



ATIS-0300003.2017

XML Schema Interface for Fault Management  
(Trouble Administration)

AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS



As a leading technology and solutions development organization, the Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions (ATIS) brings together the top global ICT companies to advance the industry's most pressing business priorities. ATIS' nearly 200 member companies are currently working to address the All-IP transition, 5G, network functions virtualization, big data analytics, cloud services, device solutions, emergency services, M2M, cyber security, network evolution, quality of service, billing support, operations, and much more. These priorities follow a fast-track development lifecycle — from design and innovation through standards, specifications, requirements, business use cases, software toolkits, open source solutions, and interoperability testing.

ATIS is accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI). The organization is the North American Organizational Partner for the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP), a founding Partner of the oneM2M global initiative, a member of and major U.S. contributor to the International Telecommunication Union (ITU), as well as a member of the Inter-American Telecommunication Commission (CITEL). For more information, visit [www.atis.org](http://www.atis.org).

## AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD

Approval of an American National Standard requires review by ANSI that the requirements for due process, consensus, and other criteria for approval have been met by the standards developer.

Consensus is established when, in the judgment of the ANSI Board of Standards Review, substantial agreement has been reached by directly and materially affected interests. Substantial agreement means much more than a simple majority, but not necessarily unanimity. Consensus requires that all views and objections be considered, and that a concerted effort be made towards their resolution.

The use of American National Standards is completely voluntary; their existence does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether he has approved the standards or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standards.

The American National Standards Institute does not develop standards and will in no circumstances give an interpretation of any American National Standard. Moreover, no person shall have the right or authority to issue an interpretation of an American National Standard in the name of the American National Standards Institute. Requests for interpretations should be addressed to the secretariat or sponsor whose name appears on the title page of this standard.

**CAUTION NOTICE:** This American National Standard may be revised or withdrawn at any time. The procedures of the American National Standards Institute require that action be taken periodically to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this standard. Purchasers of American National Standards may receive current information on all standards by calling or writing the American National Standards Institute.

## Notice of Disclaimer & Limitation of Liability

The information provided in this document is directed solely to professionals who have the appropriate degree of experience to understand and interpret its contents in accordance with generally accepted engineering or other professional standards and applicable regulations. No recommendation as to products or vendors is made or should be implied.

NO REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY IS MADE THAT THE INFORMATION IS TECHNICALLY ACCURATE OR SUFFICIENT OR CONFORMS TO ANY STATUTE, GOVERNMENTAL RULE OR REGULATION AND FURTHER, NO REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY IS MADE OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR AGAINST INFRINGEMENT OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS. ATIS SHALL NOT BE LIABLE, BEYOND THE AMOUNT OF ANY SUM RECEIVED IN PAYMENT BY ATIS FOR THIS DOCUMENT, AND IN NO EVENT SHALL ATIS BE LIABLE FOR LOST PROFITS OR OTHER INCIDENTAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES. ATIS EXPRESSLY ADVISES THAT ANY AND ALL USE OF OR RELIANCE UPON THE INFORMATION PROVIDED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS AT THE RISK OF THE USER.

NOTE - The user's attention is called to the possibility that compliance with this standard may require use of an invention covered by patent rights. By publication of this standard, no position is taken with respect to whether use of an invention covered by patent rights will be required, and if any such use is required no position is taken regarding the validity of this claim or any patent rights in connection therewith. Please refer to [<http://www.atis.org/legal/patentinfo.pdf>] to determine if any statement has been filed by a patent holder indicating a willingness to grant a license either without compensation or on reasonable and non-discriminatory terms and conditions to applicants desiring to obtain a license.

## ATIS-0300003.2017, XML Schema Interface for Fault Management (Trouble Administration)

Is an American National Standard developed by the Telecom Management and Operations Committee (TMOC).

Published by  
**Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions**  
1200 G Street, NW, Suite 500  
Washington, DC 20005

Copyright © 2017 by Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions  
All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher. For information contact ATIS at 202.628.6380. ATIS is online at < <http://www.atis.org> >.

