

Manual of Water Supply Practices

M58

Internal Corrosion Control in Water Distribution Systems

Second Edition

click buy full version



American Water Works
Association

M58

Internal Corrosion Control in Water Distribution Systems

Second Edition



American Water Works
Association

Manual of Water Supply Practices—M58, Second Edition

Internal Corrosion Control in Water Distribution Systems

Copyright © 2011, 2017 American Water Works Association

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information or retrieval system, except in the form of brief excerpts or quotations for review purposes, without the written permission of the publisher.

Disclaimer

The authors, contributors, editors, and publisher do not assume responsibility for the validity of the content or any consequences of its use. In no event will AWWA be liable for direct, indirect, special, incidental, or consequential damages arising out of the use of information presented in this book. In particular, AWWA will not be responsible for any costs, including, but not limited to, those incurred as a result of lost revenue. In no event shall AWWA's liability exceed the amount paid for the purchase of this book.

Project Manager: Melissa Valentine
Cover Art: Melanie Yamamoto
Production: Glacier Publishing Services, Inc.
Manuals Specialist: Sue Bach

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data has been applied for

Names: Giani, Richard E., author. | Hill, Christopher P. Internal corrosion control in water distribution systems. | American Water Works Association.
Title: M58--internal corrosion control in water distribution systems / by Richard E. Giani.

Other titles: Internal corrosion control in water distribution systems
Description: Second edition. | Denver, CO : American Water Works Association, [2017] | Earlier edition: Internal corrosion control in water distribution systems / [by Christopher P. Hill, Abigail F. Cantor]. c2011. | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2017002003 | ISBN 9781625762023

Subjects: LCSH: Water-pipes--Corrosion--Drinking water--Contamination. | Water--Distribution. | Corrosion and anti-corrosives--Testing.

Classification: LCC TD491 .G49 2017 | DDC 628.1/44--dc23 LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2017002003>



This AWWA content is the product of thousands of hours of work by your fellow water professionals. Revenue from the sales of this AWWA material supports ongoing product development. Unauthorized distribution, either electronic or photocopied, is illegal and hinders AWWA's mission to support the water community.

ISBN-13 978-1-62576-202-3

eISBN-13 978-1-61300-404-3

Printed in the United States of America
American Water Works Association
6666 West Quincy Avenue
Denver, CO 80235-3098
awwa.org



Printed on
recycled paper

Contents

| | |
|--|------------|
| List of Figures, v | |
| List of Tables, ix | |
| Preface, xi | |
| Acknowledgments, xiii | |
| Chapter 1 Overview of Internal Corrosion Impacts in Drinking Water Distribution Systems | 1 |
| Introduction, 1 | |
| Purpose of This Manual, 1 | |
| Customer and Infrastructure Impacts of Internal Corrosion, 2 | |
| Regulatory Impacts, 6 | |
| Additional Reading, 12 | |
| References, 13 | |
| Chapter 2 Fundamentals of Internal Corrosion and Metal Release | 19 |
| Introduction, 19 | |
| Mechanisms of Metal Release by Uniform Corrosion, 20 | |
| Mechanisms of Metal Release by Microbiological Activity, 31 | |
| Mechanisms of Metal Release by Accumulation and Transport, 36 | |
| Other Mechanisms of Metal Release, 40 | |
| Summary, 42 | |
| References, 43 | |
| Chapter 3 Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment of Internal Corrosion and Increased Metals Concentrations | 49 |
| Introduction, 49 | |
| Part 1: Water Quality Parameters Affecting Corrosion and Metals Release, 50 | |
| Part 2: Developing an Investigative Water Quality Monitoring Program, 73 | |
| Characterizing Corrosion Control and Metals Release, 77 | |
| Premise Plumbing Profiles, 86 | |
| Summary, 89 | |
| Additional Reading, 89 | |
| References, 90 | |
| Chapter 4 Corrosion Control Treatment Techniques | 99 |
| Introduction, 99 | |
| Corrosion Control Treatment, 100 | |
| Considerations for Corrosion Control Treatment Selection, 116 | |
| Bench Testing, 123 | |
| Coupon and Pipe Loop Tests, 126 | |
| Conclusion, 126 | |
| References, 127 | |
| Chapter 5 Distribution System Corrosion Control: Design, Operations, and Maintenance Techniques | 133 |
| Introduction, 133 | |
| Distribution System Design Considerations, 134 | |
| System Operations and Maintenance, 149 | |

