

STP-NU-019-1

VERIFICATION OF ALLOWABLE STRESSES IN ASME SECTION III SUBSECTION NH FOR GRADE 91 STEEL



STP-NU-019-1

VERIFICATION OF ALLOWABLE STRESSES IN ASME SECTION III SUBSECTION NH FOR GRADE 91 STEEL

Prepared by:

R. W. Swindeman
Cremtech Inc

R. W. Swindeman
University of Dayton Research Institute

B. W. Roberts
BW Roberts Consultants

B. E. Thurgood
Bpva Engineering

D. L. Marriott
Stress Engineering Services

ASME STANDARDS
TECHNOLOGY, LLC

Date of Issuance: March 19, 2009

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by U.S. Department on Energy (DOE) and the ASME Standards Technology, LLC (ASME ST-LLC).

Neither ASME, ASME ST-LLC, Cromtech, Inc., University of Dayton Research Institute, BW Roberts Consultants, Bpva Engineering, Stress Engineering Services, nor others involved in the preparation or review of this report, nor any of their respective employees, members, or persons acting on their behalf, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by ASME ST-LLC or others involved in the preparation or review of this report, or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of the authors, contributors, reviewers of the report expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of ASME ST-LLC or others involved in the preparation or review of this report, or any agency thereof.

ASME ST-LLC does not take any position with respect to the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any items mentioned in this document, and does not undertake to insure anyone utilizing a publication against liability for infringement of any applicable Letters Patent, nor assumes any such liability. Users of a publication are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

Participation by federal agency representative(s) or person(s) affiliated with industry is not to be interpreted as government or industry endorsement of this publication.

ASME is the registered trademark of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

No part of this document may be reproduced in any form, in any electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

ASME Standards Technology, LLC
Three Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5990

ISBN No. 978-0-7918-3221-9

Copyright © 2009 by
ASME Standards Technology, LLC
All Rights Reserved

Summary of Changes
March 19, 2009

STP-NU-019-1

VERIFICATION OF ALLOWABLE STRESSES IN ASME SECTION III SUBSECTION NH FOR GRADE 91 STEEL

The following changes have been made to the first revision of STP-NU-019.

<i>Rev. / Page</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Change</i>
v-vi	Table of Contents	Updated to reflect changes
6	paragraph 2, line 1	Corrected from reference [15] to [14]
6	figure 2	Replaced with correct figure
7	figure 3	Replaced with correct figure
8	paragraph 2, line 2	Corrected “if” to “of”
8	paragraph 4, line 3	Corrected “F _{ave} ” to “F _{ave} ”
9	equation (3)	Improved formatting
9	equation (4)	Improved formatting
9	equation (5)	Improved formatting
9	equation (6)	Improved formatting
10	paragraph 1, line 4	Correct “C _{ave} ” to “C _{ave} ”
11	paragraph 1, line 4	Corrected from reference [13] to [14]
11	figure 7	Replaced with correct figure
12	figure 9	Replaced with correct figure
13	figure 12	Replaced with correct figure
14	paragraph 1, line 7	Corrected figure number from 4 to 14.

<i>Rev. / Page</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Change</i>
19	figure 20	Replaced with correct figure
20	figure 22	Replaced with correct figure
24	figure 24	Replaced with correct figure
31	title	Deleted “ FISH ” and “ B ” from title.
45	title	Deleted “ FISH ” and “ B ” from title.
76	figure 26	Replaced with correct figure
77	equation (11)	Improved formatting
77	equation (12)	Improved formatting
79	figure 30	Replaced with correct figure
81	paragraph 3, line 6	Replaced “105” with “100,000”
81	paragraph 3, line 8	Replaced “104” with “10,000”
82	equation (13)	Improved formatting
83	figure 31	Replaced with correct figure
96	figure 41	Replaced with correct figure

