

ASME VVUQ 60.1-2025

Considerations and Questionnaire for Selecting Computational Physics Simulation Software: An ASME Guideline

AN AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD



The American Society of
Mechanical Engineers

ASME VVUQ 60.1-2025

Considerations and Questionnaire for Selecting Computational Physics Simulation Software: An ASME Guideline

AN AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD



**The American Society of
Mechanical Engineers**

Two Park Avenue • New York, NY • 10016 USA

Date of Issuance: March 14, 2025

This Guideline will be revised when the Society approves the issuance of a new edition.

This code or standard was developed under procedures accredited as meeting the criteria for American National Standards. The standards committee that approved the code or standard was balanced to ensure that individuals from competent and concerned interests had an opportunity to participate. The proposed code or standard was made available for public review and comment, which provided an opportunity for additional public input from industry, academia, regulatory agencies, and the public-at-large.

ASME does not “approve,” “certify,” “rate,” or “endorse” any item, construction, proprietary device, or activity. ASME does not take any position with respect to the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any items mentioned in this document, and does not undertake to insure anyone utilizing a standard against liability for infringement of any applicable letters patent, nor does ASME assume any such liability. Users of a code or standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

Participation by federal agency representatives or persons affiliated with industry is not to be interpreted as government or industry endorsement of this code or standard.

ASME accepts responsibility for only those interpretations of this document issued in accordance with the established ASME procedures and policies, which precludes the issuance of interpretations by individuals.

The endnotes and preamble in this document (if any) are part of this American National Standard.



ASME Collective Membership Mark

All rights reserved. “ASME” and the above ASME symbol are registered trademarks of The American Society of Mechanical Engineers. No part of this document may be copied, modified, distributed, published, displayed, or otherwise reproduced in any form or by any means, electronic, digital, or mechanical, now known or hereafter invented, without the express written permission of ASME. No works derived from this document or any content therein may be created without the express written permission of ASME. Using this document or any content therein to train, create or improve any artificial intelligence and/or machine learning platform, system, application, model, or algorithm is strictly prohibited.

The American Society of Mechanical Engineers
Two Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5990

Copyright © 2025 by
THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERS

CONTENTS

Foreword		i
Committee Roster		v
Correspondence With the VVUQ Committee		vi
1	Scope	1
2	References	1
3	Considerations for Software Selection	1
4	Questions for Software Providers	3
 Nonmandatory Appendices		
A	Glossary	11
B	Examples of Additional Considerations for Specific Simulation Applications	13
 Table		
B-1-1	Additional Considerations for Specific Simulation Applications	14
 Forms		
4.1-1	Suitability Assessment: Scope, Definition, and Theoretical Basis	5
4.2-1	Instructions on Usage and Training	8
4.3-1	Code Verification	9
4.4-1	Quality Assurance	10

FOREWORD

Results from computational analyses and simulations of physical systems are major factors in engineering decision making. These results are determined by

- (a) the skills of the end user in setting up the computational models
- (b) the approach the end user takes
- (c) the assumptions and decisions the end user makes
- (d) the capabilities of the computational analysis and physics simulation software used

It is critical to consider the capabilities of the selected software tools from the outset to ensure the reliability of engineering decisions based on simulations and verification and validation (V&V) procedures. This Guideline has been created to provide guidance to end users for evaluating and selecting computational physics simulation software appropriate for the intended application of interest. While this Guideline does not provide explicit guidance for V&V, it does offer guidance for assessing the accuracy and credibility of computational models and simulations. The end user's objective in using simulation software is to obtain accurate and reliable simulation results. Commercial software providers are interested in supplying the simulation software used for that purpose. However, the end user and the potential provider need a transparent exchange of information to ensure that the end user selects simulation software that is indeed appropriate for their intended use.

Before selecting a particular simulation software, the end user should understand the software's theoretical basis, dependability, and limitations relevant to one or more of the end user's specific applications of interest. This Guideline provides a list of pertinent questions to help the end user select appropriate simulation software. These questions have been selected based on the experience of the members of the ASME VVUQ 60.1 Subcommittee on Verification, Validation, and Uncertainty Quantification of Modeling and Simulation in Energy Systems. Answers to these questions can be found in software user manuals and theory guides or obtained directly from the software provider.

This Guideline has been specifically created for the benefit of the computational simulation community. It has been kept short and concise toward this end, and it can be expanded and extended in future versions.

ASME VVUQ 60.1 was approved by the American National Standards Institute on February 12, 2025.

