




HANDLING OF DIFFERENCES IN YS,  
UTS, AND CREEP RUPTURE STRENGTH  
BETWEEN ASME AND OTHER  
STANDARDS (EN, JIS, ETC.)



STP-PT-076

**HANDLING OF DIFFERENCES  
IN YS, UTS, AND  
CREEP RUPTURE STRENGTH  
BETWEEN ASME  
AND OTHER STANDARDS  
(EN, AS, ETC.)**

*Prepared by:*

Wolfgang Hoffelner  
R/H-consult GmbH/Switzerland

ASME STANDARDS  
TECHNOLOGY, LLC

Date of Issuance: June 26, 2015

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by ASME Pressure Technology Codes & Standards and ASME Standards Technology, LLC (ASME ST-LLC).

Neither ASME, ASME ST-LLC, the author, nor others involved in the preparation or review of this report, nor any of their respective employees, members or persons acting on their behalf, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation or favoring by ASME ST-LLC or others involved in the preparation or review of this report, or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of the authors, contributors and reviewers of the report expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of ASME ST-LLC or others involved in the preparation or review of this report, or any agency thereof.

ASME ST-LLC does not take any position with respect to the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any items mentioned in this document, and does not undertake to insure anyone utilizing a publication against liability for infringement of any applicable Letters Patent, nor assumes any such liability. Users of a publication are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

Participation by federal agency representative(s) or person(s) affiliated with industry is not to be interpreted as government or industry endorsement of this publication.

ASME is the registered trademark of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

No part of this document may be reproduced in any form,  
in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise,  
without the prior written permission of the publisher.

ASME Standards Technology, LLC  
Two Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5990

ISBN No. 978-0-7918-7037-2  
Copyright © 2015 by  
ASME Standards Technology, LLC  
All Rights Reserved

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Figures.....	iv
Foreword.....	vi
Abstract.....	vii
1 INTRODUCTION AND GENERAL ASPECTS.....	1
1.1 Materials Investigated.....	2
1.2 1% Strain Data.....	4
2 DATA SOURCES.....	6
2.1 Definition Of Minimum Values.....	7
2.2 Carbon Steels.....	9
2.3 UTS and Creep.....	12
3 DETAILED COMPARISONS.....	15
4 CONCLUSIONS.....	43
5 REFERENCES.....	44
APPENDIX 1.....	45

**LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1-1: Example for Materials Data Scatter and Evaluation Curves. Solid Line ASME Y-1 Tables for this Type of Material, Dashed Line EURONORM for this Type of Material, Dotted Line Calculated Average. (Data source [8])..... 1

Figure 1-2: Comparison of ASME and EN with Respect to the European Pressure Equipment Directive (PED). Source [5]..... 2

Figure 1-3: List of Foreign Codes and Standards Containing Materials which were also Included in the ASME Material Properties Tables. .... 3

Figure 1-4: YS Trend Curves for P235gh Plate and Seamless Tube. The EN Specifications Show Differences Between the Two Product Forms, in Contrast to ASME ..... 4

Figure 2-1: YS of 1Cr 0.5Mo Steel (Source [15]) ..... 7

Figure 2-2: Different Trend Curves for 1Cr-0.5 Mo Steel..... 7

Figure 2-3: Examples of 2.25Cr-1Mo Yield Strength Data [14], [15]..... 8

Figure 2-4: Comparison of EN-Pipe Data with Literature [15], [16]. The Data Follow the Minimum Line of the Landoldt Data [15]. The ORNL Data Represent the Trend-curve of the Average Values ..... 9

Figure 2-5: Heat Treatment and Room Temperature Strength Data for 2.25Cr-1Mo According to SA-182 ..... 9

Figure 2-6: Y-1 Table Trend Curves for Different Qualities of 2.25Cr-1Mo steels Compared With the Literature [15], [16] ..... 10

Figure 2-7: Two Types of Y-1 Trend Curves for Carbon Steel. Compared with Literature Data; ASME\_2013 and ASME\_2013\_low Represent the Trend Curves of the 2013 Code Edition. ASME/MPC Data were Obtained by Digitization and Parametrization of Literature from 1974 [12], [13] ..... 11

