

BS 7608:2014



BSI Standards Publication

## Guide to fatigue design and assessment of steel products

**bsi.**

...making excellence a habit.™

**Publishing and copyright information**

The BSI copyright notice displayed in this document indicates when the document was last issued.

© The British Standards Institution 2014

Published by BSI Standards Limited 2014

ISBN 978 0 580 54090 5

ICS 91.080.10

The following BSI references relate to the work on this document:

Committee reference WEE/37

Draft for comment 13/30102062 DC

**Publication history**

First edition April 1993

Second (present) edition March 2014

**Amendments issued since publication**

Date	Text affected
------	---------------

---

## Contents

Foreword *iv*

1	Scope	1
2	Normative references	2
3	Terms and definitions	3
4	Symbols and units	6
5	Fatigue assessment procedure	8
6	Design life	9
7	Fatigue loading	9
8	Environmental considerations	10
9	Factors on fatigue life	11
10	Features influencing fatigue behaviour	11
11	Fracture mechanics	12
12	Classification of details	12
13	Unclassified details	32
14	Workmanship and Inspection	32
15	Stress calculations	42
16	Allowable fatigue stresses	53

### Annexes

Annex A (normative) Fatigue design	68
Annex B (normative) Explanatory notes on detail classification	70
Annex C (normative) Guidance on stress analysis	82
Annex D (normative) Guidance on the use of fracture mechanics	107
Annex E (normative) Fatigue testing and the use of test data to define design stresses	116
Annex F (normative) Weld toe improvement techniques	119
Annex G (normative) Assessment of tubular node joints	130
Annex H (normative) Cycle counting by the reservoir method	136

Bibliography 138

### List of figures

Figure 1 – Definition of length $L$ for use in thickness-bending correction	8
Figure 2 – Reference stresses in parent metal	43
Figure 3 – Reference stress on weld throat	45
Figure 4 – Typical example of stress concentrations due to geometrical discontinuity	47
Figure 5 – Typical example of stress concentration caused by a geometric hard spot	48
Figure 6 – Fatigue stress concentration factors	49
Figure 7 – Comparison of nominal, structural and hot-spot stresses in a beam with a welded cover plate	50
Figure 8 – Relative stiffness effects on the fluctuating load in a bolt in a concentrically clamped and concentrically loaded bolted joint	52
Figure 9 – Mean $S_r$ - $N$ curves	54
Figure 10 – Standard basic design $S_r$ - $N$ curves	56
Figure 11 – $S_r$ - $N$ curves for bolts with threads under direct loading (class X)	58
Figure 12 – Modifications made to $S_r$ - $N$ curves for welded joints in sea water	63
Figure 13 – Typical $S_r$ - $N$ relationship	65
Figure B.1 – Welds at plate edges	71
Figure B.2 – Failure modes at weld ends and weld toes of welded attachments	72
Figure B.3 – Failure modes in cruciform and T-joints for joint types indicated	73
Figure B.4 – Failure modes in transverse butt welds for joint types indicated	73
Figure B.5 – T-junction of two flange plates	75
Figure B.6 – Cruciform junction between flange plates	76
Figure B.7 – Alternative method for joining two flange plates	76
Figure B.8 – Local grinding adjacent to cope hole in type 6.2 joint	77

Figure B.9 – Use of continuity plating to reduce stress concentrations in type 7.1 and 7.2 joints	79
Figure B.10 – Example of type 7.3 or 7.4 T-joint	80
Figure B.11 – Single fillet corner weld in bending (type 7.9)	80
Figure B.12 – Example of a third member slotted through a main member	81
Figure C.1 – I beam with cover plate showing distribution of structural stress and definition of hot-spot stress	84
Figure C.2 – Types of hot-spot	86
Figure C.3 – Possible brick element model of an I beam with a cover plate	87
Figure C.4 – Node numbers superimposed upon the weld mesh section in Figure C.3c)	88
Figure C.5 – Node numbers superimposed upon the weld mesh section in Figure C.3e)	88
Figure C.6 – Element numbers superimposed upon the weld mesh section in Figure C.3c)	89
Figure C.7 – Element numbers superimposed upon the weld mesh section in Figure C.3e)	89
Figure C.8 – Calculation of the SSE stress at node n2 of the brick mesh shown in Figure C.3 to Figure C.5	90
Figure C.9 – Possible shell element model of an I beam with a cover plate	91
Figure C.10 – Node numbers superimposed upon the shell element weld mesh section in Figure C.9c)	92
Figure C.11 – Node numbers superimposed upon the shell element weld mesh section in Figure C.9f)	92
Figure C.12 – Element numbers superimposed upon the shell element weld mesh section in Figure C.9c) and d)	93
Figure C.13 – Element numbers superimposed upon the shell element weld mesh section in Figure C.9f)	93
Figure C.14 – Calculation of the SSE stress at node n2 of the shell mesh shown in Figures C.9 to Figure C.12	94
Figure C.15 – Stress distributions across sections of an I-beam with a cover plate	95
Figure C.16 – Region of T1 or MF integration for an edge attachment	96
Figure C.17 – Stress distribution through I beam flange underneath node n2 for solid mesh shown in Figure C.4, Figure C.5 and Figure C.7	96
Figure C.18 – Distribution of correctly averaged stresses plotted against distance $y$	97
Figure C.19 – Distribution of correctly averaged nodal forces plotted against distance $y$	100
Figure C.20 – Brick element mesh with definition of weld toe element size ( $f$ ) and plate thickness ( $t$ )	101
Figure C.21 – Brick element model of an I beam showing the region of connection between the connectivity representing the joining surface (shaded) to a cover plate when the weld overfill is not modelled	101
Figure C.22 – Dimensions used for inclined element representation of a fillet weld	102
Figure C.23 – Dimensions used for thicker element representation of a fillet weld	103
Figure C.24 – Example of symmetrical welded joint for which hot-spot stress is underestimated using methods in this annex	105
Figure C.25 – Types of misalignment and distortion	106
Figure D.1 – Flaw dimensions	109
Figure D.2 – Transverse load-carrying cruciform joint	110
Figure D.3 – Crack opening modes	111
Figure F.1 – Multi-run weld in tubular nodal joint requiring improvement of every weld toe	120
Figure F.2 – Recommendations for weld toe grinding	122
Figure F.3 – Toe grinding to improve fatigue strength	122
Figure F.4 – Effect of TIG or plasma torch position on resulting weld profile	123

- Figure F.5 – Modification to design  $S-N$  curve for untreated weld resulting from weld toe dressing 124
- Figure F.6 – Weld toe peening methods 125
- Figure F.7 – Weld toe peening 126
- Figure F.8 – Modification to design  $S-N$  curve for untreated weld resulting from weld toe peening 130
- Figure G.1 – Example of hot-spot stresses in a tubular node joint 131
- Figure G.2 – Locations A and B of stresses used for linear extrapolation to weld toes to determine hot-spot stresses in tubular joints 134
- Figure H.1 – Example of cycle counting by reservoir method 136

#### List of tables

- Table 1 – Classification of details: plain material free from welding 14
- Table 2 – Classification of details: bolted and riveted connections 15
- Table 3 – Classification of details: butt welds and continuous welded attachments essentially parallel to the direction of applied stress 16
- Table 4 – Classification of details: welded attachments on the surface or edge of a stressed member 18
- Table 5 – Classification of details: full penetration butt welds between co-planar plates 20
- Table 6 – Classification of details: transverse butt welds in sections, tubes and pipes 22
- Table 7 – Classification of details: load carrying fillet and J-butt joints between plates 25
- Table 8 – Classification of details: slotted connections and penetrations through stressed members 28
- Table 9 – Classification of details: circular tubular members 29
- Table 10 – Classification of details: branch connections in pressurized containers 31
- Table 11 – Guidance on non-destructive testing of planar imperfections in welds 39
- Table 12 – Fatigue based acceptance levels for embedded non-planar imperfections in butt welds 40
- Table 13 – Fatigue based acceptance levels for undercut in transversely stressed welds <sup>A) B)</sup> 40
- Table 14 – Effect of misalignment on the fatigue strength of transverse butt welded joints 41
- Table 15 – Effect of misalignment on the fatigue strength of cruciform welded joints 41
- Table 16 – Effect of misalignment on the fatigue strength of transverse butt or cruciform welded joints being assessed in terms of hot-spot stress 41
- Table 17 – Stresses used in fatigue assessments involving applied shear stresses 42
- Table 18 – Details of basic  $S-N$  curves 59
- Table 19 – Nominal probability factors 60
- Table 20 – Details of design  $S-N$  curves for steel in sea water 61
- Table C.1 – The performance of structural stress calculation procedures SSE, TTI and NF for assessing hot-spot type “a” weld toes or ends 107
- Table D.1 – Use of stress intensity corrections with nominal or hot-spot stress 115
- Table E.1 – Fatigue test factor,  $F$  119
- Table F.1 – Summary of weld toe peening methods 128
- Table F.2 – Improvement in fatigue strength due to weld toe peening 129

#### Summary of pages

This document comprises a front cover, an inside front cover, pages i to vi, pages 1 to 140, an inside back cover and a back cover.