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| | Pipe Rehabilitation, 159 |
| | Conclusion, 167 |
| | Additional Reading, 167 |
| | References, 168 |
| Chapter 6 | Tools to Monitor Effectiveness of Corrosion Control and Metals Release Remediation173 |
| | Introduction, 173 |
| | Monitoring Strategy, 174 |
| | Sampling Locations, 175 |
| | Types of Samples, 177 |
| | Coupon Studies, 184 |
| | Alternative Monitoring Approach, 186 |
| | Data Management and Analysis, 188 |
| | Summary, 196 |
| | References, 196 |
| Index, 199 | |
| List of AWWA Manuals, 207 | |

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Figures

- 1-1 Red-water sample from a US distribution system, 3
- 1-2 Number of large US water systems exceeding the lead action level, 8
- 1-3 Comparison of 90th percentile LCR monitoring data for 166 large public water systems, 8

- 2-1 Typical battery, 21
- 2-2 Typical electrochemical interaction between water and metal pipe, 22
- 2-3 Barrier between water and metal pipe, 22
- 2-4 Graphical representation of lead carbonate solubility model, 24
- 2-5 Contaminant accumulation and release mechanisms that may occur in a distribution system piping, 37
- 2-6 Inside a transmission main, showing accumulated material around entire circumference, 37
- 2-7 Incorporation of inorganic material (which appears white) in biofilm, 38
- 2-8 Characteristic horseshoe-shaped pit of erosion corrosion, 41

- 3-1 Variation of buffer intensity with pH, 52
- 3-2 Relationship between alkalinity and DIC for various pH levels, 54
- 3-3 Effect of DIC (measured as C) on buffer intensity, 55
- 3-4 Impact of oxidants on iron release from corrosion scales, 57
- 3-5 Comparison of iron levels with and without DO during pipe rig stagnation trials, 58
- 3-6 Comparison of color concentration and rate of color development with and without DO during pipe rig stagnation trials, 58
- 3-7 Effect of oxidant type on ORP in weakly buffered deionized water, 59
- 3-8 Lead Pourbaix diagram for 0.15 mg/L Pb, 18 mg C/L DIC, and $I = 0$, 60
- 3-9 Iron Pourbaix diagram for a carbonate-containing water at 25°C and $I = 0$, 61
- 3-10 Copper Pourbaix diagram, 61
- 3-11 Impact of chloride-to-sulfate ratio on lead corrosion, 64
- 3-12 Linear regression R^2 values for paired occurrence data from ice pig trials at two locations (AST and UPA) from a utility in Utah, 70
- 3-13 Occurrence distribution of trace and common matrix elements in distribution system deposits, 71
- 3-14 Overview of solid sample processing and analytical techniques, 82
- 3-15 Longitudinal cross sections of lead pipe showing differences in corrosion scales, 82
- 3-16 Pipes with lead-dioxide scales cut horizontally prior to XRD, 83
- 3-17 XRD pattern for an LSL from Washington, D.C., 84
- 3-18 Example of a capped pipe ready for transportation, 85
- 3-19 Example of a lead profile at a residential home with a lead service line, 88
- 3-20 Example of a lead profile at a residential home with galvanized internal plumbing and lead service line, 88

- 4-1 Iron and manganese solubility, 106
- 4-2 Slurry slaker, 107
- 4-3 Paste slaker, 108
- 4-4 Ball mill lime slaker, 109
- 4-5 Type of phosphate inhibitor used by water utilities, 111
- 4-6 Reasons for using phosphate inhibitors, 111
- 4-7 Apparatus setup for filtering calcium carbonate and other precipitates such as iron, manganese, and phosphate, 125

