

Procedures for Routine Performance Tests of Steam Turbines

(Not Intended for Acceptance
Testing)

ASME PTC 6S Report-1988

[REVISION OF ASME PTC 6S REPORT-1970 (R1985)]

**PERFORMANCE
TEST
CODES**

THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERS
United Engineering Center 345 East 47th Street New York, N.Y. 10017

Date of Issuance: December 15, 1989

This document will be revised when the Society approves the issuance of the next edition, scheduled for 1992. There will be no Addenda issued to PTC 6S Report-1988.

Please Note: ASME issues written replies to inquiries concerning interpretation of technical aspects of this document. PTC 6S Report-1988 is being issued with an automatic subscription service to the interpretations that will be issued to it up to the publication of the 1992 Edition.

ASME is the registered trademark of The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

This code or standard was developed under procedures accredited as meeting the criteria for American National Standards. The Consensus Committee that approved the code or standard was balanced to assure that individuals from competent and concerned interests have had an opportunity to participate. The proposed code or standard was made available for public review and comment which provides an opportunity for additional public input from industry, academia, regulatory agencies, and the public-at-large.

ASME does not "approve," "rate," or "endorse" any item, construction, proprietary device, or activity.

ASME does not take any position with respect to the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any items mentioned in this document, and does not undertake to insure anyone utilizing a standard against liability for infringement of any applicable Letters Patent, nor assume any such liability. Users of a code or standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

Participation by federal agency representative(s) or person(s) affiliated with industry is not to be interpreted as government or industry endorsement of this code or standard.

ASME accepts responsibility for only those interpretations issued in accordance with governing ASME procedures and policies which preclude the issuance of interpretations by individual volunteers.

No part of this document may be reproduced in any form,
in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise,
without the prior written permission of the publisher.

Copyright © 1989 by
THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERS
All Rights Reserved
Printed in the U.S.A.

FOREWORD

(This Foreword is not part of ASME PTC 6S Report-1988.)

Users of both large and small turbines have experienced an increasing need for procedures for routine turbine tests which trend performance with time. The use of full-scale ASME Performance Test Code procedures and instrumentation for this purpose is expensive and produces information and accuracy beyond that necessary for periodic monitoring. When ASME Performance Test Code Committee No. 6 was reorganized to revise PTC 6-1949, it was charged also with developing simplified procedures for periodic tests. Because of the routine nature of the tests, these procedures were to emphasize repeatability of results rather than absolute accuracy and thus provide a more economic means of monitoring performance trends.

This Report reflects the consensus of knowledgeable engineers and contains recommended procedures for collecting sufficiently accurate data to permit analyses of performance trends. Recommendations are given which include advance planning, cycle isolation, and suggested presentation of results. Emphasis is placed upon the use of accurate instrumentation, approaching measurement uncertainties required by the Code, for the measurement of critical variables that are part of the heat-rate equation. Other instrumentation is specified to produce results of good accuracy and of a high degree of repeatability. With the application of automatic data-logging and on-line computer systems to the plant cycle, the procedures presented in this Report, when applied to this end, should satisfy the needs of users of both large and small turbines.

Procedures recommended in this Report are not intended to produce absolute levels of performance. If absolute performance level is required, the ASME Test Code for Steam Turbines, PTC 6, 1976, reaffirmed 1985, or the Interim Test Code for an Alternative Procedure for Testing Steam Turbines, PTC 6.1, 1984, should be followed. For other levels of accuracy, where the test instrumentation varies from the Test Code specified procedure, the Report by PTC Committee No. 6 on "Guidance for Evaluation of Measurement Uncertainty in Performance Tests of Steam Turbines," 1985 should be consulted.

Users of this Report are requested to comment and provide to the Committee supporting data obtained with these procedures. Such comment and repeatability data covering long-term and/or extensive experience will provide guidance for subsequent revisions of this Report. User suggestions and data should be submitted to the Secretary, ASME Performance Test Codes Committee, 345 East 47th Street, New York, New York 10017.

This Report was approved by the ASME Board on Performance Test Codes and adopted as a standard practice of the Society on May 8, 1988. It was approved as an American National Standard by the ANSI Board of Standards Review on September 8, 1988.

