

# EXTENDED FATIGUE EXEMPTION RULES FOR LOW CR ALLOYS INTO THE TIME-DEPENDENT RANGE FOR SECTION VIII DIV 2



STP-PT-025

**EXTEND  
FATIGUE EXEMPTION RULES  
FOR LOW CR ALLOYS  
INTO THE  
TIME-DEPENDENT RANGE FOR  
SECTION VIII DIV 2  
CONSTRUCTION**

*Prepared by:*

Charles Becht IV, PhD, PE

Charles Becht V

Becht Engineering Company

ASME STANDARDS  
TECHNOLOGY, LLC

Date of Issuance: January 29, 2009

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by ASME Pressure Technologies Codes and Standards and the ASME Standards Technology, LLC (ASME ST-LLC).

Neither ASME, ASME ST-LLC, the authors, nor others involved in the preparation or review of this report, nor any of their respective employees, members, or persons acting on their behalf, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by ASME ST-LLC or others involved in the preparation or review of this report, or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of the authors, contributors, reviewers of the report expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of ASME ST-LLC or others involved in the preparation or review of this report or any agency thereof.

ASME ST-LLC does not take any position with respect to the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any items mentioned in this document, and does not undertake to insure anyone utilizing a publication against liability for infringement of any applicable Letters Patent, nor assumes any such liability. Users of a publication are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

Participation by federal agency representative(s) or person(s) affiliated with industry is not to be interpreted as government or industry endorsement of this publication.

ASME is the registered trademark of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

No part of this document may be reproduced in any form, electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

ASME Standards Technology, LLC  
Three Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5990

ISBN No. 978-0-7918-3190-8

Copyright © 2009 by  
ASME Standards Technology, LLC  
All Rights Reserved

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreword .....	v
Abstract .....	vi
1 BACKGROUND .....	1
2 SHAKEDOWN CONCEPTS .....	4
3 STRAIN RANGE FOR SHAKEDOWN AND INITIAL STRESS .....	8
4 CREEP FATIGUE WITH SHAKEDOWN TO ELASTIC ACTION .....	9
5 DEMONSTRATION OF SHAKEDOWN .....	11
6 INTERMEDIATE CYCLES .....	12
7 LOW CYCLE EXEMPTION .....	14
8 CONCLUSION .....	16
References .....	17
Appendix A - Relaxation/Damage Accumulation Curves .....	19
Appendix B - Cyclic Fatigue Data and Charts .....	22
Appendix C - Gr 22 Data Tables .....	32
Appendix D - Gr 91 Data Points .....	43
Acknowledgments .....	57

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 - Relaxation/Damage Accumulation Data for Various Chrome Alloys .....	8
---	---

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 – Strain Range vs. Cycles to Failure .....	2
Figure 2 – Stress-Strain Behavior Illustrating Shakedown .....	5
Figure 3 – Stress-Strain Behavior Illustrating Elevated Temperature Shakedown .....	5
Figure 4 – Cyclic Stress History with Shakedown .....	6
Figure 5 – Cyclic Stress History Without Shakedown .....	6
Figure 6 – Stress-Strain Behavior with Reset to Allowable Stress .....	7
Figure 7 – Cyclic Stress History with Reset to Allowable Stress .....	7
Figure 8 - Relaxation/Damage Accumulation Curves for 1.25Cr-0.5Mo-Class 1 Material .....	9
Figure 9 - Creep-Fatigue Interaction Diagram .....	10
Figure 10 – Stress Strain Behavior with Stress Reset Caused by Relaxation at Second Operating Condition .....	12
Figure 11 – Stress-Time Behavior with Stress Reset Caused by Relaxation at Second Operating Condition .....	13
Figure 12 - Nozzle Subjected to Internal Pressure with High Peak Stress at the Acute Corner [10] ...	14