- 5-1 Asbestos–cement pipe, 136
- 5-2 Brass faucet fittings and faucets can contain as much as 8 percent lead by weight, 137
- 5-3 Bronze fitting typically used in premise plumbing, 137
- 5-4 Copper pipe commonly used for service lines and premise plumbing, 138
- 5-5 Galvanized pipe commonly found in homes built before 1980 and may be a source of lead through iron adsorption and release, 138
- 5-6 Inside view of a tuberculated, unlined cast-iron main, 139
- 5-7 Harvested lead service lines, 140
- 5-8 Plastic water supply pipe, 141
- 5-9 Examples of couplings that can minimize galvanic corrosion on service lines, 142
- 5-10 Branched (A) and grid/loop (B) designs, 143
- 5-11 System design concepts to support main cleaning, 144
- 5-12 Dedicated pipe access station for swab launch or retrieval, 146
- 5-13 Portable automatic flushing station with 2½ in. flowmeter; semipermanent automatic flushing station, 148
- 5-14 Example of polyethylene casing for ductile-iron pipe, 149
- 5-15 Example of cathodic protection, using a sacrificial anode to protect the outer wall of a metallic pipe, 150
- 5-16 Corrosion scale on unlined cast-iron pipe; metals-rich cohesive sludge on cement-mortar-lined ductile-iron pipe, 151
- 5-17 Step-velocity test illustrating solids bleeding effect at suboptimal velocity, 154
- 5-18 Sections of unlined cast-iron pipe after UDF and after ice pigging indicate preservation of corrosion tubercles throughout the ice pigging process, whereas UDF caused exposure to weaker tubercles and pipe scales that led to increased discolored water complaints, 156
- 5-19 Bullet-shaped and cube-shaped polyurethane foam swabs, 156
- 5-20 Mechanical scraping head used to clean pipe scale, 157
- 5-21 Results for material mobilized in terms of turbidity and iron (y-axis) versus shear stress of flushing (x-axis) following conditioning at three shear stresses, 158
- 5-22 Flow and turbidity record for a transmission main segment, 159
- 5-23 Application of cement–mortar lining, 160
- 5-24 Example of pipe before and after cleaning and lining with cement mortar, 160
- 5-25 Steel pipe with epoxy coating, 161
- 5-26 LSL cutting tool used to reduce cutting and disturbance during LSL replacement, 166

- 6-1 Flow-through AwwaRF pipe loop apparatus, 178
- 6-2 Mini pipe loop used as a distribution system monitoring station in 1996, 179
- 6-3 Mini pipe loops of three metals for chemical treatment comparison in 1999, 179
- 6-4 PRS monitoring station, a standardized distribution system monitoring station, 181
- 6-5 View of plates sitting inside and stacked in open test chambers in a PRS monitoring station, 182
- 6-6 Water Research Foundation Project 4317 pipe rig, 184
- 6-7 Typical copper coupons, 185
- 6-8 Typical coupon study apparatus, 185
- 6-9 Process flow chart for multi-level corrosion control sampling at total coliform sampling sites, 189
- 6-10 Example of a time series plot, 190
- 6-11 Summary of Shewhart control chart characteristics, 191
- 6-12 Shewhart control chart of Table 6-6 data, 193
- 6-13 Histogram examples of two datasets of lead concentration data, 195

This page intentionally blank.

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Tables

- 1-1 Eight steps to implementing an effective corrosion control program, 2
- 1-2 Summary of potential copper corrosion issues, causes, and solutions, 5

- 2-1 Chapter 2 key points, 20
- 2-2 Solubility of various lead compounds found in drinking water, 26
- 2-3 Example of metal concentrations from flushing operations within one distribution system area comprised of multiple pipe materials, 39
- 2-4 Water quality conditions that affect deposit and trace contaminant stability, 41

- 3-1 Chapter 3 key points, 51
- 3-2 Impact of coagulant changes on lead corrosion, 63
- 3-3 Suggested water quality monitoring parameters, 74
- 3-4 Assessment of common corrosion-related water quality problems, 80
- 3-5 Distance–volume relationships for some common residential piping, useful for planning, sampling, and diagnosing lead sources, 87

