

Archived Document

This archived document is no longer being reviewed through the CLSI Consensus Document Development Process. However, this document is technically valid as of June 2018. Because of its value to the laboratory community, it is being retained in CLSI's library.



January 2012

EP29-A

Expression of Measurement Uncertainty in Laboratory Medicine; Approved Guideline

This guideline describes a practical approach to assist clinical laboratories in developing and calculating useful estimates of measurement uncertainty, and illustrates their application in maintaining and improving the quality of measured values used in patient care.

A guideline for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

Setting the standard for quality in medical laboratory testing around the world.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) is a not-for-profit membership organization that brings together the varied perspectives and expertise of the worldwide laboratory community for the advancement of a common cause: to foster excellence in laboratory medicine by developing and implementing medical laboratory standards and guidelines that help laboratories fulfill their responsibilities with efficiency, effectiveness, and global applicability.

Consensus Process

Consensus—the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties—is core to the development of all CLSI documents. It does not always connote unanimous agreement, but does mean that the participants in the development of a consensus document have considered and resolved all relevant objections and accept the resulting agreement.

Commenting on Documents

CLSI documents undergo periodic evaluation and modification to keep pace with advancements in technologies, procedures, methods, and protocols affecting the laboratory or health care.

CLSI's consensus process depends on experts who volunteer to serve as contributing authors and/or as participants in the reviewing and commenting process. At the end of each comment period, the committee that developed the document is obligated to review all comments, respond in writing to all substantive comments, and revise the draft document as appropriate.

Comments on published CLSI documents are equally essential, and may be submitted by anyone, at any time, on any document. All comments are managed according to the consensus process by a committee of experts.

Appeals Process

When it is believed that an objection has not been adequately considered and responded to, the process for appeals, documented in the CLSI Standards Development Policies and Processes, is followed.

All comments and responses submitted on draft and published documents are retained on file at CLSI and are available upon request.

Get Involved—Volunteer!

Do you use CLSI documents in your workplace? Do you see room for improvement? Would you like to get involved in the revision process? Or maybe you see a need to develop a new document for an emerging technology? CLSI wants to hear from you. We are always looking for volunteers. By donating your time and talents to improve the standards that affect your own work, you will play an active role in improving public health across the globe.

For additional information on committee participation or to submit comments, contact CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

500 West Valley Road, Suite 2500

Wayne, PA 19087 USA

T: +1.610.688.0100

F: +1.610.688.0700

www.clsi.org

standard@clsi.org

ISBN 1-56238-787-1 (Print)
ISBN 1-56238-788-X (Electronic)
ISSN 1558-6502 (Print)
ISSN 2162-2914 (Electronic)

EP29-A
Vol. 32 No. 4
Formerly C51-A
Vol. 32 No. 4

Expression of Measurement Uncertainty in Laboratory Medicine; Approved Guideline

Volume 32 Number 4

Anders Kallner, MD, PhD
James C. Boyd, MD
David L. Duewer, PhD
Claude Giroud, PhD
Aristides T. Hatjimihail, MD, PhD
George G. Klee, MD, PhD

Stanley F. Lo, PhD, DABCC, FACP
Gene Pennello, PhD
David Sogin, PhD
Daniel W. Tholen, MS
Blaza Toman, PhD
Graham H. White, PhD

Abstract

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute document EP29-A—*Expression of Measurement Uncertainty in Laboratory Medicine; Approved Guideline* describes the principles of estimating measurement uncertainty and provides guidance to clinical laboratories and *in vitro* diagnostic device manufacturers on the specific issues to be considered for implementation of the concept in laboratory medicine. This document illustrates the assessment of measurement uncertainty with both bottom-up and top-down approaches. The bottom-up approach suggests that all possible sources of uncertainty are identified and quantified in an uncertainty budget. A combined uncertainty is calculated using statistical propagation rules. The top-down approach directly estimates the measurement uncertainty results produced by a measuring system. Methods to estimate the imprecision and bias are presented theoretically and in worked examples.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Expression of Measurement Uncertainty in Laboratory Medicine; Approved Guideline*. CLSI document EP29-A (ISBN 1-56238-787-1 [Print]; ISBN 1-56238-788-X [Electronic]). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087 USA, 2012.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at www.clsi.org. If your organization is not a member and would like to become one, and to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at: Telephone: 610.688.0100; Fax: 610.688.0700; E-Mail: customerservice@cls.org; Website: www.clsi.org.



CLINICAL AND
LABORATORY
STANDARDS
INSTITUTE®



International Federation
of Clinical Chemistry
and Laboratory Medicine

Copyright ©2012 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, any reproduction of content from a CLSI copyrighted standard, guideline, companion product, or other material requires express written consent from CLSI. All rights reserved. Interested parties may send permission requests to permissions@clsi.org.

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedure manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, e-mail permissions@clsi.org.

Suggested Citation

CLSI. *Expression of Measurement Uncertainty in Laboratory Medicine; Approved Guideline*. CLSI document EP29-A. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2012.

