

# ANSI B11.20–2017

American National Standard –

## Safety Requirements for Integrated Manufacturing Systems

ANSI-Accredited Standards Developer and Secretariat:



B11 Standards, Inc.  
POB 690905, Houston, TX 77269  
[www.b11standards.org](http://www.b11standards.org)

**Approved: 12 SEPTEMBER 2017**

by the American National Standards Institute  
Board of Standards Review



**COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

Copyright © 2017 by B11 Standards, Inc.

All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America  
No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval  
system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of B11 Standards, Inc.

# AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARDS

By approving this American National Standard, the ANSI Board of Standards Review confirms that the requirements for due process, consensus, balance and openness have been met by B11 Standards, Inc., (the ANSI-accredited standards developing organization). American National Standards are developed through a consensus process. Consensus is established when substantial agreement has been reached by directly and materially affected interests. Substantial agreement means much more than a simple majority, but not necessarily unanimity. Consensus requires that all views and objections be considered, and that a concerted effort be made toward resolution. This process brings together volunteers and/or seeks out the views of persons who have an interest in the topic covered by this publication. While B11 Standards, Inc., administers the process and establishes procedures to promote fairness in the development of consensus, it does not write the document and it does not independently test, evaluate or verify the accuracy or completeness of any information or the soundness of any judgments contained in its standards or guidelines.

American National Standards are promulgated through ANSI for voluntary use; their existence does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether they have approved the standards or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standards. However, users, distributors, regulatory bodies, certification agencies and others concerned may apply American National Standards as mandatory requirements in commerce and industry.

**Neither B11 Standards, Inc. nor any of the organizations or individuals that assisted in authoring, developing, editing or distributing this standard (B11 Standards, Inc. and such organizations and individuals collectively referred to as the “Contributing Parties”) makes any warranty, either expressed or implied, as to the fitness of merchantability or accuracy of the information contained within this standard. The Contributing Parties disclaim and make no warranty that the information in this document will fulfill any of your particular purposes or needs. The Contributing Parties disclaim liability for any personal injury, property or other damages of any nature whatsoever, whether special, indirect, consequential or compensatory, directly or indirectly resulting from the publication, use of, application or reliance on this document. The Contributing Parties do not undertake to guarantee the performance of any individual manufacturer or seller of products or services by virtue of this standard or guide, nor does it take any position with respect to the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with the items which are mentioned in or are the subject of this document. The Contributing Parties disclaim liability for the infringement of any patent resulting from the use of or reliance on this document. Users of this document are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.**

**In publishing or making this document available, the Contributing Parties are not undertaking to render professional or other services for or on behalf of any person or entity, nor are the Contributing Parties undertaking to perform any duty owed by any person or entity to someone else. Anyone using this document should rely on his or her own independent judgment, or as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances. In addition to conforming to the requirements of this standard, the responsible personnel must also make an independent determination as to whether a machine, activity or condition complies with the applicable legal requirements in the relevant jurisdiction(s).**

B11 Standards, Inc., has no power, nor does it undertake to police or enforce conformance to the requirements of this document. B11 Standards, Inc., does not certify, test or inspect products, designs, or installations for safety or health purposes. Any certification or other statement of conformance to any health or safety-related information in this document shall not be attributable to B11 Standards, Inc., and is solely the responsibility of the certifier or maker of the statement. The American National Standards Institute does not develop standards and will in no circumstances give an interpretation of an American National Standard. Moreover, no person shall have the right or authority to issue an interpretation of an American National Standard in the name of the American National Standards Institute. Requests for interpretations should be addressed to the Secretariat (B11 Standards, Inc.).

**NOTICE:** This American National Standard may be revised or withdrawn at any time. The procedures of the American National Standards Institute require that action be taken periodically to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this standard. You may contact the Secretariat for current status information on this, or other B11 standards.

Published by: B11 Standards, Inc.  
POB 690905, Houston, Texas 77269, USA  
Copyright © 2017 by B11 Standards, Inc.

