratory community for the advancement of a common cause: to foster excellence in laboratory medicine by developing and implementing medical laboratory standards and guidelines that help laboratories fulfill their responsibilities with efficiency, effectiveness, and global applicability.

Consensus Process

Consensus—the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties—is core to the development of all CLSI documents. It does not always connote unanimous agreement but does mean that the participants in the development of a consensus document have considered and resolved all relevant objections and accept the resulting agreement.

Commenting on Documents

CLSI documents undergo periodic evaluation and modification to keep pace with advances in technologies, procedures, methods, and protocols affecting the laboratory or health care.

CLSI's consensus process depends on experts who volunteer to serve as contributing authors and/or as participants in the reviewing and commenting process. At the end of each comment period, the committee that developed the document is obligated to review all comments, respond in writing to all substantive comments, and revise the draft document as appropriate.

Comments on published CLSI documents are equally essential and may be submitted by anyone, at any time, on any document. All comments are managed according to the consensus process by a committee of experts.

Appeal Process

When it is believed that an objection has not been adequately considered and responded to, the process for appeal, documented in the CLSI *Standards Development Policies and Processes*, is followed.

All comments and responses submitted on draft and published documents are retained on file at CLSI and are available upon request.

Get Involved—Volunteer!

Do you use CLSI documents in your workplace? Do you see room for improvement? Would you like to get involved in the revision process? Or maybe you see a need to develop a new document for an emerging technology? CLSI wants to hear from you. We are always looking for volunteers. By donating your time and talents to improve the standards that affect your own work, you will play an active role in improving public health across the globe.

For additional information on committee participation or to submit comments, contact CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

900 West Valley Road, Suite 2500

Wayne, PA 19087 USA

P: +1.610.688.0100

F: +1.610.688.0700

www.clsi.org

standard@clsi.org

Accuracy in Patient and Specimen Identification

Dennis J. Ernst, MT(ASCP), NCPT(NCCT)
Anne-Marie Martel, MT
Deirdre Astin, MS, MT(ASCP)
Thomas R. Dew
Robin Lloyd Dietz, Jr., MD
Nancy Dubrownny, MS
Andrea C. Frasier, MEd
Sheri L. Hearn, BS, MPH
Sharon Johnson
Deanna M.R. Jones, PhD

Peggy A. Mann, MS, MT(ASCP)
Anita Muise, MLT
Estelle Ninnemann, MT(ASCP)
Donna Roberts, MS
Susan S. Smith, BA
George F. Souza, BS
J. Eric Stanford, MHA, MLS(ASCP)^{CM}
Sheryl Thiessen, MT(ASCP), CLQM, BSMT, M.S.(CMLS), CLM
Cynthia D. Ward, MS, MBA

Abstract

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute standard GP33—*Accuracy in Patient and Specimen Identification* specifies the processes required to ensure accurate patient and specimen identification in manual or electronic systems across health care organizations. Processes include system design considerations, differences in requirements for patients with or without ID bands, and provisions for patients with communication barriers. Guidance on bar-code system implementation and user training is included. Validation of patient identification systems or programs and ongoing monitoring as a quality measure are also covered. This standard is intended for providers and health care personnel who collect and label diagnostic samples and who design, select, implement, monitor, and/or evaluate patient and specimen identification systems.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Accuracy in Patient and Specimen Identification*. 2nd ed. CLSI standard GP33 (ISBN 978-1-68440-039-3 [Print]; ISBN 978-1-68440-040-9 [Electronic]). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087 USA, 2019.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at www.clsi.org.

If you or your organization is not a member and would like to become one, or to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at:

P: +1.610.688.0100 **F:** +1.610.688.0700 **E:** customerservice@clsi.org **W:** www.clsi.org

Copyright ©2019 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, any reproduction of content from a CLSI copyrighted standard, guideline, derivative product, or other material requires express written consent from CLSI. All rights reserved. Interested parties may send permission requests to permissions@clsi.org.

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedures manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, e-mail permissions@clsi.org.

Suggested Citation

CLSI. *Accuracy in Patient and Specimen Identification*. 2nd ed. CLSI standard GP33. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2019.

Previous Editions:

May 2009, March 2010

ISBN 978-1-60844-039-3 (Print)

ISBN 978-1-68440-040-9 (Electronic)

ISSN 1558-6502 (Print)

ISSN 2162-2914 (Electronic)

Volume 39, Number 5

Committee Membership

Consensus Council

**Dennis J. Ernst, MT(ASCP),
NCPT(NCCT)
Chairholder
Center for Phlebotomy Education
USA**

Thomas R. Fritsche, MD, PhD, FCAP,
FIDSA
Marshfield Clinic
USA

Andrew Quintenz
Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.
USA

**Mary Lou Gantzer, PhD, FACB
Vice-Chairholder
USA**

Loralie J. Langman, PhD, DABCC,
FACB, F-ABFT
Mayo Clinic
USA

Robert Rej, PhD
New York State Department
of Health – Wadsworth Center
USA

Julia H. Appleton, MT(ASCP), MBA
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid
Services
USA