All ASME codes are copyrighted, with all rights reserved to the Society. Reproduction of this or any other ASME code is a violation of Federal Law. Legalities aside, the user should appreciate that the publishing of the high quality codes that have typified ASME documents requires a substantial commitment by the Society. Thousands of volunteers work diligently to develop these codes. They participate on their own or with a sponsor's assistance and produce documents that meet the requirements of an ASME consensus standard. The codes are very valuable pieces of literature to industry and commerce, and the effort to improve these "living documents" and develop additional needed codes must be continued. The monies spent for research and further code development, administrative staff support and publication are essential and constitute a substantial drain on ASME. The purchase price of these documents helps offset these costs. User reproduction undermines this system and represents an added financial drain on ASME. When extra copies are needed, you are requested to call or write the ASME Order Department, 22 Law Drive, Box 2300, Fairfield, New Jersey 07007-2300, and ASME will expedite delivery of such copies to you by return mail. Please instruct your people to buy required test codes rather than copy them. Your cooperation in this matter is greatly appreciated.

PERSONNEL OF PERFORMANCE TEST CODE COMMITTEE NO. 6 ON STEAM TURBINES

(The following is the roster of the Committee at the time of approval of this Code.)

OFFICERS

W. A. Campbell, *Chairman*
E. J. Brailey, Jr., *Vice Chairman*
J. H. Karian, *Secretary*

COMMITTEE PERSONNEL

J. M. Baltrus, Sargent & Lundy Engineers
J. A. Booth, General Electric Co.
P. G. Albert, *Alternate to Booth*, General Electric Co.
B. Bornstein, Consultant
E. J. Brailey, Jr., New England Power Service Co.
T. M. Brown, Ontario Hydro
W. A. Campbell, Philadelphia Electric Co.
K. C. Cotton, Consultant
J. S. Davis, Jr., Duke Power Co.
R. D. Smith, *Alternate to Davis*, Duke Power Co.
N. R. Deming, Consulting Engineer
P. A. DiNenno, Jr., Consultant
A. V. Fajardo, Jr., Utility Power Corp.
G. Gartner, *Alternate to Fajardo*, Utility Power Corp.
J. H. Karian, The American Society of Mechanical Engineers
D. L. Knighton, Black & Veatch Engineers-Architects
C. H. Kostors, Elliott Co.
J. S. Lamberson, Dresser Rand
T. H. McCloskey, Electric Power Research Institute
S. S. Sandhu, Westinghouse Electric Corp.
C. B. Scharp, Consulting Engineer
P. Scherba, Public Service Electric & Gas Corp.
J. A. McAdams, *Alternate to Scherba*, Public Service Electric & Gas Corp.
E. J. Sundstrom, Dow Chemical USA

(In addition to the above personnel, the Committee is indebted to Ms. E. Pitchford of Lower Colorado River Authority and H. S. Arnold of Public Service Electric & Gas Corp. for their efforts on the early work of this Standard.)

BOARD ON PERFORMANCE TEST CODES

J. S. Davis, Jr., *Chairman*
N. R. Deming, *Vice Chairman*
W. O. Hays, *Secretary*

A. F. Armor
R. L. Bannister
R. J. Biese
J. A. Booth
B. Bornstein
W. A. Crandall
H. G. Crim, Jr.
J. S. Davis, Jr.

N. R. Deming
G. J. Gerber
W. O. Hays
R. Jorgensen
D. R. Keyser
J. E. Kirkland, Jr.
W. G. McLean
G. H. Mittendorf, Jr.
J. W. Murdock

S. P. Nuspl
R. P. Perkins
R. W. Perry
A. L. Plumley
C. B. Scharp
J. W. Siegmund
R. E. Sommerlad
J. C. Westcott

CONTENTS

Foreword	iii
Committee Roster	v
Section	
0 Introduction	1
1 Object, Scope, and Intent	3
2 Definitions and Description of Terms	5
3 Guiding Principles	11
4 Instruments and Methods of Measurement	17
5 Preliminary Test	31
6 Presentation and Interpretation of Test Results	35
7 Test for Nonextraction Condensing Turbine With Superheated Inlet Steam	53
8 Test for Condensing Turbine, Regenerative Cycle, With Superheated Inlet Steam	59
9 Test for Condensing Turbine, Reheat Regenerative Cycle, With Superheated Inlet Steam	65
10 Test for Condensing Turbine, Regenerative Cycle, With Saturated Inlet Steam	87
11 Test for Noncondensing Nonextraction Turbine, With Superheated Exhaust	95
12 Test for Noncondensing Extraction Turbine	103
13 Special Procedures for Indicating Turbine-Cycle Performance Trends	115
Figures	
2.1 Temperature-Entropy Diagrams	8
4.1(a) Welded Primary Flow Measurement Section	19
4.1(b) Inspection Port for Feedwater Flow Nozzle	19
4.1(c) Flanged Primary Flow Measurement Section	20
4.2 Alternate Locations for Primary Flow Element	21
4.3 Connection Between Calibrated Flow Sections and Manometers	24
4.4(a) Basket Tip	27
4.4(b) Guide Plate	27
4.5 Moisture-Sampling Tube	29
5.1 Typical Turbine Valve-Position Test Data Based on Individual Steam Pressure Measurements	32
5.2 Typical Turbine Valve-Position Test Data Based on Individual Valve-Lift Measurements	33
5.3 Stage Group Efficiency by Enthalpy-Drop Method	34