All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America

**No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.**

# TABLE of CONTENTS

PAGE

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| FOREWORD.....  | VII       |
| EXPLANATION OF THE FORMAT, AND ANSI B11 CONVENTIONS.....               | XI        |
| INTRODUCTION.....  | XII       |
| <b>1 SCOPE .....</b>   | <b>1</b>  |
| 1.1 GENERAL.....   | 1         |
| 1.2 EXCLUSIONS .....   | 1         |
| <b>2 NORMATIVE REFERENCES .....</b>                                    | <b>2</b>  |
| <b>3 DEFINITIONS.....</b>  | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>4 RESPONSIBILITY.....</b>   | <b>11</b> |
| 4.1 SUPPLIER RESPONSIBILITIES .....                                    | 11        |
| 4.2 USER RESPONSIBILITIES.....   | 11        |
| 4.3 INTEGRATOR / MODIFIER / REBUILDER RESPONSIBILITIES .....           | 12        |
| 4.4 PERSONNEL RESPONSIBILITIES.....                                    | 12        |
| <b>5 RISK ASSESSMENT PROCESS.....</b>                                  | <b>12</b> |
| <b>6 DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, RE-CONSTRUCTION, AND MODIFICATION.....</b>  | <b>15</b> |
| 6.1 GENERAL.....   | 15        |
| 6.2 LAYOUT DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.....                                    | 15        |
| 6.3 ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS.....                             | 16        |
| 6.4 MODES OF OPERATION .....   | 16        |
| 6.5 LOCAL CONTROL.....   | 18        |
| 6.6 ISOLATION OF POWER SOURCES .....                                   | 18        |
| 6.7 STORED ENERGY .....  | 19        |
| 6.8 EMERGENCY MOVEMENT .....   | 19        |
| 6.9 PERFORMANCE OF THE SAFETY-RELATED PARTS OF THE CONTROL SYSTEM..... | 20        |
| 6.10 ZONE STARTING/RESTARTING .....                                    | 20        |
| 6.11 EMERGENCY STOP.....   | 20        |
| 6.12 FLUID IMPACT ON OPERATING ENVIRONMENT AND EQUIPMENT .....         | 21        |
| 6.13 MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS.....                            | 21        |
| 6.14 RISK REDUCTION DESIGN REQUIREMENTS .....                          | 22        |
| 6.15 EJECTED PARTS OR FLUIDS .....                                     | 23        |
| 6.16 STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY .....  | 23        |
| 6.17 NOISE .....   | 23        |
| 6.18 ERGONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS.....                                     | 24        |
| 6.19 LOCATION OF AWARENESS MEANS.....                                  | 24        |
| 6.20 ERRORS OF FITTING.....  | 25        |
| 6.21 LIFTING OF SYSTEM COMPONENTS.....                                 | 25        |
| 6.22 DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS .....                                  | 25        |
| 6.23 REQUIREMENTS FOR REBUILD OR MODIFICATIONS .....                   | 26        |
| <b>7 LAYOUT, INSTALLATION, TESTING AND START-UP.....</b>               | <b>27</b> |
| 7.1 GENERAL.....   | 27        |
| 7.2 LAYOUT .....   | 27        |
| 7.3 INSTALLATION.....  | 28        |
| 7.4 TESTING AND START-UP.....  | 29        |
| <b>8 RISK REDUCTION MEASURES .....</b>                                 | <b>30</b> |
| 8.1 GENERAL.....   | 30        |
| 8.2 RISK REDUCTION REQUIREMENTS .....                                  | 30        |
| 8.3 GUARDS .....   | 31        |
| 8.4 ENGINEERING CONTROL DEVICES (SAFEGUARDING DEVICES).....            | 31        |
| 8.5 AWARENESS MEANS.....   | 32        |

