

Australian/New Zealand Standard™

Specification for radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus and methods

Part 4.1: Uncertainties, statistics and limit modelling – Uncertainties in standardized EMC tests



AS/NZS CISPR 16.4.1:2012

This Joint Australian/New Zealand Standard was prepared by Joint Technical Committee TE-003, Electromagnetic Interference. It was approved on behalf of the Council of Standards Australia on 28 November 2012 and on behalf of the Council of Standards New Zealand on 29 October 2012.

This Standard was published on 24 December 2012.

The following are represented on Committee TE-003:

Australian Broadcasting Corporation
Australian Chamber of Commerce and Industry
Australian Communications and Media Authority
Australian Industry Group
Australian Information Industry Association
Consumer Electronics Supplier Association
Curtin University
Department of Defence
Electrical Compliance Testing Association
EMC Society of Australia
Energy Networks Association
Engineers Australia
Free TV Australia
Lighting Council Australia
Ministry of Economic Development, New Zealand
National Measurement Institute
SingTel Optus
Wireless Institute Australia

Keeping Standards up-to-date

Standards are living documents which reflect progress in science, technology and systems. To maintain their currency, all Standards are periodically reviewed, and new editions are published. Between editions, amendments may be issued. Standards may also be withdrawn. It is important that readers assure themselves they are using a current Standard, which should include any amendments which may have been published since the Standard was purchased.

Detailed information about joint Australian/New Zealand Standards can be found by visiting the Standards Web Shop at www.saiglobal.com.au or Standards New Zealand web site at www.standards.co.nz and looking up the relevant Standard in the online catalogue.

For more frequent listings or notification of revisions, amendments and withdrawals, Standards Australia and Standards New Zealand offer a number of update options. For information about these services, users should contact their respective national Standards organization.

We also welcome suggestions for improvement in our Standards, and especially encourage readers to notify us immediately of any apparent inaccuracies or ambiguities. Please address your comments to the Chief Executive of either Standards Australia or Standards New Zealand at the address shown on the back cover.

Australian/New Zealand Standard™

Specification for radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus and methods

Part 4.1: Uncertainties, statistics and limit modelling – Uncertainties in standardized EMC tests

First published as AS/NZS CISPR 16.4.1:2004.
Second edition 2006.
Third edition 2012.

COPYRIGHT

© Standards Australia Limited/Standards New Zealand

All rights are reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without the written permission of the publisher, unless otherwise permitted under the Copyright Act 1968 (Australia) or the Copyright Act 1994 (New Zealand).

Jointly published by SAI Global Limited under licence from Standards Australia Limited, GPO Box 476, Sydney, NSW 2001 and by Standards New Zealand, Private Bag 2439, Wellington 6140

PREFACE

This Standard was prepared by the Joint Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand Committee TE-003, Electromagnetic Interference, to supersede AS/NZS CISPR 16.4.1:2006.

This Standard covers the treatment of uncertainties for those who are involved in the development or modification of CISPR electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) standards. In addition, this Standard provides useful background information for those who apply the standards and the uncertainty aspects in practice. The objectives of this Standard are to—

- (a) identify the parameters or sources governing the uncertainty associated with the statement that a given product complies with the requirement specified in a CISPR recommendation. This uncertainty will be called ‘standards compliance uncertainty’ (SCU, see 3.1.16);
- (b) give guidance on the estimation of the magnitude of the standards compliance uncertainty; and
- (c) give guidance for the implementation of the standards compliance uncertainty into the compliance criterion of a CISPR standardized compliance test.

This Standard is identical with, and has been reproduced from, CISPR/TR 16.4-1 Ed. 2.0 (2009), *Specification for radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus and methods—Part 4-1: Uncertainties, statistics and limit modelling—Uncertainties in standardized EMC tests*.

As this Standard is reproduced from an International Standard, the following applies:

- (i) Its number appears on the cover and title page while the International Standard number appears only on the cover.
- (ii) In the source text ‘this part of CISPR 16-4’ should read ‘this Australian/New Zealand Standard’.
- (iii) A full point substitutes for a comma when referring to a decimal marker.

