



BSI Standards Publication

**Information technology —
Process assessment — Process
capability assessment model
for information security
management**

National foreword

This Published Document is the UK implementation of ISO/IEC TS 33072:2016.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee IST/15, Software and systems engineering.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its secretary.

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© The British Standards Institution 2016.
Published by BSI Standards Limited 2016

ISBN 978 0 580 93543 5

ICS 35.080

Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This Published Document was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 30 September 2016.

Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected
------	---------------

First edition
2016-07-15

Corrected version
2016-09-01

**Information technology — Process
assessment — Process capability
assessment model for information
security management**

*Technologies de l'information — Évaluation des procédés — Modèle
d'évaluation de la capacité de procédés pour le management de la
sécurité de l'information*

Reference number
ISO/IEC TS 33072:2016(E)



Contents

Page

Foreword	iv
Introduction.....	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Overview of the Process Assessment Model.....	2
4.1 Introduction to Overview	2
4.2 Structure of the Process Assessment Model.....	3
4.2.1 Processes.....	3
4.2.2 Process dimension.....	4
4.2.3 Capability dimension	4
4.3 Assessment Indicators	6
4.3.1 Process Capability Indicators	7
4.3.2 Process Performance Indicators	8
4.4 Measuring process capability	9
5 The process dimension and process performance indicators (Level 1).....	10
5.1 General	10
5.2 ORG.1 Asset management	11
5.3 TEC.01 Capacity management	12
5.4 TEC.02 Change management.....	13
5.5 COM.01 Communication management.....	13
5.6 TEC.03 Configuration management	14
5.7 COM.02 Documentation management.....	15
5.8 ORG.2 Equipment management	17
5.9 ORG.3 Human resource employment management	18
5.10 COM.03 Human resource management	19
5.11 COM.04 Improvement.....	20
5.12 TEC.04 Incident management	21
5.13 ORG.4 Infrastructure and work environment	21
5.14 COM.05 Internal audit.....	22
5.15 TOP.1 Leadership.....	23
5.16 COM.06 Management review.....	24
5.17 COM.07 Non-conformity management.....	25
5.18 COM.09 Operational implementation and control.....	26
5.19 COM.08 Operational planning	27
5.20 COM.10 Performance evaluation	29
5.21 TEC.05 Product/service release	30
5.22 TEC.08 Product/Service/System requirements	31
5.23 COM.11 Risk and opportunity management.....	32
5.24 TEC.06 Service availability management.....	33
5.25 TEC.07 Service continuity management	34
5.26 ORG.5 Supplier management.....	34
5.27 TEC.09 Technical data preservation and recovery	35
6 Process capability indicators.....	36
6.1 Introduction.....	36
6.2 Process capability levels and process attributes	36
6.2.1 Process capability Level 0: Incomplete process	36
6.2.2 Process capability Level 1: Performed process	36
6.2.3 Process capability Level 2: Managed process	37

6.2.4	Process capability Level 3: Established process.....	42
6.2.5	Process capability Level 4: Predictable process	46
6.2.6	Process capability Level 5: Innovating process.....	51
6.3	Related processes for process attributes.....	55
Annex A	(informative) Conformity of the process assessment model.....	57
A.1	Introduction	57
A.2	Requirements for process assessment models.....	57
A.2.1	Introduction	57
A.2.2	Process assessment model scope	57
A.2.3	Requirements for process assessment models.....	57
A.2.4	Assessment indicators	58
A.2.5	Mapping process assessment models to process reference models.....	59
A.2.6	Expression of assessment results.....	61
Annex B	(informative) Input and output characteristics	62
B.1	General.....	62
B.2	Generic input and outputs	63
B.3	Specific inputs and outputs.....	67
Annex C	(informative) Association between base practices and ISO/IEC 27001 requirements	96
C.1	Associations of base practices with requirements.....	97
C.2	Associations of requirements with base practices.....	135
C.3	Base practices that have no associated requirements.....	179
	Bibliography	182

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

The committee responsible for this document is ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, SC 7, *Software and systems engineering*.

This corrected version of ISO/IEC 33072 incorporates the text that was not visible in Annex B, Table B.3, references 08-39 and 08-40, in the column entitled "*Characteristics*".

Introduction

This Technical Specification provides an Information Security Management Process Assessment Model (PAM) for use in performing a conformant assessment of process capability in accordance with the requirements of ISO/IEC 33002. It is structured in accordance with the requirements of ISO/IEC 33004 to reflect processes that enable implementation of ISO/IEC 27001. The scale for assessing the extent of achievement of process capability is based on ISO/IEC 33020.