ASME VVUQ COMMITTEE

Verification, Validation, and Uncertainty Quantification in Computational Modeling and Simulation

(The following is the roster of the committee at the time of approval of this Guideline.)

STANDARDS COMMITTEE OFFICERS

D. M. Moorcroft, *Chair*
J. S. Kaizer, *Vice Chair*
L. Stanford, *Secretary*

STANDARDS COMMITTEE PERSONNEL

G. Banyay, Pennsylvania State University
M. Benedict, U.S. Air Force Research Laboratory
J. Bischoff, Zimmer Biomet
M. M. Chalchooghi, AstraZeneca
D. Cheng, Fluor Corp.
D. Datye, SIMULIA
K. Dowding, Sandia National Laboratories
L. Eça, Instituto Superior Técnico
C. J. Freitas, Southwest Research Institute
Y. Hassan, Texas A&M University
M. Hoener, ANSYS, Inc.
J. S. Kaizer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

R. Kenyon, Regeneron
S. L. Kieweg, Sandia National Laboratories
S. Mahadevan, Vanderbilt University
D. M. Moorcroft, Federal Aviation Administration
M. Ostergaard, Airbus
V. Sharma, Engineering Systems, Inc.
L. Stanford, The American Society of Mechanical Engineers
T. Syberg, The Boeing Company
B. T. Tucker, Southwest Research Institute
T. M. Morrison, *Contributing Member*, U.S. Food and Drug Administration
Smith, *Contributing Member*, Compuscience

VVUQ 60 SUBCOMMITTEE — VERIFICATION, VALIDATION, AND UNCERTAINTY QUANTIFICATION OF COMPUTATIONAL MODELING IN ENERGY SYSTEMS

D. Cheng, *Chair*, Fluor Corp.
D. Datye, *Vice Chair*, SIMULIA
D. Alonzo, *Secretary*, The American Society of Mechanical Engineers
U. Abusomwan, Rice University
A. Ajdari, Exponent, Inc.
I. G. Campbell, CGI Solutions, Inc.
C. J. Freitas, Southwest Research Institute
L. Gutkin, Kinectrics, Inc.
Y. Hassan, Texas A&M University
M. Kim, Thorton Tomasetti

J.-F. Saint-Marcoux, Consultant
V. Sharma, Engineering Systems, Inc.
X. Zhang, Texas A&M University, Kingsville
S. Abraham, *Contributing Member*, Quest Integrity
J. M. Bell, *Contributing Member*, Consultant
V. Chiddarwar, *Contributing Member*, Navistar, Inc.
G. Chochua, *Contributing Member*, Schlumberger Technology Corp.
P. Ghosh, *Contributing Member*, Stress Engineering Services
M. W. Harville, *Contributing Member*, Texas Christian University
O. Olatunji, *Contributing Member*, University of Pretoria
M. Sarzynski, *Contributing Member*, Consultant

CORRESPONDENCE WITH THE VVUQ COMMITTEE

General. ASME codes and standards are developed and maintained by committees with the intent to represent the consensus of concerned interests. Users of ASME codes and standards may correspond with the committees to propose revisions or cases, report errata, or request interpretations. Correspondence for this Guideline should be sent to the staff secretary noted on the committee's web page, accessible at <https://go.asme.org/VnVcommittee>.

Revisions and Errata. The committee processes revisions to this Guideline on a periodic basis to incorporate changes that appear necessary or desirable as demonstrated by the experience gained from the application of the Guideline. Approved revisions will be published in the next edition of the Guideline.

In addition, the committee may post errata on the committee web page. Errata become effective on the date posted. Users can register on the committee web page to receive email notifications of posted errata.

This Guideline is always open for comment, and the committee welcomes proposals for revisions. Such proposals should be as specific as possible, citing the paragraph number, the proposed wording, and a detailed description of the reasons for the proposal, including any pertinent background information and supporting documentation.

Cases

(a) The most common applications for cases are

(1) to permit early implementation of a revision based on an urgent need

(2) to provide alternative requirements

(3) to allow users to gain experience with alternative or potential additional requirements prior to incorporation directly into the Guideline

(4) to permit the use of a new material or process

(b) Users are cautioned that not all jurisdictions or owners automatically accept cases. Cases are not to be considered as approving, recommending, certifying, or endorsing any proprietary or specific design, or as limiting in any way the freedom of manufacturers, constructors, or owners to choose any method of design or any form of construction that conforms to the Guideline.

