



BSI Standards Publication

## Space engineering — Technology readiness level (TRL) guidelines

---

## National foreword

This Published Document is the UK implementation of CEN/CLC/TR 17603-11:2021.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee ACE/68, Space systems and operations.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its committee manager.

### Contractual and legal considerations

This publication has been prepared in good faith, however no representation, warranty, assurance or undertaking (express or implied) is or will be made, and no responsibility or liability is or will be accepted by BSI in relation to the adequacy, accuracy, completeness or reasonableness of this publication. All and any such responsibility and liability is expressly disclaimed to the full extent permitted by the law.

This publication is provided as is, and is to be used at the recipient's own risk.

The recipient is advised to consider seeking professional guidance with respect to its use of this publication.

This publication is not intended to constitute a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

This publication is not to be regarded as a British Standard.

© The British Standards Institution 2021  
Published by BSI Standards Limited 2021

ISBN 978 0 55 16297 4

ICS 49.040

**Compliance with a Published Document cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.**

This Published Document was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 31 October 2021.

### Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected
------	---------------

---

TECHNICAL REPORT

**CEN/CLC/TR 17603-11**

RAPPORT TECHNIQUE

TECHNISCHER BERICHT

September 2021

ICS 49.140

English version

## Space engineering - Technology readiness level (TRL) guidelines

Ingénierie spatiale - Guide d'utilisation des Niveaux de  
Maturité Technologique (NMT)

Raumfahrttechnik - Richtlinien zum technischen  
Reifegrad (TRL)

This Technical Report was approved by CEN on 26 March 2021. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 5.

CEN and CENELEC members are the national standards bodies and national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.



**CEN-CENELEC Management Centre:**  
Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

## Table of contents

---

<b>European Foreword</b> .....	<b>5</b>
<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>1 Scope</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>2 References</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms</b> .....	<b>10</b>
3.1 Terms defined in other documents.....	10
3.2 Terms specific to the present document .....	11
3.3 Abbreviated terms and symbols.....	11
<b>4 TRL history and evolution</b> .....	<b>13</b>
4.1 History and evolution .....	13
4.2 Differences between M95r and ISO 16297 standard as seen by ECSS (European interpretation).....	13
4.3 TRL implementation in ECSS system .....	14
4.4 TRL and assessment basic principles .....	14
<b>5 Technology readiness assessment (TRA) guidelines</b> .....	<b>17</b>
5.1 Introduction.....	17
5.2 General principles for technology readiness assessment.....	17
5.2.1 TRL standard .....	17
5.2.2 TRA pre-requisites .....	21
5.2.3 Independent verification of the TRL.....	22
5.2.4 Discipline specific TRA process .....	22
5.2.5 Typical technology readiness assessment (TRA) process.....	22
5.2.6 TRA criteria.....	23
5.2.7 Viability of TRL progression .....	23
5.3 TRL evaluation by level .....	24
5.3.1 TRL 1: Basic principles observed and reported .....	24
5.3.2 TRL 2: Technology concept and/or application formulated .....	24
5.3.3 TRL 3: Analytical and experimental critical function and/or characteristic proof-of-concept .....	24

5.3.4	TRL 4 : Component and/or breadboard functional verification in laboratory environment .....	25
5.3.5	TRL 5 : Component and/or breadboard critical function verification in a relevant environment.....	26
5.3.6	TRL 6: Model demonstrating the critical functions of the element in a relevant environment.....	27
5.3.7	TRL 7 : Model demonstrating the element performance for the operational environment.....	28
5.3.8	TRL 8 : Actual system completed and accepted for flight (“flight qualified”).....	28
5.3.9	TRL 9: Actual system “flight proven” through successful mission operations .....	29
5.4	Guidelines for other uses of TRLs in R&T&D activities .....	29
<b>6</b>	<b>Implementation in projects .....</b>	<b>32</b>
6.1	General.....	32
6.2	Critical functions and technologies in projects.....	33
6.2.1	Overview.....	33
6.2.2	Technology readiness status list (TRSL) and transference to critical item list.....	34
6.3	Technology readiness assessment (TRA) in projects .....	34
6.4	Typical levels linked to project phases and milestones .....	35
<b>7</b>	<b>Links with model philosophy and technology demonstration and reassessment .....</b>	<b>39</b>
7.1	Links with model types and technology demonstration .....	39
7.1.1	Link between TRL and model types .....	39
7.1.2	Link between TRL and technology demonstrators.....	42
7.2	Re-assessment of TRL for re-use of element with existing TRA .....	44
7.2.1	Technical guidelines.....	44
7.2.2	Technology re-use in a new environment.....	46
<b>Annex A</b>	<b>TRL considerations for software .....</b>	<b>47</b>
A.1	Terms specific to the present annex .....	47
A.2	ISO TRL scale and software developments .....	48
A.3	Basic principles.....	48
A.4	Use of TRL with Software .....	49
A.5	Relationship between TRL and criticality categories .....	56
<b>Annex B</b>	<b>TRL considerations for EEE components .....</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>Annex C</b>	<b>TRL considerations for materials and manufacturing processes .....</b>	<b>59</b>