Previous Edition:

December 2010

Archived:

June 2018

ISBN 1-56238-787-1 (Print)
ISBN 1-56238-788-X (Electronic)
ISSN 1558-6502 (Print)
ISSN 2162-2914 (Electronic)

Committee Membership

Consensus Committee on Clinical Chemistry and Toxicology

David G. Grenache, PhD,
DABCC, FACB
Chairholder
University of Utah, ARUP
Laboratories
Salt Lake City, Utah, USA

Loralie J. Langman, PhD
Vice-Chairholder
Mayo Clinic
Rochester, Minnesota, USA

Julianne Cook Botelho, PhD
 Centers for Disease Control and
 Prevention
 Atlanta, Georgia, USA

Yung W. Chan, MT(ASCP)
 FDA Center for Devices and
 Radiological Health
 Rockville, Maryland, USA

Corinne R. Fantz, PhD, DABCC
 Emory University
 Atlanta, Georgia, USA

T. Scott Isbell, PhD, DABCC,
 FACB
 Nova Biomedical Corporation
 Chicago, Illinois, USA

Jessie Shih, PhD
 Abbott
 Abbott Park, Illinois, USA

Graham H. White, PhD
 Flinders Medical Centre
 Bedford Park, South Australia

Jack Zakowski, PhD, FACB
 Beckman Coulter
 Brea, California, USA

Document Development Committee on Expression of Measurement Uncertainty in Laboratory Medicine

Anders Kallner, MD, PhD
Chairholder
Karolinska Hospital
Stockholm, Sweden

James C. Boyd, MD
 UVA Health System
 Charlottesville, Virginia, USA

David L. Duewer, PhD
 National Institute of Standards and
 Technology
 Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA

Claude Giroud, PhD
 Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.
 Marnes-la-Coquette, France

Stanley F. Lo, PhD, DABCC,
 FACB
 Children's Hospital of Wisconsin
 Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA

Gene Pennello, PhD
 FDA Center for Devices and
 Radiological Health
 Silver Spring, Maryland, USA

Daniel W. Tholen, MS
 American Association for
 Laboratory Accreditation
 Traverse City, Michigan, USA

Graham H. White, PhD
 Flinders Medical Centre
 South Australia, Australia

Staff
 Clinical and Laboratory Standards
 Institute
 Wayne, Pennsylvania, USA

Luann Ochs, MS
*Vice President, Standards
 Development*

Ron S. Quicho
Staff Liaison

Patrice Polgar, BA
Project Manager

Megan P. Larrisey, MA
Editor

Ryan J. Torres, BA
Assistant Editor

Acknowledgments

This guideline was prepared by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) as part of a cooperative effort with the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine (IFCC) to work toward the advancement and dissemination of laboratory standards on a worldwide basis. CLSI gratefully acknowledges the participation of IFCC expert Graham H. White, PhD, on this project.

CLSI, the Consensus Committee on Clinical Chemistry and Toxicology, and the Document Development Committee on Expression of Measurement Uncertainty in Laboratory Medicine gratefully acknowledge the following individuals for important contributions made during the development of this document:

Aristides Hatjimihail, MD, PhD
Hellenic Complex Systems Laboratory
Drama, Greece

George G. Klee, MD, PhD
Mayo Clinic
Rochester, Minnesota, USA

David Sogin, PhD
Highland Park, Illinois, USA

Blaza Toman, PhD
National Institute of Standards and Technology
Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA

Acknowledgment in Memoriam of Richard R. Miller Jr.

CLSI, the Consensus Committee on Clinical Chemistry and Toxicology, and the Document Development Committee on Expression of Measurement Uncertainty in Laboratory Medicine also wish to recognize the contributions of Richard R. Miller, Jr., champion of measurement excellence within the clinical laboratory communities. Rick was instrumental in the development of this document and served as subcommittee chairholder until his untimely passing in July 2007. Rick's clear vision, deep wisdom, gentle wit, and above all his spirit of collegiality guided the document's evolution and inspired the document development committee's efforts to bring it to fruition.