American National Standard for Telecommunications

## **XML Schema Interface for Fault Management (Trouble Administration)**

**Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions**

Approved November 16, 2016

**American National Standards Institute, Inc.**

### **Abstract**

This standard provides an XML schema information model for Trouble Administration and an XML schema interface for Trouble Administration functions and services. Additional information from the original CMIP-based Trouble Administration standards ATIS-0300227.2008 and ATIS-0300228.2011 can be found in informative annexes to this document. It is the intention that this document be used for current implementations of Electronic Bonding, which to this Committee's knowledge are all implemented using XML. The informative annexes have been included here so as not to lose context information that may still prove to be of value.

## Foreword

---

The information contained in this Foreword is not part of this American National Standard (ANS) and has not been processed in accordance with ANSI's requirements for an ANS. As such, this Foreword may contain material that has not been subjected to public review or a consensus process. In addition, it does not contain requirements necessary for conformance to the Standard.

The Alliance for Telecommunication Industry Solutions (ATIS) serves the public through improved understanding between carriers, customers, and manufacturers. The Telecom Management and Operations Committee (TMOC) -- formerly T1M1 -- develops operations, administration, maintenance and provisioning standards, and other documentation related to Operations Support System (OSS) and Network Element (NE) functions and interfaces for communications networks -- with an emphasis on standards development related to U.S.A. communication networks in coordination with the development of international standards.

ANSI guidelines specify two categories of requirements: mandatory and recommendation. The mandatory requirements are designated by the word *shall* and recommendations by the word *should*. Where both a mandatory requirement and a recommendation are specified for the same criterion, the recommendation represents a goal currently identifiable as having distinct compatibility or performance advantages.

Suggestions for improvement of this document are welcome. They should be sent to the Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions, TMOC, 1200 G Street NW, Suite 500, Washington, DC 20005.

At the time it approved this document, TMOC, which is responsible for the development of this Standard, had the following leadership:

- M. Usry, TMOC Chair (iconectiv)
- T. Barrett, Technical Editor (AT&T)

# Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>SCOPE, PURPOSE, &amp; APPLICATION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	PURPOSE.....	2
1.2	ABBREVIATIONS & ACRONYMS.....	2
1.3	CONVENTIONS USED IN THIS DOCUMENT.....	3
<b>2</b>	<b>NORMATIVE REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>TROUBLE ADMINISTRATION: DESIGN PHASE FOR UML &amp; XML REPRESENTATIONS.....</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	ATTRIBUTE TYPES MAPPING RULES .....	4
3.2	TROUBLE ADMINISTRATION SERVICES MAPPING RULES.....	25
3.3	UML DIAGRAMS FOR TML TA .....	31
3.3.1	<i>Request</i> .....	31
3.3.2	<i>Response</i> .....	32
3.3.3	<i>Notification</i> .....	33
3.4	UML DIAGRAMS FOR TML TABASE.....	34
3.4.1	<i>Data Types Used in Telecommunications Trouble Report Request</i> .....	34
3.4.2	<i>Data Types Used in Telecommunications Trouble Report Response</i> .....	36
3.4.3	<i>Data Types Used in Telecommunications Trouble Report Notification</i> .....	38
3.4.4	<i>Exception Types</i> .....	39
<b>4</b>	<b>TROUBLE ADMINISTRATION XML SCHEMAS.....</b>	<b>40</b>
4.1	OVERVIEW OF TML TA SCHEMA PACKAGES.....	40
4.1.1	<i>tML TA Schema Packages</i> .....	40
4.2	SCHEMA FOR TML-TA .....	41
4.2.1	<i>Request Portion</i> .....	41
4.2.2	<i>Response Portion</i> .....	43
4.2.3	<i>Notification Portion</i> .....	45
4.3	SCHEMA FOR TML-TABASE.....	47
4.3.1	<i>Attributes Types</i> .....	47
4.3.2	<i>Parameter Types Used in Request and Response</i> .....	64
4.3.3	<i>Parameter Types Used in Notification</i> .....	69
4.3.4	<i>Exception Data Types</i> .....	70
<b>ANNEX A: CMIP (ASN.1) MODEL &amp; ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTATION.....</b>		<b>74</b>
A.1	OVERVIEW OF THE SERVICE MODEL .....	74
A.1.1	<i>Object Class &amp; Attribute Types</i> .....	75
A.2	OBJECT CLASS DEFINITIONS.....	76
A.2.1	<i>Account</i> .....	76
A.2.2	<i>CNM Service</i> .....	76
A.2.3	<i>Contact</i> .....	78
A.2.4	<i>Forum Security Notifier</i> .....	78
A.2.5	<i>Telecommunications Trouble Report</i> .....	78
A.2.6	<i>Telecommunications Trouble Report – R1 version</i> .....	92
A.2.7	<i>Repair Activity</i> .....	92
A.2.8	<i>Service Profile</i> .....	93
A.2.9	<i>Trouble History Record</i> .....	94
A.2.10	<i>Trouble Report</i> .....	96
A.2.11	<i>Trouble Report Format Definition</i> .....	100
A.2.12	<i>Agent System Monitor</i> .....	102
A.2.13	<i>Manager System Monitor</i> .....	103
A.3	ATTRIBUTE TYPE DEFINITIONS.....	104
A.3.1	<i>Account Contact List</i> .....	104
A.3.2	<i>Account Name</i> .....	105
A.3.3	<i>Activity Code</i> .....	105
A.3.4	<i>Activity Duration</i> .....	105