6.1	Typical Blading Diagram for Single Stage	36
6.2	Typical Expansion Lines for a High-Pressure Section Partial-Arc Admission, Condensing Turbine	37
6.3	Typical Expansion Lines for a High-Pressure Section Full-Arc Admission, Condensing Turbine	37
6.4	Condensing Turbine Last-Stage Steam Flow Versus Stage Pressure Ratio	38
6.5	Pressure-Flow Relationship	38
6.6	Steam Flow Versus First-Stage Nozzle Area	40
6.7	Stage Pressure Versus Stage Exit Pressure for Intermediate Stages	40
6.8	Pressure or Capability Curve Versus Chronological Test Dates	41
6.9	Corrected Pressure Deviation Interpretations at Constant Control Valve Opening	43
6.10	Stage Pressure Versus Throttle Steam Flow	44
6.11	Single-Stage Efficiency Versus Wheel Speed	45
6.12	Partial-Arc Admission Unit	45
6.13	Full-Arc Admission Unit	46
6.14	Low-Pressure Turbine Section Efficiency Versus Exhaust Steam Flow or Velocity	46
6.15	Illustration of Low-Pressure Turbine Effectiveness	47
6.16	Relationships of v_{dr} , v_{cr} , and v_{tr}	52
7.1	Instrument Locations	54
7.2	Generator Electrical Losses	58
8.1	Instrumentation for Routine Performance Tests for Condensing Turbine, Regenerative Cycle, Superheated Inlet Steam	60
9.1	Instrument Locations	67
9.2	Loss Factor Versus Crossover Pressure	69
9.3	Throttle Pressure Correction Factors	77
9.4	Throttle Temperature Correction Factors	78
9.5	Reheat Temperature Correction Factors	79
9.6	Reheater Pressure-Drop Correction Factors	80
9.7	Exhaust Pressure Correction Factors	81
9.8	Heat Rate Versus Load	82
10.1	Instrumentation for Routine Performance Tests for Condensing Turbine, Regenerative Cycle, With Saturated Inlet Steam	88
11.1	Instrument Locations for Noncondensing, Nonextraction Turbines	97
12.1	Typical Diagram — Noncondensing Extraction Turbine	108
13.1	Instrument Locations	117

Tables

4.1	Location of Primary Water Flow-Measuring Element (Fig. 4.2)	22
8.1	Repeatability of Test Results	64
9.1	Instrument Uncertainties	83
9.2	Load-Correction-Factor Uncertainties	84
9.3	Typical Enthalpy-Drop Uncertainty Values	84
9.4	Approximate Repeatability Levels for Reheat-Regenerative Turbines, Enthalpy-Drop-Efficiency Tests	85
10.1	Summary	93
11.1	Instrument Uncertainty	100
11.2	Flow Correction Factor Uncertainty	100

11.3	Steam Rate Uncertainty	101
11.4	Combined Capability Uncertainty	101
12.1	Repeatability Calculations for Enthalpy-Drop Efficiency	104
12.2	Repeatability Calculations for Capability Test	105
12.3	Repeatability Calculations for Steam-Rate Test — No Extraction	106
12.4	Repeatability Calculations for Steam-Rate Test — With Extraction	107
13.1	Power Measurement Instrument Uncertainties	119
13.2	Heat Rate Correction Uncertainties	119

Appendices

A	Cycle Correction Curves	121
B	References	133

Figures

A1	Typical Fossil-Fuel Fired Cycle	123
A2	Final Feedwater Temperature Corrections	124
A3	Auxiliary Extraction Corrections	125
A4	Corrections for Auxiliary Extraction from Cold Heat	126
A5	Corrections for Main Steam and Reheat Steam Desuperheating Flow	127
A6	Condensate Subcooling Corrections	128
A7	Condenser Make-Up Corrections	128
A8	Typical Light-Water Moderated Nuclear Cycle	129
A9	Final Feedwater Temperature Corrections (LWM Nuclear Cycle)	130
A10	Feed Pump Turbine Extraction Correction (LWM Nuclear Cycle)	131
A11	Condensate Subcooling Correction (LWM Nuclear Cycle)	131
A12	Condenser Make-Up Correction (LWM Nuclear Cycle)	132

Table

A-1	Equations for Use of Curves for Specified Cycle Corrections	122
-----	---	-----

Complete Listing of ASME Performance Test Codes	135
---	-----

SECTION 0 — INTRODUCTION

0.1 PURPOSE OF REPORT

This Report provides turbine-test procedures for the analysis and supervision of relative performance throughout the life of the turbine. These procedures will determine trends of operating efficiency, detect trouble, and furnish test data to evaluate efficiency changes in the turbine cycle. These procedures are designed to minimize test instrumentation and personnel. However, precision instrumentation at critical test locations is recommended for reliable results. A high degree of repeatability, rather than the acceptance test level of performance, is sought.

