

ASME/ANS RA-S-1.1–2022

[Revision and Redesignation of ASME/ANS RA-S-2008 (R2019)]

**Standard for
Level 1/Large Early
Release Frequency
Probabilistic Risk
Assessment for
Nuclear Power Plant
Applications**

AN AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD



**The American Society of
Mechanical Engineers**



ANS



Date of Issuance: May 31, 2022

ASME issues written replies to inquiries concerning interpretations of technical aspects of this Standard. Interpretations are published on the ASME website under the Committee Pages at <http://cstools.asme.org/> as they are issued.

Errata to codes and standards may be posted on the ASME website under the Committee Pages to provide corrections to incorrectly published items, or to correct typographical or grammatical errors in codes and standards. Such errata shall be used on the date posted.

The Committee Pages can be found at <http://cstools.asme.org/>. There is an option available to automatically receive an e-mail notification when errata are posted to a particular code or standard. This option can be found on the appropriate Committee Page after selecting "Errata" in the "Publication Information" section.

ASME is the registered trademark of The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

This code or standard was developed under procedures accredited as meeting the criteria for American National Standards. The Standards Committee that approved the code or standard was balanced to assure that individuals from competent and concerned interests have had an opportunity to participate. The proposed code or standard was made available for public review and comment that provides an opportunity for additional public input from industry, academia, regulatory agencies, and the public-at-large.

ASME does not "approve," "rate," or "endorse" any item, construction, proprietary device, or activity.

ASME does not take any position with respect to the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any items mentioned in this document, and does not undertake to insure anyone utilizing a standard against liability for infringement of any applicable letter patents, nor assume any such liability. Users of a code or standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

Participation by federal agency representative(s) or person(s) affiliated with industry is not to be interpreted as government or industry endorsement of this code or standard.

ASME accepts responsibility for only those interpretations of this document issued in accordance with the established ASME procedures and policies, which precludes the issuance of interpretations by individuals.

No part of this document may be reproduced in any form,
in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise,
without the prior written permission of the publisher.

The American Society of Mechanical Engineers
Two Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5990

Copyright © 2022 by
THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERS
All rights reserved
Published in U.S.A.



CONTENTS

Foreword	v
Correspondence with the ASME/ANS Joint Committee on Nuclear Risk Management	vii
Acknowledgments	ix
ASME/ANS RA-S Committee	xi
PART 1	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR A LEVEL 1 PRA, INCLUDING LARGE EARLY RELEASE FREQUENCY
	1
Section 1-1	Introduction
	1
Section 1-2	Acronyms and Definitions
	9
Section 1-3	PRA Scope and Capabilities in Support of Risk-Informed Applications
	21
Section 1-4	Requirements for Use of Expert Judgment
	22
Section 1-5	PRA Configuration Control Program
	23
Section 1-6	Peer Review
	26
Section 1-7	Newly Developed Methods
	29
Section 1-8	References
	32
Nonmandatory Appendix	33
1-A	Meanings of Action Verbs
	33
PART 2	REQUIREMENTS FOR INTERNAL-EVENTS AT-POWER PRA
	38
Section 2-1	Overview of Internal-Events At-Power PRA Requirements
	38
Section 2-2	Internal-Events PRA Technical Elements and Requirements
	39
Nonmandatory Appendix	88
2-A	Explanatory Notes Regarding Application of the Part 2 Supporting Requirements
	88
PART 3	REQUIREMENTS FOR INTERNAL FLOOD AT-POWER PRA
	110
Section 3-1	Overview of Internal Flood At-Power PRA Requirements
	110
Section 3-2	Internal Flood PRA Technical Elements and Requirements
	111
Nonmandatory Appendix	135
3-A	Internal Flood At-Power PRA: Commentary
	135
PART 4	REQUIREMENTS FOR INTERNAL FIRES AT-POWER PRA
	155
Section 4-1	Risk Assessment Technical Requirements for Internal Fires At-Power
	155
Section 4-2	Internal Fire PRA Technical Elements and Requirements
	156
Nonmandatory Appendix	186
4-A	Notes and Explanatory Material
	186
PART 5	REQUIREMENTS FOR SEISMIC EVENTS FOR AT-POWER PRA
	204
Section 5-1	Overview of Seismic PRA Requirements At-Power
	204
Section 5-2	Seismic At-Power Technical Elements and Requirements
	205
Nonmandatory Appendix	220
5-A	Seismic Probabilistic Risk Assessment Commentary
	220



