

ASME MFC-13M-2006

Measurement of Fluid Flow in Closed Conduits: Tracer Methods

AN AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD



The American Society of
Mechanical Engineers

Intentionally left blank

ASME MFC-13M-2006

Measurement of Fluid Flow in Closed Conduits: Tracer Methods

AN AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD



**The American Society of
Mechanical Engineers**

Three Park Avenue • New York, NY 10016

Date of Issuance: February 12, 2007

This Standard will be revised when the Society approves the issuance of a new edition. There will be no addenda issued to this edition.

ASME issues written replies to inquiries concerning interpretations of technical aspects of this Standard. Interpretations are published on the ASME Web site under the Committee Pages at <http://cstools.asme.org> as they are issued.

ASME is the registered trademark of The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

This code or standard was developed under procedures accredited as meeting the criteria for American National Standards. The Standards Committee that approved the code or standard was balanced to assure that individuals from competent and concerned interests have had an opportunity to participate. The proposed code or standard was made available for public review and comment that provides an opportunity for additional public input from industry, academia, regulatory agencies, and the public-at-large.

ASME does not “approve,” “rate,” or “endorse” any item, construction, proprietary device, or activity.

ASME does not take any position with respect to the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any items mentioned in this document, and does not undertake to insure anyone utilizing a standard against liability for infringement of any applicable letters patent, nor assume any such liability. Users of a code or standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

Participation by federal agency representative(s) or person(s) affiliated with industry is not to be interpreted as government or industry endorsement of this code or standard.

ASME accepts responsibility for only those interpretations of this document issued in accordance with the established ASME procedures and policies, which precludes the issuance of interpretations by individuals.

No part of this document may be reproduced in any form,
in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise,
without the prior written permission of the publisher.

The American Society of Mechanical Engineers
Three Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5990

Copyright © 2007 by
THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERS
All rights reserved
Printed in U.S.A.

CONTENTS

Foreword	iv
Committee Roster	v
Correspondence With the MFC Committee	vi
1 Scope and Field of Application	-
2 Symbols	-
3 Units	1
4 Method of Use	1
5 Choice of Tracer	2
6 Measuring Length and Adequate Mixing Distance	2
7 Errors	4
8 Extensions of the Methods	5
9 Practical Application Notes	5
Table	
1 Symbols	2
Nonmandatory Appendices	
A Typical Tracer Fluids	7
B Mixing Distances	9

FOREWORD

This Standard defines the use of tracer (dilution) methods in the measurement of single-phase fluid (gas or liquid) flows in closed conduits. This method of measurement is applicable only to single-phase homogeneous fluid mixtures.

This Standard was developed to fill the need for a generalized reference based on fundamental principles to measure fluid flow using tracer methods. ISO standards issued in 1977 addressed tracer methods for gas flows; these were withdrawn in 2001, leaving a void on this subject. An Internet search on this subject will find a large number of documents, standards, references, consultants, and manufacturers. Most of the papers, standards, and products are for very specific applications and provide detailed guidance only for those needs. This Standard defines the terms and principles needed for intelligent consideration of tracer methods for any application.

ASME MFC-13M-2006 was approved by the American National Standards Institute on September 29, 2006.

ASME MFC COMMITTEE

Measurement of Fluid Flow in Closed Conduits

(The following is the roster of the Committee at the time of approval of this Standard.)

STANDARDS COMMITTEE OFFICERS

R. J. DeBoom, *Chair*
Z. D. Husain, *Vice Chair*
A. L. Guzman, *Secretary*

STANDARDS COMMITTEE PERSONNEL

C. J. Blechinger, *Member Emeritus*, Consultant
R. M. Bough, Rolls-Royce
G. P. Corpron, Consultant
R. J. DeBoom, Consultant
D. Faber, *Corresponding Member*, Badger Meter, Inc.
R. H. Fritz, *Corresponding Member*, Lonestar Measurement & Controls
F. D. Goodson, Emerson Process Management–Danaher Division
A. L. Guzman, The American Society of Mechanical Engineers
Z. D. Husain, Chevron Corp.
C. G. Langford, Consultant
W. M. Mattar, Invensys/Foxboro Co.
G. E. Mattingly, Consultant
D. R. Mesnard, Consultant
R. W. Miller, *Member Emeritus*, R. W. Miller & Associates, Inc.
A. M. Quraishi, American Gas Association
W. F. Seidl, Colorado Engineering Experiment Station, Inc.
T. M. Kegel, *Alternate*, Colorado Engineering Experiment Station, Inc.
D. W. Spitzer, Spitzer and Hayes, LLC
R. N. Steven, Colorado Engineering Experiment Station, Inc.
D. H. Strobel, *Member Emeritus*, Consultant
J. H. Vignos, *Member Emeritus*, Consultant
D. E. Wiklund, Rossmount, Inc.
D. C. Wyatt, Wyatt Engineering

SUBCOMMITTEE 13 – TRACER METHODS

G. Langford, *Chair*, Consultant
R. J. DeBoom, Consultant
Z. D. Husain, Chevron Corp.

CORRESPONDENCE WITH THE MFC COMMITTEE

General. ASME Standards are developed and maintained with the intent to represent the consensus of concerned interests. As such, users of this Standard may interact with the Committee by requesting interpretations, proposing revisions, and attending committee meetings. Correspondence should be addressed to:

Secretary, MFC Standards Committee
The American Society of Mechanical Engineers
Three Park Avenue
New York, NY 10016-5990

Proposing Revisions. Revisions are made periodically to the Standard to incorporate changes that appear necessary or desirable, as demonstrated by the experience gained from the application of the Standard. Approved revisions will be published periodically.