References to International Standards should be replaced by references to Australian or Australian/New Zealand Standards, as follows:

<i>Reference to International Standard</i>	<i>Australian/New Zealand Standard</i>
CISPR	AS/NZS CISPR
16 Specification for radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus and methods	16 Specification for radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus and methods
16-1-2 Part 1-2: Radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus—Ancillary equipment—Conducted disturbances	16.1.2 Part 1.2: Radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus—Conducted disturbances
16-1-3 Part 1-3: Radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus—Ancillary equipment—Disturbance power	16.1.3 Part 1.3: Radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus—Ancillary equipment—Disturbance power
16-1-4 Part 1-4: Radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus—Ancillary equipment—Radiated disturbances	16.1.4 Part 1.4: Radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus—Ancillary equipment—Radiated disturbances
16-1-5 Part 1-5: Radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus—Antenna calibration test sites for 30 MHz to 1 000 MHz	16.1.5 Part 1.5: Radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus—Antenna calibration test sites for 30 MHz to 1000 MHz
16-2-2 Part 2-2: Methods of measurement of disturbances and immunity—Measurement of disturbance power	16.2.2 Part 2.2: Methods of measurement of disturbances and immunity—Measurement of disturbance power

CISPR

- 16-2-3 Part 2-3: Methods of measurement of disturbances and immunity—Radiated disturbance measurements
- 16-4-2 Part 4-2: Uncertainties, statistics and limit modelling—Measurement instrumentation uncertainty
- 22 Information technology equipment—Radio disturbance characteristics—Limits and methods of measurement

CISPR/TR

- 16 Specification for radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus and methods
- 16-4-3 Part 4-3: Uncertainties, statistics and limit modelling—Statistical considerations in the determination of EMC compliance of mass-produced products

ISO/IEC

- 17025 General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories

AS/NZS CISPR

- 16.2.3 Part 2.3: Methods of measurement of disturbances and immunity—Radiated disturbance measurements
- 16.4.2 Part 4.2: Uncertainties, statistics and limit modelling—Uncertainty in EMC measurements
- 22 Information technology equipment—Radio disturbance characteristics—Limits and methods of measurement

AS/NZS CISPR

- 16 Specification for radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus and methods
- 16.4.3 Part 4.3: Uncertainties, statistics and limit modelling—Statistical considerations in the determination of EMC compliance of mass-produced product

AS ISO/IEC

- 17025 General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories

The term ‘informative’ has been used in this Standard to denote the application of the appendix to which it applies. An ‘informative’ appendix is only for information and guidance.

CONTENTS

1	Scope	10
2	Normative references	10
3	Terms, definitions, and abbreviations	11
3.1	Terms and definitions	12
3.2	Abbreviations	15
4	Basic considerations on uncertainties in emission measurements.....	15
4.1	Introductory remarks	15
4.2	Types of uncertainties in emission measurements	17
4.2.1	General	17
4.2.2	Purpose of uncertainty considerations	18
4.2.3	Categories of uncertainty sources.....	19
4.2.4	Summary of types of uncertainties	22
4.2.5	Influence quantities	22
4.2.6	The measurand and the intrinsic uncertainty.....	23
4.3	Relation between standards compliance uncertainty and interference probability	25
4.3.1	General	25
4.3.2	The measurand and the associated limit.....	25
4.3.3	Process of determination and application of uncertainties.....	26
4.4	Assessment of uncertainties in a standardised emission measurement	27
4.4.1	The process of uncertainty estimation.....	27
4.4.2	Step 1: Definition of the purpose of the uncertainty consideration	27
4.4.3	Step 2: Identifying the measurand, its uncertainty sources and influence quantities.....	28
4.4.4	Step 3: Evaluate the standard uncertainty of each relevant influence quantity	29
4.4.5	Step 4: Calculation of the combined and expanded uncertainty	30
4.5	Verification of the uncertainty budget	31
4.5.1	Introductory remarks.....	31
4.5.2	Test laboratory comparison and the measurement compatibility requirements.....	32
4.5.3	Interlaboratory comparison and statistical evaluation.....	34
4.5.4	Application of a 'calculable EUT'	35
4.5.5	Application of a 'reference EUT'	35
4.6	Reporting of the uncertainty	35
4.6.1	General	35
4.6.2	Reporting results of uncertainty assessments.....	36
4.6.3	Uncertainty statements in routine compliance measurement results.....	36
4.6.4	Reporting of the expanded uncertainty	36
4.7	Application of uncertainties in the compliance criterion.....	37
4.7.1	Introductory remarks.....	37
4.7.2	Manufacturers' compliance criterion for compliance measurements	41
4.7.3	Compliance criteria for mass-produced products (80 %/80 % rule)	41
4.7.4	Compliance criteria for quality assurance tests using a reference EUT	42
4.7.5	Application of uncertainties in re-testing	42

