



BSI Standards Publication

**Space engineering — Assessment of space  
worst case charging handbook**

---

## National foreword

This Published Document is the UK implementation of CEN/TR 17603-20-06:2022.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee ACE/68, Space systems and operations.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its committee manager.

### Contractual and legal considerations

This publication has been prepared in good faith, however no representation, warranty, assurance or undertaking (express or implied) is or will be made, and no responsibility or liability is or will be accepted by BSI in relation to the adequacy, accuracy, completeness or reasonableness of this publication. All and any such responsibility and liability is expressly disclaimed to the full extent permitted by the law.

This publication is provided as is, and is to be used at the recipient's own risk.

The recipient is advised to consider seeking professional guidance with respect to its use of this publication.

This publication is not intended to constitute a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© The British Standards Institution 2022  
Published by BSI Standards Limited 2022

ISBN 978 0 539 127 2 7

ICS 49.140

**Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.**

This Published Document was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 31 May 2022.

### Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected
------	---------------

---

TECHNICAL REPORT

**CEN/TR 17603-20-06**

RAPPORT TECHNIQUE

TECHNISCHER BERICHT

January 2022

ICS 49.140

English version

## Space engineering - Assessment of space worst case charging handbook

Ingénierie spatiale - Guide sur les techniques de durcissement des ASICs et FPGAs vis-à-vis des effets des radiations

Raumfahrtproduktsicherung - Handbuch zu Minderungsverfahren von Strahlungseffekten auf ASICs und FPGA

This Technical Report was approved by CEN on 29 November 2021. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 5.

CEN and CENELEC members are the national standards bodies and national electro-technical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.



**CEN-CENELEC Management Centre:  
Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels**

## Table of contents

---

<b>European Foreword</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>1 Scope</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>2 References</b> .....	<b>9</b>
<b>3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms</b> .....	<b>13</b>
3.1 Terms from other documents.....	13
3.2 Abbreviated terms.....	13
<b>4 Surface charging</b> .....	<b>15</b>
4.1 Fundamentals.....	15
4.2 General methodology of surface charging analyses.....	17
4.2.1 Introduction.....	17
4.2.2 Necessity of 3D surface charging analyses.....	17
4.2.3 Simulation process.....	18
4.2.4 Assessment of simulation results .....	19
4.3 Electrostatic discharge.....	20
4.3.1 ESD types.....	20
4.3.2 Thresholds for ESD occurrence .....	20
4.3.3 Quantitative characterization of ESD electrical transients.....	21
4.3.4 Interpretation of results .....	25
4.4 Critical aspects with respect to worst case surface charging analyses.....	25
4.4.1 Orbit.....	25
4.4.2 Material properties .....	26
4.4.3 Sunlit/Eclipse .....	26
4.4.4 Protons .....	27
4.4.5 Electric propulsion.....	27
4.5 How to set up a simulation.....	27
4.5.1 Charging environment parameters.....	27
4.5.2 Modelling requirements for surface charging analyses.....	27
4.5.3 Spacecraft geometry modelling.....	28

4.5.4	Gmsh – The CAD interface to SPIS .....	29
4.5.5	Physical groups and surface materials definition .....	33
4.5.6	Basic electrical circuit of the satellite .....	36
4.5.7	Plasma models .....	37
4.5.8	Global parameters.....	37
4.5.9	Consistency checks .....	38
<b>5</b>	<b>Internal Charging.....</b>	<b>40</b>
5.1	Fundamentals.....	40
5.1.1	Introduction .....	40
5.1.2	Floating metals.....	40
5.1.3	Insulators .....	40
5.1.4	Charge Deposition .....	41
5.1.5	Conductivity .....	41
5.1.6	Time-dependence .....	43
5.2	General methodology .....	43
5.2.1	Introduction .....	43
5.2.2	Internal charging analyses .....	44
5.2.3	Critical aspects with respect to worst case internal charging analysis .....	45
5.2.4	Modelling aspects for internal charging analyses .....	49
5.2.5	Environment.....	50
5.2.6	Geometry .....	50
5.2.7	Materials parameters .....	51
5.2.8	Simulation tools in 2D and 3D .....	51
5.2.9	Scenarios.....	52
5.2.10	Important outputs .....	52
<b>6</b>	<b>General aspects of surface and internal charging analysis .....</b>	<b>53</b>
6.1	Material characterization aspects.....	53
6.2	Charging analyses and project phases .....	53
6.2.1	Phase 0: Mission analysis .....	53
6.2.2	Phase A: Feasibility.....	53
6.2.3	Phase B: Preliminary definition .....	53
6.2.4	Phase C: Detailed definition .....	54
6.2.5	Phase D: Production .....	54
6.2.6	Phase E: Utilisation.....	54
<b>Annex A</b>	<b>Orbit plasma environment.....</b>	<b>55</b>
A.1	Plasma environment for different Earth orbits .....	55

A.2	GEO worst case environments .....	56
A.2.1	Introduction .....	56
A.2.2	ECSS .....	56
A.2.3	NASA .....	56
A.2.4	ONERA/CNES .....	58
A.3	LEO/Polar .....	58