|   |   |           |
|---|---|-----------|
| 8.6   | SPECIFIC HAZARDS .....  | 32        |
| 8.7   | SAFE WORK PROCEDURES .....  | 33        |
| 8.8   | SPAN OF CONTROL.....  | 33        |
| 8.9   | MUTING .....  | 34        |
| 8.10  | SAFETY-RELATED SENSING FIELD SWITCHING .....                            | 34        |
| 8.11  | SAFETY-RELATED SYSTEM RESET .....                                       | 34        |
| <b>9</b>  | <b>SET-UP, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....</b>                          | <b>35</b> |
| 9.1   | GENERAL.....  | 35        |
| 9.2   | MACHINE SET-UP PROCEDURES.....  | 35        |
| 9.3   | OPERATION.....  | 36        |
| 9.4   | MAINTENANCE.....  | 36        |
| 9.5   | SUPERVISION .....   | 39        |
| 9.6   | HAZARDOUS ENERGY CONTROL.....   | 40        |
| 9.7   | INITIATION OF NORMAL OPERATION.....                                     | 40        |
| 9.8   | SAFETY SIGNS .....  | 40        |
| 9.9   | PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE) .....                               | 40        |
| <b>10</b>   | <b>TRAINING .....</b>   | <b>41</b> |
| 10.1  | GENERAL .....   | 41        |
| 10.2  | TRAINING ELEMENTS.....  | 41        |
| 10.3  | OPERATOR TRAINING .....   | 43        |
| 10.4  | MAINTENANCE PERSONNEL TRAINING .....                                    | 44        |
| 10.5  | SUPERVISOR TRAINING .....   | 44        |
| 10.6  | RETRAINING.....   | 44        |
| <b>11</b>   | <b>DECOMMISSIONING PROCESS .....</b>                                    | <b>44</b> |
| 11.1  | SUPPLIER RESPONSIBILITIES .....   | 44        |
| 11.2  | USER RESPONSIBILITIES .....   | 44        |
| 11.3  | MODIFIER RESPONSIBILITIES .....   | 45        |
| 11.4  | DECOMMISSIONING TASK.....   | 45        |
| 11.5  | CONTROL OF HAZARDOUS ENERGY.....  | 45        |
| 11.6  | LAYOUT.....   | 46        |
| 11.7  | TRANSFER OF INFORMATION AND RISK .....                                  | 46        |
| <b>ANNEX A – ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES OF INTEGRATED MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS .....</b>  |   | <b>47</b> |
| <b>ANNEX B – EXAMPLES OF HAZARDS, TASKS, AND TASK/HAZARD PAIR LISTS GENERATED BY AN INTEGRATED MANUFACTURING SYSTEM RISK ASSESSMENT .....</b> |   | <b>52</b> |
| <b>ANNEX C – PERFORMANCE OF THE SAFETY-RELATED FUNCTION(S) .....</b>  |   | <b>56</b> |
| <b>ANNEX D – ZONE DETERMINATION AND SPAN OF CONTROL.....</b>  |   | <b>57</b> |
| D.1   | GENERAL INFORMATION.....  | 57        |
| D.2   | SPECIFICATION OF LIMITS.....  | 60        |
| D.3   | TASK/HAZARD IDENTIFICATION.....   | 61        |
| D.4   | IDENTIFY TASK ZONES .....   | 63        |
| D.5   | SELECT RISK REDUCTION MEASURES .....                                    | 64        |
| D.6   | IDENTIFY CONTROL ZONES .....  | 65        |
| D.7   | IDENTIFY SPAN(S) OF CONTROL .....                                       | 66        |
| D.8   | EXAMPLES OF SPANS OF CONTROL .....                                      | 69        |
| <b>ANNEX E – SPECIAL MODE.....</b>  |   | <b>88</b> |
| E.1   | GENERAL .....   | 88        |
| E.2   | CONSIDERATIONS ON RISK REDUCTION FOR SPECIAL MODE (SEE FIGURE E.1)..... | 90        |
| <b>ANNEX F – GENERAL GUIDELINES FOR OPERATOR TRAINING.....</b>  |   | <b>92</b> |

## LIST of FIGURES

## PAGE

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Figure 1 – Organization of the B11 series of documents .....                                     | xii |
| Figure 2 – Typical clause layout of B11 base standards showing the various responsibilities..... | xiv |
| Figure 3 – The risk assessment process .....   | 14  |

### ANNEX A – ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES OF INTEGRATED MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Figure A.1 – Simple block diagram of an example IMS.....  | 47 |
| Figure A.2 – IMS example using a robot as a material handling system .....  | 48 |
| Figure A.3 – IMS example comprised of several zones .....   | 49 |
| Figure A.4 – Multi-zone IMS example with overhead gantry .....  | 50 |
| Figure A.5 – IMS example of horizontal hydraulic extrusion press with integrated die carrier and butt shear ..... | 51 |

### ANNEX D – ZONE DETERMINATION AND SPAN OF CONTROL

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Figure D.1 – IMS example of horizontal hydraulic extrusion press with integrated die carrier and butt shear ..... | 57 |
| Figure D.2 – The layout analysis process.....   | 59 |
| Figure D.3 – Specification of the limits of the IMS .....   | 60 |
| Figure D.4 – Identification of task locations and access requirements .....                                       | 61 |
| Figure D.5 – Identification of hazards / hazard zones and associated hazardous situations .....                   | 62 |
| Figure D.6 – Identification of task zones.....  | 63 |
| Figure D.7 – Selection of engineering controls .....  | 64 |
| Figure D.8 – Identification of control zones.....   | 65 |
| Figure D.9 – Level of safety performance related to spans of control .....  | 68 |