A.3.5	Activity Information .....	105
A.3.6	Activity Person .....	106
A.3.7	Additional Text .....	106
A.3.8	Additional Trouble Information List .....	106
A.3.9	Additional Trouble Status Information .....	106
A.3.10	Administrative State .....	107
A.3.11	After Hours Repair Authorization .....	107
A.3.12	Agent Contact Person .....	107
A.3.13	Agent Contact Object Pointer .....	107
A.3.14	Alarm Record Pointer List .....	10
A.3.15	Alarm State .....	108
A.3.16	Alternate Manager Contact Person .....	108
A.3.17	Alternate Manager Contact Object Pointer .....	108
A.3.18	Applicable Managed Object Class List .....	108
A.3.19	Applicable Managed Object Instance List .....	109
A.3.20	Authorization List .....	109
A.3.21	Call Back Information List .....	110
A.3.22	Called Number .....	110
A.3.23	Cancel Requested By Manager .....	110
A.3.24	Close Out Narrative .....	111
A.3.25	Commitment Time .....	111
A.3.26	Commitment Time Request .....	111
A.3.27	Contact Object Pointer List .....	111
A.3.28	Close Out Verification .....	111
A.3.29	Current Problem List .....	112
A.3.30	Customer Trouble Ticket Number .....	112
A.3.31	Customer Work Center .....	112
A.3.32	Dialog .....	112
A.3.33	Entry Time .....	113
A.3.34	Escalation List .....	113
A.3.35	Estimated Time Of Restoral .....	113
A.3.36	Hand Off Center .....	113
A.3.37	Hand Off Location .....	114
A.3.38	Hand Off Person Name .....	114
A.3.39	Hand Off Person Pointer .....	114
A.3.40	Hand Off Time .....	114
A.3.41	Initiating Mode .....	115
A.3.42	Last Update Time .....	115
A.3.43	A Location Access Address .....	115
A.3.44	Z Location Access Address .....	115
A.3.45	A Location Access Hours .....	116
A.3.46	Z Location Access Hours .....	116
A.3.47	A Location Access Person .....	116
A.3.48	Z Location Access Person .....	116
A.3.49	Maintenance Organization Contact Name .....	116
A.3.50	Maintenance Organization Contact Pointer .....	117
A.3.51	Maintenance Organization Contact Time .....	117
A.3.52	Maintenance of Service Charge .....	117
A.3.53	Managed Object Access From Time .....	117
A.3.54	Managed Object Access Hours .....	118
A.3.55	Managed Object Access To Time .....	118
A.3.56	Managed Object Instance .....	118
A.3.57	Managed Object Instance Alias List .....	119
A.3.58	Manager Contact Person .....	119
A.3.59	Manager Contact Object Pointer .....	119
A.3.60	Manager Search Key 1 .....	119
A.3.61	Manager Search Key 2 .....	120
A.3.62	Manager Search Key 3 .....	120
A.3.63	Manager Search Key List .....	120