	<i>Page</i>
5 Basic considerations on uncertainties in immunity testing	44
6 Voltage measurements	44
6.1 Introductory remarks	44
6.2 Voltage measurements (general)	44
6.2.1 Introductory remarks	44
6.2.2 Voltage measurements basics	44
6.2.3 The disturbance source and types of voltage	46
6.3 Voltage measurements using a voltage probe	48
6.4 Voltage measurement using a V-terminal artificial mains network	48
6.4.1 Introductory remarks	48
6.4.2 Basic circuit diagram of the voltage measurement	49
6.4.3 Voltage measurement and standards compliance uncertainty	50
6.4.4 Combined uncertainty	51
6.4.5 The compliance criterion	52
6.4.6 Influence quantities	52
7 Absorbing clamp measurements	56
7.1 General	56
7.1.1 Objective	56
7.1.2 Introductory remarks	57
7.2 Uncertainties related to the calibration of the absorbing clamp	57
7.2.1 General	57
7.2.2 The measurand	58
7.2.3 Uncertainty sources	58
7.2.4 Influence quantities	59
7.2.5 Application of the uncertainty budget	63
7.2.6 Typical examples of the uncertainty budget	63
7.2.7 Verification of the uncertainty budget	64
7.3 Uncertainties related to the absorbing clamp measurement method	64
7.3.1 General	64
7.3.2 The measurand	64
7.3.3 Uncertainty sources	65
7.3.4 Influence quantities	66
7.3.5 Application of the uncertainty budget	68
7.3.6 Typical examples of the uncertainty budget	68
7.3.7 Verification of the uncertainty budget	69
8 Radiated emission measurements using a SAC or an OATS in the frequency range of 30 MHz to 1 000 MHz	71
8.1 General	71
8.1.1 Objective	71
8.1.2 Introductory remarks	71
8.2 Uncertainties related to the SAC/OATS radiated emission measurement method	72
8.2.1 General	72
8.2.2 The measurand	73
8.2.3 Uncertainty sources	74
8.2.4 Influence quantities	75
8.2.5 Application of the uncertainty estimate	86
8.2.6 Typical examples of the uncertainty estimate	86

	<i>Page</i>
8.2.7 Verification of the uncertainty estimate	87
9 Conducted immunity measurements	88
10 Radiated immunity measurements	88
Annex A (informative) Compliance uncertainty and interference probability.....	89
Annex B (informative) Numerical example of the consequences of Faraday's law	91
Annex C (informative) Possible amendments to CISPR publications with regards to voltage measurements	93
Annex D (informative) Analysis method of results of an interlaboratory test	96
Annex E (informative) Uncertainty budgets for the clamp calibration methods	97
Annex F (informative) Uncertainty budget for the clamp measurement method	99
Annex G (informative) Uncertainty estimates for the radiated emission measurement methods	101
Annex H (informative) Results of various round robin tests for SAC/OATS based radiated emission measurements	106
Annex I (informative) Additional information about distinctions between the terms measurement uncertainty and standards compliance uncertainty	112
Bibliography.....	114
Figure 1 – Illustration of the relation between the overall uncertainty of a measurand due to contributions from the measurement instrumentation uncertainty and the intrinsic uncertainty of the measurand.....	17
Figure 2 – The process of emission compliance measurements and the associated (categories of) uncertainty sources (see also Table 2)	20
Figure 3 – Relationship between uncertainty sources, influence quantities and uncertainty categories.....	25
Figure 4 – Involvement of the sub-committees CISPR/H and CISPR/A in the determination of the measurands and application of uncertainties.....	26
Figure 5 – The uncertainty estimation process	27
Figure 6 – Example of a fishbone diagram indicating the various uncertainty sources for an absorbing clamp compliance measurement in accordance with CISPR 16-2-2	29
Figure 7 – Illustration of the minimum requirement (interval compatibility requirement) for the standards compliance uncertainty	33
Figure 8 – Graphical representation of four cases in the compliance determination process without consideration of measurement uncertainty during limits setting.....	38
Figure 9 – Graphical representation of four cases in the compliance determination process with consideration of measurement uncertainty during limits setting.	39
Figure 10 – Generic relation between overall uncertainty of measurand and some major categories of uncertainties	39
Figure 11 – Graphical representation MIU compliance criterion for compliance measurements, per CISPR 16-4-2	41
Figure 12 – Basic circuit of a voltage measurement	45
Figure 13 – Basic circuit of a loaded disturbance source ($N = 2$)	46
Figure 14 – Relation between the voltages	47
Figure 15 – Basic circuit of the V-AMN voltage measurement ($N = 2$).....	49
Figure 16 – Basic circuit of the V-AN measurement during the reading of the received voltage U_m (the numbers refer to Figure 15).....	50

