

ASME B31G-2023

[Revision of ASME B31G-2012 (R2017)]

Manual for Determining the Remaining Strength of Corroded Pipelines

**Supplement to ASME B31 Code for
Pressure Piping**

AN AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD



**The American Society of
Mechanical Engineers**

ASME B31G-2023
[Revision of ASME B31G-2012 (R2017)]

Manual for Determining the Remaining Strength of Corroded Pipelines

**Supplement to ASME B31 Code for
Pressure Piping**

AN AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD



**The American Society of
Mechanical Engineers**

Two Park Avenue • New York, NY • 10016 USA

Date of Issuance: October 31, 2023

The next edition of this Manual is scheduled for publication in 2026.

This Manual was developed under procedures accredited as meeting the criteria for American National Standards. The standards committee that approved the Manual was balanced to ensure that individuals from competent and concerned interests had an opportunity to participate. The Manual was made available for public review and comment, which provided an opportunity for additional public input from industry, academia, regulatory agencies, and the public-at-large.

ASME does not “approve,” “certify,” “rate,” or “endorse” any item, construction, proprietary device, or activity. ASME does not take any position with respect to the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any items mentioned in this document, and does not undertake to insure anyone utilizing a standard against liability for infringement of any applicable letters patent, nor does ASME assume any such liability. Users of a code or standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

Participation by federal agency representatives or persons affiliated with industry is not to be interpreted as government or industry endorsement of this Manual.

ASME accepts responsibility for only those interpretations of this document issued in accordance with the established ASME procedures and policies, which precludes the issuance of interpretations by individuals.

The endnotes and preamble in this document (if any) are part of this American National Standard.



ASME Collective Membership Mark

“ASME” and the above ASME symbol are registered trademarks of The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

No part of this document may be reproduced in any form,
in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise,
without the prior written permission of the publisher.

The American Society of Mechanical Engineers
Two Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5990

Copyright © 2023 by
THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERS
All rights reserved
Printed in U.S.A.

CONTENTS

Foreword	iii
Committee Roster	vi
Correspondence With the B31 Committee	vii
Summary of Changes	ix
1 Introduction	1
2 Evaluation Methods	5
3 Tables of Allowable Length of Corrosion	8
Nonmandatory Appendix	
A Sample Calculations	64
Figures	
1.12-1 Corrosion Pit Interaction Distances	5
1.13-1 Helically Oriented Corrosion Pattern	6
2.1-1 Corrosion Parameters Used in Analysis	6
A-2-1 Pitting Arrangement for Example Problem	65
A-2-2 Cross Section of Pitting for Example Problem	65
Tables	
3-1 Values of L for Pipe Sizes $\geq$ NPS 2 and $\leq$ NPS 6	9
3-1M Values of L for Pipe Sizes ≥ 60 mm and <168 mm O.D.	9
3-2 Values of L for Pipe Sizes $\geq$ NPS 6 and $<$ NPS 10	10
3-2M Values of L for Pipe Sizes ≥ 168 mm and <273 mm O.D.	11
3-3 Values of L for Pipe Sizes $\geq$ NPS 10 and $<$ NPS 16	12
3-3M Values of L for Pipe Sizes ≥ 273 mm and <406 mm O.D.	13
3-4 Values of L for Pipe Sizes $\geq$ NPS 16 and $<$ NPS 20	14
3-4M Values of L for Pipe Sizes ≥ 406 mm and <508 mm O.D.	16
3-5 Values of L for Pipe Sizes $\geq$ NPS 20 and $<$ NPS 24	18
3-5M Values of L for Pipe Sizes ≥ 508 mm and <610 mm O.D.	20
3-6 Values of L for Pipe Sizes $\geq$ NPS 24 and $<$ NPS 30	22
3-6M Values of L for Pipe Sizes ≥ 610 mm and <762 mm O.D.	24
3-7 Values of L for Pipe Sizes $\geq$ NPS 30 and $<$ NPS 36	26
3-7M Values of L for Pipe Sizes ≥ 762 mm and <914 mm O.D.	28
3-8 Values of L for Pipe Sizes $\geq$ NPS 36 and $<$ NPS 42	30
3-8M Values of L for Pipe Sizes ≥ 914 mm and <1066 mm O.D.	32
3-9 Values of L for Pipe Sizes $\geq$ NPS 42 and $<$ NPS 48	34
3-9M Values of L for Pipe Sizes ≥ 1066 mm and <1219 mm O.D.	38
3-10 Values of L for Pipe Sizes $\geq$ NPS 48 and $<$ NPS 52	42