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreword	ix
Abstract	x
PART I - BASE METAL	1
1 INTRODUCTION	2
2 IDENTIFICATION OF MATERIALS	3
3 AVAILABLE SOURCES FOR CREEP-RUPTURE DATA	4
4 GENERAL TRENDS IN THE CREEP BEHAVIOR OF GR 91 STEEL	5
5 CHARACTERISTICS OF THE CREEP DATABASE FOR ALLOY GR 91	6
6 DATA ANALYSIS PROCEDURES	8
6.1 Criteria for Setting S_t Values	8
6.2 Procedures for Estimating the Average Strength for 1% Strain and the Minimum Strength for the Onset of Tertiary Creep	8
6.3 Selection of Analysis Methods	8
7 RESULTS	10
7.1 Time to 1% Total Strain, $t_{1\%}$	10
7.2 Time to the Initiation of Tertiary Creep, t_3	12
7.3 Stress-Rupture, t_R	15
8 EVALUATION OF THE CRITERIA CONTROLLING S_T	22
9 SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS	25
References - Part 1	26
Appendix 1 – Parametric Constants	28
Appendix 2 – Stress-to-Rupture Curves	29
Appendix 3 - Fish Grade 91B Data	31
Appendix 4 - NIMS Data	46
Appendix 5 - ORNL Data	50
Appendix 6 - Japanese Grade 91 Data (JP)	57
Appendix 7 - Japanese Grade 91 Data (JCF)	64
Appendix 8 - German Grade 91 Data	68
PART II - WELDMENTS	69
1 INTRODUCTION	70
2 IDENTIFICATION OF FILLER METALS FOR GR 91	71
3 BACKGROUND AND SOURCES FOR WELDMENT CREEP-RUPTURE DATA	72
4 CHARACTERISTICS OF THE CREEP-RUPTURE DATABASE FOR ALLOY GR 91 WELD METAL AND WELDMENTS	73
5 DATA EVALUATION	75

5.1 Criterion for Setting the Weldment Stress Rupture Factor Values	75
5.2 Evaluation Methods	75
5.3 Estimation of Stress Rupture Factors	79
5.4 Comparison of the Stress Rupture Factors with Other Assessments	80
6 DISCUSSION OF EVALUATION	84
7 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	86
References - Part II	87
Appendix 1 - A Listing of Products, Filler Metals and Weld Processes.....	90
Appendix 2 - Sketches of Typical Weld Metal and Weldment Specimen Locations	92
Appendix 3 - Chemistries for Filler Metals or Deposited Weld Metal	98
Appendix 4 - A Compilation of Stress Rupture Testing Data on Gr 91 Weldments and Gr 91 and Gr 91 Weld Metals	100
Acknowledgments.....	106
Abbreviations and Acronyms	107

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 - Chemical Specifications for Grade 91 (wt %).....	3
Table 2 - Average Lot Constants for Different Products	18
Table 3 - Comparison of the Strength for 100,000 Hour Estimate by Different Methods.....	21
Table 4 - Chemistries for Grade 91 Steel and Filler Metals	71
Table 5 - Estimated Stress Rupture Factors for Gr 91 weldments	80

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 - Definitions for Components of Creep used in ASME Section III Subsection NH	5
Figure 2 - Distribution of Time to 1% Strain ($t_{1\%}$) Data with Temperature.....	6
Figure 3 - The Distribution of the Time to T3 Data with Temperature	7
Figure 4 - The Distribution of the Time Rupture Data with Temperature	7
Figure 5 - Fit of the Larson Miller Parameter to the Time to Tertiary, t_3 , for 27 Lots (left) Global; (right) Lot-Centered	10
Figure 6 - Histogram of Residuals (left) and Frequency Graph for Residuals (right)	10
Figure 7 - Stress Versus $t_{1\%}$ Based on the Larson Miller Parameter	11
Figure 8 - The Fit of Data to the OSD Parameter (left) and Residual Frequency Graph (right).....	11
Figure 9 - Stress vs. $t_{1\%}$ Based on the Orr-Sherby-Dorn Parameter	12
Figure 10 - Fit of the Larson Miller Parameter to the Time to Tertiary, t_3 , for 27 Lots (left) Global; (right) Lot-Centered.	12
Figure 11 - Distribution of Larson Miller Parameter Lot Constants for Tertiary Creep with Product Form (left) and Percentage Distribution of Residuals for all Lots (right)	13

Figure 12 - Stress vs. the Time to the Initiation of Tertiary Creep for Several Temperatures Based on the Larson Miller Lot-Centered Model	13
Figure 13 - Fit of the Orr-Sherby-Dorn Parameter to the Time to Tertiary Creep	14
Figure 14 - Average Stress to Produce the Initiation of Tertiary Creep vs. Time for Several Temperatures Based on the Orr-Sherby-Dorn Parametric Model	14
Figure 15 - The Leyda-Rowe Correlation Between t_3 and t_R (left) and Histogram of the t_3/t_R Ratio Values for 312 Data (right).....	15
Figure 16 - Fit of the Larson Miller Parameter to Rupture Data: (left) Global Fit; (right) Lot-Centered Fit	16
Figure 17 - The Distribution of Residuals for the Fit of the Larson Miller Parameter Lot-Centered Procedure to Rupture Data: Count vs. Range Histogram (left); Percent vs. Range Graph (right).....	16
Figure 18 - Plots Showing the Characteristics of the Fit of the Larson Miller Lot-Centered Model to Rupture Data: (a) Residuals vs. Temperature; (b) Residuals vs. Stress; (c) Residuals vs. Observed Rupture Life; and (d) Rupture Life vs. Calculated Rupture Life.....	17
Figure 19 - Correlation of the Larson Miller Parameter Lot Constants with Ultimate Tensile Strength (left) and Product Thickness (right).....	18
Figure 20 - Average Stress vs. Time to Rupture Based on the Larson Miller Lot-Centered Model	19
Figure 21 - Fit of the Orr-Sherby-Dorn Parameter to Rupture Data	19
Figure 22 - Average Stress vs. Time to Rupture Based on the Orr-Sherby-Dorn Model.....	20
Figure 23 - Stress vs. Time Curves Plotted According to ASME III-NH Time-Dependent Criteria ...	23
Figure 24 - Comparison of Current S_t Values with Values Based on the Larson Miller Parameter and New Database	24
Figure 25 - The Distribution of the Rupture Data with Filler Metal, Weld Process, Test Temperature and PWHT Temperature	74
Figure 26 - Correlation of Gr 91 Cross Weld Rupture Data with the ASME III-NH Model	76
Figure 27 - Gr 91 Cross Weld Rupture Data and Calculated Isothermal Curves Based on OSD	76
Figure 28 - Correlation of Gr-91 Cross Weld Rupture Data with the Larson Miller Model.....	78
Figure 29 - Gr 91 Cross Weld Rupture Data and Calculated Isothermal Curves Based on LMP.....	78
Figure 30 - Stress vs. Time Curves Plotted According to ASME III-NH Time-Dependent Criteria	79
Figure 31 - Estimated Stress Rupture Factors and Weld Strength Reduction Factors for Gr 91 Weldments vs. Temperatures for 100,000 hr. Duration	82
Figure 32 - Typical Tensile Specimen Cutting.....	92
Figure 33 - Typical Weld Metal and Weldment Specimen Cutting	92
Figure 34 - Typical Weld Metal and Weldment Specimen Cutting	92
Figure 35 - Typical Weld Metal and Weldment Specimen Cutting	93
Figure 36 - Typical Weld Metal and Weldment Specimen Cutting	93
Figure 37 - Typical Weld Metal and Weldment Specimen Cutting	94

Figure 38 - Typical Weld Metal and Weldment Specimen Cutting.....94
Figure 39 - Typical Weld Metal and Weldment Specimen Cutting.....95
Figure 40 - Typical Weld Metal and Weldment Specimen Cutting.....95
Figure 41 - Typical Weld Metal and Weldment Specimen Cutting.....96
Figure 42 - Typical Weld Metal and Weldment Specimen Cutting.....96
Figure 43 - Typical Weld Metal and Weldment Specimen Cutting.....97

Currently in preview, click buy full

FOREWORD

This document is the result of work resulting from Cooperative Agreement DE-FC07-05ID14712 between the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and ASME Standards Technology, LLC (ASME ST-LLC) for the Generation IV (Gen IV) Reactor Materials Project. The objective of the project is to provide technical information necessary to update and expand appropriate ASME materials, construction and design codes for application in future Gen IV nuclear reactor systems that operate at elevated temperatures. The scope of work is divided into specific areas that are tied to the Generation IV Reactors Integrated Materials Technology Program Plan. This report is the result of work performed under Task 1 titled “Verification of Allowable Stresses in ASME Section III, Subsection NH with Emphasis on Alloy 800H and Grade 91 Steel (a.k.a., 9Cr-1Mo-V or ‘Modified 9Cr-1Mo’).”

