



BSI Standards Publication

## Account-based ticketing state of the art report

---

## National foreword

This Published Document is the UK implementation of ISO/TR 20526:2017.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee EPL/278, Intelligent transport systems.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its secretary.

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© The British Standards Institution 2017  
Published by BSI Standards Limited 2017

ISBN 978 0 580 92968 7

ICS 35.240.60; 03.220.01

**Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.**

This Published Document was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 30 September 2017.

### Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected
------	---------------

---

TECHNICAL  
REPORT

**ISO/TR**  
**20526**

First edition  
2017-07

---

---

**Account-based ticketing state of the  
art report**

*Rapport de l'état de la technique concernant la billetterie centrée sur  
le compte usager*



Reference number  
ISO/TR 20526:2017(E)

© ISO 2017



**COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO 2017. Published in Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office  
Ch. de Blandonnet 8 • CP 401  
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland  
Tel. +41 22 749 01 11  
Fax +41 22 749 09 47  
copyright@iso.org  
www.iso.org

# Contents

	Page
Foreword.....	v
Introduction.....	vi
<b>1 Scope.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Normative references.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3 Terms and definitions.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>4 Conformance.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>5 Symbols and abbreviated terms.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>6 How does account-based ticketing work.....</b>	<b>3</b>
6.1 Business roles.....	3
6.1.1 Customer.....	3
6.1.2 Media Provider.....	4
6.1.3 Identity Provider.....	4
6.1.4 Service Operator.....	4
6.1.5 Product Owner.....	5
6.1.6 Account Provider.....	5
6.1.7 Payment Provider.....	6
<b>7 Impact of account-based ticketing.....</b>	<b>6</b>
7.1 Benefits of account-based ticketing.....	6
7.1.1 General.....	6
7.1.2 Issuing media cost reduction.....	6
7.1.3 Equipment validation simplification.....	7
7.1.4 Business rule seamless update.....	7
7.1.5 Instant product management.....	7
7.1.6 No media/back office reconciliation.....	7
7.1.7 More flexible customer management.....	8
7.1.8 Improved customer service.....	8
7.1.9 Simpler interoperability.....	8
7.1.10 Faster time to market for new technology evolution.....	8
7.2 Disadvantages of account-based ticketing.....	8
7.2.1 General.....	8
7.2.2 Keeping the front-office equipment connected to the back office.....	8
7.2.3 Trading transactions upload as business critical.....	9
7.2.4 Maximizing transaction speed.....	9
7.2.5 Supporting multiple technologies within the front office equipment.....	9
7.2.6 Making AFC back office able to support third-party technology and authentication.....	9
7.2.7 Performing control on read-only media.....	9
7.2.8 Building and maintaining customers' confidence.....	9
<b>8 What are the significant features of account-based ticketing?.....</b>	<b>10</b>
8.1 Revenue protection and journey recording.....	10
8.1.1 Purpose of recording journeys.....	10
8.1.2 Common approaches and typical data flows.....	10
8.1.3 Functional operations at infrastructure to record journeys.....	11
8.1.4 Controlling fraud.....	11
8.1.5 Implications for inspection.....	11
8.1.6 List management.....	12
8.1.7 Use of media-based data storage other than the token ID.....	13
8.2 Data privacy.....	13
8.3 Options for travel tokens and management of multiple token credentials.....	14
8.3.1 Background.....	14
8.3.2 Work to be done.....	15

8.4	Management of customer accounts with multiple tokens .....	16
8.4.1	General.....	16
8.4.2	Media technologies.....	16
8.4.3	Impacts of using third party-issued media .....	17
8.4.4	Implications for fraudulent usage.....	18
8.5	Migration to ABT or server-centric schemes.....	18
8.6	Integration of urban and long-distance ABT.....	18
8.7	Interoperable ABT systems.....	20
8.7.1	Interoperability issues.....	20
8.7.2	Hub-based interoperable ABT system.....	20
8.8	Considerations for payment providers.....	21
<b>Bibliography</b> .....		<b>23</b>

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see [www.iso.org/directives](http://www.iso.org/directives)).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see [www.iso.org/patents](http://www.iso.org/patents)).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: [www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html](http://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html).

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 204, *Intelligent transport systems*.

## Introduction

Account-based ticketing (ABT) is a subject of wide interest. It is used and is being considered for use by many transport operators and authorities across the world. The system supplier market is international. There may be benefits to transport operators and authorities from some element of international standardization. There may also be benefits from some overall international coordination, for example, with regard to reference data.

ABT is a method of ticketing where the proof of entitlement to travel and any records of travel are held in an ABT back office and not in any physical media held by the passenger. ABT is also known as server-based ticketing or Security in System. ABT can operate in both an online and offline world using risk-managed revenue protection techniques as appropriate.

ABT is widely used for long-distance ticketing such as coach, rail and airlines and there are field deployments of ABT systems in urban ticketing associated, for example, with usage-based, cost-value tariffs. Although an account is always technically required, entirely anonymous travel is possible and accounts do not need to persist after travel, save for fiscal reasons.