An integral part of conducting an assessment is to use a PAM that is constructed for that purpose. A PAM is related to a Process Reference Model (PRM) and is conformant with ISO/IEC 33004. ISO/IEC 33002 identifies the minimum requirements for performing an assessment in order to ensure consistency and repeatability of the ratings. ISO/IEC 33002 addresses the assessment of process and the application of process assessment for improvement and capability determination. Results of conformant process assessments can be compared when the scopes of the assessments are considered to be similar. The requirements for process assessment defined in ISO/IEC 33002 form a structure which:

- a) facilitates self-assessment;
- b) provides a basis for use in process improvement and capability determination;
- c) takes into account the context in which the assessed process is implemented;
- d) produces a process rating;
- e) addresses the ability of the process to achieve its purpose;
- f) is applicable across all application domains and sizes of organization;
- g) can provide an objective benchmark between organizations.

The PRM defined in ISO/IEC TS 33052 has been used as the basis for the PAM in ISO/IEC TS 33072; the process measurement framework for process capability defined in ISO/IEC 33020 is the basis for the capability measurement scale. The relationship between ISO/IEC 24774, ISO/IEC 27001, ISO/IEC 3002, ISO/IEC 33004, ISO/IEC 33020, ISO/IEC TS 33052 and ISO/IEC TS 33072 is shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1 — Relationships between relevant standards

Any organisation can use processes with additional elements in order to suit it to the environment and circumstances. This PAM contains a set of indicators to be considered when interpreting the intent of its PRM. It provides greater detail to indicate process performance and capability. The indicators can also be used when implementing a process improvement program or to help evaluate and select an assessment model, method, methodology or tools.

This PAM embodies the core characteristics that should be expected of any PAM consistent with ISO/IEC 33004. Nevertheless any other PAMs meeting the requirements of ISO/IEC 33004 can be used in a conformant assessment.

ISO/IEC 33072 has a similar structure to ISO/IEC 15504-5 and ISO/IEC 15504-6. It can be used in conjunction with these process assessment models to support joint assessment of information security processes and system/software life cycle processes.

Within this Technical Specification:

- Clause 4 provides a detailed description of the structure and key components of a PAM, which includes two dimensions: a process dimension and a capability dimension. Assessment indicators are introduced in this clause;
- Clause 5 addresses the process dimension. It uses process definitions from ISO/IEC TS 33052 to design the PRM. The processes of the PRM are described in the PAM in terms of purpose and outcomes. The PAM expands the PRM process definitions by including a set of process performance indicators called base practices for each process. The PAM also defines a second set of indicators of process performance by associating inputs and outputs with each process. Clause 5 is also linked directly to Annex B, which defines the inputs/outputs characteristics;
- Clause 6 addresses the capability dimension. It duplicates the definitions of the capability levels and process attributes from ISO/IEC 33020, and expands each of the nine attributes through the inclusion of a set of generic practices. These generic practices belong to a set of indicators of process capability, in association with generic resource indicators, and generic inputs/outputs indicators. Annex B is also linked directly to Clause 6 as it defines the inputs/outputs characteristics;

- Annex A provides a statement of conformance of the PAM to the requirements defined in ISO/IEC 33004;
- Annex B provides selected characteristics for typical inputs/outputs to assist the assessor in evaluating the capability level of processes;
- Annex C contains three tables. Table C.1 identifies the base practices linked to requirements; Table C.2 identifies the requirements linked to base practices; and lastly, Table C.3 identifies the base practices not linked to requirements.
- a Bibliography contains a list of informative references.

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Information technology — Process assessment — Process capability assessment model for information security management

1 Scope

This Technical Specification:

- defines a process assessment model (PAM) that meets the requirements of ISO/IEC 33004 and that supports the performance of an assessment of process capability by providing indicators for guidance on the interpretation of the process purposes and outcomes as defined in ISO/IEC TS 33052 and the process attributes as defined in ISO/IEC 33020;
- provides guidance, by example, on the definition, selection and use of assessment indicators.

A PAM comprises a set of indicators of process performance and process capability. The indicators are used as a basis for collecting the objective evidence that enables an assessor to assign ratings. The set of indicators included in this Technical Specification is not intended to be an all-inclusive set nor is it intended to be applicable in its entirety.

The PAM in this Technical Specification is directed at assessment sponsors and competent assessors who wish to select a model, and associated documented process method, for assessment (for either capability determination or process improvement). Additionally it may be of use to developers of assessment models in the construction of their own model, by providing examples of good information security management practices. It can be used by:

- a) service providers to assess and improve an Information Security Management System (ISMS);
- b) service providers to demonstrate their capability for the design, development, transition and delivery of services that fulfil information security management requirements.

Any PAM meeting the requirements defined in ISO/IEC 33004 concerning models for process assessment can be used for assessment. Different models and methods might be needed to address differing business needs. The assessment model in this Technical Specification meets all the requirements expressed in ISO/IEC 33004.

NOTE Copyright release for the PAM: Users of this Technical Specification may reproduce subclauses 5.2 to 5.27, 6.2, B.2 and B.3 as part of any tool or other material to support the performance of process assessments so that it can be used for its intended purpose.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO/IEC 33001 and ISO/IEC 27000 apply.