PART 6	REQUIREMENTS FOR SCREENING AND CONSERVATIVE ANALYSIS OF HAZARDS FOR AT-POWER PRA	250
Section 6-1	Overview of Screening and Conservative Analysis Requirements	250
Section 6-2	Screening and Conservative Analysis Technical Requirements	251
Nonmandatory Appendix		255
6-A	Screening Commentary	255
6-B	List of Hazards for Consideration	259
PART 7	REQUIREMENTS FOR HIGH WIND AT-POWER PRA	262
Section 7-1	Overview of High Wind At-Power PRA Requirements	262
Section 7-2	High Wind At-Power PRA Technical Elements and Requirements	263
Nonmandatory Appendix		277
7-A	High Wind Notes and Commentary	277
PART 8	REQUIREMENTS FOR EXTERNAL FLOOD AT-POWER PRA	316
Section 8-1	Overview of External Flood At-Power PRA Requirements	316
Section 8-2	External Flood At-Power PRA Technical Elements and Requirements	317
Nonmandatory Appendix		334
8-A	External Flood Probabilistic Risk Assessment Commentary	334
PART 9	REQUIREMENTS FOR OTHER HAZARDS AT-POWER PRA	372
Section 9-1	Overview of Other Hazards At-Power PRA Requirements	372
Section 9-2	Other Hazards At-Power PRA Technical Elements and Requirements	373
Nonmandatory Appendix		381
9-A	Other Hazards Commentary	381



FOREWORD

The American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Board on Nuclear Codes and Standards (BNCS) and American Nuclear Society (ANS) Standards Board have formed a Joint Committee on Nuclear Risk Management (JCNRM) to develop and maintain probabilistic risk assessment (PRA) standards. The JCNRM operates under procedures accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) as meeting the criteria of consensus procedures for American National Standards. The JCNRM holds two formal meetings per year, and users are invited to participate. Additional information about the JCNRM can be found on its committee page at <https://cs.stools.asme.org/>.

In 2002, ASME issued an initial PRA standard, the scope of which was Level 1 and large early release frequency for internal events at-power for light water reactor (LWR) nuclear power plants. In 2003 and 2007, ANS issued two other PRA standards, the scopes of which were external hazards and internal fires at-power for LWR nuclear power plants. In 2008, the three standards were combined into one standard, ASME/ANS RA-S-2008, under the joint auspices of ASME and ANS. A revision, ASME/ANS RA-Sa-2009 [Addendum (a)], was issued in 2009. The JCNRM came into existence after Addendum (a) was issued. A second revision was issued in 2013, ASME/ANS RA-Sb-2013 [Addendum (b)]. This revision was reaffirmed in 2018. A Case was issued in 2017, ASME/ANS RA-S CASE 1, which was an alternative to Part 5 (Seismic PRA). This was then reissued in 2019, ASME/ANS RA-S CASE 1-1, with only minor corrections.

ASME/ANS RA-S-1.1-2022 is a new edition of the Level 1 PRA Standard that supersedes all previous revisions. The JCNRM is responsible for ensuring that this Standard is maintained and revised, as necessary. This responsibility includes appropriate coordination with and linkage to other standards under development for related risk-informed applications.

ASME/ANS RA-S-1.1-2022 is a substantial revision of ASME/ANS RA-Sb-2013. The following major modifications are among those performed:

- A number of changes have been implemented to strengthen the consistency among technical elements that are cross-cutting through different hazards. These changes required, for example, revisiting Supporting Requirements (SRs) associated with screening, uncertainty, human reliability analysis, and documentation. The screening criteria are now consolidated into a single set of screening criteria in [Part 1](#).
- Back references from Part 6 to Part 2 (e.g., from [Part 4](#) to [Part 2](#)) have been made more consistent, deliberate, and explicit in each Part to facilitate the peer review process.
- Significant lessons learned have been gathered in the past few years on hazard PRAs such as high-winds PRAs and external flooding PRAs that previously had less opportunity for being piloted. Such lessons learned have been incorporated in clarifications of the intent of the SRs for [Part 7](#) and [Part 8](#).
- Capability Category III has been removed across the board on the basis that Capability Category I already envisions refined analysis and realism implemented for the risk-significant elements. Going beyond this, while not discouraged, is not something that needs to be codified in a standard that is supposed to identify the minimum requirements for a technically adequate analysis.

The new edition of this Standard includes a new section in [Part 1](#). [Section 1-7](#) states requirements to assess the technical adequacy of newly developed methods to be used in the plant PRA.

- In previous addenda, Nonmandatory Appendix (NMA) 1-A provided examples of “PRA maintenance” and “PRA upgrades.” These subjects are now being addressed by the Pressurized Water Reactor Owners Group (PWROG). The new [NMA 1-A](#) provides meanings for the action verbs used in SRs. It is provided as an aid to interpret the intent of the SRs, especially for users for whom English is not the first language.
- Key operating definitions such as the definitions of “PRA upgrade” and “PRA maintenance” have been changed. These definitions now agree with the ones presented in PWROG-19027-NP

(Rev. 2), “Newly Developed Method Requirements and Peer Review,” and endorsed by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission via Regulatory Guide 1.200 (Rev. 3), “Acceptability of Probabilistic Risk Assessment Results for Risk-Informed Activities.” Other definitions have been revisited for clarity.

- Notes and commentaries have been revised to ensure content is still up to date and, for the most part, are removed from the body of this Standard and located in NMAs associated with the individual Parts. This relocation emphasizes the concept that notes and commentaries do not represent formal requirements of this Standard and are provided for information. References are also removed from individual SRs and moved to notes as one way to meet the SRs.
- All peer review requirements have been consolidated into one section in [Part 1](#) to remove inconsistencies and duplicated information from different Parts.
- The clarification regarding the scope of walkdowns documented in JCNRM Inquiry 20-2435 for Addendum B has been included in the NMAs for all walkdown SRs in this Standard (Inquiry 20-2435 available at <https://cstools.asme.org/cconnect/CommitteePages.cfm?Committee=100186782&Action=40886>)
- Finally, Part 10 on the Seismic Margin Assessment has been withdrawn from the Standard and is therefore removed.

The current edition of this Standard has a significantly larger number of SRs, even though some have been removed. However, the intent of the overall Standard remains consistent with the previous versions.

This publication, the 2022 edition of the “Standard for Level 1/Large Early Release Frequency Probabilistic Risk Assessment for Nuclear Power Plant Applications,” was approved by the ASME BNCS and the ANS Standards Board. ASME/ANS RA-S-1-1-2022 was approved by ANSI on May 11, 2022.

CORRESPONDENCE WITH THE ASME/ANS JOINT COMMITTEE ON NUCLEAR RISK MANAGEMENT

General. ASME Standards are developed and maintained with the intent to represent the consensus of concerned interests. As such, users of this Standard may interact with the Committee by requesting interpretations, proposing revisions or a case, and attending Committee meetings. Correspondence should be addressed to:

Secretary, ASME/ANS Joint Committee on Nuclear Risk Management, The American Society of Mechanical Engineers
Two Park Avenue
New York, NY 10016-5990
<http://go.asme.org/Inquiry>

Proposing Revisions. Revisions to the Standard are made periodically to incorporate changes that appear necessary or desirable, as demonstrated by the experience gained from the application of the Standard. Approved revisions will be published periodically.

The Committee welcomes proposals for revisions to this Standard. Such proposals should be as specific as possible, citing the paragraph number(s), the proposed wording, and a detailed description of the reasons for the proposal, including any pertinent documentation.

Interpretations. Upon request, the ASME/ANS JCNRM Standards Committee will render an interpretation of any requirement of the Standard. Interpretations can be rendered only in response to a written request sent to the Secretary of JCNRM.

Requests for interpretation should preferably be submitted through the online Interpretation Submittal Form. The form is accessible at <http://go.asme.org/InterpretationRequest>. Upon submittal of the form, the inquirer will receive an automatic e-mail confirming receipt.

If the inquirer is unable to use the online form, they may mail the request to the Secretary of JCNRM at the above address. The request for an interpretation should be clear and unambiguous. It is further recommended that the inquirer submit their request in the following format:

Subject	Cite the applicable paragraph number(s) and the topic of the inquiry in one or two words.
Edition	Cite the applicable edition of the Standard for which the interpretation is being requested.
Question	Phrase the question as a request for an interpretation of a specific requirement suitable for general understanding and use, not as a request for an approval of a proprietary design or situation. Please provide a condensed and precise question, composed in such a way that a “yes” or “no” reply is acceptable.
Proposed Reply(ies)	Provide a proposed reply(ies) in the form of “yes” or “no,” with explanation as needed. If entering replies to more than one question, please number the questions and replies.
Background information	Provide the Committee with any background information that will assist the Committee in understanding the inquiry. The inquirer may also include any plans or drawings that are necessary to explain the question; however, these materials should not contain proprietary names or information.

Requests that are not in the format described above may be rewritten in the appropriate format by the Committee prior to being answered, which may inadvertently change the intent of the original request.

Moreover, ASME does not act as a consultant for specific engineering problems or for the general application or understanding of the Standard requirements. If, based on the inquiry information submitted, it is the opinion of the Committee that the inquirer should seek assistance, the inquiry will be returned with the recommendation that such assistance be obtained.

ASME procedures provide for reconsideration of any interpretation when or if additional information that might affect an interpretation is available. Furthermore, persons aggrieved by an



interpretation may appeal to the cognizant ASME committee or subcommittee. ASME does not “approve,” “certify,” “rate,” or “endorse” any item, construction, proprietary device, or activity.

Attending Committee Meetings. The JCNRM regularly holds meetings and/or telephone conferences that are open to the public. Persons wishing to attend any meeting and/or telephone conference should contact the Secretary of JCNRM.

Proposing a Case. Cases may be issued to provide alternative rules when justified, to permit early implementation of an approved revision when the need is urgent, or to provide rules not covered by existing provisions. Cases are effective immediately upon ASME approval and shall be posted on the ASME Committee web page.

Requests for Cases shall provide a Statement of Need and Background Information. The request should identify the Standard and the paragraph, figure, or table number(s), and be written as a Question and Reply in the same format as existing Cases. Requests for Cases should also indicate the applicable edition(s) of the Standard to which the proposed Case applies.

JCNRM Cases may be issued periodically and are available under the “JCNRM CASES” tab in the lefthand column at <https://go.asme.org/JCNRMcommittee>.



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The ANS/ASME JCNRM is animated by the passion of more than 200 professionals in the industry, from four continents and spanning the extensive interdisciplinary breadth needed for the development of multihazard, full-scope, comprehensive risk assessments. Their dedication and support continue to sustain the primary role that risk information has in the safe and efficient design, operation, and regulation of nuclear power plants. The members of the JCNRM Subcommittee on Standard Maintenance and the reporting working groups have dedicated significant time to the refinement of this Standard.

A particular debt of gratitude is owed by the JCNRM to Paul Amico, Andrea Maioli, and Ian Wall, who have been instrumental in leading and coordinating the combined effort needed to update and edit this edition of the Standard, navigating the schedule and challenges of a volunteer organization while maintaining the highest technical rigor.

A number of people have supported the JCNRM for numerous years but passed before seeing the completion of this Standard, for which they provided instrumental help. We acknowledge the efforts of these people and especially the work of Gareth Perry, former Subcommittee on Standard Maintenance vice cochair.

We also remember dear friends and significant contributors to this Standard and to the risk-informed technology community that have passed. In memoriam, we acknowledge Mary Drouin, Barry Sloane, and Rupert Weston.



ASME/ANS RA-S COMMITTEE

Standard for Level 1/ Large Early Release Frequency Probabilistic Risk Assessment for Nuclear Power Plant Applications

(The following is a roster of the Committee at the time of the approval of this Standard.)

ASME/ANS Joint Committee on Nuclear Risk Management (JCNRM)

- C. R. Grantom**, *ASME Cochair*, C. R. Grantom P. E. Associates, LLC
D. W. Henneke, *ANS Cochair*, GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy
R. J. Budnitz, *Immediate Past ANS Cochair*, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (retired)
A. Maioli, *ANS Vice Cochair*, Westinghouse Electric Co.
P. F. Nelson, *ASME Vice Cochair*, National Autonomous University of Mexico
O. Martinez, *Secretary*, The American Society of Mechanical Engineers
P. J. Amico, Jensen Hughes, Inc.
V. Andersen, Jensen Hughes, Inc.
V. K. Anderson, Nuclear Energy Institute
G. Apostolakis, Nuclear Risk Research Center
M. Bensi, University of Maryland
S. Bristol, NuScale Power
A. J. Clark, Sandia National Laboratories
G. DeMoss, PSEG Nuclear, LLC
M. R. Denman, Kairos Power
F. Ferrante, Electric Power Research Institute
K. R. Fine, Energy Harbor
K. N. Fleming, KNF Consulting Services, LLC
A. Gilbertson, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
D. Grabaskas, Argonne National Laboratory
H. A. Hackerott, Individual
J. E. Hagaman, Kairos Power
D. C. Hance, *Alternate*, Electric Power Research Institute
T. G. Hook, Arizona Public Service
J. M. Jansen Vehec, Holtec Center
D. M. Jones, Enercon Services, Inc.
G. W. Kindred, Tennessee Valley Authority
G. A. Krueger, *Alternate*, Nuclear Energy Institute
N. LaBarge, Westinghouse Electric Co.
S. H. Levinson, Framatome, Inc. (retired)
Y. J. Li, *Alternate*, GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy
R. Linthicum, Exelon Corp., Pressurized Water Reactor Owners Group
M. K. Ravindra, MKRavindra Consulting
R. I. Rishel, Duke Energy, Boiling Water Reactor Owners Group
M. B. Sattiso, Individual
R. E. Schneider, Westinghouse Electric Co.
J. L. Stone, Exelon Corp.
M. I. Walker, *Alternate*, Tennessee Valley Authority
I. B. Wall, Consultant
C. Berenson, *Contributing Member*, Individual
J. R. Chapman, *Contributing Member*, Individual
H. G. Garmarkar, *Contributing Member*, CANDU Owners Group
E. A. Hughes, *Contributing Member*, Etranco, Inc.
K. L. Kiper, *Contributing Member*, Westinghouse Electric Co.
S. Kojima, *Contributing Member*, Individual
S. R. Lewis, *Contributing Member*, Individual
J. O'Brien, *Contributing Member*, U.S. Department of Energy
S. Poghosyan, *Contributing Member*, International Atomic Energy Agency
P. A. Schroeder, *Contributing Member*, American Nuclear Society
C. Spitzer, *Contributing Member*, International Atomic Energy Agency
D. E. True, *Contributing Member*, Jensen Hughes, Inc.

Subcommittee on Standards Maintenance (SC-SM)

- P. J. Amico**, *Chair*, Jensen Hughes, Inc.
A. Maioli, *Vice Chair*, Westinghouse Electric Co.
V. Andersen, Jensen Hughes, Inc.
M. Bensi, University of Maryland
J. M. Berenson, Idaho National Laboratory
R. J. Budnitz, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (retired)
M. C. C. Paó Verde, Generating Station
M. Degonish, *Alternate*, Westinghouse Electric Co.
J. Dejesus Segarra, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
S. Eder, Facility Risk Consultants
K. R. Fine, Energy Harbor
H. A. Hackerott, Individual
J. Hall, Entergy Corp.
D. C. Hance, Electric Power Research Institute
D. W. Henneke, GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy
T. G. Hook, Arizona Public Service
J. M. Jansen Vehec, Holtec Center
F. Joglar, Jensen Hughes, Inc.
D. M. Jones, Enercon Services, Inc.
A. M. Kammerer, Individual
J. Lin, ABS Consulting
N. Lovelace, Jensen Hughes, Inc.
D. N. Miskiewicz, Engineering Planning and Management, Inc.
J. Mitman, *Alternate*, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
L. Ning, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
M. K. Ravindra, MKRavindra Consulting
A. Rubbico, Duke Energy
R. E. Schneider, Westinghouse Electric Co.
M. L. Szoke, UK Atomic Energy Authority
I. B. Wall, Consultant

SC-SM Part 1 Working Group

T. G. Hook, *Chair*, Arizona Public Service
R. E. Schneider, *Vice Chair*, Westinghouse Electric Co.
M. Biro, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
J. E. Evans, *Alternate*, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

F. Ferrante, Electric Power Research Institute
L. A. Mangan, Energy Harbor
H. A. Stiles, Engineering Planning & Management
I. B. Wall, Consultant

SC-SM Part 2 Working Group

H. A. Hackerott, *Chair*, Individual
J. M. Jansen Vehec, *Vice Chair*, Holtec Center
J. M. Biersdorf, Idaho National Laboratory
A. F. Brown, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
J. A. Circle, *Alternate*, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
D. C. Hance, Electric Power Research Institute

G. W. Kindred, Tennessee Valley Authority
S. H. Levinson, Framatome, Inc. (retired)
P. F. Nelson, National Autonomous University of Mexico
H. Sunada, Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry
K. Sutton, INGRID Consulting Services, LLC

SC-SM Part 3 Working Group

J. C. Lin, *Chair*, ABSG Consulting Inc.
J. Hall, *Vice Cochair*, Entergy Operations, Inc.
A. A. Rubbico, *Vice Cochair*, Duke Energy
M. Degonish, Westinghouse Electric Co.

