



BSI Standards Publication

Information technology — Privacy capability features of current RFID technologies

Currently in preview, click buy full version

National foreword

This Published Document is the UK implementation of CEN/TR 16672:2014.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee IST/34, Automatic identification and data capture techniques.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its secretary.

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© The British Standards Institution 2014.
Published by BSI Standards Limited 2014

ISBN 978 0 580 83897 2
ICS 35.240.60

Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This Published Document was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 30 June 2014.

Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected
------	---------------

TECHNICAL REPORT
RAPPORT TECHNIQUE
TECHNISCHER BERICHT

CEN/TR 16672

June 2014

ICS 35.240.60

English Version

**Information technology - Privacy capability features of current
RFID technologies**

Technologies de l'information - Fonctions de protection de
la vie privée dans les technologies RFID actuelles

Informationstechnik - Leistungsmerkmale für den Schutz
der Privatsphäre in gegenwärtigen RFID-Technologien

This Technical Report was approved by CEN on 20 January 2014. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/TC 225.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels

Contents

Page

Foreword.....	4
Introduction	5
1 Scope	6
2 Terms and definitions	6
3 Symbols and abbreviations	7
4 Access protection features.....	7
4.1 General.....	7
4.2 Overview of access protection features.....	7
4.2.1 General.....	7
4.2.2 No protection.....	7
4.2.3 Password protection	7
4.2.4 Cryptographic protection.....	8
4.3 Application of access protection features	9
5 Features to protect Consumer Privacy.....	10
5.1 General.....	10
5.2 Unique chip ID or Tag ID	10
5.3 Chip selection with random number.....	10
5.4 Reduced read range on the tag.....	10
5.5 Untraceable	10
5.6 Hide	11
5.7 Kill	11
5.8 Destroy.....	11
5.9 Remove	11
6 Features to protect Data Security	11
6.1 Features to protect Read access to the tag data.....	11
6.1.1 Protection level	11
6.1.2 "Normal" Read access	11
6.1.3 Read (Lock) protection.....	11
6.1.4 Data protection using the TID.....	12
6.2 Features to protect Write access to the tag data	12
6.2.1 General.....	12
6.2.2 Protection level.....	12
6.2.3 "Normal" Write access	12
6.2.4 Write (Lock) protection	12
6.2.5 Write protection using the TID	12
6.2.6 Write protection using a digital signature in User Memory	13
7 Features for tag authentication	13
7.1 General.....	13
7.2 Verification using the Unique chip ID or Tag ID	13
7.3 Verification using the Unique chip ID or Tag ID with a digital signature	13
7.4 Verification using a password.....	13
8 Standards support of privacy capability features	13
9 Proprietary features.....	17
Bibliography	18

Click buy full version

Foreword

This document (CEN/TR 16672:2014) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 225 "AIDC Technologies", the secretariat of which is held by NEN.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This Technical Report is one of a series of related deliverables, which comprise mandate 436 Phase 2. The other deliverables are:

- EN 16570, *Information technology — Notification of RFID — The information sign and additional information to be provided by operators of RFID application systems*
- EN 16571, *Information technology — RFID privacy impact assessment process*
- EN 16656, *Information technology - Radio frequency identification for item management - RFID Emblem (ISO/IEC 29160:2012, modified)*
- CEN/TR 16684, *Information technology — Notification of RFID — Additional information to be provided by operators*
- CEN/TS 16685, *Information technology — Notification of RFID — The information sign to be displayed in areas where RFID interrogators are deployed*
- CEN/TR 16669, *Information technology — Device interface to support ISO/IEC 18000-3*
- CEN/TR 16670, *Information technology — RFID threat and vulnerability analysis*
- CEN/TR 16671, *Information technology — Authorisation of mobile phones when used as RFID interrogators*
- CEN/TR 16673, *Information technology — RFID privacy impact assessment analysis for specific sectors*
- CEN/TR 16674, *Information technology — Analysis of privacy impact assessment methodologies relevant to RFID*

Introduction

In response to the growing deployment of RFID systems in Europe, the European Commission published in 2007 the Communication COM (2007) 96 'RFID in Europe: steps towards a policy framework'. This Communication proposed steps which needed to be taken to reduce barriers to adoption of RFID whilst respecting the basic legal framework safeguarding fundamental values such as health, environment, data protection, privacy and security.

In December 2008, the European Commission addressed Mandate M/436 to CEN, CENELEC and ETSI in the field of ICT as applied to RFID systems. The Mandate M/436 was accepted by the ESOs in the first months of 2009. The Mandate addresses the data protection, privacy and information aspects of RFID, and is being executed in two phases. Phase 1, completed in May 2011, identified the work needed to produce a complete framework of future RFID standards. The Phase 1 results are contained in the ETSI Technical Report TR 187 020, which was published in May 2011.

Phase 2 is concerned with the execution of the standardisation work programme identified in the first phase.

This Technical Report provides privacy and security characteristics that apply to the relevant standards. Furthermore it provides an overview of these standards and their respective support of the described features.

1 Scope

The scope of the Technical Report is to identify technical characteristics of particular RFID air interface protocols that need to be taken into consideration by operators of RFID systems in undertaking their privacy impact assessment. It also provides information for those operators who provide RFID-tagged items that are likely to be read by customers or other organizations.

This Technical Report provides detailed privacy and security characteristics that apply to products that are compliant with specific air interface protocols, and also to variant models that comply with such standards.

The Technical Report also identifies proprietary privacy and security features which have been added to tags, which are problematic of being implemented in open systems which depend on interoperability between different devices. Such proprietary solutions, whilst being technically sound, in fact impede interoperability. The gap analysis thus identified can be used to encourage greater standardization.

2 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

2.1 authentication

process of determining whether an entity or data is/are who or what, respectively, it claims to be.

Note 1 to entry: The types of entity authentication referred-to in this document are Tag authentication, Interrogator authentication, and Tag-Interrogator mutual authentication

2.2 key

value used to influence the output of a cryptographic algorithm or cipher

2.3 KeyID

numerical designator for a secret key

2.4 password

secret value sent by an Interrogator to a Tag to enable restricted Tag operations

2.5 permalock

lock status that is unchangeable

EXAMPLE The memory location is permanently locked or permanently unlocked.

2.6 tag authentication

means for an Interrogator to determine, via cryptographic means, that a tag's identity is as claimed

2.7 TID tag ID

unique tag identifier