Figure 17 – The absolute value of the sensitivity coefficient c_2 as a function of the phase angle difference φ of the impedances Z_{13} and Z_{d0} for several values of the ratio $ Z_{13}/Z_{d0} $	51
Figure 18 – Variation of the parasitic capacitance, and hence of the CM-impedance, by changing the position of the reference plane (non-conducting EUT housing)	53
Figure 19 – Influence quantities in between the EUT (disturbance source) and the V-AMN	55
Figure 20 – Schematic overview of the original clamp calibration method	58
Figure 21 – Diagram that illustrates the uncertainty sources associated with the original clamp calibration method	59
Figure 22 – Schematic overview of the clamp measurement method	64
Figure 23 – Diagram that illustrates the uncertainty sources associated with the clamp measurement method	65
Figure 24 – Measurement results of an absorbing clamp RRT performed by six test laboratories in the Netherlands using a drill as EUT	70
Figure 25 – Schematic of a radiated emission measurement set-up in a SAC	72
Figure 26 – Uncertainty sources associated with the SAC/OATS radiated emission measurement method	74
Figure A.1 – Measured field strength distributions X1 and Y1, emission limit and level to be protected of relevance in the determination of the corresponding interference probability determined by distributions X2 and Y2	90
Figure B.1 – Voltage and current limits as given in CISPR 16:2005, Tables 2b and 3, and the ratio U_L/I_L	92
Figure B.2 – Factor K_S derived from the data in Figure B.1 and Equation (B.4)	92
Figure C.1 – Schematic diagram of a V-AMN yielding an improved figure-of-merit about the actual compliance probability via two current probes	95
Figure H.1 – Expanded uncertainties of emission measurement results for five different emulated EUTs each with five different cable termination conditions [24]	108
Figure H.2 – Interlaboratory comparison measurement results of twelve 10 m SACs [see “HP (2000)” in Table H.1]	108
Figure H.3 – ILC measurement results radiated emission SAC/OATS 3 m (11 sites) [32]	109
Figure H.4 – ILC measurement results radiated emission SAC/OATS 3 m (14 sites) [13], [25]	110
Figure H.5 – Measured correlation curve of 3 m and 10 m SAC/OATS-emission measurement of a battery-fed table-top type of EUT, compared with the free-space rule-of-thumb ratio [13], [25]	111
Table 1 – Structure of clauses related to the subject of standards compliance uncertainty	9
Table 2 – Categories of uncertainty sources in standardised emission measurements	20
Table 3 – Example of detailed standard induced uncertainty sources for a radiated emission measurement	21
Table 4 – Different types of uncertainties used within CISPR at present	22
Table 5 – Examples (not exhaustive) of the translation of ‘uncertainty sources’ into ‘influence quantities’ for an emission measurement on an OATS per CISPR 22	23
Table 6 – Influence quantities associated with the uncertainty sources given in Figure 21 for the original clamp calibration method	60
Table 7 – Influence quantities associated with the uncertainty sources given in Figure 23 for the clamp measurement method	66

Table 8 – Measurement results of an absorbing clamp RRT performed by six test laboratories in Germany using a vacuum cleaner motor as EUT.....	70
Table 9 – Summary of various MIU and SCU values (expanded uncertainties) for the clamp measurement method derived from different sources of information.....	71
Table 10 – Influence quantities for the SAC/OATS radiated emission measurement method associated with the uncertainty sources of Figure 26.....	76
Table 11 – Relation between/and type of EUT and set-up-related uncertainties	77
Table 12 – Example of uncertainty estimate associated with the NSA measurement method, 30 MHz to 1 000 MHz.....	82
Table 13 – Relationship between intrinsic and apparent NSA.....	83
Table E.1 – Uncertainty budget for the original absorbing clamp calibration method in the frequency range 30 MHz to 300 MHz	97
Table E.2 – Uncertainty budget for the original absorbing clamp calibration method in the frequency range 300 MHz to 1 000 MHz	98
Table F.1 – Uncertainty budget for the absorbing clamp measurement method in the frequency range 30 MHz to 300 MHz	99
Table F.2 – Uncertainty budget for the absorbing clamp measurement method in the frequency range 300 MHz to 1 000 MHz	100
Table G.1 – Uncertainty estimate for the radiated emission measurement method in the frequency range 30 MHz to 200 MHz at a measurement distance of 3 m.....	102
Table G.2 – Uncertainty estimate for the radiated emission measurement method in the frequency range 200 MHz to 1 000 MHz at a measurement distance of 3 m.....	103
Table G.3 – Uncertainty data of some influence quantities for the radiated emission measurement method in the frequency range 30 MHz to 200 MHz at measurement distances of 3 m, 10 m, or 30 m.....	104
Table G.4 – Uncertainty data of some influence quantities for the radiated emission measurement method in the frequency range 200 MHz to 1 000 MHz at measurement distances of 3 m, 10 m, or 30 m.....	105
Table H.1 – Summary of various MIU and SCU uncertainty values for the SAC/OATS-based radiated emission measurement method, assembled from various sources.....	107