Figure 13 - Stress, Plastic and Creep Strain, Strain Limit Ratio and Damage After 10 Cycles with 2 Year Hold Time [10].....	14
Figure 14 - 9Cr-1Mo-V 900°F.....	19
Figure 15 - 2.25Cr-1Mo-V 900°F.....	19
Figure 16 - 2.25Cr-1Mo Class 2 900°F.....	20
Figure 17 - 2.25Cr-1Mo Class 1 900°F.....	20
Figure 18 - 1.25Cr-0.5Mo-Si Class 2 900°F.....	21
Figure 19 - 1.25Cr-0.5Mo-Si Class 1 900°F.....	21
Figure 20 - 1.25Cr-0.5Mo-Si-Class 2 Data Point Plotted Versus SCMV 3 Material (45/75 grade [bainitic]) which has Exhibited Similar Behavior to 1.25Cr Alloys.[12].....	23
Figure 21 - 1.25Cr-0.5Mo-Si-Class 2 Data Point Plotted Versus Various Alloys with Similar Behavior to 1.25Cr Alloys.[13].....	23
Figure 22 - 2.25Cr-1Mo Class 1 Data Point Plotted Versus 2.25Cr-1Mo Steel Where Heat Treatment was Normalization and Tempering Followed by Stress Relief and Annealing.[14].....	24
Figure 23 - 2.25Cr-1Mo Class 1 Data Point Plotted Versus Fatigue Data for Annealed 2.25Cr-1Mo Steel. Note that this data does not appear to match any of the other data compiled during this investigation.[15].....	25
Figure 24 - 2.25Cr-1Mo Class 2 Data Point Plotted Versus Fatigue Data for PWHT and QT (both bainitic) 2.25Cr-1Mo Steel.[15].....	25
Figure 25 - 2.25Cr-1Mo Class 2 Data Point Plotted Versus Fatigue Data for 2.25Cr-1Mo Steel Class 2.[16].....	26
Figure 26 - 2.25Cr-1Mo Class 2 Data Point Plotted Versus Fatigue Data for 2.25Cr-1Mo Steel Class 2, Note HAZ stands for heat affected zone.[16].....	26
Figure 27 - 2.25Cr-1Mo Class 2 Data Point Plotted Versus Fatigue Data for Normalized and Tempered 2.25Cr-1Mo Steel.[17].....	27
Figure 28 - 2.25Cr-1Mo Class 2 Data Point Plotted Versus Fatigue Data for Normalized and Tempered 2.25Cr-1Mo Steel.[18].....	27
Figure 29 - 2.25Cr-1Mo Class 2 Data Point Plotted Versus Fatigue Data for Normalized and Tempered 2.25Cr-1Mo Steel.[19].....	28
Figure 30 - 2.25Cr-1Mo-V Data Point Plotted Versus Fatigue Data for 2.25Cr-1Mo-V Steel.[20].....	28
Figure 31 - 9Cr-1Mo-V Data Point Plotted Versus Fatigue Data for 9Cr-1Mo-V Steel. [See App. D].....	29
Figure 32 - 9Cr-1Mo-V Data Point Plotted Versus Fatigue Data for 9Cr-1Mo-V Steel. [See App. D].....	29
Figure 33 - 9Cr-1Mo-V Data Point Plotted Versus Fatigue Data for 9Cr-1Mo-V Steel.[21].....	30
Figure 34 - 9Cr-1Mo-V Data Point Plotted Versus Fatigue Data for 9Cr-1Mo-V Steel.[21].....	30
Figure 35 - 9Cr-1Mo-V Data Point Plotted Versus Fatigue Data for 9Cr-1Mo-V Steel. [See App. D].....	31

## FOREWORD

This document was developed under a research and development project which resulted from ASME Pressure Technology Codes & Standards (PTCS) committee requests to identify, prioritize and address technology gaps in current or new PTCS Codes, Standards and Guidelines. This project is one of several included for ASME fiscal year 2008 sponsorship which are intended to establish and maintain the technical relevance of ASME codes and standards products. The specific project related to this document is project 07-03 (BPVC#1), entitled “Extend Fatigue Exemption Rules for Low Cr Alloys Slightly into the Time-Dependent Range for Section VIII Div 2 Construction.”