### ANNEX D – EXAMPLE 1: SEPARATE ZONES WITHIN A SINGLE SAFEGUARDED SPACE

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Figure D.10a – IMS with single safeguarded space.....                        | 69 |
| Figure D.10b – Two control zones within single safeguarded space .....       | 70 |
| Figure D.10c – Span of control of access doors .....                         | 71 |
| Figure D.10d – Span of control of conveyor system emergency stop device..... | 72 |
| Figure D.10e – Span of control of IMS emergency stop devices.....            | 73 |

### ANNEX D – EXAMPLE 2: SUBDIVIDING THE SAFEGUARDED SPACE

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Figure D.11a – IMS with divided safeguarded space.....  | 75 |
| Figure D.11b – Additional access door (#4) between internal safeguarded spaces of the IMS ..... | 76 |
| Figure D.11c – Three control zones within the IMS .....   | 77 |
| Figure D.11d – Span of control of access door #1 .....  | 78 |
| Figure D.11e – Span of control of access doors #2 & #3 .....                                    | 79 |
| Figure D.11f – Span of control of access doors #1 & #4 .....                                    | 80 |

### ANNEX D – EXAMPLE 3: OVERLAPPING CONTROL ZONES

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Figure D.12a – Addition of manual loading fixture and associated engineering controls to the IMS ..... | 82 |
| Figure D.12b – Overlapping control zones within the IMS .....  | 83 |
| Figure D.12c – Span of control of light curtain.....   | 84 |

### ANNEX D – EXAMPLE 4: SYSTEM EMERGENCY STOP DEVICES

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Figure D.13a – Span of control of external emergency stop devices..... | 86 |
|--|----|

### ANNEX E – SPECIAL MODE

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Figure E.1 – Risk reduction for special mode ..... | 89 |
|--|----|

# LIST of TABLES

# PAGE

|  |    |
|--|----|
| <b>ANNEX B – EXAMPLES OF HAZARDS, TASKS, AND TASK/HAZARD PAIR LISTS GENERATED BY AN INTEGRATED MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS RISK ASSESSMENT</b> |    |
| Table B.1 – Example hazard list.....   | 53 |
| Table B.2 – Example task list.....   | 54 |
| Table B.3 – Example task/hazard pair list .....  | 55 |
| <b>ANNEX D – ZONE DETERMINATION AND SPAN OF CONTROL</b>  |    |
| Table D.1 – Spans of control level of safety performance .....   | 67 |
| <b>ANNEX D – EXAMPLE 1: SEPARATE ZONES WITHIN A SINGLE SAFEGUARDED SPACE</b>   |    |
| Table D.2 – Spans of control for example 1.....  | 74 |
| <b>ANNEX D – EXAMPLE 2: SUBDIVIDING THE SAFEGUARDED SPACE</b>  |    |
| Table D.3 – Spans of control for example 2.....  | 81 |
| <b>ANNEX D – EXAMPLE 3: OVERLAPPING CONTROL ZONES</b>  |    |
| Table D.4 – Spans of control for example 3.....  | 85 |
| <b>ANNEX D – EXAMPLE 4: SYSTEM EMERGENCY STOP DEVICES</b>  |    |
| Table D.5 – Spans of control for example 4.....  | 87 |

## FOREWORD

(This Foreword is not part of the requirements of American National Standard B11.20-2017)

### Overview

Each integrated manufacturing system (IMS, [1.1](#)) can be very different in terms of size and complexity, and can incorporate different technologies that require diverse expertise and knowledge. An integrated manufacturing system should be considered as a whole new and different machine rather than simply the sum of its parts combined. Hazards are frequently unique to a particular IMS. The number and types of hazards are directly related to the nature of the automation process and the complexity of the installation. The risks associated with these hazards vary with the type of machinery used, their purpose, and the way in which they are installed, programmed, operated, and maintained.

As individual machines and equipment are incorporated into an IMS, new hazards arise and new risks are introduced. In particular, combining individual machines intended by the supplier to operate independently into an IMS for coordinated operation typically introduces new or additional hazards not foreseen by each OEM. This standard deals only with those safety aspects that are important for the safety-relevant interconnection of the machinery and equipment. Therefore, it is not intended to cover safety aspects of individual machines and equipment that may be covered by machine-specific “base” safety standards.