A.3.64	Operational State	120
A.3.65	Outage Duration	120
A.3.66	Perceived Trouble Severity	121
A.3.67	Preferred Priority	121
A.3.68	Received Time	121
A.3.69	Related Trouble Report List	121
A.3.70	Repair Activity Identifier	121
A.3.71	Repair Activity List	122
A.3.72	Repeat Report	122
A.3.73	Responsible Person Name	122
A.3.74	Responsible Person Pointer	122
A.3.75	Restored Time	123
A.3.76	Service Alias List	123
A.3.77	Service Description	123
A.3.78	Service Location List	123
A.3.79	Service Profile Description	124
A.3.80	Service Profile Identifier	124
A.3.81	Service Profile Object Pointer	124
A.3.82	Status Of System	124
A.3.83	Suspect Object List	124
A.3.84	System Monitor Id	125
A.3.85	Trouble Clearance Person	125
A.3.86	Trouble Detection Time	125
A.3.87	Trouble Found	125
A.3.88	Trouble Location	126
A.3.89	Trouble Report Constrained To Single Value Attribute ID List	126
A.3.90	Trouble Report Format Object Pointer	126
A.3.91	Trouble Report Format Identifier	126
A.3.92	Trouble Report Identifier	126
A.3.93	Trouble Report Must Be Present Attribute ID List	127
A.3.94	Trouble Report May Be Present Attribute ID List	127
A.3.95	Trouble Report Number List	127
A.3.96	Trouble Report State	127
A.3.97	Trouble Report Status	128
A.3.98	Trouble Report Status Time	128
A.3.99	Trouble Report Status Window	128
A.3.100	Trouble Type	131
A.3.101	TSP Priority	131
A.3.102	Customer Info Attribute	131
A.4	ERROR MESSAGES	132
A.4.1	Trouble Report Already Exists	132
A.4.2	Fall Back Reporting	132
A.4.3	Can Not Close	132
A.4.4	Trouble Report Must Be Present Attribute Missing	133
A.4.5	Cannot Verify or Deny at This Time	133
A.4.6	Trouble Report Change Denied	133
A.5	EXTENSIBILITY RULES	134
A.6	SUPPORTING PRODUCTIONS	134
A.7	OBJECT CLASS INHERITANCE	149
A.8	CONFORMANCE & COMPLIANCE	150
A.8.1	Conformance	150
A.8.2	Compliance	150
ANNEX B:	NAME BINDINGS	151
B.1	GENERAL	151
B.2	SPECIFICATION OF NAME BINDINGS	151
ANNEX C:	OBJECTS FROM NETWORK MANAGEMENT FORUM USED IN THE STANDARD	158