ASME ST-LLC has introduced the results of the project into the ASME volunteer standards committees developing new code rules for Generation IV nuclear reactors. The project deliverables are expected to become vital references for the committees and serve as important technical bases for new rules. These new rules will be developed under ASME’s voluntary consensus process, which requires balance of interest, openness, consensus and due process. Through the course of the project ASME ST-LLC has involved key stakeholders from industry and government to help ensure that the technical direction of the research supports the anticipated codes and standards needs. This directed approach and early stakeholder involvement is expected to result in consensus building that will ultimately expedite the standards development process as well as commercialization of the technology.

ASME has been involved in nuclear codes and standards since 1955. The Society created Section III of the Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, which addresses nuclear reactor technology, in 1963. ASME Standards promote safety, reliability and component interchangeability in mechanical systems.

The American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) is a not-for-profit professional organization promoting the art, science and practice of mechanical and multidisciplinary engineering and allied sciences. ASME develops codes and standards that enhance public safety and provides lifelong learning and technical exchange opportunities benefiting the engineering and technology community. Visit www.asme.org.

The ASME Standards Technology, LLC (ASME ST-LLC) is a not-for-profit Limited Liability Company, with ASME as the sole member, formed to carry out work related to newly commercialized technology. The ASME ST-LLC mission includes meeting the needs of industry and government by providing new standards-related products and services, which advance the application of emerging and newly commercialized science and technology and providing the research and technology development needed to establish and maintain the technical relevance of codes and standards. Visit www.stllc.asme.org for more information.

ABSTRACT

Part I Base Metal - The database for the creep-rupture of 9Cr-1Mo-V (Grade 91) steel was collected and reviewed to determine if it met the needs for recommending time-dependent strength values, S_t , for coverage in ASME Section III Subsection NH (ASME III-NH) to 650°C (1200°F) and 600,000 hours. The accumulated database included over 300 tests for 1% total strain, nearly 400 tests for tertiary creep and nearly 1700 tests to rupture. Procedures for analyzing creep and rupture data for ASME III-NH were reviewed and compared to the procedures used to develop the current allowable stress values for Gr 91 for ASME II-D. The criteria in ASME III-NH for estimating S_t included the average strength for 1% total strain for times to 600,000 hours, 80% of the minimum strength for tertiary creep for times to 600,000 hours and 67% of the minimum rupture strength values for times to 600,000 hours. Time-temperature-stress parametric formulations were selected to correlate the data and make predictions of the long-time strength. It was found that the stress corresponding to 1% total strain and the initiation of tertiary creep were not the controlling criteria over the temperature-time range of concern. It was found that small adjustments to the current values in III-NH could be introduced but that the existing values were conservative and could be retained. The existing database was found to be adequate to extend the coverage to 600,000 hours for temperatures below 650°C (1200°F).

Part II Weldments - A creep-rupture database that was used to develop stress rupture factors (SRFs) in ASME Section III Subsection NH (ASME III-NH) for weldments of 9Cr-1Mo-V (Gr 91) steel was reassembled. The intent was to review the original work, supplement the database with newer data and validate the applicability of the SRFs to longer time service to meet the needs for the Generation IV nuclear reactor materials program. After a review of the augmented database, approximately 85 of 200 data on weld metal and weldments were selected for the re-evaluation of SRFs. Data were processed using a lot-centered Larson Miller parametric analysis similar to the model used to correlate stress-rupture data for base metal. It was found that the weldments did not follow the same stress dependency in stress-rupture as base metal. As a result, the SRF values depended on both time and temperature. Some SRF values were estimated, but the long-time, low-stress SRF values were found to be lower than those values which formed a basis for the SRFs in 2007 ASME III-NH. Moreover, the lack of long-time data above 540°C (1000°F) made the database unsuitable for the estimation of SRFs for application to all the S_t values covered in ASME III-NH. The coverage needed for the Generation IV nuclear pressure vessels, however, was expected to be for temperatures below 540°C (1000°F). A review of European and Asian work on Gr 91 weldments provided helpful information in this respect. Although significant differences in behavior were reported from one research effort to another, special notice was taken of recent work in Japan to develop weld strength reduction factors (WSRFs) for use in the fossil and petrochemical industries. Here, the WSRFs were based on stress-rupture models applicable to welded components for long-time service to at least 600°C (1110°F). Further testing of Gr 91 weldments for long times and low stresses was recommended.