Figure 2-8: Trend Curves of SA-738 B. The Material Followed Originally the Fine Grained Trend Which Was Considered as an Error (see [18]) ..... 11

Figure 2-9: Yield Strength Reduction Factors for Carbon Manganese Steels According to the Chinese GB713 ..... 12

Figure 2-10: Differences in Creep and Stress Rupture Representations Between ASME and EN..... 13

Figure 2-11: 100,000 Hours Stress Rupture Data for EN P355GH ..... 13

Figure 2-12: ASME-EN Comparison of Time Dependent Data for 2 ¼ Cr-1Mo Steel. The EN (mean) Values Agree Well With the ASME Allowable Divided by 0.67..... 13

Figure 2-13: ASME-EN Comparison of Time Dependent Data for a Carbon Mn Steel. The EN (mean) Values do not at all Agree with the ASME Allowable Divided by 0.67 ..... 14

Figure 3-1: Comparison of Mechanical Data of 10 CrMo9-10 EN 10028-2 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013)..... 15

Figure 3-2: Comparison of Mechanical Data of 13 CrMo4-5 EN 10028-2 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013)..... 16

Figure 3-3: Comparison of Mechanical Data of 15CrMoR GB 713 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013)..... 17

Figure 3-4: Comparison of Mechanical Data of 16 Mo3 EN 10216-2 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013)..... 18

Figure 3-5: Comparison of Mechanical Data of P235GH EN 10028-2 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013)..... 19

Figure 3-6: Comparison of Mechanical Data of P265GH EN 10028-2 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013)..... 20

Figure 3-7: Comparison of Mechanical Data of P275NH EN 10028-3 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013)..... 21

Figure 3-8: Comparison of Mechanical Data of P295GH EN 10028-2 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013)..... 22

Figure 3-9: Comparison of Mechanical Data of P355GH EN 10028-2 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013) .....	23
Figure 3-10: Comparison of Mechanical Data of PT430 AS 1548 2008 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013) .....	24
Figure 3-11: Comparison of Mechanical Data of PT460 AS 1548 2008 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013) .....	25
Figure 3-12: Comparison of Mechanical Data of PT490 AS 1548 2008 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013) .....	26
Figure 3-13: Comparison of Mechanical Data of Q345R GB 713 2008 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013) .....	27
Figure 3-14: Comparison of Mechanical Data of Q370R GB 713 2008 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013) .....	28
Figure 3-15: Comparison of Mechanical Data of X6CrNi18-10 EN10028-7 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013) .....	29
Figure 3-16: Comparison of Mechanical Data of X5CrNiMo17-12-2 EN10028-7 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013) .....	30
Figure 3-17: Comparison of Mechanical Data of 13CrMoSi5-5 EN10028-2 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013) .....	31
Figure 3-18: Comparison of Mechanical Data of P280GH EN_10222-2 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013) .....	32
Figure 3-19: Comparison of Mechanical Data of P305GH EN_10222-2 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013) .....	33
Figure 3-20: Comparison of Mechanical Data of 13 CrMo4-5 EN_10222-2 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013) .....	34
Figure 3-21: Comparison of Mechanical Data of 11 CrMo9-10 EN_10222-2 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013) .....	35
Figure 3-22: Comparison of Mechanical Data of P235G1E EN 10216-2 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013) .....	36
Figure 3-23: Comparison of Mechanical Data of P265GH EN 10216-2 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013) .....	37
Figure 3-24: Comparison of Mechanical Data of 13 CrMo4-5 EN 10028-2 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013) .....	38
Figure 3-25: Comparison of Mechanical Data of 10 CrMo9-10 EN 10216-2 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013) .....	39
Figure 3-26: Comparison of Yield Stress of 20MnMoNi4-5 EN 10028-2 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013) .....	40
Figure 3-27: Comparison of Yield Stress of 18MnMoNi5-5 EN 10222-2 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013) .....	41
Figure 3-28: Comparison of Yield Stress of X12Cr13 EN 10088-3 with Current Values Given in Sect IID Tables (Edition 2013) .....	42