

EVALUATION OF FRACTURE PROPERTIES TEST METHODS FOR HYDROGEN SERVICE



Currently in preview, click buy full version!

STP-PT-064

EVALUATION OF FRACTURE PROPERTIES TEST METHODS FOR HYDROGEN SERVICE

Prepared by:

M. V. Miglin, PhD.

Stress Engineering Services, Inc.

ASME STANDARDS
TECHNOLOGY, LLC

Date of Issuance: June 24, 2013

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by ASME Pressure Technology Codes & Standards and the ASME Standards Technology, LLC (ASME ST-LLC).

Neither ASME, ASME ST-LLC, the author, nor others involved in the preparation or review of this report, nor any of their respective employees, members or persons acting on their behalf, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation or favoring by ASME ST-LLC or others involved in the preparation or review of this report, or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of the authors, contributors and reviewers of the report expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of ASME ST-LLC or others involved in the preparation or review of this report or any agency thereof.

ASME ST-LLC does not take any position with respect to the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any items mentioned in this document, and does not undertake to insure anyone utilizing a publication against liability for infringement of any applicable Letters Patent, nor assumes any such liability. Users of a publication are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

Participation by federal agency representative(s) or person(s) affiliated with industry is not to be interpreted as government or industry endorsement of this publication.

ASME is the registered trademark of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

No part of this document may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

ASME Standards Technology, LLC
Two Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5990

ISBN No. 978-0-7918-6903-1

Copyright © 2013 by
ASME Standards Technology, LLC
All Rights Reserved

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreword	v
Abstract	vi
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 SCOPE	2
2.1 Measurement of K_{TH}	2
2.2 Measurement of da/dN	2
2.3 Recommendations	3
3 MEASUREMENT OF K_{TH}	4
3.1 Constant Displacement Test Method	4
3.2 Rising Load Test Method	7
3.3 Summary	11
4 MEASUREMENT OF da/dN	12
4.1 Effects of Frequency	14
4.2 Effects of R-Ratio	16
4.3 Threshold Effects	20
4.4 Summary	22
5 SUMMARY	23
5.1 Recommendations for Measurement of K_{TH}	23
5.2 Recommendations for Measurement of ΔK_{TH}	23
References	25
Acknowledgments	27
Abbreviations and Acronyms	28

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 - Rising load results for 5% NiCrMoV steel with three tempering temperatures tested in 1500 psi hydrogen	8
Table 2 - Fatigue coefficients derived from fitting the data in Figure 20 to the power-law relationship given as Equation 1	18

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 - Hydrogen Diffusion from an X100 Steel Cylindrical Specimen in Laboratory Air	4
Figure 2 - Bolt-Loaded Compact Specimen	5
Figure 3 - K_{TH} for CrMo Steel Measured in Gaseous Hydrogen at 200 bar	5
Figure 4 - Measured Threshold Stress Intensity Values versus Applied Stress Intensity	6
Figure 5 - K_{app} , K_R , and the True Fracture Threshold (K_{TH}) as a Function of Crack Extension	6
Figure 6 - K versus Loading Rate	7
Figure 7 - Rising Load Results for AerMet 100 High Strength Steel with/without Hydrogen	8