## Foreword

### Publishing information

This British Standard is published by BSI Standards Limited, under licence from The British Standards Institution, and came into effect on 31 March 2014. It was prepared by Technical Committee WEE/37, *Acceptance levels for flaws in welds*. A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its secretary.

### Supersession

This British Standard supersedes BS 7608:1993, which is withdrawn.

### Information about this document

Guidance on general fatigue design philosophy is given in Annex A, which also contains a brief description of the method of using this British Standard. A more general method for assessing welded joints using the hot-spot stress, only included previously for assessing tubular joints, is also introduced.

The relevant application standard or specification for the particular product being assessed specifies the following:

- the loading to be assumed for design purposes, including its magnitude and frequency;
- the required life of the structure;
- the environmental conditions;
- the required nominal probability of failure.

This is a full revision of the standard, and introduces the following principal changes [1]:

- Introduction of the hot-spot stress method with guidance on finite element stress analysis (FEA).
- New correction for both plate thickness and applied bending with allowance for welded joint proportions.
- Additional weld details; some have been reclassified.
- Weld quality requirements based on fitness for purpose.
- Revised sea water corrosion fatigue data.
- New rules for bolts.
- Design data to resist shear fatigue failure.
- Guidance on stress calculation for combined loading.
- Revised cumulative damage rules.
- Comprehensive guidance on use of weld toe improvement methods.
- New guidance on acceptance fatigue testing and statistical analysis of results.

European standards containing fatigue rules for steel structures and pressure vessels have been published since the 1993 edition of this British Standard. It is therefore not applicable to product areas covered by them. It is applicable to a wide range of other steel product areas that do not have specific fatigue rules.

**Use of this document**

As a guide, this British Standard takes the form of guidance and recommendations. It should not be quoted as if it were a specification or a code of practice and claims of compliance cannot be made to it.

**Presentational conventions**

The guidance in this standard is presented in roman (i.e. upright) type. Any recommendations are expressed in sentences in which the principal auxiliary verb is "should".

*Commentary, explanation and general informative material is presented in smaller italic type, and does not constitute a normative element.*

**Contractual and legal considerations**

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

**Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.**

Currently in preview, click buy full version

# 1 Scope

## 1.1 General

This British Standard gives methods for assessing the fatigue life of parts of steel products that are subject to repeated fluctuations of stress. It is applicable to all areas of industrial application that are not covered by other British Standards containing fatigue assessment rules.

*NOTE* Some British Standards have specific product acceptance tests for fatigue life, but do not have assessment rules. In such cases the guidance in this British Standard might be applicable for product development purposes.

## 1.2 Applications not covered

This British Standard is not applicable to the following application areas;

- a) lighting columns (see BS EN 40);
- b) concrete building and civil engineering structures (see BS EN 1992);
- c) steel building and civil engineering structures [see BS EN 1993 (all parts)];
- d) composite steel and concrete building and civil engineering structures [see BS EN 1994 (all parts)];
- e) unfired pressure vessels (see BS EN 13445); and
- f) fixed offshore structures (see BS EN ISO 19902).

## 1.3 Materials

This British Standard covers:

- a) wrought steel material products;
- b) welds in fully machined areas of steel casting;
- c) ferritic alloy and low alloy steels;
- d) austenitic and duplex stainless steels;
- e) unprotected weathering steels; and
- f) threaded fasteners.

It is applicable to yield strengths in the range 200 N/mm<sup>2</sup> to 960 N/mm<sup>2</sup> and ultimate tensile strengths in the range 360 to 1 200 N/mm<sup>2</sup> for material thicknesses 3 mm and greater.

This British Standard is not applicable to the following:

- 1) proprietary fasteners;
- 2) steel castings;
- 3) cold drawn products;
- 4) wire ropes; and
- 5) steel for reinforcement in concrete.

## 1.4 Manufacturing processes

This British Standard is applicable to machined products with the following exceptions:

- a) rough sawn surfaces;
- b) surfaces requiring high quality surface finish (e.g. lapping, polishing, honing, fine grinding); and