Tania Motschman, MS, MT(ASCP)SBB
Laboratory Corporation of America
USA

Zivana Tezak, PhD
FDA Center for Devices and
Radiological Health
USA

J. Rex Astles, PhD, FACB, DABCC
Centers for Disease Control and
Prevention
USA

James R. Petisce, PhD
BD Diagnostic Systems
USA

Document Development Committee on Patient Identification

**Dennis J. Ernst, MT(ASCP), NCPT(NCCT)
Chairholder
Center for Phlebotomy Education
USA**

Thomas R. Dew
Taylor Healthcare
USA

Estelle Ninnemann, MT(ASCP)
ACL Laboratories
USA

**Anne-Marie Martel, MT
Vice-Chairholder
Ordre professionnel des
technologistes médicaux du Québec
Canada**

Shirley L. Hearn, BS, MPH
Oregon State Public Health Laboratory
USA

George F. Souza, BS
Massachusetts General Hospital
USA

Deirdre Astin, MS, MT(ASCP)
New York State Department of Health
USA

Sharon Johnson
Mayo Clinic
USA

Sheryl Thiessen, MT(ASCP), CLQM,
BSMT, MLS(CSMLS), CLM
BC's Agency for Pathology and
Laboratory Medicine
Canada

Peggy A. Mann, MS, MT(ASCP)
The University of Texas Medical
Branch
USA

Staff

Clinical and Laboratory Standards
Institute
USA

Megan L. Tertel, MA, ELS
Editorial Manager

Kristy L. Leirer, MS
Editor

Jennifer K. Adams, MT(ASCP), MSHA
Project Manager

Catherine E.M. Jenkins
Editor

Laura Martin
Editor

Acknowledgment for the Expert Panel on Preexamination Processes

CLSI, the Consensus Council, and the Document Development Committee on Patient Identification gratefully acknowledge the Expert Panel on Preexamination Processes for serving as technical advisors and subject matter experts during the development of this standard.

Expert Panel on Preexamination Processes

Anne-Marie Martel, MT
Chairholder
Ordre professionnel des
technologistes médicaux du Québec
Canada

Judith Dixon, MS, MT(ASCP), BS
 COLA
 USA

Estelle Ninneman, MT(ASCP)
 ACL Laboratories
 USA

Michelle McLean, MS, MT(ASCP), BS
Vice-Chairholder
Greiner Bio-One
USA

Daniel Hesselgesser, MT(ASCP)
 Centers for Medicare & Medicaid
 Services
 USA

Sheryl Thiessen, MT(ASCP), CLQM,
 BSMT, MLS(CSMLS), CLM
 BC's Agency for Pathology and
 Laboratory Medicine
 Canada

Aparna Jha Ahuja, MD
 BD Life Sciences – Preanalytical
 Systems
 USA

Sharon Johnson
 Mayo Clinic
 USA

Elizabeth A. Wagar, MD
 University of Texas, MD Anderson
 Cancer Center
 USA

Denise R. Cervelli, BA, BS, MT(ASCP)
 Siemens Healthineers
 USA

Nehal Mehta, MS
 Roche Diagnostics Asia Pacific
 Singapore

Acknowledgment

CLSI, the Consensus Council, and the Document Development Committee on Patient Identification gratefully acknowledge the following volunteers for their important contributions to the development of this standard:

Robin Lloyd Dietz, Jr., MD
 USA

Barbara M.R. Jones, PhD
 Centers for Disease Control and
 Prevention
 USA

Susan S. Smith, BA
 Sarstedt, Inc.
 USA

Nancy Dubrowny, MS
 BD Preanalytical Systems
 USA

Anita Muise, MLT
 Nova Scotia Health Authority
 Canada

J. Eric Stanford, MHA, MLS(ASCP)^{CM}
 Vanderbilt University Medical Center
 USA

Kimberly Jo Flexner, MT(AMT)
 Sarah Bush Lincoln Health Center
 USA

Donna Roberts, MS
 Baylor Scott and White Health
 USA

Cynthia D. Ward, MS, MBA
 Centers for Disease Control and
 Prevention
 USA

Angela C. Frasier, MEd
 Department of Veterans Affairs
 USA

Contents

Abstract	i
Committee Membership	iii
Foreword	vii
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Scope	1
1.2 Background	2
1.3 Standard Precautions	3
1.4 Terminology	3
Chapter 2: Path of Workflow	7
Chapter 3: Patient Identification Process	9
3.1 Request Is Generated and Received	10
3.2 Patient Is Registered	11
3.3 Patient Identification and Examination Request Are Verified at the Time of Collection	13
Chapter 4: Specimen Identification Process	15
4.1 Specimen Is Labeled	16
4.2 Specimen Identification Is Confirmed	18
Chapter 5: Specimen Identification Is Maintained	19
5.1 Specimen Identification Is Maintained During Preexamination	20
5.2 Specimen Identification Is Maintained During Examination	22
5.3 Specimen Identification Is Maintained After Examination	24
Chapter 6: Special Considerations	25
6.1 Misidentified, Incompletely Identified, or Unidentified Specimens	26
6.2 Referral Laboratory Specimens	27
6.3 Self-Collected Specimens	27
6.4 Nonclinical Specimens	28
6.5 Anatomic Pathology Specimens	30
Chapter 7: Patient and Specimen Identification for Point-of-Care Testing	31
7.1 Preexamination	32
7.2 Examination	32
7.3 Postexamination	33

Contents (Continued)

Chapter 8: Quality System Essentials	35
8.1 Equipment	36
8.2 Process Management	38
8.3 Information Management	38
8.4 Nonconforming Event Management	39
8.5 Assessments	43
8.6 Continual Improvement	44
Chapter 9: Conclusion	47
Chapter 10: Supplemental Information	49
References	50
Appendix A. Common Problems Encountered With Blood Collection Tube Labels	53
Appendix B. Example of an Attestation Form for Identification of Noncollectable Specimens	54
Appendix C. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis of Possible Patient or Specimen Identification Errors Using a Patient Identification System	56
The Quality Management System Approach	58
Related CLSI Reference Materials	60

Foreword

Of all preexamination processes, improperly identifying patients and incorrectly labeling diagnostic specimens have the most potential to result in catastrophic consequences. This standard establishes procedures that prevent such errors and protect patients against medical mistakes that can profoundly affect the care they receive. Although regulatory and accreditation organizations require policies, processes, and procedures to ensure positive identification throughout the laboratory's path of workflow, errors occur frequently. Results reported on the wrong patient have the potential to cause significant harm not only to the misidentified patient but to the patient whose health care decisions are guided by results from the misidentified specimen. Because the risk of harm to both patients is high, laboratories must establish strict policies on patient and specimen ID errors to manage risk and heighten personnel awareness of process errors that lead to patient ID and specimen labeling errors.

This standard contains information related to the quality system essentials (QSEs) described in CLSI document QMS01.¹ The QSE sections in this standard discuss implementing bar-code and radio frequency ID technology, biometrics, managing nonconforming events, and conducting patient and specimen ID audits. Users of this standard are encouraged to comment on the provisions established herein to help make future revisions more applicable, comprehensive, and efficacious.

Overview of Changes

This standard replaces the previous edition of the approved guideline, GP33-A, published in 2010. Several changes were made in this edition, including:

- Reclassified as a standard
- Reformatted with process flow charts and QSEs
- Established more-stringent requirements for identifying patients and labeling specimens
- Expanded Special Considerations chapter
- Added comprehensive label specification and placement guidance
- Included a subsection on labeling anatomic pathology specimens

NOTE: The content of this standard is supported by the CLSI consensus process and does not necessarily reflect the views of any single individual or organization.

KEY WORDS

ID band

Identification errors

Label

Labeling errors

Misidentification

Mislabeling

Patient identification

Sample

Sample labeling

Specimen

Chapter 1

Introduction

This chapter includes:

- Standard's scope
- Background information pertinent to the standard's content
- Standard precautions information
- "Note on Terminology" that highlights particular use and/or variation in use of terms and/or definitions
- Terms and definitions used in the standard
- Abbreviations and acronyms used in the standard



Accuracy in Patient and Specimen Identification

1 Introduction

1.1 Scope

This standard discusses the critical need for accuracy in patient and specimen ID, the process required to maintain accurate patient and specimen ID throughout the preexamination, examination, and postexamination phases, and the verification of patient ID systems. It is intended for all providers and health care professionals who collect, label, and process biological specimens for laboratory testing, including blood and nonblood specimens, and who train others to do so. It is also meant to serve as a resource for those who develop patient ID systems, procedures, and practices, manage ID and labeling processes and specimen-collection personnel, and perform internal assessments.

In addition to ensuring accuracy in patient and specimen ID, this standard also seeks to harmonize patient and specimen ID processes throughout the health care industry wherever diagnostic blood and nonblood specimens are collected and identified. This standard serves as the overarching document to which all CLSI documents defer when discussing patient and specimen ID.

1.2 Background

Despite advances in health care technology, medical mistakes from patient and specimen misidentification continue to occur. Between 2007 and 2015, the use of bar-code systems in health care environments increased from 8% to 38%, yet the rate of “wrong blood in tube” did not decrease.² The consequences to the patient of not standardizing ID procedures include over- and undermedication, misdiagnosis, incorrect treatment, failure to treat an existing condition, unnecessary surgery, injury, disability, and death.^{3,4} Consider these statistics:

- Eleven percent of all transfusion deaths occur because the health care professional did not properly identify the patient or mislabeled the tube of blood.⁵
- One hundred sixty thousand adverse patient events occur each year in the United States because of patient or specimen ID errors involving the laboratory.²
- Up to 1% of collection tubes are mislabeled.^{3,6}
- 7.4% of patient ID bands are missing or contain erroneous information.⁷