This standard relies on other standards to determine which risk reduction measures are required or allowed to control identified hazards or hazardous situations, and is intended to be used in conjunction with the ANSI B11.0 standard on general safety requirements and risk assessment of machines, the ANSI B11.19 standard on performance requirements for risk reduction measures, and a variety of machine-specific “base” safety standard for the given machinery incorporated into an IMS.

Designing and integrating an IMS with acceptable risk depends on the cooperation of a variety of “stakeholders” – those entities that share in a responsibility for the ultimate purpose of providing a safe working environment. Stakeholders may be identified as suppliers (see [4.1](#)), users (see [4.2](#)), modifiers (see [4.3](#)), or personnel (see [4.4](#)), but all share the common goal of an IMS with acceptable risk. The requirements in this standard may be assigned to one of the stakeholders, but overlapping responsibilities can involve multiple stakeholders in the same requirements. While using this standard, the reader is cautioned that all of the requirements identified may apply to them, even if not specifically addressed by “assigned” stakeholder tasks.

The supplier or modifier of an IMS needs the cooperation of entities who, individually, may know only a part of the whole. Where there are requirements for frequent manual interventions to parts of the IMS (e.g., inspections, maintenance, set-up, observation) it can be impractical or unnecessary to stop the whole IMS. This standard presents requirements to provide for the safety of individuals who perform these tasks. Risk reduction for these tasks relates to the concept and use of “task zones.”

### Objective

The primary objective of this standard is to eliminate or control hazards to personnel associated with integrated manufacturing systems by establishing requirements for the construction, operation and maintenance of these machine systems. To accomplish this objective, responsibilities have been assigned to the supplier (e.g., manufacturer, rebuilder, reconstructor, installer, integrator), the user, the modifier, and personnel in the working environment.

Historically, terms have been used in the B11 community to describe requirements for the safety of machinery. With the globalization of standards and the need to harmonize requirements language, the ability to translate terms has become increasingly important. In addition, some terms are commonly used but not necessarily with common meanings. As a result, this edition of B11.20 has transitioned to certain new terms, including:

| Previous term                   | Current term   |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Safeguarding                    | Risk reduction measures / Engineering controls                 |
| Guards and safeguarding devices | Engineering controls - Guards / Engineering controls - Devices |
| Awareness devices               | Awareness means  |
| Complementary equipment         | Term no longer used  |
| Safeguarding methods            | Part of risk reduction measures                                |

The words “safe” and “safety” are not absolutes. Safety begins with good design. While the goal of this standard is to eliminate injuries, it is recognized that risk factors cannot be practically reduced to zero in any human activity. This standard is not intended to replace good judgment and personal responsibility. Operator skill, attitude, training, job monotony, fatigue and experience are safety factors that must be considered by the user.

Automation technology is continuously evolving. This standard reflects the best industry practice and time-tested state of the art at the time of its approval. The inclusion or omission of language relative to any evolving technology, either in the requirements (normative) or explanatory (informative) area of this standard, in no way infers acceptance or rejection of such technologies. This standard allows effective solutions to be developed using risk assessment as justification.

## History of this American National Standard

This is the third edition of the ANSI B11.20 standard, and it is generally considered as a type-B standard (see [Introduction](#)). The original ANSI B11.20 standard was approved in 1991, reaffirmed in 1996 and revised in 2004. The second edition was reaffirmed in 2009 and again in 2015.

In this revision, the concepts of various “zones” have been elaborated. In addition to the existing terms “zone” and “hazard zone,” the term “task zone” has been incorporated and harmonized with ISO 11161 (also a standard on integrated manufacturing systems), and the term “control zone” has been introduced. The idea of “span of control” has been updated and harmonized with ISO 11161. These terms are used to expand the concept of layout analysis as a process to determine zones and define spans of control for safety-related control devices, including minimum level of safety performance for each device. A new [Annex D](#) has been developed which details the layout analysis process.

In addition, this revision has expounded on the concept of “special mode” as any additional mode required to operate equipment when integrated into an IMS that is not necessary when the equipment is operated independently. A new [Annex E](#) has been developed to address considerations before adding a new “special mode.” This annex is an extension of the “process observation” topic addressed in both ISO 11161 and ISO 10218-2 (a standard on industrial robot systems and integration).

