



BSI Standards Publication

Human resource management — Organizational culture metrics cluster

National foreword

This Published Document is the UK implementation of ISO/TS 24178:2021.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee HCS/1/2, International Human Resource Management.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its committee manager.

Contractual and legal considerations

This publication has been prepared in good faith, however no representation, warranty, assurance or undertaking (express or implied) is or will be made, and no responsibility or liability is or will be accepted by BSI in relation to the adequacy, accuracy, completeness or reasonableness of this publication. All and any such responsibility and liability is expressly disclaimed to the full extent permitted by the law.

This publication is provided as is, and is to be used at the recipient's own risk.

The recipient is advised to consider seeking professional guidance with respect to its use of this publication.

This publication is not intended to constitute a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© The British Standards Institution 2021
Published by BSI Standards Limited 2021

ISBN 978 0 539 074 0 2

ICS 03.100.70

Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This Published Document was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 31 March 2021.

Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected
------	---------------

TECHNICAL
SPECIFICATION

ISO/TS
24178

First edition
2021-03-23

**Human resource management —
Organizational culture metrics cluster**

*Management des ressources humaines — Indicateurs de mesure de la
culture d'entreprise*



Reference number
ISO/TS 24178:2021(E)



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2021. Published in Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Ch. de Blandonnet 8 • CP 401
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland
Tel. +41 22 749 01 11
Fax +41 22 749 09 47
copyright@iso.org
www.iso.org

Contents

Page

Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Engagement, satisfaction and commitment metric	1
4.1 General.....	1
4.2 Purpose.....	2
4.3 Formula.....	2
4.3.1 What to measure.....	2
4.3.2 Choice of tools.....	3
4.3.3 Choice of questions.....	3
4.4 Contextual factors.....	5
4.5 Predictive factors.....	5
5 Retention rate metric	5
5.1 General.....	5
5.2 Purpose.....	5
5.3 Formula and analytics.....	6
5.3.1 General.....	6
5.3.2 Culture and data collection grouping.....	6
5.3.3 Culture and causal analysis.....	6
5.4 Contextual factors.....	7
5.5 Predictive factors.....	7
Annex A (informative) Basic corporate culture survey (maturity stages 1 and 2)	9
Annex B (informative) Developing corporate culture statements in a mature organization (maturity stages 3 and 4)	12
Annex C (informative) Culture and HR metrics	13
Bibliography	15

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 260, *Human resource management*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

ISO 30414 highlights guidelines on the following core human capital reporting areas or “clusters”:

- compliance and ethics;
- costs;
- diversity;
- leadership;
- organizational culture;
- organizational health, safety and well-being;
- productivity;
- recruitment, mobility and turnover;
- skills and capabilities;
- succession planning;
- workforce availability.

This document deals specifically with the cluster of metrics in the organizational culture area.

An organization's workforce includes permanent employees, casuals, contingent workers and all workers who fall within the reporting organization's governance and leadership structure. Where possible and practical, reporting and metrics should include the breakdown by type of worker. There should be both aggregated measures that assess the overall assessment of culture across the whole workforce and breakdowns that link metrics to factors that can be acted upon to influence changes in culture. For example, outsourced workers can operate within a different leadership or governance structure yet have an influence on the overall performance of one or more different business units.

All such people are contributing components of overall human capital yet can also be part of a supplier, distributor or other third-party contracted organization. Assessment of culture in this situation can be assessed as part of supply chain or supplier performance evaluations or both. Such reporting of culture falls outside the scope of this document.

Each organization should document the worker categories that make up the workforce pertinent to its activity and ensure that definition is reviewed and updated regularly. For example, worker categories can include employees and casuals for a period then additional contractors or outsourced labour will possibly be required. This would necessitate changes to the definition, metric and reporting of these worker categories.

Breakdowns and analysis of data can include:

- subsidiary, division, department or cost centre hierarchy;
- geographic location;
- workforce category or grouping;
- position or level (e.g. management, supervisory, front line);
- function (e.g. technical specialization);
- tenure or length of service;
- values or culture orientation at time of assessment.

Organizations need to understand the drivers of a committed and engaged workforce and ensure that the metrics and groupings selected can be linked to a causal relationship with factors that can be addressed to change current performance. Some examples include:

- lack of clearly defined organisational purpose can create conflicting priorities;
- lack of clearly defined or understood values can cause inconsistent behaviour;
- inconsistent supervisory direction can cause lower engagement;
- inadequate hiring practices can introduce employees who do not share organizational values;
- poor departmental safety records can impact employee retention.

The metrics that indicate key aspects of corporate culture are defined in ISO 30414 as follows:

- engagement, satisfaction and commitment;
- retention rate.

This document describes the following components for each of the above metrics:

- general description;
- purpose;
- formula;
- contextual factors;
- predictive factors.

Metrics relative to organizational culture can be considered an ongoing and evolving area and organizations should strive to continually develop their understanding of their culture and link metrics within the culture cluster to key components that drive the overall level of a high-performance culture.

Organizational culture is a reflection of the way an organization operates and deals with the creation of a work environment that defines, supports and creates the expected behaviours of the workforce. The outcome of an effective culture is a workforce that encourages engagement, involvement, commitment, innovation and those attributes that support the corporate vision and purpose.

Human resource management — Organizational culture metrics cluster

1 Scope

This document describes the elements of organizational culture and provides the formula for comparable measures for internal and external reporting.

This document also highlights issues that need to be considered when interpreting the organizational culture data, especially when deciding on appropriate interventions internally and when reporting these to external stakeholders (e.g. regulators, investors).

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 30400:2016, *Human resource management — Vocabulary*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 30400 apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>

4 Engagement, satisfaction and commitment metric

4.1 General

Engagement, satisfaction and commitment are part of the terminology for assessing the degree to which human capital in an organization is operating at the optimum potential. An important outcome of the environment which is created within an organization by many contributing factors should be a high level of alignment between people's actions and desired organizational outcomes. Factors influencing an effective culture include the organization's vision, values, norms, behaviours, beliefs, habits, rewards, recognition and feedback and employee engagement.

National, regional, local and organizational cultures are often unique, and terminology can differ. Those developing metrics in this area should ensure that the data collection and measurement approaches being used reflect these differences while creating relevant feedback on the alignment between human capital and organizational purpose, strategy and values.

ISO 30414:2018, 4.7.6, 1), defines an “engaged employee” as an individual who is fully absorbed by and enthusiastic about his or her work and takes positive action to further the organization's reputation and interests. Engaged employees are in many cases also enthusiastic about opportunities for development, collaboration and progression.

ISO 10018 indicates that engagement occurs when people are fully engaged in the activities of the organization and experience more personal fulfilment. The organization consequently conducts its