The Committee welcomes proposals for revisions to this Standard. Such proposals should be as specific as possible, citing the paragraph number(s), the proposed revision, and a detailed description of the reasons for the proposal, including any pertinent documentation.

Interpretations. Upon request, the MFC Committee will render an interpretation of any requirement of the Standard. Interpretations can only be rendered in response to a written request sent to the Secretary of the MFC Standards Committee.

The request for interpretation should be clear and unambiguous. It is further recommended that the inquirer submit his/her request in the following format.

Subject: Cite the applicable paragraph number(s), and the topic of the inquiry.
Edition: Cite the applicable edition of the Standard for which the interpretation is being requested.
Question: Phrase the question as a request for an interpretation of a specific requirement suitable for general understanding and use, not as a request for an approval of a proprietary design situation. The inquirer may also include any plans or drawings that are necessary to explain the question; however, they should not contain proprietary names or information.

Requests that are not in this format will be rewritten in this format by the Committee prior to being answered, which may inadvertently change the intent of the original request.

ASME procedures provide for reconsideration of any interpretation when or if additional information that might affect an interpretation is available. Further, persons aggrieved by an interpretation may appeal to the cognizant ASME Committee or Subcommittee. ASME does not "approve," "certify," "rate," or "endorse" any item, construction, proprietary device, or activity.

Attending Committee Meetings. The MFC Committee regularly holds meetings, which are open to the public. Persons wishing to attend any meeting should contact the Secretary of the MFC Standards Committee.

MEASUREMENT OF FLUID FLOW IN CLOSED CONDUITS: TRACER METHODS

1 SCOPE AND FIELD OF APPLICATION

For steady-state flow of fluid in a closed conduit, the only conserved parameter is the mass rate of flow, q_m . If the mass density is known, the volume rate of flow, q_v , can be deduced.

The accuracy of flow rate measurement with the tracer methods is a function of how well the injected tracer material mixes with the flowing fluid. It is also a function of the accuracy and precision of the sensing devices, and the (tracer methods) techniques used.

The following two tracer methods are used:

(a) The dilution method is based on a constant rate of tracer injection, and the concentration of tracer found in the downstream conduit is a measure of the relative flow rates.

(b) The transit time method determines the flow rate by measuring the time it takes the tracer material to travel between two detector points or between the injection point and a detector point in the conduit.

The advantages and disadvantages of these two methods are reviewed in section 4.

A wide variety of tracer materials may be used—radioactive or nonradioactive, mineral or organic materials, etc. The choice of tracer depends on the purpose of the measurement and environmental concerns (section 5). The uncertainty of the measurements depends completely on the accuracy of the methods used (section 7). Some typical tracer fluids are listed in Nonmandatory Appendix A.

2 SYMBOLS

See Table 1.

3 UNITS

Calculations for mass and volumetric flow rates in this document are expressed in terms of ratios of lengths and in other nondimensional parameters, as shown in Table 1. Hence, no dimensional units are defined for these terms.

4 METHOD OF USE

4.1 Dilution

In the dilution method, a measured quantity of tracer fluid of known composition is injected into the flowing

stream at the injection point. At the detection location, the mixture is analyzed for composition. A simple calculation provides the flow of the main stream. If the mass of the tracer stream is known, then the result is in mass units.

4.1.1 Advantages of the Dilution Method

- (a) It is not necessary to know the geometrical characteristics of the conduit.
- (b) It is not necessary that the flowing conditions of the fluid (p, T) be the same between the two measuring cross sections.
- (c) It is not necessary to know the time of injection.
- (d) It is inherently a mass flow measurement.

4.2 Transit Time Method

In the transit time method, a quantity of tracer fluid is injected into the flowing stream. Two detection points are commonly used, with both far enough downstream to allow adequate mixing and far enough apart to achieve adequate precision in the time measurement. The flow of the mixed fluids should be continuous from the time of injection until the mixed fluid is detected at the second detection point. The time for the detected change in fluid properties is compared at the two points to provide the average velocity of the fluid mixture. The shape of the detected rise time, the length of the pulse, and the rate of decay are all used to estimate the degree of mixing and possible error. The cross section of the flow conduit at the detection points is used with the flow time to determine the volumetric flow rate at the second detection point. The time required for tracer fluid injection is determined by the response time of the detector and the system geometry.

4.2.1 Advantages of the Transit Time Method

- (a) It is necessary only to determine the modified fluid characteristic time distribution at two measuring cross sections separated by a known volume of pipe or conduit.
- (b) It is not necessary to know the volume, mass, or flow rates of the injected tracer.
- (c) Transit time is inherently a volumetric method.
- (d) In some applications only one detection point is used, the injection point taking the place of the first detection point.