INTRODUCTION

The result of the application of basic considerations (Clauses 4 and 5) in this part to existing or new CISPR standards will lead to proposals to improve and harmonise the uncertainty aspects of those CISPR standards. Such proposals will also be published as reports within this part and will give the background and rationale for improvement of certain CISPR standards. Clause 6 is an example of such a report.

The structure of clauses related to the CISPR standards compliance uncertainty work is depicted in Table 1. Clause 4 deals with the basic considerations of standards compliance uncertainties in emission measurements. Clauses 6, 7 and 8 contain uncertainty considerations related to voltage, absorbing clamp and radiated emission measurements, respectively.

Uncertainty work will also be considered for immunity compliance tests in the future. Clauses 5, 9 and 10 are reserved for this material. SCU (see 3.1.16) considerations of immunity tests differ from the emission SCU considerations in particular points. For instance, in an immunity test, the measurand is often a functional attribute of the EUT and not a specific quantity. This may cause additional specific SCU considerations. Priority has been given to the uncertainty evaluations for emission measurements at this stage of the work.

Table 1 – Structure of clauses related to the subject of standards compliance uncertainty

STANDARDS COMPLIANCE UNCERTAINTY			
Clause 1, 2, and 3: General			
EMISSION		IMMUNITY	
Clause 4	Basic considerations	Clause 5	Basic considerations
Clause 6	Voltage measurements	Clause 9	Conducted immunity tests
Clause 7	Absorbing clamp measurements	Clause 10	Radiated immunity tests
Clause 8	Radiated emission measurements		

AUSTRALIAN/NEW ZEALAND STANDARD

Specification for radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus and methods**Part 4.1:****Uncertainties, statistics and limit modelling—Uncertainties in standardized EMC tests****1 Scope**

This part of CISPR 16-4 gives guidance on the treatment of uncertainties to those who are involved in the development or modification of CISPR electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) standards. In addition, this part provides useful background information for those who apply the standards and the uncertainty aspects in practice.

The objectives of this part are to:

- a) identify the parameters or sources governing the uncertainty associated with the statement that a given product complies with the requirement specified in a CISPR recommendation. This uncertainty will be called “standards compliance uncertainty” (SCU, see 3.1.16);
- b) give guidance on the estimation of the magnitude of the standards compliance uncertainty;
- c) give guidance for the implementation of the standards compliance uncertainty into the compliance criterion of a CISPR standardised compliance test.

As such, this part can be considered as a handbook that can be used by standards writers to incorporate and harmonise uncertainty considerations in existing and future CISPR standards. This part also gives guidance to regulatory authorities, accreditation bodies and test engineers to judge the performance quality of an EMC test-laboratory carrying out CISPR standardised compliance tests. The uncertainty considerations given in this part can also be used as guidance when comparing test results (and their uncertainties) obtained by using different alternative test methods.

The uncertainty of a compliance test also relates to the probability of occurrence of an electromagnetic interference (EMI) problem in practice. This aspect is recognized and introduced briefly in this part. However, the problem of relating uncertainties of a compliance test to the occurrence of EMI in practice is not considered within the scope of this part.

The scope of this part is limited to all the relevant uncertainty considerations of a standardized EMC compliance test.

2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IEC 60050-161:1990, *International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) – Chapter 161: Electromagnetic Compatibility*

IEC 60050-300:2001, *International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) – Electrical and electronic measurements and measuring instruments – Part 311: General terms relating to measurements – Part 312: General terms relating to electrical measurements – Part 313: Types of electrical measuring instruments – Part 314: Specific terms according to the type of instrument*