### Concepts for implementation of ABT

There are several concepts for the implementation of ABT which have quite different characteristics and value propositions for the public transport operator. The following examples demonstrate this variety.

#### a) Token authentication by the reader

There are several field deployments of classical interoperator fare management systems (IFMS) systems where the customer's fare media is used as authenticator/token but not for storing fare products. In these known cases, the authentication is done by the readers which have to be equipped with the credentials needed to perform the token authentication. The reader will need then to connect to the account server or to hold a list of authorized accounts before validating access to the user. The implementation follows the role model as given in ISO 24014-1. The customer's account is hosted by the product retailer, who is the only financial interface between the customer and the other roles in ISO 24014's model. In this concept, the payment provider is just a subordinate role to the product retailer and has no relevant influence on the processes and technologies of the fare management system.

**Strengths:** These systems are also able to perform the authentication also if the reader is offline. The security level may support high-value products and the vulnerability to denial of service attacks is low.

**Weaknesses:** These concepts support typically the fare media which are explicitly released by the system owner. Use of third-party media [offering the passenger a bring-your-own-device (BYOD) facility] may require integration of the authentication methods defined by the third-party media or application issuer.

#### b) Token authentication by the account server

This concept is known from access or ticketing systems where a high-performance online connection to the account server is provided. The authentication of the token is performed directly between online server and media. The reader is just transparent or not even necessary if the media is equipped with an online connection like in the case of a mobile phone. The systems can be established based on the ISO 24014-1 role model as described in Concept 1.

**Strengths:** The concept is very cost efficient and flexible because security functions and credentials are only necessary in the central online server. This reduces cost for the reader infrastructure dramatically and provides the flexibility for the introduction of new types of media. If this concept is combined with the use of asymmetric cryptography (in order to avoid the need to distribute cryptographic secrets to external media providers), the introduction of third-party media is a practical option.

**Weaknesses:** The concept will not work at all if the media is not connected to the online server and/or performance is worse than authentication by a local reader. However, with improving connectivity and performance of servers and connections, it may become practical in classical fare management environments. If so, it will probably be the most efficient and future-proof way to implement ABT.

Today, concepts are evolving that try to get as close as possible to example 2 (token authentication by the account server) by implementing list-based risk management where truly online connections are not supported. The feasibility for specific fare management systems is subject to an individual risk assessment.

### **Use of third-party media**

An increasing number of fare management deployments are using third-party media for account-based ticketing. This development is driven by contactless payment cards and government-issued cards which are becoming common globally. In addition, where there is use in one ABT scheme of media issued by an external transport organization not involved in the scheme, this also can be seen as third-party media as it generates similar requirements as non-transport third party-issued media.

The payment networks deployed strict technical and certification requirements to their reader infrastructure in order to achieve global interoperability. The ISO 24014-1 role model has to be extended to ensure cooperation with the payment card issuers as identity providers and as payment providers.

**Strengths:** The public transport service provider can rely on third party media and does not have to equip customers who have their own media. For payment cards branded from the major payment networks, interoperability across ABT systems can be achieved. In this way, even foreign visitors can use their contactless payment card to obtain a public transport service.

**Weaknesses:** Existing public transport contactless infrastructures need to be replaced or adapted in order to fulfil the requirements of third-party media suppliers, particularly the payment networks.

Real-world implementations typically use classical contactless fare media and contactless payment cards in parallel. Certain categories of customers like season cardholders or unbanked people may be served by fare media issued by the public transport service provider. In an ABT scheme, the implementation of the public transport system owner's internal processes is typically still based on the role model from ISO 24014-1. An example is that of the product owner (which is a role in ISO 24014-1) that calculates fares for all customers including those with contactless payment cards.

Therefore, there is a need to make sure that IFMS concepts defined in ISO 24014-1 can coexist with concepts based on contactless payment and other third-party media. This requires an eventual integration of the role models and a harmonization of the technical requirements, as well as related testing and certification of the reader infrastructure.

# Account-based ticketing state of the art report

## 1 Scope

This document provides a state of the art of the components that make up account-based ticketing as currently understood. This state of the art can be used to identify those aspects where international standardization or coordination can lead to benefits. These will then be proposed as normal ISO work items, independent of this document.

## 2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

## 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <http://www.iso.org/obp>

### 3.1

#### **access control**

control of access to a means of transport, e.g. gates or check-in

Note 1 to entry: See also *ticket control* (3.10).

### 3.2

#### **card-centric**

where the travel contract is represented by data in the media

Note 1 to entry: See also *server-centric* (3.8).

### 3.3

#### **credentials**

elements that provide secure access to the data in media

Note 1 to entry: Credentials will include keys and cryptographic methods used to encrypt or digitally seal the data.

### 3.4

#### **EMV**

European MasterCard Visa standards for payment cards

### 3.5

#### **media**

machine-readable device able to store data

### 3.6

#### **Near Field Communications**

#### **NFC**

radio communications interface defined by the NFC Forum and largely interoperable with ISO/IEC 14443 and ISO/IEC 18092