Contents

Abstract.....	i
Committee Membership.....	iii
Foreword.....	vii
1 Scope.....	1
2 Introduction.....	1
3 Terminology.....	2
3.1 A Note on Terminology.....	2
3.2 Metrological Concepts and Terms as Applied in Laboratory Medicine.....	3
3.3 Definitions of Concepts and Terms Used in This Document.....	4
3.4 Abbreviations and Acronyms.....	11
3.5 Symbols.....	12
3.6 Summary Statistics.....	12
4 Strategies to Estimate Measurement Uncertainty.....	17
4.1 Potential Sources of Measurement Uncertainty.....	18
4.2 Use of Readily Available Data.....	18
4.3 Combining Random and Systematic Measurement Errors: Two Approaches.....	19
5 Overview of Measurement Uncertainty.....	21
5.1 Introduction to Terminology of Measurement Uncertainty.....	21
6 Bottom-up Uncertainty Estimation.....	22
6.1 Sources of Uncertainty.....	22
6.2 Uncertainty Budget.....	24
6.3 Quantification of Uncertainties.....	24
6.4 Measurement Function and Estimation of the Combined Standard Uncertainty.....	25
6.5 Combining Measurement Uncertainty With Uncertainties From Other Sources.....	28
7 Top-Down Approach to Estimation of Measurement Uncertainty.....	28
7.1 General.....	28
7.2 Assessment of Measurement Uncertainty Using Internal Quality Control Data.....	29
7.3 Analysis of Variance—Variance Components.....	29
7.4 Uncertainty Profiles.....	31
7.5 Use of Results From Interlaboratory Comparisons.....	32
7.6 Unsatisfactory Results.....	33
8 Bias Assessment.....	33
8.1 Bias.....	33
8.2 Bias Correction.....	33
8.3 Estimating the Uncertainty of the Bias Correction.....	34
Uses of Uncertainty Estimates.....	37
9.1 Reporting Measurement Results and Their Uncertainties.....	37
9.2 Number of Significant Digits.....	38
9.3 Clinical Use of Measurement Uncertainty Estimates.....	38
10 Summary.....	44
References.....	46

Contents (Continued)

Additional References.....49

Appendix A. Transformation of Type B Limit Specifications50

Appendix B. Uncertainty Estimates From Routine Quality Control Results.....53

The Quality Management System Approach56

Related CLSI Reference Materials57

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Foreword

When measurements are repeated, some variation of the results will be observed due to random variation of the measurement conditions. The differences will be noticeable if the sensitivity and resolution of the measuring system is sufficient. Therefore, for measurement results to be useful, such result variability (uncertainty) needs to be quantified so that those performing measurements and those receiving results have an objective estimate of the quality (reliability) of the results produced. Quantification of the variability of measurement results also allows a result to be meaningfully compared with the results of other similar measurements that may have been made at different times using the same measurement system. The concept of measurement uncertainty provides a theoretical and practical framework for objectively estimating the reliability of results produced by any given measurement system.

Knowledge of the sources of uncertainty and their relative magnitude may also provide opportunities for modifying a measurement system to improve the quality of results. Uncertainty estimates at various analyte concentrations also contribute to determining uncertainty profiles, which can be important in defining the measuring interval of measurement systems to ensure that the quality of results issued meets clinical requirements.

This document describes the principles of estimating measurement uncertainty and gives guidance on the specific issues to be considered for implementation of the concept in laboratory medicine. The concept of measurement uncertainty and its use in measuring quantities in laboratory medicine is provided for clinical laboratories and *in vitro* diagnostic device manufacturers.

Key Words

Bias, bottom-up, measurement uncertainty, precision, top-down, trueness

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Expression of Measurement Uncertainty in Laboratory Medicine; Approved Guideline

1 Scope

This guideline explains the concept, estimation, and application of measurement uncertainty in the field of clinical laboratory medicine. The recommendations provided are consistent with the *Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement* (GUM)¹ and with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) standards concerned with laboratory accreditation.^{2,3}

This guideline briefly discusses, but does not fully address, the following nonmeasurement sources of uncertainty of a measurement result:

- Biological variation of the measurand
- Pre- and postmeasurement processes

The guideline discusses the definition of what is intended to be measured, lists sources of measurement uncertainty, describes the generation of statistical estimates of uncertainties and their combination, and discusses the use of uncertainty estimates. The guideline applies only to quantitative measurements. In measurement procedures that are reported in qualitative terms based on a quantitative measurement, the uncertainty at the threshold(s) for a qualitative interpretation should be considered when making the qualitative assessment.

This guideline is intended for clinical laboratories and *in vitro* diagnostic (IVD) device manufacturers.

2 Introduction

Regardless of method, repeated measurements produce different results due to inherent variations within a sufficiently sensitive measurement procedure. Some knowledge of the result variability expected from a given measurement system is required if results are to be meaningfully compared with previous results from the same patient or important clinical decision limits. In addition, evaluation and elimination of bias in a measuring system relative to the relevant reference material or reference procedure is essential if results from different laboratories using the same or different measuring systems are to be compared for the same patient.

Characterization of the variability of repeated measurement results and identification of the factors that contributed to that variability can provide useful insights into the reliability of results and potential means for improvement. Existing quality control (QC) and method verification data can be used to define the performance characteristics of routine measuring systems. This document provides guidance on how measurement uncertainty can be estimated and used in the field of laboratory medicine. The principles for expression of measurement uncertainty provided in this document illustrate how the components of measurement uncertainty can be combined to help estimate the performance characteristics that can be reliably achieved by the measuring system.

The objectives of this document are to:

- Familiarize the reader with the concept of measurement uncertainty.
- Describe the processes of implementing the concept of measurement uncertainty in laboratory medicine.