Furthermore, this revision incorporates the B11 Accredited Standards Committee’s (ASC) initiative to apply standardized processes and common language to the entire B11 series of ANSI standards through a stratified approach to standardization (this is called type-A, type-B and type-C standards). Consequently, many definitions for common terms contained in the previous edition of B11.20 can now be found in the ANSI B11.0 and ANSI B11.19 standards. This initiative also significantly impacted clauses [4](#), [5](#), [7](#), and [9](#). New content to address training has been incorporated in a new [clause 10](#) and [Annex F](#), and a new [clause 11](#) on the decommissioning process has been added as well. Furthermore, the concepts of layout analysis and span of control developed by the B11.20 Subcommittee have been included in the B11 ASC standardized process and common language for incorporation into the ANSI B11 Type-C standards.

The B11 standards for machines/machine tools were first approved beginning with safety requirements for power presses in 1922. Since that time, safety standards and requirements for a variety of machines have been developed and continually updated and revised to become a series of nearly 40 B11 standards and technical reports. This series contains type-A standards such as ANSI B11.0 and ANSI/ISO 12100 on broad/general safety requirements, type-B standards such as ANSI B11.19, B11.20 and all of the B11 technical reports dealing with broad safety aspects such as risk reduction measures (safeguarding), ergonomics, clean/safety integration and noise, and type-C standards addressing specific machine types or groups of like machines. This B11.20 standard is intended to be used with ANSI B11.0, ANSI B11.19, and any applicable machine-specific standards. The requirements of all standards must be met as applicable to a particular IMS.

## Effective Date

This B11.20 Subcommittee recognizes that some period of time after the approval date on the title page of this document is necessary for suppliers, integrators, modifiers and users to develop new designs, or modify existing designs or manufacturing processes in order to incorporate the new or revised requirements of this standard into their product development or integrated manufacturing system.

This B11.20 Subcommittee recommends that suppliers complete and implement design changes for new integrated manufacturing systems within 30 months of the approval of this standard.

This B11.20 Subcommittee recommends that users evaluate whether existing integrated manufacturing systems have acceptable risk within 30 months of the approval date of this standard using generally recognized risk assessment methods. If the risk assessment shows that modification(s) is necessary, refer to the requirements of this standard to implement risk reduction measures for appropriate risk reduction.

## Harmonization

The requirements of this standard have been harmonized with the international standard ISO 11161 on the same subject matter, as well as selected U.S. standards. Harmonization means that the requirements have been aligned in essence to achieve a similar level of risk reduction. Harmonization does not mean duplication of exact requirements.

Considerable effort has been made to avoid conflicting requirements, however, the task is quite challenging because the standards do not map directly in scope, requirements, approaches and revision dates. ISO safety standards tend to be very directive in nature, thus possibly limiting solutions for particular applications. In addition, ISO 11161 only applies to the suppliers of integrated manufacturing systems and is unable to include any requirements for users. ISO 11161 also only applies to new systems and excludes existing systems. ANSI B11.20 differs from ISO 11161 in that it specifically includes requirements for suppliers, integrators, modifiers, and end users, and it also addresses both new and legacy systems.

Differences between ANSI B11.20 and ISO 11161 result from different analytical methods or approaches. However, the differences between the requirements are generally considered inconsequential to the achievement of acceptable risk or to compliance with the EU [Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC](#), OSHA (specifically, [29 CFR 1910.212\(a\)\(1\)](#)), or other local requirements. As a result, using risk assessment as described by ANSI B11.0 and complying with the requirements of machine-specific “base” safety standards, ANSI B11.20 and ANSI B11.19 will enable a machine supplier to meet the essential safety and health requirements contained in Annex I of the EU Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC when used as alternate technical specifications to EU harmonized standards.

## Inquiries

Inquiries with respect to the application or the substantive requirements of this standard and suggestions for its improvement are welcomed, and should be sent to the B11 Standards, Inc., POB 690905, Houston Texas, 77269; Attention: B11 Secretariat or [www.b11standards.org](http://www.b11standards.org).

## Development

This standard was prepared by the B11.20 Subcommittee, processed for comment/balloting by the B11 Accredited Standards Committee, and submitted for ANSI approval by the Secretariat. At the time of approval as an American National Standard, the ANSI B11 ASC was composed of the following Members:

### B11 Accredited Standards Committee Leadership:

Alan Metelsky, Chairman  
Barry Boggs, Vice-Chairman  
David A. Felinski, Secretary