



BSI Standards Publication

**Automation systems and integration — Use  
case of capability profiles for cooperation  
between manufacturing software units**

---

## National foreword

This Published Document is the UK implementation of ISO/TR 16161:2019.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee AMT/5, Industrial architectures and integration frameworks.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its secretary.

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© The British Standards Institution 2019  
Published by BSI Standards Limited 2019

ISBN 978 0 539 01672 7

ICS 25.040.40

**Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.**

This Published Document was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 30 June 2019.

### Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected
------	---------------

---

**TECHNICAL  
REPORT**

**ISO/TR  
16161**

First edition  
2019-06

---

---

**Automation systems and  
integration — Use case of capability  
profiles for cooperation between  
manufacturing software units**



Reference number  
ISO/TR 16161:2019(E)



**COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO 2019

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office  
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8  
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva  
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11  
Fax: +41 22 749 09 47  
Email: [copyright@iso.org](mailto:copyright@iso.org)  
Website: [www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org)

Published in Switzerland

# Contents

	Page
<b>Foreword</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>vi</b>
<b>1 Scope</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Normative references</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>3 Terms and definitions</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>4 Abbreviated terms</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<b>5 Dialogue between C-subsystem and P-subsystem</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<b>6 Procedure until starting the dialogue between C-subsystem and P-subsystem</b> .....	<b>3</b>
6.1 Overview.....	3
6.2 Procedure for identifying the dialogue partner using capability profile.....	3
6.3 Capability profile.....	6
6.3.1 General.....	6
6.3.2 Description of specific part.....	6
6.4 Capability profile template and examples.....	6
6.4.1 Capability profile template.....	6
6.4.2 Capability profile example.....	14
<b>7 Message</b> .....	<b>17</b>
7.1 Structure of Message.....	17
7.2 Control Message.....	19
7.2.1 Notify message.....	19
7.2.2 Ask Response message.....	20
7.2.3 Warn message.....	20
7.3 PerformativeMessage.....	21
7.3.1 Request message.....	22
7.3.2 Promise message.....	23
7.3.3 Counter message(P: Counter).....	23
7.3.4 Accept message.....	24
7.3.5 Cancel message (against Counter C: Cancel).....	24
7.3.6 Counter message(C: Counter).....	24
7.3.7 Decline message.....	25
7.3.8 Cancel message (P: Cancel).....	25
7.3.9 Cancel message (C: Cancel).....	25
7.3.10 Report Completion message.....	26
7.3.11 DeclareComplete message.....	26
7.3.12 Decline Report message.....	27
<b>8 Communication protocol between C-subsystem and P-subsystem</b> .....	<b>27</b>
8.1 General.....	27
8.2 Interface between C-subsystem and P-subsystem.....	27
8.3 Message communication in a dialogue between C-subsystem and P-subsystem.....	28
<b>Annex A (informative) Message definition in JSON schema</b> .....	<b>30</b>
<b>Annex B (informative) Management Layer</b> .....	<b>39</b>
<b>Annex C (informative) Customer-performer model</b> .....	<b>48</b>
<b>Annex D (informative) Examples of messages</b> .....	<b>49</b>
<b>Annex E (informative) Example of customization of state transition diagram of dialogue</b> .....	<b>54</b>
<b>Annex F (informative) A solution for handling state transitions of dialogues, messages and capability profile</b> .....	<b>58</b>
<b>Annex G (informative) Mapping table of verbs between OAGiS and this document</b> .....	<b>60</b>

**Bibliography** ..... **61**

Currently in preview, click buy full version

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see [www.iso.org/directives](http://www.iso.org/directives)).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see [www.iso.org/patents](http://www.iso.org/patents)).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see [www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html](http://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html).

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 184, *Automation systems and integration*, Subcommittee SC 5, *Interoperability, integration, and architectures for enterprise systems and automation applications*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at [www.iso.org/members.html](http://www.iso.org/members.html).

## Introduction

The motivation for ISO 16100 stems from the industrial and economic environment, in particular:

- a) a growing base of vendor-specific solutions;
- b) user difficulties in applying standards;
- c) the need to move to modular sets of system integration tools;
- d) the recognition that application software and the expertise to apply that software are assets of the enterprise.

ISO 16100 is an International Standard for the computer-interpretable and human readable representation of a capability profile. Its goal is to provide a method to represent the capability of a manufacturing software unit (MSU) in manufacturing application software relative to its role throughout the life cycle of a manufacturing application, independent of a particular system architecture or implementation platform. This can lead to reduced production and information management costs to users and vendors/suppliers of manufacturing applications.

This document describes an application of ISO 16100. Manufacturing software agents, which are one type of MSU, achieve interoperation using capability profiles specified in ISO 16100.

This document describes message language and protocol for software agents to collaborate with each other to emerge systems function. Presenting the MSU capability profile defined in ISO 16100-3, the agents mutually recognize the capability of manufacturing activity and recognizable messages. Software agents that need manufacturing activities are called customers, and agents that provide manufacturing activities are called performers. Customers describe the request messages for manufacturing activities by the message language. Performers describe the report messages of the result of the manufacturing activities by the message language.

Agent Communication Language (ACL), proposed by the Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents (FIPA), is a message language exchanged with multiple agents, and the protocol that defines the sequence of messages is a protocol to which the framework of interaction protocol is applied and identifies the agent in order to use the ontology prescribed by FIPA. By contrast, this document describes the protocol and message language in which the software agent acting as a customer and the software agent acting as a performer interact in a one-to-one manner and each software agent is identified using a capability profile specified in ISO 16100-3. Therefore, the protocol and message language described in ACL and in this document are different.

# Automation systems and integration — Use case of capability profiles for cooperation between manufacturing software units

## 1 Scope

This document describes an approach for using ISO 16100 to achieve cooperation between software agents by exchanging manufacturing software unit (MSU) capability profiles. The exchanged profiles among agents describe the manufacturing capabilities requested by the requester and to be fulfilled by the performer.

## 2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

## 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>

### 3.1

#### **customer**

requester of the manufacturing activity

### 3.2

#### **C-subsystem**

manufacturing software unit requesting the manufacturing activity

### 3.3

#### **performer**

provider of the manufacturing activity

### 3.4

#### **P-subsystem**

manufacturing software unit providing the manufacturing activity

### 3.5

#### **capability profile service provider**

software that implements the capability profile interface

[SOURCE: ISO 16100-3:2005, 3.1.2]

### 3.6

#### **service provider**

entity that plays the role of *capability profile service provider* (3.5) and is responsible for preparing and delivering a pair of *customer* (3.1) and *performer* (3.3)