3-10M	Values of L for Pipe Sizes ≥ 1219 mm and < 1320 mm O.D.	45
3-11	Values of L for Pipe Sizes $\geq$ NPS 52 and $<$ NPS 56	48
3-11M	Values of L for Pipe Sizes ≥ 1320 mm and < 1422 mm O.D.	52
3-12	Values of L for Pipe Sizes $\geq$ NPS 56 Through NPS 60	56
3-12M	Values of L for Pipe Sizes ≥ 1422 mm Through 1524 mm O.D.	60
A-4-1	Example Metal Loss Calculation Parameters	66
A-6-1	Comparison of Examples 4 and 5 Metal Loss Calculation Parameters	67

FOREWORD

It has been recognized within the pipeline industry that some sections of high-pressure pipelines, particularly those with long service histories, may experience corrosion. It has also been recognized, through theoretical analysis, scientific research and testing, and industry operating experience, that some amount of metal loss due to corrosion can be tolerated without impairing the ability of the pipeline to operate safely. In 1984, the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) published the first edition of the ASME B31G Manual for Determining the Remaining Strength of Corroded Pipelines. ASME B31G provided pipeline operators with a simplified evaluation method based on the results of analysis and tests. The application of ASME B31G has enabled pipeline operators to reliably determine safe operating pressure levels for pipe affected by corrosion, and to determine whether repairs are necessary in order to continue operating safely.

ASME B31G continued to be reissued by ASME with only minor revisions over time, although other corrosion evaluation methods had evolved since ASME B31G's initial publication. A majority of these other methods are based on the same theoretical model from which the original ASME B31G method was derived, but may offer some refinement in accuracy. Subsequently, an effort was undertaken to update the ASME B31G document to recognize certain other corrosion evaluation methods that have proven sound and that have seen successful use in the pipeline industry. Incorporation of these other methods into a recognized Code document provides the pipeline operator or other user with a formalized framework within which to use such methodologies, as well as a wider range of codified technical options with which to make an evaluation. The 2009 revision of ASME B31G reflected those objectives.

The 2012 edition of ASME B31G was approved by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) on September 20, 2012.

In ASME B31G-2023, the nomenclature (see [para. 1.5](#)) has been revised and [Nonmandatory Appendix A](#) has been added. Following approval by the ASME B31 Standards Committee, ASME B31G-2023 was approved by ANSI as an American National Standard on August 3, 2023.

ASME B31 COMMITTEE

Code for Pressure Piping

(The following is the roster of the committee at the time of approval of this Standard.)

STANDARDS COMMITTEE OFFICERS

C. H. Eskridge, Jr., *Chair*
K. A. Vilminot, *Vice Chair*
J. Oh, *Secretary*

STANDARDS COMMITTEE PERSONNEL

D. D. Anderson , Retired	K. B. Kaplan , Consultant
R. J. T. Appleby , Pipeline Experts, LLC	W. J. Mauro , Retired
K. C. Bodenhamer , TRC Pipeline Services	J. E. Meyer , CDM Smith — Industrial Division
R. M. Bojarczuk , Consultant	T. Monday , Team Industrial, Inc.
M. R. Braz , MRBraz & Associates, PLLC	M. L. Nayyar , Consultant
M. Burkhart , The Burkhart Group, Inc.	J. Oh , The American Society of Mechanical Engineers
R. D. Campbell , Bechtel	W. Olson , Gulf Interstate Engineering
J. Caylor , Caylor Engineering & Associates, PLLC	D. W. Raho , CDM 2000
J. S. Chin , TC Energy	M. Rana , Consultant
D. D. Christian , Victaulic	R. K. Ramey , Turner Industries Group, LLC
R. P. Deubler , Becht Engineering Co., Inc.	M. J. Rosenfeld , RSI Pipeline Solutions, LLC
M. Engelkemier , Cargill	J. T. Schmitz , Consultant
C. H. Eskridge, Jr. , Becht Engineering Co., Inc.	S. K. Sinha , SOCOTEC Engineering, Inc.
D. J. Fetzner , Retired	W. J. Sperko , Sperko Engineering Services, Inc.
D. R. Frikken , Becht Engineering Co., Inc.	F. W. Tatar , Consultant
R. A. Grichuk , S&B Engineers and Constructors, Ltd.	K. A. Vilminot , Commonwealth Associates, Inc.
R. W. Haupt , Pressure Piping Engineering Associates, Inc.	
G. A. Jolly , Samshin, Ltd.	