**Figures**

Figure 4-1: Illustration of differences between M95r (European interpretation) and ECSS-E-AS-11..... 14

Figure 4-2: Evolution technology maturity..... 15

Figure 5-1: Illustration of a new RF transistor then RF amplifier progressing through TRL..... 21

Figure 5-2: Example of ESA technology activity template..... 30

Figure 5-3: Illustration of a Technology Roadmap ..... 31

Figure 6-1: Risk versus TRL and complexity ..... 33

Figure 6-2: Evolution of technology options during preliminary project phases ..... 35

Figure 6-3: Project phases and generalised institutional expectation of TRA outcome ..... 37

Figure 6-4: Project phases and generalised commercial expectation of TRA outcome ..... 38

**Tables**

Table 5-1: TRL summary - Milestones and work achievement (*adapted from ISO 16290*)..... 18

Table 6-1: Benefits of use of TRA..... 36

Table 7-1: Models types associated to TRLs ..... 40

Table 7-2: Use of commonly-used models for TRL progression ..... 42

Table 7-3: Links between TRL and Heritage Category ..... 45

Table 7-4: Technology maturity transfer for re-use ..... 46

Table A-1 : Link between Software development status and TRL..... 50

Table B-1 : Milestones and work achievement for EEE components TRL..... 57

Table C-1 : Use of TRL for with materials and manufacturing process development ..... 60

## European Foreword

---

This document (CEN/CLC/TR 17603-11:2021) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 5 "Space", the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

It is highlighted that this technical report does not contain any requirement but only collection of data or descriptions and guidelines about how to organize and perform the work in support of EN 17603-11.

This Technical report (CEN/CLC/TR 17603-11:2021) originates from ECSS-E-HB-11A.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document has been prepared under a mandate given to CEN by the European Commission and the European Free Trade Association.

This document has been developed to cover specifically space systems and has therefore precedence over any TR covering the same scope but with a wider domain of applicability (e.g.: aerospace).

## Introduction

---

This Handbook supports the application of the TRL, and provides guidelines to its use in projects and its independent verification within each specific project context.

This Handbook provides guidelines for best practice for interpretation of the requirements contained in ECSS-E-AS-11 and for the implementation of the process of technology readiness assessment for technologies applied to a critical function of an element.

The ECSS-E-AS-11 - "Adoption Notice of ISO 16290 Definition of the Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs) and their criteria of assessment" adopts ISO 16290 with a minimum set of modifications, to allow for reference and for a consistent integration in ECSS system of standards.

TRL is a scale for technology maturity assessment and not a method of technology engineering nor development. TRL is used in R&T&D activities and also in project activities.

For project activities, a technology readiness assessment informs the project manager (until the end of B phase) of the risk when adopting a new technology for a critical function of an element of the system. In the C and D phases TRL is no longer used by the project and the maturity of technology is managed in the critical item list.

For other projects the information of the declared technology maturity can be reused and an assessment of the new project use conditions are considered in the assessment.

In this handbook the three main actors and the respective role of each actor are clearly identified. The three discrete actors are: technology developers, projects teams (using the technology) and the TRA participants (i.e. those who perform the technology readiness assessment).

# 1 Scope

---

The present handbook is provided to support the implementation of the requirements of ECSS-E AS-1 to space projects.

With this purpose, this handbook provides guidelines on the way to assess the maturity of a technology of a product in a given environment, to use the TRL assessment outcome in the product development framework, and to introduce some further refinements for specific disciplines or products to which the TRL assessment methodology can be extended.

The concept of Manufacturing Readiness Level (MRL) is not addressed in this document, whilst the concept of TRL can be applied to the technology-related aspects of manufacturing.