0.2 CONTENT

This Report contains test procedures for recommended instrumentation, planning, conduct, calculation, and evaluation of the test results. Separate procedures are recommended for specific turbine types.

0.3 PURPOSE OF TEST PROGRAM

A routine turbine-performance test program will:

- (a) provide guidance in scheduling maintenance outages on the basis of performance;
- (b) provide guidance in establishing the loading sequence of steam turbine-generator units according to their current relative performance;

- (c) evaluate major modifications of the turbine or turbine cycle, and changes in operating procedure;
- (d) detect performance changes in specific areas of the turbine or the turbine cycle;
- (e) check the accuracy of station instruments by comparison with test instruments;
- (f) train personnel in testing techniques.

0.4 REFERENCES

The ASME Test Code for Steam Turbines, PTC 6-1976, and Interim Test Code for an Alternative Procedure for Testing Steam Turbines, PTC 6.1-1984, are the basic references for this Report. The term "Code" used in this Report refers to these documents. The ASME Code on Definitions and Values, PTC 2-1980 and the applicable supplements of PTC 19 Series, on Instruments and Apparatus, provide supplementary information. A separate report by Performance Test Code Committee No. 6, Guidance for Evaluation of Measurement Uncertainty in Performance Tests of Steam Turbines, should be used to evaluate the level of accuracy afforded by the instrumentation recommended for this Report's test procedures. Whenever PTC 19.1, Measurement Uncertainty, is referenced in this document, 95 percent confidence levels have been used in accordance with accepted practices. Appendix A to Test Code for Steam Turbines, PTC 6A-1982, and Sections 7 through 13 of this Report provide numerical examples of various turbine calculations which should prove useful in applying this Report's procedures.

SECTION 1 — OBJECT, SCOPE, AND INTENT

1.1 OBJECT

The test procedures of this Report are intended for periodic turbine tests and do not supplant the Code as the basic procedure for turbine acceptance tests. The Code is used for the accurate testing of steam turbines to obtain performance level with minimum uncertainty.

1.2 SCOPE

Sections 3 through 5 of this Report present general recommendations for instrumentation and test planning. These recommendations are based on current industry practice for the periodic determination of turbine-cycle performance. Section 6 discusses interpretation of test results and shows typical plots of test data for analysis of turbine performance. Sections 7 through 12 present test procedures for selected types of turbine cycles. Each of these procedures contains specific recommendations for instrumentation and method for testing a selected turbine type. Although all possible turbine types are not covered, some typical examples are presented. Combinations of the types presented may be used to cover other arrangements. For each recommended test procedure, the expected value of repeatability is estimated on the basis of current industry experience. This value of repeatability must be used to judge the significance of the indicated level of performance as compared to the chronological trend of past performance. (See para. 3.8.3 for discussion of repeatability.)

1.3 INTENT

This Report provides procedures to periodically monitor changes in overall turbine cycle performance. Supplementary instrumentation and data may be included in the test procedure to diagnose the causes of changed performance. This supplementary information may assist in evaluating the effect of component changes on the overall performance. Some users may prefer the simplicity of the recommended procedure and then run a second test only when a detailed analysis is required. These procedures define both primary and secondary data for a turbine-performance analysis.

For reference purposes only, Section 13 presents other test procedures for determining turbine-performance trends; however, these procedures may not provide complete data for analysis of all components in the turbine cycle. In special cases, they may provide adequate information and be advantageous due to their low cost and simplicity.

For on-line computer monitoring of steam-turbine performance, the simplified test procedures given in this Report can serve as a basis for choice of instrumentation and development of calculation procedures. The instrumentation is selected to achieve repeatable results consistent with the objective of monitoring the trend of turbine performance.

Diagnostic monitoring systems for vibration, oil cleanliness, rotor crack detection, and solid particle erosion and supervisory systems for differential expansion, bearing metal temperature, bearing wear, turbine load, and speed are not indicators of turbine performance. Analytical techniques using data from these systems can result in early identification of potential problems.