

STP-PT-031

PRESSURE INDUCED FATIGUE

Currently in preview, click buy full version



INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

STP-PT-031

PRESSURE INDUCED FATIGUE

Prepared by:

Joseph A. Kopp, PhD, PE
Fluorost Research, Inc

ASME STANDARDS
TECHNOLOGY, LLC

Date of Issuance: March 16, 2010

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by ASME Pressure Technology Codes and Standards and the ASME Standards Technology, LLC (ASME ST-LLC).

Neither ASME, ASME ST-LLC, the author, nor others involved in the preparation or review of this report, nor any of their respective employees, members or persons acting on their behalf, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation or favoring by ASME ST-LLC or others involved in the preparation or review of this report, or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of the authors, contributors and reviewers of the report expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of ASME ST-LLC or others involved in the preparation or review of this report, or any agency thereof.

ASME ST-LLC does not take any position with respect to the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any items mentioned in this document, and does not undertake to insure anyone utilizing a publication against liability for infringement of any applicable Letters Patent, nor assume any such liability. Users of a publication are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

Participation by federal agency representative(s) or person(s) affiliated with industry is not to be interpreted as government or industry endorsement of this publication.

ASME is the registered trademark of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

No part of this document may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

ASME Standards Technology, LLC
Three Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5990

ISBN No. 978-0-7918-3269-1

Copyright © 2010 by
ASME Standards Technology, LLC
All Rights Reserved

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreword iv

Abstract v

1 INTRODUCTION 1

2 PREVIOUS EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS 2

3 ASME SECTION VIII DIV 3 FATIGUE ANALYSIS 5

4 ASME SECTION VIII DIV 2 FATIGUE ANALYSIS 7

 4.1 Numerical Stress Analysis Results (Calculated Values of i_p) 7

5 LIFE PREDICTION RESULTS 8

6 MARKL ANALYSIS APPROACH TO THE OBSERVED DATA 12

7 DISCUSSION 14

8 CONCLUSION 18

References 19

Acknowledgments 20

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 - Summary of Fatigue Test Results 3

Table 2 - Section VIII Div 3 Design Life Analysis Results 10

Table 3 - Section VIII Div 2 Design Life Analysis Results 11

Table 4 - Comparison of Measured Results with Equation (7) as the Design Curve 15

Table 5 - Comparison of Safety Factors for Various Design Curves 16

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 - Comparison of Measured Fatigue Lives and Section VIII, Div. 3 Design Curves 9

Figure 2 - Comparison of Measured Fatigue Lives and Section VIII, Div. 2 Analysis Method 9

Figure 3 - Analysis of the Measured Data Using a Markl Power Law Equation 13

Figure 4 - Comparison of Data with Various Design Curves 14

FOREWORD

This document was developed under a research and development project which resulted from ASME Pressure Technology Codes & Standards (PTCS) committee requests to identify, prioritize and address technology gaps in current or new PTCS Codes, Standards and Guidelines. This project is one of several intended to establish and maintain the technical relevance of ASME codes & standards products. The specific project related to this document is project 07-06 (B31#3), entitled “Pressure Induced Fatigue.”

Established in 1880, the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) is a professional not-for-profit organization with more than 127,000 members promoting the art, science and practice of mechanical and multidisciplinary engineering and allied sciences. ASME develops codes and standards that enhance public safety, and provides lifelong learning and technical exchange opportunities benefiting the engineering and technology community. Visit www.asme.org for more information.

The ASME Standards Technology, LLC (ASME ST-LLC) is a not-for-profit Limited Liability Company, with ASME as the sole member, formed in 2004 to carry out work related to newly commercialized technology. The ASME ST-LLC mission includes meeting the needs of industry and government by providing new standards-related products and services, which advance the application of emerging and newly commercialized science and technology, and providing the research and technology development needed to establish and maintain the technical relevance of codes and standards. Visit www.stllc.asme.org for more information.

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to begin the process of developing an appropriate and accurate method of predicting fatigue failure due to internal pressure loading in piping components. Historically, piping component fatigue has been analyzed using the approach of Markl [1]. The results from the cyclic pressure testing of 41 piping intersections have been evaluated. The fatigue results were found to follow the Markl type power law relationship with some considerable scatter. The scatter observed in the data is attributed to variation due to the nature of fatigue failure in large welded structures. It is further concluded that several design curves are appropriate for use as a design rule for pressure induced fatigue.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Currently in preview, click buy full

1 INTRODUCTION

Several recent failures in welding tees and branch connections in B31.1 piping due to pressure cycling have been brought to the attention of the B31.1 committee. In addition, there has been a long-standing agenda item on the B31 Technical Committee on Mechanical Design (MDC) to develop stress multipliers of some sort for fatigue evaluation of piping components due to pressure cycling. The fatigue performance of piping components has been well understood in terms of thermal expansion stresses (i.e., secondary stresses), but the fatigue performance due to pressure cycling has been less understood. With the relatively high D/t ratios of high temperature power and process piping, fatigue due to pressure cycling is generally less significant than fatigue due to thermal expansion. However, as the pressure technology codes and standards use higher and higher strength materials (resulting in thinner components) and operate at higher and higher pressures (e.g., hydrogen for fuel technology), the cycling effect of pressure is expected to be much more pronounced.

The purpose of this study is to begin the process of developing an appropriate and accurate method of predicting fatigue failure due to internal pressure loading in piping components. Historically, piping component fatigue has been analyzed using the approach of Markl [1]. Fatigue life is accounted for by using a power law relationship between the applied loading stresses and life in the form:

$$C = iSN^{0.2} \quad (1)$$

Where N is the fatigue life of the component, C is a material constant, i is the stress intensification factor and S is the nominal applied stress. This equation assumes a linear relationship between Log(S) and Log(N) as has been observed in rotating beam fatigue tests in steels. The exponent value of 0.2 was used based on the experimental observation for steel, and Markl suggests an appropriate value for C is 245 ksi for welded carbon steel.

For pressure-induced fatigue, the nominal applied stress is the tangential stress in the pipe due to internal pressure and the stress intensification factor (now defined as i_p) is experimentally determined through actual fatigue testing of piping components. It has been thought that experimental development of i_p is superior to analytical methods since the actual components are welded engineering structures and the loading actually developed in the welded structure may not be well described by a continuous homogeneous model that could be used to generate theoretical stresses. Therefore, i_p is determined for a given pipe intersection by performing two fatigue comparative fatigue tests on a welded pipe with the pipe intersection and also on a pipe with the intersection in such a manner to produce the same fatigue life. The value of i_p is the ratio of the nominal stress in the pipe without the piping intersection to the nominal stress in the pipe with the piping intersection. The purpose of this study is to develop a plan and estimate the cost of performing such a test plan that will result in the determination of i_p . However, based on the findings obtained, it may not be necessary to perform a testing program since there appears to be sufficient existing data and analysis that adequately describe the fatigue performance of pressure loaded pipe intersections.