Established in 1880, the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) is a professional, not-for-profit organization with more than 127,000 members promoting the art, science and practice of mechanical and multidisciplinary engineering and allied sciences. ASME develops codes and standards that enhance public safety, and provides lifelong learning and technical exchange opportunities benefiting the engineering and technology community. Visit [www.asme.org](http://www.asme.org) for more information.

The ASME Standards Technology, LLC (ASME ST-LLC) is a not-for-profit Limited Liability Company, with ASME as the sole member, formed in 2004 to carry out work related to newly commercialized technology. The ASME ST-LLC mission includes meeting the needs of industry and government by providing new standards-related products and services, which advance the application of emerging and newly commercialized science and technology and providing the research and technology development needed to establish and maintain the technical relevance of codes and standards. Visit [www.stllc.asme.org](http://www.stllc.asme.org) for more information.

**ABSTRACT**

A number of alloys have applications slightly into the creep range that are in cyclic service, such as process reactors. The 2007 edition of Section VIII, Div 2 [1] provides allowable stresses for these materials, which may be controlled by creep properties. However, the fatigue design rules and fatigue exemption rules are not applicable, precluding construction of vessels using these materials at temperatures above 370°C (700°F). This report provides a simplified approach for exemption of low chrome alloys from fatigue analysis that are slightly into the creep range.

## 1 BACKGROUND

A number of alloys have applications slightly into the creep range that are in cyclic service, such as process reactors. The 2007 edition of Section VIII, Div 2 [1] provides allowable stresses for these materials, which may be controlled by creep properties. However, the fatigue design rules and fatigue exemption rules are not applicable. The fatigue exemption rule of Section VIII, Div 2, Part 5, paragraph 5.5.2.2, which permits exemption by prior experience, is not applicable since prior experience with vessels constructed to the new design margins provided in the 2007 edition of Div 2 are not applicable.

In the 2004 edition of Section VIII, Div 2 [2], the maximum temperature for which allowable stresses were provided was limited to temperatures where time independent properties governed the allowable stress, as discussed below. However, this does not mean that creep is not significant. For example, hold time fatigue data in Figure 1 from reference 3, clearly show a reduction in fatigue life from creep damage associated with hold times, for 2-1/4 Cr – 1 Mo at 482°C (900°F). Perhaps as a result of this, fatigue curves have not been provided for temperatures greater than 370°C (700°F). Fatigue curves based on continuous cycling tests without hold time would be non-conservative for general design. These higher temperature vessels can only be designed per the present rules if they satisfy an exemption from fatigue analysis.

Reducing the margin on tensile strength in the 2007 edition of Section VIII, Div 2, drops the temperature at which creep properties govern to a lower temperature. A change was made to specifically consider the effect of creep properties on allowable stress. However, the same issue remains, the Div 2 rules can only be used if the component satisfies an exemption from fatigue analysis as there are no fatigue curves in the Code for temperatures greater than 370°C (700°F).

For the materials in question, the basis for the allowable stresses in the 2004 edition of Section VIII, Div 2 construction was the least of the following (per ASME Section II, Part D, and Appendix 2 [4]).

$$S_T/3$$

$$1.1 S_T R_T/3$$

$$2/3 S_y$$

$$2/3 S_y R_y$$

From ASME Section II, Part D, these values are defined as:

$R_T$  ratio of the average temperature dependent trend curve value of tensile strength to the room temperature tensile strength.

$R_y$  ratio of the average temperature dependent trend curve value of yield strength to the room temperature yield strength.

$S_T$  specified minimum tensile strength at room temperature.

$S_y$  specified minimum yield strength at room temperature.

In Section VIII, Division 1 [5], the following additional considerations in setting the allowable stress are required when the material is in the creep regime.

$$F_{avg} S_{R avg}$$

$$0.8 S_{R min}$$

$$S_c$$

From ASME Section II, Part D, these values are defined as: