

ASME Code for Pressure Piping, B31
An American National Standard

ASME B31G-1991

(REVISION OF ANSI/ASME B31G-1984)

Manual for Determining the Remaining Strength of Corroded Pipelines

**A Supplement to ASME B31 Code
for Pressure Piping**

AN AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD

ASME CODE FOR PRESSURE PIPING, B31

Manual for Determining the Remaining Strength of Corroded Pipelines

**A Supplement to ASME B31 Code
for Pressure Piping**

ASME B31G-1991

(REVISION OF ANSI/ASME B31G-1984)



The American Society of
Mechanical Engineers

345 East 47th Street, New York, N.Y. 10017 —

Date of Issuance: June 27, 1991

The 1991 edition of this Manual will be revised when public comment or Committee actions necessitate the issuance of a new edition, or it will be reviewed and reaffirmed 5 years from the date of approval of this edition. No addenda service is provided with this publication. Written interpretations of the requirements of this Manual will not be issued to the current edition.

ASME is the registered trademark of The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

This code or standard was developed under procedures accredited as meeting the criteria for American National Standards. The Consensus Committee that approved the code or standard was balanced to assure that individuals from competent and concerned interests have had an opportunity to participate. The proposed code or standard was made available for public review and comment which provides an opportunity for additional public input from industry, academia, regulatory agencies, and the public-at-large.

ASME does not "approve," "rate," or "endorse" any item, construction, proprietary device, or activity.

ASME does not take any position with respect to the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any items mentioned in this document, and does not undertake to insure anyone utilizing a standard against liability for infringement of any applicable Letters Patent, nor assume any such liability. Users of a code or standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

Participation by federal agency representative(s) or person(s) affiliated with industry is not to be interpreted as government or industry endorsement of this code or standard.

ASME accepts responsibility for only those interpretations issued in accordance with governing ASME procedures and policies which preclude the issuance of interpretations by individual volunteers.

No part of this document may be reproduced in any form,
in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise,
without the prior written permission of the publisher.

Copyright © 1991 by
THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERS
All Rights Reserved
Printed in the U.S.A.

FOREWORD

(This Foreword is not a part of ASME B31G-1991.)

It is recognized by pipeline companies that some sections of high pressure pipelines, particularly those installed a number of years ago, have experienced some corrosion. Where corrosion is found, pipeline operators have been deeply concerned about the need for a method of determining the remaining strength of these corroded areas. If the corrosion does not penetrate the pipe wall, what is the pressure containing capability of the remaining pipe metal in terms of its ability to continue to operate safely at the maximum allowable operating pressure (MAOP) of the pipeline system? Thus, one of the needs of the pipeline industry has been a procedure that will help operators, particularly field personnel, make decisions on existing pipelines, when exposed for any purpose, as to whether any corroded region may be left in service or whether it needs to be repaired or replaced. Such determinations must be based upon sound research and extensive testing in order to provide safe and conservative guidelines on which to base field decisions. The Manual provides procedures to assist in this determination.

Parts 2, 3, and 4 are based on Appendices G-6, G-7, and G-8 of the ASME Guide for Gas Transmission and Distribution Piping Systems, 1983 Edition. They are included in this Manual for use by field operators to determine the remaining strength of corroded pipe. The technology is based on research done in the Columbus laboratories of the Battelle Memorial Institute; specifically, their report *Summary of Research to Determine the Strength of Corroded Areas in Line Pipe*, July 10, 1971.

A revision to the 1984 edition of the Manual was undertaken in 1989. The revision includes a number of clarifications and corrections. The computer program presented in Appendix B and used to produce a printed table of maximum acceptable corrosion lengths for a given pipe diameter, and up to ten wall thicknesses of that diameter, was upgraded.

This Manual was approved by ASME and subsequently by the American National Standards Institute on May 20, 1991.

ASME CODE FOR PRESSURE PIPING, B31

OFFICERS

R. E. Feigel, *Chairman*
L. E. Hayden, Jr., *Vice Chairman*
C. J. Gomez, *Secretary*

COMMITTEE PERSONNEL

P. A. Bourquin, Wolff & Munier International, Hawthorne, New York
A. J. Breugelmans, Lvndhurst, New Jersey
J. D. Byers, Mobil Research & Development, Princeton, New Jersey
J. J. Chappell, Anchor/Darling Valve Co., Williamsport, Pennsylvania
L. F. Clynch, CONOCO Mid-Continental Division, Ponca City, Oklahoma
P. C. DuPernell, Lancaster, New York
R. E. Feigel, Hartford Steam Boiler Inspection and Insurance Co., Hartford, Connecticut
D. M. Fischer, Sargent & Lundy, Chicago, Illinois
P. D. Flenner, Consumers Power Co., Jackson, Michigan
P. H. Gardner, Hercules Inc., Wilmington, Delaware
R. W. Haupt, Pressure Piping Engrg Associates Inc., Foster City, California
L. E. Hayden, Jr., Victaulic Company of America, Easton, Pennsylvania
R. R. Hoffmann, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC
B. P. Holbrook, Riley Stoker Corp., Worchester, Massachusetts
H. M. Howarth, Trenton, New Jersey
W. B. McGehee, Spring, Texas
A. J. Shoup, Sr., Houston, Texas
G. W. Spohn, III, Dixie Constructors, Inc., Gaffney, South Carolina
H. A. Sosnin, Jenkintown, Pennsylvania
D. H. Wade, Texas Utilities Electric Co., Dallas, Texas

B31.8 GAS TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION PIPING SYSTEMS SECTION COMMITTEE

A. J. Shoup, Sr., *Chairman*, Houston, Texas
W. B. McGehee, *Vice Chairman*, Spring, Texas
C. J. Gomez, *Secretary*, ASME, New York, New York
W. C. Alexander, Shreveport, Louisiana
R. J. T. Appleby, Exxon Co., USA Thousand Oaks, California
J. E. Beech, Texas Gas Transmission Corp., Owensboro, Kentucky
C. Boshuizen, T. D. Williamson Inc., Tulsa, Oklahoma
L. E. Brooks, Delta Gulf Corp., Shreveport, Louisiana
F. E. Buck, Grove Valve & Regulator Co., Oakland, California
J. S. Chin, ANR Pipeline Co., Detroit, Michigan
W. L. Clayton, Entex Inc., Houston, Texas
P. J. Cory, Bowie, Maryland

R. L. Dean, ConReg Associates, Bellaire, Texas
 A. J. Del Buono, CMI-Princeton Inc., Princeton, Kentucky
 P. W. Denning, Texas Gas Transmission Corp., Owensboro, Kentucky
 M. J. Epperson, Texaco Inc., Bellaire, Texas
 J. J. Fallon, Jr., Public Service Electric & Gas Co., Newark, New Jersey
 F. R. Fleet, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America, Lombard, Illinois
 M. A. Francis, LTV Steel Tubular Products Co., Youngstown, Ohio
 P. R. Goodholm, Visalia, California
 J. E. Hansford, Enron Gas Pipeline Operating Co., Houston, Texas
 D. J. Hicks, Endeco Engineering Consultants, Tulsa, Oklahoma
 D. T. Hisey, ARCO Transportation Alaska, Anchorage, Alaska
 M. C. Hocking, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line, Houston, Texas
 E. A. Jonas, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania
 J. J. Kieffer, Union Carbide Corp., Tonawanda, New York
 J. D. McNorgan, Southern California Gas Co., Los Angeles, California
 A. I. Macdonald, Upland, California
 R. E. Miller, Columbia Gas Systems Service Corp., Columbus, Ohio
 R. A. Mueller, OXY USA Inc., Tulsa, Oklahoma
 P. O. Mullens, Phillips Petroleum Co., Bartesville, Oklahoma
 D. L. Price, Texas Eastern Gas Pipe Line Co., Harrisburg, Pennsylvania
 W. F. Quinn, El Paso Natural Gas Co., El Paso, Texas
 A. T. Richardson, Tenneco Gas Transportation Co., Houston, Texas
 C. G. Roberts, Williams Brothers Engineering Co., Tulsa, Oklahoma
 R. A. Schmidt, Ladish Co., Russellville, Arkansas
 B. Taksa, Gulf Interstate Engineering, Houston, Texas
 C. J. Tateosian, Walnut Creek, California
 A. T. Tyler, Gulf Interstate Engineering Co., Houston, Texas
 H. M. Wilkinson, Houston, Texas
 G. J. Wolf, Office of Pipeline Safety, U. S. Department of Transportation, Washington, District of Columbia
 R. A. Wolf, Transok Inc., Tulsa, Oklahoma
 J. M. Wood, Oklahoma Natural Gas Co., Tulsa, Oklahoma
 D. W. Wright, Sun Pipe Line Co., Tulsa, Oklahoma
 C. C. Wright, Jr., Paola, Kansas
 J. S. Zurcher, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co., Houston, Texas

B31 COORDINATING COMMITTEE

D. M. Fischer, *Chairman*, Sargent & Lundy, Chicago, Illinois
 C. J. Gomez, *Secretary*, ASME, New York, New York
 L. E. Hayden, Jr., Victaulic Company of America, Easton, Pennsylvania
 R. R. Hoffmann, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, District of Columbia
 H. A. Sosnin, Jenkintown, Pennsylvania

B31 FABRICATION AND EXAMINATION TECHNICAL COMMITTEE

P. D. Flenner, *Chairman*, Consumers Power Co., Jackson, Michigan
 C. J. Gomez, *Secretary*, ASME, New York, New York
 P. C. DuPernell, Lancaster, New York
 T. E. Estilow, Newark, Delaware
 L. E. Hartsell, Fluor Daniel, Dallas, Texas
 D. G. Hopkins, E I duPont de Nemours & Co., Newark, Delaware
 A. D. Nance, Evans, Georgia
 R. I. Seals, Berkeley, California
 H. A. Sosnin, Jenkintown, Pennsylvania

B31 MECHANICAL DESIGN COMMITTEE

R. W. Haupt, *Chairman*, Pressure Piping Engrg Associates Inc., Foster City, California
C. J. Gomez, *Secretary*, ASME, New York, New York
J. P. Breen, O'Donnell & Associates Inc., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
A. C. Dzykewicz, Bristol, Rhode Island
J. A. Graziano, Farragut, Tennessee
B. P. Holbrook, Riley Stoker Corp., Worcester, Massachusetts
W. J. Koves, UOP Inc., Des Plaines, Illinois
P. L. Lin, Fluor Engineers Inc., Chicago, Illinois
T. Q. McCawley, Charlotte, North Carolina
E. Michalopoulos, Hartford Steam Boiler Inspection and Insurance Co., Hartford, Connecticut
J. C. Minichiello, ABB Impell Corp., Lincolnshire, Illinois
A. D. Nance, Evans, Georgia
T. W. Pickel, Jr., Martin Marietta Energy Systems Inc., Oak Ridge, Tennessee
E. C. Reed, Babcock & Wilcox Co., Barberton, Ohio
Q. N. Truong, Houston, Texas
G. E. Woods, Kingwood, Texas

B31 CONFERENCE GROUP

M. E. Bajandas, Department of Labor & Human Resources, Hato Ray, Puerto Rico
R. Beaucamp, Department of Labor, Lincoln, Nebraska
J. E. Brennan, Division of Boiler Inspection, Columbus, Ohio
W. E. Brown, State of Kansas, Shawnee Mission, Kansas
G. Bynog, Texas Department of Labor & Standards, Austin, Texas
R. Coomes, Department of Housing, Buildings, and Construction, Frankfort, Kentucky
Z. C. Cordero, Michigan Department of Labor, Lansing, Michigan
J. C. Cvar, Division of Boiler Safety, Dover, Delaware
A. W. Diamond, Department of Labour & Manpower, St. Johns, Newfoundland, Canada
M. P. Fitzpatrick, Department of Labour & Human Resources, Fredericton, New Brunswick, Canada
J. W. Greenawalt, Jr., Oklahoma Department of Labor, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma
G. Grodecki, Ministry of Consumer & Commercial Relations, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
R. D. Herman, Saskatchewan Labour, Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada
D. W. Johansen, Public Service Commission, Jefferson City, Missouri
A. Justin, State of Minnesota, Saint Paul, Minnesota
J. T. Little, Industrial Commission of Arizona, Phoenix, Arizona
W. T. Malloy, Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission, Olympia, Washington
R. G. Marini, New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission, Concord, New Hampshire
I. W. Mault, Labour & Manpower, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada
A. W. Meiring, Department of Fire Prevention & Building Safety, Indianapolis, Indiana
E. E. Morgan, Boiler Inspection Section, Denver, Colorado
J. W. Morvant, Office of State Fire Marshal, Baton Rouge, Louisiana
R. F. Mullaney, Boiler & Pressure Vessel Safety Branch, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada
W. A. Owen, North Dakota Public Service Commission, Bismarck, North Dakota
W. M. Picardo, Department of Consumer & Regulatory Affairs, Washington, District of Columbia
R. Sauve, Government of Quebec, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
P. Sher, Department of Public Utility Control, New Britain, Connecticut
H. E. Shutt, Illinois Commerce Commission, Springfield, Illinois
J. L. Smith, Alberta Department of Labour, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada
R. L. Smith, Public Service Commission, Columbia, South Carolina
M. L. Snow, Jr., Department of Commerce and Insurance, Nashville, Tennessee
E. L. Sparrow, Bureau of Pipeline Safety, Newark, New Jersey
D. Stursma, Iowa State Commerce Commission, Des Moines, Iowa
R. P. Sullivan, Department of Labor, Augusta, Maine
C. W. Thompson, Department of Labor, Little Rock, Arkansas

R. W. Vindich, Department of Labor & Industry, Harrisburgh, Pennsylvania
L. E. Waldrop, Public Service Commission, Montgomery, Alabama
C. H. Walters, Boiler & Elevator Programs, Portland, Oregon
M. W. A. West, Department of Fisheries & Labour, Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island, Canada
T. F. Wickham, Department of Labor, Providence, Rhode Island
R. A. Yeo, Department of Labour and Manpower, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada

B31 NATIONAL INTEREST REVIEW GROUP

Aluminum Association – W. W. Pritsky
American Boiler Manufacturers Association – R. J. Fletcher
American Institute of Chemical Engineers – W. C. Carnell
American Iron and Steel Institute – J. R. Pegues
American Petroleum Institute, Division of Refining – H. M. Howarth
American Pipe Fitting Association – J. Thielsch
American Society of Heating, Refrigeration & Air Conditioning Engineers – H. R. Kornblum
American Welding Society – H. A. Sosnin
Chemical Manufacturers Association – D. R. Frikken
Compressed Gas Association – M. F. Melchioris
Copper Development Association – A. Cohn
Ductile Iron Pipe Research Association – T. F. Stroud
Edison Electric Institute – R. L. Williams
International District Heating Association – G. Von Bargaen
Manufacturers Standardization Society of the Valve and Fittings Industry – R. A. Schmidt
Mechanical Contractors Association of America – W. E. Maloney
National Association of Plumbing-Heating-Cooling Contractors – R. E. White
National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners – D. W. Snyder
National Fire Protection Association – T. C. Lemoff
National Fluid Power Association – H. Anderson
Naval Sea Systems Command – T. W. Hull
Pipe Fabrication Institute – L. Katz
Slurry Transport Association – P. E. Snoek
Society of Ohio Safety Engineers – J. M. Holleran
Valve Manufacturers Association – R. A. Handschumacher

CONTENTS

Foreword		iii
Personnel		iv
Part 1	Introduction	1
1.1	Scope	1
1.2	Limitations	1
1.3	Initial Development	2
1.4	Methodology and Research Procedures	2
1.5	How to Use the Manual	3
1.6	The Meaning of Acceptance	5
1.7	Other Means of Determining Safe Pipeline Operating Pressure	5
1.8	Computer Programs	5
Figures		
Fig. 1-1	Parabolic Criteria for Classifying Corrosion Defects According to Predicted Failure Stress	4
Fig. 1-2	Procedure for Analysis of Corroded Pipe Strength	6
Part 2	Determination of Maximum Allowable Longitudinal Extent of Corrosion	9
Figures		
Fig. 2-1	Corrosion Parameters Used in Analysis	10
Fig. 2-2	Curve for Determining the Value of <i>B</i>	11
Part 3	Tables for Corrosion Limits	13
Tables		
Table 3-1	Values of <i>L</i> for Pipe Sizes \geq NPS 2 and $<$ NPS 6	14
Table 3-2	Values of <i>L</i> for Pipe Sizes \geq NPS 6 and $<$ NPS 10	15

Table 3-3	Values of L for Pipe Sizes \geq NPS 10 and $<$ NPS 16	16
Table 3-4	Values of L for Pipe Sizes \geq NPS 16 and $<$ NPS 20	17
Table 3-5	Values of L for Pipe Sizes \geq NPS 20 and $<$ NPS 24	18
Table 3-6	Values of L for Pipe Sizes \geq NPS 24 and $<$ NPS 30	19
Table 3-7	Values of L for Pipe Sizes \geq NPS 30 and $<$ NPS 36	20
Table 3-8	Values of L for Pipe Sizes \geq NPS 36 and $<$ NPS 42	21
Table 3-9	Values of L for Pipe Sizes \geq NPS 42 and $<$ NPS 48	22
Table 3-10	Values of L for Pipe Sizes \geq NPS 48 and $<$ NPS 52	26
Table 3-11	Values of L for Pipe Sizes \geq NPS 52 and $<$ NPS 56	30
Table 3-12	Values of L for Pipe Sizes \geq NPS 56 and $<$ NPS 60	34
Part 4	Evaluation of MAOP in Corroded Area	39
4.1	Computation of A	39
4.2	Computation of P'	39
4.3	MAOP and P'	42
Figures		
Fig. 4-1	Curve for Obtaining P' as a Function of d/t for Values of A Less Than or Equal to 4.0	40
Fig. 4-2	P' as a Function of d/t for Values of A Greater Than 4.0	41
Appendices		
Appendix A	BASIC Computer Program, CRVL.BAS, for Determining Allowable Length L (Part 2) or Alternative Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure (Part 4)	43
Appendix B	BASIC Computer Program, CRLGTHU.BAS, Used in Generating Tables Like Those Which Are Printed in Part 3	51

This page intentionally left blank.

PART 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 SCOPE

The scope of this Manual includes all pipelines within the scope of the pipeline codes that are part of ASME B31 Code for Pressure Piping, i.e., ASME B31.4, Liquid Transportation Systems for Hydrocarbons, Liquid Petroleum Gas, Anhydrous Ammonia, and Alcohols; ASME B31.8, Gas Transmission and Distribution Piping Systems; and ASME B31.11, Slurry Transportation Piping Systems. Parts 2, 3, and 4 are based on material included in ASME Guide for Gas Transmission and Distribution Piping Systems, 1983 Edition.

This Manual is not applicable to new construction covered under the B31 Code Sections. That is, it is not intended that this Manual be used to establish acceptance standards for pipe that may have become corroded prior to or during fabrication and/or installation.

This Manual is intended solely for the purpose of providing guideline information for the designer/owner/operator. Thus, the specific use of this Manual is the responsibility of the designer/owner/operator.

1.2 LIMITATIONS

(a) This Manual is limited to corrosion on weldable pipeline steels categorized as carbon steels or high strength low alloy steels. Typical of these materials are those described in ASTM A 53, A 106, and A 381, and API 5L. (The current API 5L includes all Grades formerly in API 5LX and 5LS.)

(b) This Manual applies only to defects in the body of line pipe which have relatively smooth contours and cause low stress concentration (e.g., electrolytic or galvanic corrosion, loss of wall thickness due to erosion).

(c) This procedure should not be used to evaluate the remaining strength of corroded girth or longitudinal welds or related heat affected zones, defects caused by mechanical damage, such as gouges and grooves, and defects introduced during pipe or plate manufacture, such as seams, laps, rolled ends, scabs, or slivers.

(d) The criteria for corroded pipe to remain in service presented in this Manual are based only upon the ability of the pipe to maintain structural integrity under internal pressure. It should not be the sole criterion when the pipe is subject to significant secondary stresses (e.g., bending), particularly if the corrosion has a significant transverse component.

(e) This procedure does not predict leaks or rupture failures.