Figure 8(a) - KTH Results for Various Steels Precharged with Hydrogen and Tested in Moist Laboratory Air.....	9
Figure 8(b) - Comparison of Rising Load and Constant Displacement (Fixed CMOD) Results as a Function of Tensile Strength.....	9
Figure 9 - Constant Load Rate and Constant Displacement Tests of 4340 with 179 ksi Yield in 80 psi Hydrogen Gas.....	10
Figure 10 - Constant Load Rate K_{JH} vs. Constant Displacement K_{THa} Results for Several Steels DOT-3T (900 MPa A), DOT-3AAX (607 MPa), SA 372 Grade J (641, 730, 736 and 783 MPa), and SA372 Grade L (1053 MPa).....	10
Figure 11 - Crack Growth Rate as a Function of ΔK Showing Effects of Hydrogen and R-Ratio on the Shape of the Fatigue Crack Growth Rate Curve.....	12
Figure 12 - Fatigue Crack Growth Rate of HY-80 and HY-130 in Air and Hydrogen.....	13
Figure 13 - Fatigue Crack Growth Rate of HY100 Steel in Hydrogen and Helium.....	13
Figure 14 - Fatigue Crack Growth Rates for 4130X in Hydrogen at Two Frequencies and R-Ratios.....	14
Figure 15 - Fatigue Crack Growth Rates for A3750 in Hydrogen at Frequencies Ranging from 0.01 to 25 Hz.....	14
Figure 16 - Fatigue Crack Growth Rate of SA105 C-Mn Steel in Hydrogen and Helium over a Range of Frequencies from 0.00083 to 1 Hz.....	15
Figure 17 - Fatigue Crack Growth Rates as a Function of Frequency for X52 Pipeline Steel Tested at R=0.5 in Hydrogen Gas.....	15
Figure 18 - Effect of Frequency on Crack Growth Rates for C-Mn Steels Charged with Hydrogen in Aqueous Solution and in Gaseous Hydrogen.....	16
Figure 19 - Near-Threshold Fatigue Crack Growth Rate Curves for 4340 Steel in Dry Hydrogen at Various R-Ratios.....	16
Figure 20 - Effect of R-Ratio on ΔK_{TH} for 4130X MoV Steels Tested in Dry Hydrogen.....	17
Figure 21 - Fatigue Crack Growth Rates for Four 4130X Specimens (Open Symbols) in 45 MPa Hydrogen and Expected Fatigue Crack Growth Data in Air (Solid Line).....	17
Figure 22 - Crack Growth Rate as a Function of Applied ΔK for X80 Pipeline Steel Tested in Dry Hydrogen.....	18
Figure 23 - Data from Figure 21 Replotted as Crack Growth Rate versus K_{max}	19
Figure 24 - Crack Growth Rate as a Function of Applied ΔK for X60 Pipeline Steel Tested in Dry Hydrogen.....	19
Figure 25 - Data from Figure 21 Replotted as Crack Growth Rate versus K_{max}	20
Figure 26 - Variation of Alternating and Maximum Stress Intensities at Threshold, ΔK_0 and $K_{0,max}$, Respectively, with Load Ratio R for SA387-2-22 Tested in Moist Air and Dry Hydrogen at 50 Hz.....	21
Figure 27 - Fatigue Crack Growth in 2-1/4 Cr 1 Mo Steel Tested in Moist Air and Dry Hydrogen at Atmospheric Pressure.....	21
Figure 28 - Fatigue Crack Growth in X70 Pipeline Steel Tested in Moist Air and Hydrogen.....	22

FOREWORD

This report evaluates testing methods on the measurement of fracture properties for design of ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section VIII, Division 3 (ASME BPVC VIII-3) pressure vessels for hydrogen service.

Established in 1880, the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) is a professional not-for-profit organization with more than 135,000 members and volunteers promoting the art, science and practice of mechanical and multidisciplinary engineering and allied sciences. ASME develops codes and standards that enhance public safety, and provides lifelong learning and technical exchange opportunities benefiting the engineering and technology community. Visit www.asme.org for more information.

The ASME Standards Technology, LLC (ASME ST-LLC) is a not-for-profit Limited Liability Company, with ASME as the sole member, formed in 2004 to carry out work related to newly commercialized technology. The ASME ST-LLC mission includes meeting the needs of industry and government by providing new standards-related products and services, which advance the application of emerging and newly commercialized science and technology and providing the research and technology development needed to establish and maintain the technical relevance of codes and standards. Visit www.stllc.asme.org for more information.

ABSTRACT

Recommendations for appropriate testing procedures for measurement of the threshold stress intensity for fracture initiation in hydrogen, K_{TH} , and for the threshold stress intensity range for fatigue crack initiation in hydrogen, ΔK_{TH} , are provided. This includes specifics on the type of loading, loading rate, specimen size, etc, for K_{TH} tests. Guidance for the appropriate fatigue test parameters (test frequency, R-ratio, etc) are provided. Development of standards for determination of K_{TH} and ΔK_{TH} in hydrogen is encouraged. Recommendations for an appropriate ΔK_{TH} value that represents expected vessel behavior are made.

To obtain conservative estimates of K_{TH} in hydrogen, it is necessary to actively load a fracture mechanics specimen in hydrogen. Testing with concurrent straining and hydrogen exposure, i.e., rising load testing, is recommended for measuring K_{TH} for steels in hydrogen. Guidelines in ASTM E1820 should be followed to determine specimen size. The loading rate that produces the lowest measured K_{TH} will vary among steels, but will be on the order of 0.1MPa \sqrt{m}/min (0.09 ksi \sqrt{in}/min).