**Figures**

Figure 4-1:	Current contributions influencing the surface charging of a body in space plasma .....	16
Figure 4-2:	Flowchart showing the steps needed to determine the necessity of a 3D surface charging analysis .....	18
Figure 4-3:	Flow diagram of the typical process of a 3D charging analysis .....	19
Figure 4-4:	Charged surface with area A showing the geometrical meaning and the range for the parameter R .....	23
Figure 4-5:	Two-dimensional meshing of a solar array (from Sarra et al 2013 0) .....	24
Figure 4-6:	Examples of 2 discharges .....	24
Figure 4-7:	Definition of nodes and lines with Gmsh .....	30
Figure 4-8:	Definition of surfaces and volume with Gmsh .....	31
Figure 4-9:	Top: Surface meshes of the spacecraft and boundary. Bottom: Volume mesh of the computational space .....	32
Figure 4-10:	Definition of surface materials through the SPIS group editor .....	33
Figure 4-11:	Example of material properties set used by SPIS .....	35
Figure 4-12:	SPIS configuration of satellite electrical connections .....	36
Figure 4-13:	SPIS plasma parameters settings for the ECSS-E-ST-10-04 GEO worst case environment for surface charging .....	37
Figure 5-1:	Mulassis 0 simulation of net flux (forward minus backward travelling) due to a 5 MeV incident beam in a planar sample of Aluminium. CSDA range is approximately 11,4 mm .....	41
Figure 5-2:	Decision flow diagram for performing an internal charging analysis .....	44
Figure 5-3:	Current density v shielding depth curve for a geostationary orbit with longitude 195deg East with nominal date 21/09/1994 according to the FLUMIC model as calculated by the Mulassis tool in SPENVIS. The FLUMIC spectrum was calculated by DICTAT in SPENVIS .....	46
Figure 5-4:	Current density v shielding depth curve for the peak of the outer radiation belt L=4,4, B/B0=1,0 with nominal date 21/09/1994 according to the FLUMIC model as calculated by the Mulassis tool in SPENVIS. The FLUMIC spectrum was calculated by DICTAT in SPENVIS .....	48

## Tables

Table 4-1: Meanings of the material properties used in SPIS .....	35
Table 5-1: Current density v shielding depth values for a geostationary orbit with longitude 195deg East with nominal date 21/09/1994 according to the FLUMIC model as calculated by the Mulassis tool in SPENVIS. The FLUMIC spectrum was calculated by DICTAT in SPENVIS.....	47
Table 5-2: Current density v shielding depth values for the peak of the outer radiation belt L=4,4, B/B0=1,0 with nominal date 21/09/1994 according to the FLUMIC model as calculated by the Mulassis tool in SPENVIS. The FLUMIC spectrum was calculated by DICTAT in SPENVIS.....	48
Table A-1 : Type of environments and order of magnitudes of density and temperature encountered along typical orbits.....	55
Table A-2 : Order of magnitudes of key plasma and charging parameters expected in typical environments .....	55
Table A-3 : ECSS-E-ST-10-04 worst case charging environment.....	56
Table A-4 : NASA-HDBK-4002A worst case charging environment.....	56
Table A-5 : NASA 'more realistic' geosynchronous worst case environment specification .....	57
Table A-6 : Severe charging environments in GEO 0 .....	58

## European Foreword

---

This document (CEN/TR 17603-20-06:2022) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 5 "Space", the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

It is highlighted that this technical report does not contain any requirement but only collection of data or descriptions and guidelines about how to organize and perform the work in support of EN 17603-20.

This Technical report (CEN/TR 17603-20-06:2021) originates from ECSS-E-HB-20-06A.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document has been prepared under a mandate given to CEN by the European Commission and the European Free Trade Association.

This document has been developed to cover specifically space systems and has therefore precedence over any TR covering the same scope but with a wider domain of applicability (e.g.: aerospace).

## Introduction

---

Spacecraft charging occurs due to the deposition of charge on spacecraft surfaces or in internal materials due to charged particles from the environment. Resulting high voltages and high electric fields cause electrostatic discharges which are a hazard to many spacecraft systems. Broadly speaking, spacecraft charging can be divided into surface charging, which is caused by plasma particles with energy up to several 10s of keV and internal charging which is caused by trapped radiation electrons with energy around 0,2 MeV and above.

Both surface and internal charging have been associated with malfunctions and damage to spacecraft systems over many years.

# 1 Scope

---

Common engineering practices involve the assessment, through computer simulation (with software like NASCAP 0 or SPIS 0), of the levels of absolute and differential potentials reached by space systems in flight. This is usually made mandatory by customers and by standards for the orbits most at risk such as GEO or MEO and long transfers to GEO by, for example, electric propulsion.

The ECSS-E-ST-20-06 standard requires the assessment of spacecraft charging but it is not appropriate in a standard to explain how such an assessment is performed. It is the role of this document ECSS-E-HB-20-06, to explain in more detail important aspects of the charging process and to give guidance on how to carry out charging assessment by computer simulation.

The ECSS-E-ST-10-04 standard specifies many aspects of the space environment, including the plasma and radiation characteristics corresponding to worst cases for surface and internal charging. In this document the use of these environment descriptions in worst case simulations is described.

The emphasis in this document is on high level charging in natural environments. One aspect that is currently not addressed is the use of active sources e.g. for electric propulsion or spacecraft potential control. The tools to address this are still being developed and this area can be addressed in a later edition.