(c) A proposed case shall be written as a question and reply in the same format as existing cases. The proposal shall also include the following information:

(1) a statement of need and background information

(2) the urgency of the case (e.g., the case concerns a project that is underway or imminent)

(3) the Guideline and the paragraph, figure, or table number

(4) the editions of the Guideline to which the proposed case applies

(d) A case is effective for use when the public review process has been completed and it is approved by the cognizant supervisory board. Approved cases are posted on the committee web page.

Interpretations. Upon request, the committee will issue an interpretation of any requirement of this Guideline. An interpretation can be issued only in response to a request submitted through the online Inquiry Submittal Form at <https://go.asme.org/InterpretationRequest>. Upon submitting the form, the inquirer will receive an automatic email confirming receipt.

ASME does not act as a consultant for specific engineering problems or for the general application or understanding of the Guideline requirements. If, based on the information submitted, it is the opinion of the committee that the inquirer should seek assistance, the request will be returned with the recommendation that such assistance be obtained. Inquirers can track the status of their requests at <https://go.asme.org/Interpretations>.

ASME procedures provide for reconsideration of any interpretation when or if additional information that might affect an interpretation is available. Further, persons aggrieved by an interpretation may appeal to the cognizant ASME committee or subcommittee. ASME does not "approve," "certify," "rate," or "endorse" any item, construction, proprietary activity, or activity.

Interpretations are published in the ASME Interpretations Database at <https://go.asme.org/Interpretations> as they are issued.

Committee Meetings. The VVUQ Standards Committee regularly holds meetings that are open to the public. Persons wishing to attend any meeting should contact the secretary of the committee. Information on future committee meetings can be found on the committee web page at <https://go.asme.org/VnVcommittee>.

Currently in preview, click buy full version

Currently in preview, click buy full version

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

CONSIDERATIONS AND QUESTIONNAIRE FOR SELECTING COMPUTATIONAL PHYSICS SIMULATION SOFTWARE: AN ASME GUIDELINE

1 SCOPE

ASME VVUQ 60.1 provides guidance to computational physics simulation software end users for evaluating and selecting simulation software appropriate for their intended application of interest. The selection should be guided by the level of risk associated with the application of interest. The software can be based on theoretical formulations or empirical data.

Before selecting a particular simulation software (either commercial, open-source, or developed in-house), the end user must know its theoretical basis, dependability, and limitations relevant to the end user application of interest. This Guideline outlines some matters that the end user can take into consideration during the software selection process. A series of questions are also included to help the end user assess the suitability of the simulation software by weighing responses based on the risk associated with their application of interest.

The scope of this Guideline is limited to computational physics simulation software. It does not offer guidance on other software. ASME VVUQ 60.1 has been developed to provide guidance to end users to evaluate simulation software based on its functionality. Other aspects such as cost are not considered. This Guideline is not limited to any physics or any specific engineering application. It assumes the end user is technically skilled to understand the physics underlying the phenomena being simulated, is capable of setting up appropriate computational models, and is appropriately qualified or certified.

2 REFERENCES

The following is a list of publications referenced in this Guideline.

- ASME VVUQ 1-2022. Verification, Validation, and Uncertainty Quantification in Computational Modeling and Simulation Terminology. The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.
- ASME V&V 10-2019. Standard for Verification and Validation in Computational Solid Mechanics. The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.
- ASME V&V 20-2009. Standard for Verification and Validation in Computational Fluid Dynamics and Heat Transfer. The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.
- ASME V&V 40-2018. Assessing Fidelity of Computational Modeling Through Verification and Validation: Application to Medical Devices. The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.
- Azadeh, A., Nazari Shirkehi, S., and Rezaie, K. (2010). "A Robust Decision-Making Methodology for Evaluation and Selection of Simulation Software Package." *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 47 (1), 381–393.
- Baguley, D., and Hogg, D. (1994). NAFEMS HT02: How to Choose a Finite Element Analysis System. National Agency for Finite Element Methods and Standards.
- IEEE Std 1062-2015. IEEE Recommended Practice for Software Acquisition. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers.
- Oleka, J., and Roy, C. J. (2010). *Verification and Validation in Scientific Computing*. Cambridge University Press.
- PSIG 003/2000. Recommended Practice for Software Acquisition. Pipeline Simulation Interest Group.

3 CONSIDERATIONS FOR SOFTWARE SELECTION

The end user is responsible for

- (a) defining the problem statement with an understanding of the physical phenomena and the risk inherent in the application of interest