For fatigue testing, the test frequency and the R-ratio should adequately reflect the actual loading conditions expected in vessels during service, but also be realistic for laboratory test conditions. Fatigue crack growth rates in hydrogen are affected by loading frequency. The frequency selected for fatigue crack growth rate testing in hydrogen must balance the conflicting issues of test duration and data reliability. A frequency near 0.1 Hz appears to be a reasonable value for testing quenched and tempered steels in hydrogen.

Increasing R-ratio results in increased fatigue crack growth rates when plotted versus ΔK . Data from various sources are in agreement that testing at higher R-ratio produces faster crack growth rates than testing at low R-ratios. An R-ratio of at least 0.8 is needed to produce conservative crack growth data. This is also true in the threshold region.

Crack growth rates in the threshold region are higher in hydrogen than in moist air. It is likely that tests in hydrogen produce faster crack growth rates and lower ΔK_{TH} values because they do not allow oxidation at the crack tip, which produces a crack closure effect, reducing the effective ΔK at the crack tip. A threshold value $\Delta K_{TH} = 2 \text{ MPa}\sqrt{m}$ (2.2 Ksi \sqrt{in}) is suggested for inclusion in the ASME BPVC VIII-3 for hydrogen vessel design.

1 INTRODUCTION

Vessels designed for hydrogen service for ASME BPVC VIII-3 require threshold stress intensity factor and fatigue crack growth rate data. The purpose of this report is to survey the existing literature and provide guidance on the adequacy of the testing procedures provided in the above Code to measure these properties.

Currently in preview, click buy full versi

2 SCOPE

A literature survey was performed to locate published articles on measurement of the following properties of pressure vessel steels in hydrogen:

- (a) Threshold stress intensity factor, K_{TH} . This is defined as the stress intensity factor below which a crack will not propagate when subjected to hydrogen gas at the design pressure.
- (b) Fatigue crack growth rate, $da/dN=C(\Delta K)^m$. The values of the constants C and m are measured in hydrogen gas at the design pressure.

Recommendations for specific details of appropriate testing procedures for the measurement of K_{TH} are provided. This includes specifics on the type of loading, loading rate, specimen size, etc. Guidance for the appropriate fatigue test parameters (test frequency, R-ratio, etc) are also provided. Variables such as temperature, pressure and humidity are not addressed; other forms of hydrogen damage are not included.

The feasibility of a safety factor approach for the adjustment of the da/dN data from experiments to correspond to actual design parameters could not be specified because of the lack of full-scale test data. Recommendations for an appropriate ΔK_{TH} value that represents expected vessel behavior are made.

2.1 Measurement of K_{TH}

Current testing procedures (paragraph KD-1045 ASME BPVC VIII, Div. 3) allow the determination of K_{TH} by either constant displacement or constant load testing of fatigue precracked specimens. A review of these procedures and of the rising load test procedure is presented below. The available literature has been searched for the following information:

- (a) Static constant load or displacement vs. rising load test data
- (b) Effect of exposure time on static tests
- (c) Effect of strain or loading rate on rising load test
- (d) Effect of specimen size on both test methods
- (e) Application of static and rising load test data to actual pressure components in the presence of a crack.

A critical evaluation has been made of the existing test procedures specified in ASTM and ISO standards for measurement of K_{TH} in hydrogen. The existing K_{TH} test data for pressure vessel and piping steels in hydrogen has been compiled and compared. The literature was searched for full-scale test data to compare with properties obtained using the above-defined test procedures. No full-scale test data were found.

2.2 Measurement of da/dN

The available literature has been searched for information on the effects of test frequency, shape of the load-time cycle, and R-ratio on threshold fatigue crack growth rate, as follows:

- (a) The appropriate test frequency and R-ratio to be used in da/dN measurements are suggested. The test frequency and the R-ratio should adequately reflect the actual loading conditions expected in vessels during service, but are also be realistic for laboratory test conditions. No data was found for shape of the load-time cycle.
- (b) The effect of R-ratio on da/dN has been evaluated.

- (c) A value of ΔK_{TH} for Q&T steels has been identified for inclusion in the ASME BPVC VIII-3 for hydrogen vessel design.

2.3 Recommendations

Recommendations for specific details of appropriate testing procedures for the measurement of K_{TH} are provided. This includes specifics on the type of loading, loading rate, specimen size, etc. Guidance for the appropriate fatigue test parameters (test frequency, R-ratio, etc) are provided. The feasibility of a safety factor approach for the adjustment of the da/dN data from experiments to correspond to actual design parameters could not be specified because of the lack of full-scale test data. Recommendations for an appropriate ΔK_{TH} value that represents expected vessel behavior are made.