- 4-1 Chapter 4 key points, 100
- 4-2 Advantages and disadvantages of various corrosion control treatment methods, 101
- 4-3 Characteristics of common corrosion control treatment chemicals, 105
- 4-4 Potential impact of treatment changes on corrosion control, 120
- 4-5 Potential secondary impacts of corrosion control treatment, 121
- 4-6 Corrosion control technique selection criteria, 127

- 5-1 Chapter 5 key points, 134
- 5-2 Corrosion properties of materials frequently used in water distribution systems, 135
- 5-3 Galvanic couples that can cause severe corrosion, 141
- 5-4 General system design strategies to support cleaning loop development, 145
- 5-5 System design strategies to support foam swabbing, 146
- 5-6 Volume effect of pipe diameter, 147
- 5-7 Impacts of legacy deposits on water quality and corrosion control, 152
- 5-8 Classification of main cleaning methods, 153
- 5-9 Pipe linings—Summary of applicable technology and recommended use, 162

- 6-1 Chapter 6 key points, 174
- 6-2 Water quality parameters related to categories of factors that shape water quality in distribution systems, 175
- 6-3 Summary of coupon protocols used for distribution system corrosion measures, 187
- 6-4 Example of Level 1 water quality parameters, 188
- 6-5 Example of Level 2 water quality parameters, 188
- 6-6 Calculation of an average-based Shewhart control chart with subgroup size $n = 1$, 193

This page intentionally blank.

Preface



This is the second edition of AWWA Manual M58, *Internal Corrosion Control in Water Distribution Systems*, prepared by the Distribution System Water Quality Committee. It provides practical guidance to aid public water systems in designing, implementing, and maintaining an effective corrosion control program. This manual is intended to help readers understand the factors that influence corrosion, assess corrosion-related impacts, and develop a strategy to implement and maintain effective corrosion control in the water distribution system.

The increased focus surrounding corrosion-related water quality and infrastructure impacts in water distribution systems has generated new research and information in this area. As such, this update of AWWA Manual M58 is a reflection of those advancements, including changes in regulatory requirements, the addition of pertinent case studies and examples, and incorporation of other new material reflective of changes in the industry. In the future, the AWWA Distribution System Water Quality Committee will continue to update this manual as new issues and questions arise.

Editor's note: Throughout this manual, references are made to Awwa Research Foundation (AwwaRF). In 2009, the foundation changed its name to Water Research Foundation. Any publication prior to 2009 will reflect the foundation's original name.

This page intentionally blank.

Acknowledgments



This second edition of AWWA Manual M58 was written through the persistent, dedicated work of the following authors:

J. Boxall, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, U.K.
R. Brown, Cornwell Engineering Group, Long Beach, Calif.
A. Cantor, Process Research Solutions, LLC, Madison, Wis.
M. Friedman, Confluence Engineering Group, LLC, Seattle, Wash.
R. Giani, CH2M, Lees Summit, Mo.
A. Hill, Confluence Engineering Group, LLC, Seattle, Wash.
C. Hill, Arcadis, Tampa, Fla.
S. Husband, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, U.K.
A. Jacque, Water Quality Investigations, LLC, Mount Horeb, Wis.
B. Lakin, McMillen Jacobs Associates, New York, N.Y.
M. McFadden, M3P Services, LLC, Denver, Colo.
S. Randtke, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kans.
R. Slabaugh, Arcadis, Indianapolis, Ind.
V. Speight, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, U.K.
E. Turner, North Texas Municipal Water District, Wylie, Texas

The authors would like to acknowledge the support of the following organizations in preparing this manual:

American Water Works Association, Denver, Colo.
Arcadis, Indianapolis, Ind., and Tampa, Fla.
CH2M, Lees Summit, Mo.
Confluence Engineering Group, LLC, Seattle, Wash.
Process Research Solutions, LLC, Madison, Wis.