B31 MECHANICAL DESIGN TECHNICAL COMMITTEE

M. Engelkemier , <i>Chair</i> , Cargill	R. W. Haupt , Pressure Piping Engineering Associates, Inc.
D. Arnett , <i>Vice Chair</i> , ExxonMobil Research and Engineering	B. P. Holbrook , Consultant
R. Rahaman , <i>Secretary</i> , The American Society of Mechanical Engineers	H. Kosasayama , JGC Corp.
G. A. Antaki , Becht Engineering Co., Inc.	R. A. Leishear , Leishear Engineering, LLC
R. Bethea , HII — Newport News Shipbuilding	G. D. Mayers , Serco, Inc.
D. J. Fetzner , Retired	T. Q. McCawley , TQM Engineering, PC
D. R. Fraser , NASA Ames Research Center	J. E. Meyer , CDM Smith — Industrial Division
J. A. Graziano , Consultant	P. D. Moore , Burns & McDonnell
J. D. Hart , SSD, Inc.	A. W. Paulin , Paulin Research Group
	M. J. Rosenfeld , RSI Pipeline Solutions, LLC

CORRESPONDENCE WITH THE B31 COMMITTEE

General. ASME codes and standards are developed and maintained by committees with the intent to represent the consensus of concerned interests. Users of ASME codes and standards may correspond with the committees to propose revisions or cases, report errata, or request interpretations. Correspondence for this Manual should be sent to the staff secretary noted on the committee's web page, accessible at <https://go.asme.org/B31committee>.

Revisions and Errata. The committee processes revisions to this Manual on a continuous basis to incorporate changes that appear necessary or desirable as demonstrated by the experience gained from the application of the Manual. Approved revisions will be published in the next edition of the Manual.

In addition, the committee may post errata on the committee web page. Errata become effective on the date posted. Users can register on the committee web page to receive e-mail notifications of posted errata.

This Manual is always open for comment, and the committee welcomes proposals for revision. Such proposals should be as specific as possible, citing the paragraph number(s), the proposed wording, and a detailed description of the reasons for the proposal, including any pertinent background information and supporting documentation.

Cases

(a) The most common applications for cases are

(1) to permit early implementation of a revision based on an urgent need

(2) to provide alternative requirements

(3) to allow users to gain experience with alternative or potential additional requirements prior to incorporation directly into the Manual

(4) to permit the use of a new material or process

(b) Users are cautioned that not all jurisdictions or owners automatically accept cases. Cases are not to be considered as approving, recommending, certifying, or endorsing any proprietary or specific design, or as limiting in any way the freedom of manufacturers, constructors, or owners to choose any method of design or any form of construction that conforms to the Manual.

(c) A proposed case shall be written as a question and reply in the same format as existing cases. The proposal shall also include the following information:

(1) a statement of need and background information

(2) the urgency of the case (e.g., the case concerns a project that is underway or imminent)

(3) the Manual and the paragraph, figure, or table number(s)

(4) the edition(s) of the Manual to which the proposed case applies

(d) A case is effective for use when the public review process has been completed and it is approved by the cognizant supervisory board. Approved cases are posted on the committee web page.

Interpretations. Upon request, the committee will issue an interpretation of any requirement of this Manual. An interpretation can be issued only in response to a request submitted through the online Interpretation Submittal Form at <https://go.asme.org/InterpretationRequest>. Upon submitting the form, the inquirer will receive an automatic e-mail confirming receipt.

ASME does not act as a consultant for specific engineering problems or for the general application or understanding of the Manual requirements. If, based on the information submitted, it is the opinion of the committee that the inquirer should seek assistance, the request will be returned with the recommendation that such assistance be obtained. Inquirers can track the status of their requests at <https://go.asme.org/Interpretations>.

ASME procedures provide for reconsideration of any interpretation when or if additional information that might affect interpretation is available. Further, persons aggrieved by an interpretation may appeal to the cognizant ASME committee or subcommittee. ASME does not "approve," "certify," "rate," or "endorse" any item, construction, proprietary device, or activity.

Interpretations are published in the ASME Interpretations Database at <https://go.asme.org/Interpretations> as they are issued.

Committee Meetings. The B31 Standards Committee regularly holds meetings that are open to the public. Persons wishing to attend any meeting should contact the secretary of the committee. Information on future committee meetings can be found on the committee web page at <https://go.asme.org/B31committee>.