C. H. Ng, *Alternate*, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
D. C. Rapp, Energy Harbor
J. J. Wood, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

SC-SM Part 4 Working Group

F. J. Joglar, *Chair*, Jensen Hughes, Inc.
J. M. Biersdorf, Idaho National Laboratory
S. A. Brinkman, Duke Energy
D. W. Henneke, GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy
J. S. Hyslop, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
W. Jameson, Exelon Corp.
M. Kazarians, Kazarians & Associates, Inc.
A. M. Lindeman, Electric Power Research Institute
N. B. Melly, *Alternate*, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

J. D. Miller, Enercon Services, Inc.
D. N. Miskiewicz, Engineering Planning and Management, Inc.
J. Mohmand, Sandia National Laboratories
S. P. Nowlen, Sandia National Laboratories
M. L. Reed, Westinghouse Electric Co.
J. L. Stone, Exelon Corp.
R. Stremple, Energy Harbor
K. Zee, Consultant

SC-SM Part 5 Working Group

K. R. Fine, *Chair*, Energy Harbor
S. Eder, *Vice Chair*, Facility Risk Consultants, Inc.
V. Andersen, Jensen Hughes, Inc.
R. J. Budnitz, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (retired)
P. Chandran, Individual
N. C. Chokshi, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
O. Coman, International Atomic Energy Agency
C. Eftimie, Individual
A. R. Godoy, James J. Johnson & Associates
F. Grant, Simpson Gumpertz & Heger
E. M. Guerra, Arup
J. W. Hiller, Ameren Missouri–Callaway Energy Center

A. M. Kammerer, Annie Kammerer Consulting, LLC
J. K. Kimball, RIZZO Associates
B. Kosbab, Simpson Gumpertz & Heger
A. Maioli, Westinghouse Electric Co.
C. H. Ng, *Alternate*, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
M. K. Ravindra, MKRavindra Consulting
J. M. Richards, Electric Power Research Institute
R. Srinivasan, Individual
W. Tong, Simpson Gumpertz & Heger
S. Vasavada, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
D. Wu, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

SC-SM Part 7 Working Group

N. Lovelace, *Chair*, Jensen Hughes, Inc.
F. Ferrante, Electric Power Research Institute
S. M. Hess, Jensen Hughes, Inc.
J. Lane, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

A. Mironenko, Duke Energy
A. Neuhausen, *Alternate*, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
M. K. Ravindra, MKRavindra Consulting
R. E. Schneider, Westinghouse Electric Co.



SC-SM Part 8 Working Group

M. Bensi, *Chair*, University of Maryland
J. Dababneh, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
J. Kanney, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
S. Lawrence, Idaho National Laboratory
S. M. Loyd, Constellation Energy
Z. Ma, Idaho National Laboratory

P. Macheret, Jensen Hughes, Inc.
A. Mironenko, Duke Energy
R. E. Schneider, Westinghouse Electric Co.
J. E. Weglian, Electric Power Research Institute
S. Vasavada, *Alternate*, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
D. Wu, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

SC-SM Parts 6 & 9 Working Group

V. Andersen, *Chair*, Jensen Hughes, Inc.
R. J. Budnitz, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (retired)
M. R. Denman, Kairos Power
K. Hope, Westinghouse Electric Co.
S. M. Loyd, Exelon Corp.

P. Macheret, Jensen Hughes, Inc.
M. K. Ravindra, MKRavindra Consulting
K. Tetter, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
B. T. Wagner, *Alternate*, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Subcommittee on Standards Development (SC-SD)

M. R. Denman, *Chair*, Kairos Power
N. R. LaBarge, *Vice Chair*, Westinghouse Electric Co.
V. K. Anderson, Nuclear Energy Institute
S. Bernsen, Individual
S. Bristol, NuScale Power
M. R. Fard, *Alternate*, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
K. N. Fleming, KNF Consulting Services, LLC
A. Gilbertson, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
D. Grabaskas, Argonne National Laboratory
D. W. Henneke, GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy

K. Kaspar, South Texas Project Electric Generating Station
Y. J. Li, GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy
Z. Ma, Idaho National Laboratory
B. J. Ratnagaran, Southern Co.
M. B. Sattison, Individual
R. Schneider, Westinghouse Electric Co.
V. Sorel, Electricité de France
R. Summitt, Engineering Planning and Management
G. Teagarden, Jensen Hughes, Inc.
S. D. Unwin, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

Subcommittee on Risk Applications

G. W. Kindred, *Chair*, Tennessee Valley Authority
G. DeMoss, *Vice Cochair*, PSEG Nuclear, LLC
S. Lewis, *Vice Cochair*, Consultant
G. Apostolakis, Nuclear Risk Research Center
R. J. Budnitz, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (retired)
C. DeMessieres, *Alternate*, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
F. Ferrante, Electric Power Research Institute
C. R. Grantom, C.R. Grantom P.E. Associates, LLC
J. E. Hagaman, Kairos Power
F. G. Hudson, Metcalfe PLLC
J. M. Jansen Vehec, Holtec Center
S. H. Levinson, Framatome, Inc. (retired)
R. Linthicum, Exelon Corp., Pressurized Water Reactor Owners Group
A. Moldenhauer, Dominion Energy

P. F. Nelson, National Autonomous University of Mexico
J. O'Brien, U.S. Department of Energy
V. Patel, Southern Co.
R. Rishel, Duke Energy, Boiling Water Reactor Owners Group
S. Rosenberg, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
T. D. Sande, Enercon Services, Inc.
N. C. Sternowski, Risk-Informed Engineering
J. L. Stone, Exelon Corp.
R. Summitt, Engineering Planning and Management
K. Sutton, INGRID Consulting Services, LLC
C. Trull, Westinghouse Electric Co.
V. Warren, Jensen Hughes, Inc.
M. Valentin, *Alternate*, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Y. Yamanaka, The Federation of Electric Power Companies



PART 1

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR

A LEVEL 1 PRA, INCLUDING

LARGE EARLY RELEASE

FREQUENCY

Section 1-1

Introduction

1-1.1 OBJECTIVE

This Standard states the requirements for probabilistic risk assessments (PRAs) used to support risk-informed decisions for commercial light water reactor (LWR) nuclear power plants while at-power.

1-1.2 SCOPE AND APPLICABILITY

This Standard states requirements for a Level 1 PRA of internal and external hazards while at-power for the evaluation of core damage frequency (CDF). In addition, this Standard states requirements for a limited Level 2 PRA sufficient to evaluate large early release frequency (LERF). The only hazards explicitly excluded from the scope are accidents resulting from purposeful human-induced security threats (e.g., sabotage, terrorism). These requirements are written for operating LWR power plants (i.e., plants with designs and features similar to the plants operating when this Standard was published). They may be used for LWR plants under design or construction or for advanced LWRs, but revised or additional requirements may be needed.

1-1.2.1 Treatment of Hazard Groups

This Standard states specific requirements for the following hazard groups:

- (a) Internal Events ([Part 2](#))
- (b) Internal Floods ([Part 3](#))

- (c) Internal Fires ([Part 4](#))
- (d) Seismic Events ([Part 5](#))
- (e) High Winds ([Part 7](#))
- (f) External Floods ([Part 8](#))
- (g) Other Hazards ([Part 9](#))

Many of the technical requirements in [Part 2](#) are fundamental requirements for performing a PRA for any hazard group and are therefore relevant to [Part 3](#), [Part 4](#), [Part 5](#), [Part 6](#) (for external hazard screening), [Part 7](#), [Part 8](#), and [Part 9](#) of this Standard. They are included by reference in those requirements that address the development of the plant response to the damage states created by the hazard groups addressed in [Part 3](#), [Part 4](#), [Part 5](#), [Part 6](#), [Part 7](#), [Part 8](#), and [Part 9](#). Their specific allocation to [Part 2](#) is partially a historical artifact of the way this PRA Standard was developed, with the at-power internal-events (including internal floods) requirements being developed first, and those of the remaining hazard groups being developed later. However, it is also a reflection of the fact that a fundamental understanding of the plant response to a reasonably complete set of initiating events (as defined in [Section 1-2.2](#)) provides the foundation for modeling the impact of various hazards on the plant. Thus, even though [Part 2](#) is given a title associated with the internal-events hazard group, it is understood that the requirements in this Part are applicable to all the hazard groups within the scope of the PRA.

(The text presented in [blue font](#) in this Standard comprise hyperlinks to enable efficient access to referenced sections and elements, requirements, notes, references, etc.)