<b>ANNEX D: TROUBLE REPORT STATE MODEL</b> .....	<b>164</b>
<b>ANNEX E: RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MANAGED OBJECT ACCESS TO, MANAGED OBJECT ACCESS FROM, &amp; MANAGED OBJECT ACCESS HOURS ATTRIBUTES OF THE TROUBLE REPORT</b> .....	<b>165</b>
<b>ANNEX F: TROUBLE REPORT FORMAT EXAMPLES FOR A TYPICAL CARRIER</b> .....	<b>167</b>
<b>ANNEX G: POINTER ATTRIBUTE RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN OBJECTS</b> .....	<b>170</b>
<b>ANNEX H: CNMSERVICE MANAGED OBJECT CLASS</b> .....	<b>171</b>
H.1 INTRODUCTION .....	171
H.2 OBJECT CLASS TEMPLATE .....	171
H.3 NAME BINDING TEMPLATES .....	172
<b>ANNEX I: CMIP SERVICES FOR TROUBLE MANAGEMENT &amp; ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTATION</b> .....	<b>174</b>
I.1 FUNCTIONS OF FAULT MANAGEMENT .....	174
I.1.1 <i>General</i> .....	174
I.1.2 <i>Trouble Administration Functions</i> .....	174
I.2 OBJECT CLASSES & ATTRIBUTES .....	175
I.3 FAULT MANAGEMENT FUNCTIONAL UNITS .....	175
I.3.1 <i>General</i> .....	175
I.3.2 <i>Trouble Administration Functional Units</i> .....	175
I.4 TROUBLE ADMINISTRATION SERVICE DEFINITIONS .....	176
I.4.1 <i>Kernel Functional Unit</i> .....	176
I.4.2 <i>Request Trouble Report Format Functional Unit</i> .....	177
I.4.3 <i>Trouble History Event Notification Functional Unit</i> .....	178
I.4.4 <i>Review Trouble History Functional Unit</i> .....	179
I.4.5 <i>Add Trouble Information Functional Unit</i> .....	179
I.4.6 <i>Trouble Report Status/Commitment Time Update Notification Functional Unit</i> .....	179
I.4.7 <i>Verify Repair Completion Functional Unit</i> .....	180
I.4.8 <i>Modify Trouble Administration Information Functional Unit</i> .....	180
I.4.9 <i>Trouble Administration Configuration Event Notification Functional Unit</i> .....	181
I.4.10 <i>Trouble Report Progress Notification Functional Unit</i> .....	182
I.4.11 <i>Cancel Trouble Report Functional Unit</i> .....	183
I.5 CONFORMANCE .....	183
I.6 OVERVIEW OF THE SERVICE MODEL .....	184
I.7 NEGOTIATION OF FUNCTIONAL UNITS .....	185
I.8 NEGOTIATION OF APPLICATION CONTEXT .....	185
I.9 EVENT REPORTS .....	185
I.9.1 <i>Events</i> .....	185
I.9.2 <i>Abstract Syntax Definition</i> .....	186
I.10 ACCESS CONTROL .....	187
I.10.1 <i>Abstract Syntax 1</i> .....	187
I.10.2 <i>Abstract Syntax 2</i> .....	187
<b>ANNEX J: CORBA/IDL – INTERFACE DESIGN</b> .....	<b>189</b>
J.1 INTERFACE DEFINITIONS .....	189
J.1.1 <i>TroubleReportAdministrator</i> .....	189
J.1.2 <i>TroubleReport</i> .....	197
J.1.3 <i>Notifications</i> .....	205
J.2 IDL MODULES .....	208
J.2.1 <i>ANSI/TI 227 Module</i> .....	208
J.2.2 <i>Customer Types Module</i> .....	231
J.2.3 <i>Base IDL Module</i> .....	233
J.2.4 <i>Time Types Module</i> .....	238
J.2.4 <i>Location Types Module</i> .....	242
<b>ANNEX K: BIBLIOGRAPHY</b> .....	<b>247</b>

## Table of Figures

FIGURE 1.1 – TROUBLE ADMINISTRATION ARCHITECTURE DIAGRAM .....	2
FIGURE 3.1 – TELECOMMUNICATIONS TROUBLE REPORT REQUEST PDUS .....	31
FIGURE 3.2 – TELECOMMUNICATIONS TROUBLE REPORT RESPONSE PDUS .....	32
FIGURE 3.3 – TELECOMMUNICATIONS TROUBLE REPORT NOTIFICATION PDUS .....	33
FIGURE 3.4 – DATA TYPES USED IN TELECOMMUNICATIONS TROUBLE REPORT REQUEST: PART 1 .....	34
FIGURE 3.5 – DATA TYPES USED IN TELECOMMUNICATIONS TROUBLE REPORT REQUEST: PART 2 .....	35
FIGURE 3.6 – DATA TYPES USED IN TELECOMMUNICATIONS TROUBLE REPORT RESPONSE: PART 1 .....	36
FIGURE 3.7 – DATA TYPES USED IN TELECOMMUNICATIONS TROUBLE REPORT RESPONSE: PART 2 .....	37
FIGURE 3.8 – DATA TYPES USED IN TELECOMMUNICATIONS TROUBLE REPORT NOTIFICATION .....	38
FIGURE 3.9 – EXCEPTION CLASSES .....	39
FIGURE 4.1 – TML TA SCHEMA PACKAGE DEPENDENCY DIAGRAM .....	40
FIGURE A.1 – INHERITANCE HIERARCHY .....	149
FIGURE B.1 – SUGGESTED NAME BINDINGS .....	157
FIGURE D.1 – TROUBLE REPORT STATE MODEL .....	164
FIGURE E.1 – MANAGED OBJECT ACCESS TIMINGS ASN.1 VALUE NOTATION .....	165
FIGURE E.2 – MANAGED OBJECT ACCESS TIMINGS ATTRIBUTES AND THEIR RELATIONSHIPS .....	166
FIGURE G.2 – POINTER ATTRIBUTE RELATIONSHIPS .....	170