The authors would also like to acknowledge the following individuals who provided editorial and technical comments:

J. Cuppett, Water Research Foundation, Denver, Colo.
L. Furatian, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C.
M. Horton, United States Pipe and Foundry Company, Bessemer, Ala.
P. Kwan, HDR Engineering, Inc., Seattle, Wash.
M. Larsen, Kennedy/Jenks Consultants, Federal Way, Wash.
I. Zhu, Evoqua Water Technologies, Warrendale, Pa.

The following individuals provided peer review of this manual. Their knowledge and efforts are gratefully appreciated:

F. Lemieux, Health Canada, Ottawa, Ont.

Q. Muylwyk, CH2M, Toronto, Ont.

M. Roberts, Hazen and Sawyer, Greensboro, N.C.

M. Rodgers, Cleveland Division of Water, Cleveland, Ohio

K. Smith, City of Houston, Houston, Texas

J. Swertfeger, Greater Cincinnati Water Works, Cincinnati, Ohio

Overview of Internal Corrosion Impacts in Drinking Water Distribution Systems

Christopher P. Hill, Arcadis

INTRODUCTION

Prior to developing a corrosion control program, it is important to first gain an understanding of the factors that influence internal corrosion and metal release in drinking water distribution systems. Afterward, implementation of an effective corrosion control program can be accomplished in eight steps (Table 1-1).

Selection of an effective corrosion control strategy is not a one-time event. Changes in source water quality, treatment, distribution, operational, or regulatory changes may require a system to reevaluate, revise, optimize, or restore a corrosion control program. To assist the reader in navigating the process described in Table 1-1, this manual is organized accordingly.

PURPOSE OF THIS MANUAL

Internal corrosion of drinking water distribution and home plumbing systems has long been a recognized issue facing the drinking water industry—one that has many health, water quality, and economic implications.

Table 1-1 Eight steps to implementing an effective corrosion control program

| Step | Discussed in Chapter(s) |
|--|-------------------------|
| 1. Develop an understanding of the general concepts behind internal corrosion and metal release in drinking water distribution systems | 1, 2 |
| 2. Determine the extent and magnitude of corrosion | 3 |
| 3. Determine the possible causes of corrosion | 2, 3 |
| 4. Assess corrosion control alternatives | 4 |
| 5. Develop a corrosion control strategy | 4, 5 |
| 6. Implement a corrosion control program | 3, 6 |
| 7. Monitor the effectiveness of the corrosion control program | 3, 6 |
| 8. Optimize the corrosion control program | 5, 6 |

This manual was written with the intent to provide a practical overview of internal corrosion issues, to identify appropriate corrosion response and control methods, and to develop corrosion control monitoring programs. It is not intended to provide detailed summaries of corrosion chemistry. References to additional resources are given where the reader might find more detailed information useful.

Although this manual briefly touches on internal corrosion of nonmetallic pipe surfaces, such as asbestos–cement and cement mortar–lined ductile- or cast-iron pipe, it primarily focuses on corrosion of metal pipe surfaces, solders, and plumbing fixtures, such as those composed of lead, copper, and iron. For the purposes of this manual, the term *corrosion* refers not only to the electrochemical phenomenon that causes metal loss from pipe surfaces but also to the dissolution of existing pipe scales and corrosion by-products. Internal corrosion—that is, corrosion on the interior surface of metal pipes and fixtures—is the focus of this manual because of the potential for metal release to adversely impact distributed water quality.

CUSTOMER AND INFRASTRUCTURE IMPACTS OF INTERNAL CORROSION

Internal corrosion can cause degradation of water quality, infrastructure performance and structural failures, and scaling and reequilibration issues. It may have substantial economic impacts on water utilities and consumers alike. This section discusses the most common issues resulting from internal corrosion of drinking water distribution and home plumbing systems.

Water Quality Deterioration

Internal corrosion of distribution system piping and home plumbing may cause several water quality problems, including potential health concerns, discoloration, and taste and odor issues. These problems are primarily the result of corrosion of metal pipe surfaces, pipe solder, and plumbing fixtures or dissolution of existing pipe scales. However, some problems may be attributable to corrosion of nonmetallic system components (e.g., increases in asbestos concentrations).

Health concerns. The majority of the health concerns associated with internal corrosion are related to the release of trace metal concentrations (lead, copper, cadmium, etc.) from corroding metal surfaces (ATSDR 2007). However, corrosion can affect the ability to maintain a disinfectant residual in the distribution system, which may result in environments suitable for biofilm growth that may harvest pathogens, such as *Legionella* and various mycobacteria. The potential health concerns related to increased metal concentrations



Courtesy of DC Water

Figure 1-1 Red-water sample from a US distribution system

in drinking water are discussed further in the “Regulatory Impacts” section later in this chapter.

Color. Many of the color issues typically encountered in drinking water are attributable to internal corrosion (Kirmeyer et al. 2000a, 2000b). Corrosion of cast-iron pipe or dissolution of existing scale on cast-iron pipe may result in rust-colored water or red water as a result of the presence of ferric iron (Fe(III)). Red water can stain laundry and plumbing fixtures.

Red water (Figure 1-1) may occur as a result of “hydraulic entrainment” (i.e., the suspension and transport of loose corrosion deposits resulting from changes in flow or direction of flow) or “iron uptake” (i.e., the release of ferrous ions at the pipe surface and subsequent oxidation to ferric iron and precipitation in the bulk water) (Smith et al. 1998). Alternating periods of stagnation, anaerobic conditions, and temperature have been observed to cause red water, as have significant changes in alkalinity and chlorine residual (oxidation–reduction potential [ORP]). Maintaining consistent water quality and maintaining conditions that produce a hard iron scale are critical to minimizing the potential for red water (Smith et al. 1998, Reiber 2006).

Iron corrosion may also result in yellow or black water, which is a consequence of the presence of ferrous iron (Fe(II)). Ferrous iron may occur in waters with low dissolved oxygen concentrations (Kirmeyer et al. 2000a, 2000b). Black water may also be the result of the presence of manganese (Mn) in source waters or contribution from chemicals added during treatment, such as iron-based coagulants.

Copper corrosion may result in blue or green water, which can also stain bathroom fixtures and hair. Blue water may be a consequence of the presence of either dissolved or particulate copper corrosion by-products. Dissolved copper by-products are primarily the result of low pH and can usually be eliminated by raising the pH to above 7.5 (Edwards et al. 2000). Hard, high-alkalinity groundwaters are particularly aggressive toward copper materials (Schock and Lytle 2011). Edwards et al. (1999) found that high-alkalinity waters (>74 mg/L as calcium carbonate) produced the highest 90th-percentile copper levels at pH <7.8.

However, it is often more difficult to determine the cause of particulate copper corrosion by-products, which are found in many home plumbing systems. Particulate copper

corrosion by-products are thought to be primarily the result of microbiological, chemical, or physical factors, or some combination of factors that frequently result in pitting corrosion and/or pipe failure in addition to blue water (Edwards et al. 2000, Bremer et al. 2001).

Gray or black water may also be attributable to hot water heaters. Corrosion of zinc in galvanized piping may result in a milky appearance (Kirmeyer et al. 2000a, 2000b).

Taste and odor. Dissolved and particulate iron may cause taste and odor issues at low concentrations. The presence of iron has resulted in metallic taste (Khiari et al. 2002). In addition to metallic taste, cast-iron corrosion may also produce musty tastes and odors (Kirmeyer et al. 2000a, 2000b). Aluminum and zinc may contribute to an astringent mouthfeel, and zinc may also result in a sour taste. Reactions of disinfectants with cement–mortar linings in ductile-iron pipe may also result in astringent, oily (rancid), pine, and phenolic odors (Khiari et al. 2002). High pH may cause the release of phenols from corroding asbestos–cement pipe, which can react with chlorine to form chlorophenols (Kirmeyer et al. 2000a, 2000b).

Infrastructure Impacts

In addition to affecting water quality, internal corrosion may also impact distribution and plumbing infrastructure. Most notably, internal corrosion may result in failures of home plumbing systems, causing extensive property damage. Deterioration of distribution system piping and valves as well as other in-line devices may also result from corrosion.

Home plumbing failures. The most significant home plumbing failures that occur because of internal corrosion are copper pitting and pinhole leaks. Pitting corrosion, though not well understood, not only damages copper plumbing, but the associated leakage may also cause substantial damage to homes and result in mold growth and other issues that may pose health concerns (Edwards 2004). Table 1-2 summarizes the conditions under which potential copper corrosion has been traditionally thought to occur.

In addition to pitting corrosion, microbiologically influenced corrosion (MIC) of copper piping may also cause pitting and failure of home plumbing (Cantor et al. 2003, 2006) and MIC is often overlooked as a contributor to corrosion of plumbing systems. MIC is more likely to occur in plumbing systems with long stagnation times, in sulfide-containing waters, and in areas in which there is little to no disinfectant residual remaining (Jacobs et al. 1998, Bremer et al. 2001, Cantor et al. 2003).

Distribution system failures. Corrosion of distribution system piping may lead to pipe and valve failures resulting in increased water losses. In areas of low or negative pressure, inflow and infiltration are possible, as are bacterial and other contamination from surrounding soils and groundwaters. Failure of corroded valves may also cause operational issues, such as the inability to isolate water mains in the event of a line break, loss of pressure, reduced ability to conduct distribution flushing, and creation of stagnant sections or areas of low flow in the distribution system (e.g., if a valve stem breaks in the closed position). Failures of meters and other in-line devices may reduce system revenues because of inaccurate meter readings and may minimize the ability to accurately determine water loss or identify main breaks.

Scaling and Reequilibration

Scaling and reequilibration are two key factors that may impact corrosion and system performance, reequilibration being the transition period following a change in distribution system water quality and lasting until equilibrium is re-established. Formation of protective metal scales is the primary corrosion control mechanism regardless of the technology employed. For example, when pH and alkalinity adjustment are used as the primary lead control strategy, the objective is to form metal solids (e.g., lead carbonate and lead oxides)

Table 1-2 Summary of potential copper corrosion issues, causes, and solutions

| Characteristic of Corrosion | Type of Corrosion | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Uniform Corrosion | Type I Pitting (Cold Water) | Type II Pitting (Hot Water) | Type III Pitting (Soft Water) |
| Pit shape | No pits | Deep and narrow | Narrower than Type I | Wide and shallow |
| Type of problem present with corrosion | Blue or green water, high by-product release | Pipe failure | Pipe failure | Blue water, voluminous by-product releases, pipe blockage |
| Scale morphology on attacked surface | Tarnished copper surface or loose powdery scale | Underlying Cu ₂ O with overlying malachite, calcite, or other basic copper salts, occasionally CuCl underlies Cu ₂ O | Underlying Cu ₂ O with overlying bronchantite (Cu ₄ SO ₄ (OH) ₆), some malachite (Cu ₂ CO ₃ (OH) ₂) | Underlying Cu ₂ O with overlying bronchantite (Cu ₄ SO ₄ (OH) ₆), some malachite (Cu ₂ CO ₃ (OH) ₂) |
| Water quality | Low pH (<7.2) or waters with high alkalinity (>250 mg/L) | Hard, cold well waters between pH 7.0 and 7.8, high sulfate relative to chlorides and bicarbonate, high CO ₂ | Hot waters, pH <7.2, high sulfate relative to bicarbonate, occasional Mn deposits | Soft waters, pH >8.0 |
| Initiating factors | None noted | Stagnation early in pipe life, deposits within pipe such as dirt or carbon fines, high free chlorine residuals, water softeners, residual aluminum carryover from coagulation process | Higher temperatures, high free chlorine residuals, residual aluminum carryover from coagulation process | Stagnation early in pipe life, pH >8.0, residual aluminum carryover from coagulation process, low free chlorine residual |
| Mitigating factors and treatments | Reduce alkalinity and raise pH or use orthophosphate | Increase bicarbonate and raise pH | Raise pH and/or increase bicarbonate | Avoid stagnation early in pipe life, increase hardness and alkalinity, elevate chlorine residual to >0.5 mg/L |

Source: Adapted from Edwards et al. 1994

on the pipe surface and thereby prevent corrosion, or dissolution, of lead. Similarly, the objective of orthophosphate addition is the formation of a lead phosphate layer (Vik et al. 1996).

Controlling the type of scale formed and subsequently maintaining the stability of those scales are key to an effective corrosion control program. Iron scales, for example, are present in either the ferrous (Fe²⁺) form or ferric (Fe³⁺) form. Ferrous iron scales are much softer than ferric iron scales and are more likely to contribute to red water (Sarin et al. 2000, Reiber 2006). Creating conditions in which harder ferric iron scales are formed and maintained is ideal to maintaining water quality.

After a protective scale is formed in distribution piping or home plumbing, maintaining scale stability is essential to effective corrosion control treatment. Changes in distribution system water quality may result in reequilibration of existing scales causing red water, increased tap lead or copper concentrations, or other issues.

For example, in 2000, the Washington Aqueduct (Washington, D.C.) switched from free chlorine to chloramine to reduce disinfection by-product concentrations in its

customer agencies' systems. As a result of this adjustment, the ORP of the finished water changed, causing a shift in the speciation of the existing lead scales and elevated lead concentrations in the District of Columbia Water (DC Water) service area (USEPA 2007). The conversion to chloramine was just one factor that contributed to the lead release. Many utilities operating chloraminated systems have not experienced similar results.

Economic Issues

Internal corrosion may have numerous economic or cost impacts. Excess corrosion can result in tuberculation or excess calcium precipitation, reducing hydraulic capacity, increasing pumping costs, and reducing fire flow capabilities. Failure of distribution system piping and home plumbing may necessitate costly repairs, not only of failed piping but also of other assets damaged because of the pipe failure.

There are also more subtle economic issues associated with corrosion. Increased lead and copper levels may not only result in negative publicity but may also have the potential to bring about litigation. The costs associated with legal action may be substantial. Water quality issues resulting from corrosion (e.g., color, taste and odor, and metals release) may also cause negative customer perceptions and not only affect (reduce) usage but also cost utilities potential future customers.

REGULATORY IMPACTS

Lead and Copper

In the United States, the Lead and Copper Rule (LCR) was promulgated in 1991 with the purpose of reducing drinking water exposures to lead and copper (56 FR 26460; *Federal Register* 1991a). The purpose of the LCR is to protect public health by minimizing lead and copper levels in drinking water, primarily by reducing water corrosivity (USEPA 2004a). Lead has been demonstrated to cause delays in physical and mental development in infants and children and has been linked to deficits in attention span and learning abilities, even at low levels (USEPA 2006a, ATSDR 2007). Short-term copper exposure may cause gastrointestinal distress; long-term exposure may cause liver or kidney damage. In individuals with Wilson's disease, which causes the body to retain copper, copper may cause severe brain damage, liver failure, and death (ATSDR 2004, NIH 2006).

The US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) estimates that approximately 20 percent of human lead exposure is from lead in drinking water (USEPA 2006a). The LCR established a maximum contaminant level goal (MCLG) of zero for lead and an MCLG of 1.3 mg/L for copper. The rule established a National Primary Drinking Water Regulation for lead and copper that consisted of a treatment technique requirement including corrosion control treatment, source water treatment, lead service line (LSL) replacement, and public education. The rule set an action level (AL) of 0.015 mg/L for lead and 1.3 mg/L for copper. If the 90th percentile concentration for lead or copper is above the AL, public water systems may be required to initiate water quality parameter monitoring for key corrosion control parameters, install corrosion control treatment, begin source water monitoring or treatment, replace the LSL, or undertake a public education program. USEPA issued guidance to assist utilities in complying with the corrosion control treatment requirements of the LCR in 1992 (USEPA 1992) and provided updated guidance to assist in identification and maintenance of optimal corrosion control treatment in 2016 (USEPA 2016).

Internal corrosion of LSLs, brass meters and plumbing fixtures, and copper plumbing contributes to the concentrations of lead and copper in drinking water. Pure lead pipe (LSLs), lead solder, and brass with greater than 8 percent lead were banned by the 1986 Safe Drinking