ASME B31G-2023

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Following approval by the ASME B31G Committee and ASME, and after public review, ASME B31G-2023 was approved by the American National Standards Institute on August 3, 2023.

ASME B31G-2023 includes the following changes identified by a margin note, **(23)**.

<i>Page</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Change</i>
1	1.4	Subparagraph (a) editorially revised
2	1.5	(1) Nomenclature for L_e and Z_e deleted (2) Nomenclature for g added
4	1.10	In second paragraph, references added
7	2.2	References editorially revised
7	2.3	Second paragraph and subparagraph (c) revised
64	Nonmandatory Appendix A	Added

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

MANUAL FOR DETERMINING THE REMAINING STRENGTH OF CORRODED PIPELINES

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Scope

This document is intended solely for the purpose of providing guidance in the evaluation of metal loss in pressurized pipelines and piping systems. It is applicable to all pipelines and piping systems within the scope of the transportation pipeline codes that are part of ASME B31 Code for Pressure Piping, namely: ASME B31.4, Pipeline Transportation Systems for Liquid Hydrocarbons and Other Liquids; ASME B31.8, Gas Transmission and Distribution Piping Systems; ASME B31.11, Slurry Transportation Piping Systems; and ASME B31.12, Hydrogen Piping and Pipelines, Part PL. Where the term “pipeline” is used, it may also be read to apply to piping or pipe conforming to the acceptable applications and within the technical limitations discussed below.

1.2 Acceptable Applications

The application of this document is limited to the evaluation of wall loss in metal pipe within the following limitations:

- (a) metal loss in pipelines located belowground, aboveground, or offshore
- (b) metal loss due to external or internal corrosion
- (c) metal loss produced by grinding where used to completely remove mechanical damage, cracks, arc burns, manufacturing defects, or other defects from the pipe surface
- (d) metal loss in field bends, induction bends, and elbows
- (e) metal loss that incidentally affects longitudinal or helical electric seam welds or circumferential electric welds of sound quality and having ductile characteristics, provided workmanship flaws are not present in sufficiently close proximity to interact with the metal loss
- (f) metal loss of any depth with respect to the pipe wall, except that due consideration shall be given to the accuracy of measurements and effective corrosion rates when the depth of metal loss exceeds 80% of the actual pipe wall dimension
- (g) metal loss in new pipe where allowed by the applicable code of construction

(h) metal loss in pipe material having ductile fracture initiation characteristics [see [paras. 1.7\(e\)](#) and [1.7\(f\)](#)] unless using a Level 3 assessment in accordance with [paras. 2.2\(b\)](#) and [2.4](#)

(i) metal loss in pipe operating at temperatures above ambient within the range of operating temperature recognized by the governing standard, and provided material strength properties at temperature are considered

(j) metal loss in pipe operating at any level of allowable design hoop stress [see [paras. 1.4\(a\)](#) and [1.4\(b\)](#) for additional considerations]

(k) metal loss in pipe where internal pressure is the primary loading [see [paras. 1.4\(c\)](#) and [1.4\(d\)](#) for additional considerations]

1.3 Exclusions

This document does not apply to the following:

- (a) crack-like defects or mechanical surface damage not completely removed to a smooth contour by grinding
- (b) metal loss in indentations or buckles resulting in radial distortion of the pipe wall larger than 6% of the pipe outside diameter, unless a Level 3 assessment is performed in accordance with [para. 2.4](#)
- (c) grooving corrosion, selective corrosion, or preferential corrosion affecting pipe seams or girth welds
- (d) metal loss in fittings other than bends or elbows
- (e) metal loss affecting material having brittle fracture initiation characteristics [see [paras. 1.7\(e\)](#) and [1.7\(f\)](#)] unless a Level 3 assessment is performed in accordance with [para. 2.4](#)
- (f) pipe operating at temperatures outside the range of operating temperature recognized by the governing standard or operating at temperatures in the creep range

1.4 Additional Considerations

(23)

The user is cautioned that additional considerations may apply in certain situations, described below.

(a) Pipe operating at low hoop stress levels due to internal pressure [e.g., less than 25% of specified minimum yield strength (SMYS)] may be perforated by corrosion without inducing structural material failure. The methods and criteria provided herein do not address failure by perforation.

(b) Pipe affected by general corrosion of the pipe wall (i.e., corrosion-caused wall loss over the entire pipe surface) effectively operates at a greater hoop stress