## Table of Tables

TABLE 3.1 – INTEGER "GROUP" .....	5
TABLE 3.2 – ENUMERATION .....	6
TABLE 3.3 – OBJECT IDENTIFIER .....	7
TABLE 3.4 – CHOICE: CASE 1 .....	8
TABLE 3.5 – CHOICE: CASE 2 .....	9
TABLE 3.6 – CHOICE: CASE 3 .....	10
TABLE 3.7 – ARTIFICIAL "CHOICE" CREATION FOR ACCOMMODATING TWO DEFINITIONS .....	11
TABLE 3.8 – BIT STRING .....	12
TABLE 3.9 – SEQUENCE: CASE 1 .....	13
TABLE 3.10 – SEQUENCE: CASE 2 .....	15
TABLE 3.11 – SET .....	16
TABLE 3.12 – SET OF SEQUENCE .....	17
TABLE 3.13 – SET OF CHOICE .....	18
TABLE 3.14 – SET OF WITH SIZE .....	19
TABLE 3.15 – OCTET STRING .....	19
TABLE 3.16 – SEQUENCE OF .....	20
TABLE 3.17 – RESTRICTED INHERITANCE BASED ON CMIP SPECIFICATION (SEE ANNEXES A THROUGH H) .....	21
TABLE 3.18 – SIMPLE "TYPEDEF" .....	22
TABLE 3.19 – COMPLEX "TYPEDEF" CASE 1 .....	23
TABLE 3.20 – COMPLEX "TYPEDEF" CASE 2 .....	24
TABLE 3.21 – COMPARISON BETWEEN TROUBLE ADMINISTRATION FUNCTIONS/SERVICES AND THE TML TA INTERFACE .....	26
TABLE 3.22 – TELECOMMUNICATIONS TROUBLE REPORT CREATION .....	28
TABLE F.1 – MANDATORY TROUBLE REPORT FORMAT DEFINITION OBJECTS .....	168
TABLE F.2 – CONDITIONAL TROUBLE REPORT FORMAT DEFINITION OBJECTS .....	168

American National Standard for Telecommunications –

# XML Schema Interface for Fault Management (Trouble Administration)

## 1 Scope, Purpose, & Application

The *tML Framework Document* (ITU-T Recommendation M.3030) identifies potential applications for using XML in the interface design specification. Fault Management (Trouble Administration) is one such application. ITU-T Recommendation M.3020 defines a three phase methodology for developing interface specifications related to management information exchanges. The requirements phase has not been formally defined but is derived from former ANSI standard ATIS-0300227.2008 (included here as Annexes A through H) and former ANSI standard ATIS-0300228.2011 (included here as Annex I). The formal analysis phase from M.3020 is not included in this document. This document presents the design phase using XML schemas (tML TA schemas) for Trouble Administration functions and services specified in Annexes A through I. The design phase is a result of analyzing (in the form of UML model) ATIS-0300227/ATIS-0300228 data definitions. The model does not include enhanced features such as multiple object operations available based on the protocol design in Annexes A through I. A Trouble Administration architecture diagram based on Annexes A through I is shown in Figure 1.1 below.

The approach used in this standard defines XML application protocol data units that are specific to a subset of TA as defined in Annexes A through H and Annex I (ANSI version of ITU-T X.700). The XML messages specified in this standard are defined via an XML schema (tML-TA schema) and there is no coupling to a transport protocol. While mapping to a transport protocol (such as tML Transport Protocol, see Ref [15]) is required for interoperability, it is outside the scope of this document, and should be addressed by each pair of bonded companies as a joint implementation issue.

Informative Annexes A through H define an information model using GDMO (ITU-T Recommendation X.722) and ASN.1 (ITU-T Recommendation X.680) for the TMN X-interface (ITU-T Recommendation M.3010) to support Fault Management. These clauses are applicable when Common Management Information Service Element (CMISE) is used for conveying inquiry information across an OS-OS interactive interface.

Informative Annex J specifies a functionally equivalent interface using the Common Object Request Broker Architecture (CORBA)/Interface Definition Language (IDL), as defined in *The Common Object Request Broker Architecture and Specification, Revision 2.2*, Object Management Group, Feb 1998.

Since this Committee is no longer aware of CMISE or CORBA based implementations supporting tML framework, these references have been moved to the informative annexes.